COVID-19 vaccination acceptance among healthcare workers in Germany

Christopher Holzmann-Littig^{1,2,*,#}, Matthias Christoph Braunisch^{1,*}, Peter Kranke³, Maria Popp³,
Christian Seeber⁴, Falk Fichtner⁴, Bianca Littig¹, Javier Carbajo-Lozoya¹, Christine Allwang⁵,
Tamara Frank⁵, Joerg Johannes Meerpohl^{6,7}, Bernhard Haller^{8,+}, Christoph Schmaderer^{1,+,#} on
behalf of the CEOsys consortium

¹ Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Department of Nephrology

² Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine, TUM Medical Education Center

³ University of Wurzburg, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care, Emergency and Pain Medicine

⁴ University of Leipzig, Faculty of Medicine, Clinic and Polyclinic for Anesthesiology and Intensive Care

⁵ Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Clinic and Polyclinic for Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy

⁶ Institute for Evidence in Medicine, Medical Center & Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany.

⁷ Cochrane Germany, Cochrane Germany Foundation, Freiburg, Germany

⁸ Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine, Institute of Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology

* Both first authors contributed equally to this work.

+ Both last authors contributed equally to this work.

Euro J Epidemiol, word counts: Abstract: 250/250, Main body: 4,264, References: 42, Figures 8, Tables: 2, Keywords: 6/6

Correspondence to:

Christopher Holzmann-Littig and Christoph Schmaderer

Phone: 0049 (0) 89 4140 2231; Fax: 0049 (0) 89 4140 7734

Email: Christopher.Holzmann-Littig2@mri.tum.de; Christoph.Schmaderer@mri.tum.de

Abstract

Background

Vaccination hesitancy is a serious threat to achieve herd immunity in a global and rapidly changing pandemic situation. Health care workers play a key role in the treatment of patients with Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and in promoting vaccination in the general population. The aim of the study was to provide data on COVID-19 vaccination acceptance and barriers among healthcare workers in Germany to support health policymakers choosing specific vaccination campaign strategies.

Methods

An online survey was conducted among health care workers in Germany in February 2021. The survey included 55 items on demographics, previous vaccination behavior, trust in vaccines, physicians, pharma industry, and health politics as well as fear of adverse effects, assumptions on disease consequences, knowledge about vaccines, information seeking behavior and a short COVID-19 vaccine knowledge test.

Results

A total of 4500 surveys could be analyzed. The overall vaccination acceptance was 91.7%. The age group ≤ 20 years showed the lowest vaccination acceptance of all age groups. Regarding professional groups, residents showed the highest vaccination acceptance. Main factors for vaccination hesitancy were lack of trust in authorities and pharmaceutical companies. Personal and professional environment influenced the attitude towards a vaccination too. Participants with vaccination hesitancy were more likely to obtain information about COVID-19 vaccines via messenger services or online video platforms and underperformed in the knowledge test.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we found a high acceptance rate amongst German health care workers. Furthermore, several factors associated with vaccination hesitancy were identified which could be targeted in vaccination campaigns.

Keywords: COVID-19, vaccine, vaccination, vaccination hesitancy, vaccine refusal, vaccination campaign.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is one of the biggest healthcare challenges in history. As effective treatment options are lacking and several vaccines are already available, it has been estimated that a pandemic spread could be stopped if more than 67% of the population acquire immunity by either vaccination or infection, i.e. if herd immunity has developed (1). Allowing uncontrolled infection to achieve this immunity would cause increased morbidity and mortality and unjustifiable strain on the health system (2). This became apparent during the first and second waves of the pandemic, when even advanced health care systems were overrun by the surge of severely ill patients. Furthermore, even mild to moderate COVID-19 infections might lead to long-term health consequences (3) and individual financial losses (4). Therefore, large scale population-wide vaccination programs are the preferred approach to stop the pandemic and have been started worldwide. However, if there is a lack of a sufficient vaccine acceptance among the population herd immunity cannot be achieved. Vaccine hesitancy is a global barrier to this strategy and rated among the top ten threats worldwide (5, 6).

Consequently, even if governments can provide sufficient vaccine supply to vaccinate the total population (which already is a major challenge), herd immunity cannot be achieved if pronounced vaccine hesitancy is present (7). Health care workers (HCW) serve as important multiplier and advisor role in vaccination programs, as they are required to provide information and thus build confidence. Additionally, HCWs who are at risk of acquiring infections from their patients, are often regarded as the source of nosocomial infections with vaccine preventable diseases (8) - a circumstance that appears particularly threatening during the COVID-19 pandemic. In a representative national survey in Germany, the reported acceptance to receive a COVID-19 vaccination was only 66% in the general population (having risen from 50% in December 2020) and slightly lower with 64% in a small subset of HCW (9), **Table 1**. Recent, partially not yet peer-reviewed surveys of HCW have shown vaccine acceptance rates between 31% and 86% (10-24) (**Table 1**). Yet, these findings were the basis for negative media coverage in the German and international press shedding a picture of vaccine hesitancy among HCW. To design specific vaccination campaigns, addressing the concerns of potential vaccine candidates in the health care system, public health stakeholders need sufficient data reflecting the reasons for hesitancy. In the context of an ongoing pandemic caused by a new infectious disease, previous findings on vaccine hesitancy for classical vector-based vaccines such as annual influenza vaccines might not be completely applicable to COVID-19 vaccines. This is even more understandable since a new technology of mRNA-based vaccines are used for the

first time. The individual decision to receive a COVID-19 vaccination is multifactorial, based among others on a individually perceived health risk, experiences with past vaccinations and sociodemographic factors (25).

The main goals of this survey in HCW were A) to provide current numbers of HCW COVID-19 vaccination acceptance in Germany, B) to identify vaccination barriers by assessing vaccine hesitancy on different scales. By identifying concerns and reasons for vaccination hesitancy, we aim to provide a data foundation for health stakeholders designing target group specific vaccination campaigns.

Materials and Methods

In order to build tailored evidence syntheses on COVID-19 research for German health care professionals, politicians, stakeholders and laity, CEOsys (COVID-19 evidence ecosystem, www.covid-evidenz.de), (26) a research group within the network of German university hospitals (NUM, Netzwerk Universitätsmedizin) was launched in 2020. The network is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, BMBF). This study was conducted as part of the evaluation of informational needs.

The study adheres to the declaration of Helsinki. Approval by the local ethics committee of the medical faculty of Technical University of Munich (41/21 S), data protection officer, hospital board and staff counsel were obtained. Every participant gave informed consent by clicking a checkbox after the information on the study and data protection prior to the survey. This cross-sectional study is based on an online survey conducted from 02/02/2021 to 02/28/2021 in German language. At that point of time, the vaccines Comirnaty® by BioNTech/Pfizer and Vaxzevria®, the vaccine by Astra Zeneca had been in use in Germany. The vaccination campaign had been started approximately one month before the start of this survey.

The link to this voluntary open online survey was sent to a total of 3924 e-mail addresses of nursing homes, medical practices, ambulance services, medical universities, hospitals, ambulatory care services and medical societies across Germany. In the invitation email, it was asked to forward the link within the respective institution or society. No further advertising was carried out by the authors. There were no incentives offered for taking part in the survey. The survey was carried out on the online platform SoSci Survey (27). The items were not randomized. To guarantee full anonymity, no cookies to identify unique users, no IP checks or logfile analyses were performed and users were not asked for registration. There was no review step for the respondents.

To formulate questions, the GESIS (Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) survey guidelines for question wording were considered (28). The recommendations of the Working Group on

Vaccine Hesitancy Determinants Matrix (30) were applied. The matrix included contextual influences, individual and group influences as well as vaccine/vaccination-specific issues. In addition, the 5C-model by Betsch et al. was taken into account when constructing the questions (31). Furthermore, questions were adapted from the surveys by Larson et al. (32). Also, questions addressing specific previous results on vaccine hesitancy were included (33). The questions were then discussed in detail with a sociologist (BL). Survey pretest was performed by several members of the authors' departments as well as of the CEOsys network. The questions were then revised according to their comments. Testers reported a completion time of approximately ten minutes, which was included in the study information.

We followed the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) (29) (**Supplemental Table 1**).

The survey contained 55 items (12 screens). Demographic data, previous vaccination behavior, trust in vaccines / physicians / pharma industry and health politics as well as fear of adverse effects, assumptions on the consequences of the disease, knowledge about vaccines and information seeking behavior of the participants were queried. Four items on the participants' knowledge on COVID-19 vaccinations were included as well. The full questionnaire can be found in **Supplemental Table 2**. In professional groups and work settings, free text comments (if "other" was chosen) were evaluated and participants were assigned to professional groups where possible. The categories "dental assisting personnel", "dentist" and "science" were built from the free text comments. For statistical analysis, the professional group "other non-physician medical staff" was built from the categories "non-examined nurse", "medical specialist", "non-physician staff in the rescue service" and "trainee". The group "physicians with specialist / personnel responsibility" was built from the groups "specialized physician", "consultant physician" and "chief physician". In the item "Sources of information," a maximum of five answer options could be selected to identify the media that are essential to the participant. Questionnaires which had not been completed (i.e., which had been abandoned), questionnaires without informed consent and questionnaires with missing information on vaccination willingness / hesitancy were excluded from statistical analyses. In several questions, the answer option "no answer" was given to comply with data protection guidelines on the one hand and to avoid abandoning of the questionnaire on the other.

Statistical analyses

Data are presented as absolute and relative frequencies. Number of missing values ("no answer") for all items are presented in the Supplement. For group comparisons odds ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals are presented and/or chi-square tests were performed.

All tests were performed two-sided and a significance level of 5% was used. Due to the exploratory nature of the study no adjustment for multiple testing was considered. As the survey was most likely answered in work settings, i.e. completion might have been interrupted (leading to longer answer times or early termination and restart of the survey with shorter response times), time stamps were not analyzed.

Unless otherwise specified, participants were summarized to be “willing / accepting” if they wanted to get or had already been vaccinated. Similarly, participants who indicated they were undecided, or unwilling were summarized as hesitant. German regions were defined according to the classification used by the Robert Koch Institute (34). Statistical analysis was performed using R, version 4.0.4 (R Foundation for statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and its libraries “*epitools*”, “*arsenal*”, “*sf*” and “*mapplots*”.

Results

Study population

A total of 5,448 participants started the online survey. 948 data sets had to be excluded due to incompleteness or missing consent. Finally, the dataset consisted of 4,500 completed surveys for analysis (**Figure 1**). The number of female participants was 2,610 (58.0%). The largest participant groups were physicians with specialist / personnel responsibilities (29.5%), medical students (29.2%), and certified nurses (10.4%). Main work settings were maximum care hospitals / university hospitals (42.6%), hospitals of other care levels (18.0%), and medical practices / medical care centers (20.2%). Of all participants, 35.2% were from southern, 28.1% from northern, 26.0% from western, and 8.4% from eastern Germany (**Table 2**).

The overall vaccination acceptance was 91.7% (4125 / 4500), 167 (3.7%) participants reported to be undecided, 208 (4.6%) reported that they do not want to get vaccinated against COVID-19. Of those accepting a COVID-19 vaccination, 2,024 (49.1%) had already received at least one COVID-19 vaccination dose. No difference in vaccination acceptance was observed between sexes (**Table 2**). Within German regions, the vaccination acceptance ranged from 89.4% (northern Germany) to 93.2% (southern Germany, **Figure 2**). Participants from outpatient nursing services (10 / 45, 22.2% unwilling or undecided) and medical practices / medical care centers (127 / 908, 14.0%) were less likely to accept a COVID-19 vaccination compared to participants from other work settings (**Table 2, Figure 3**). Vaccination acceptance varied significantly between age groups ($p=0.039$). The age group ≤ 20 years showed the lowest vaccination acceptance. (**Table 2, Figure 3**).

Personal attitudes and vaccination experience

Overall, most participants rather or fully agreed that they trust both vaccines in general (4,199, 93.3%) and COVID-19 vaccines (3639, 80.9%). Most respondents rather or fully agreed that vaccines in general (4,330, 96.2%) and COVID-19 vaccines (3961, 88.0%) are effective. Additionally, most of the respondents fully or rather claimed to keep their vaccinations up to date (4150, 92.2%).

Lack of trust in regulatory authorities in general (115 / 198 [58.1%] of participants who totally disagreed or rather disagreed reported to be unwilling to get vaccinated or to be undecided versus 172 / 4,041 [4.3%] of those who rather agreed or totally agreed, $p < 0.001$) and specifically in COVID-19 vaccine approval (181 / 322 [56.2%] vs. 85 / 3,763 [2.3%], $p < 0.001$), as well as in German health politics (206 / 762 [27.0%] vs. 85 / 2,746 [3.1%], $p < 0.001$), and physicians (51 / 164 [31.1%] vs. 224 / 3,740 [6.0%], $p < 0.001$) were significantly associated with vaccine hesitancy (odds ratios for comparison with the reference group of those who neither agree nor disagree are illustrated in **Figure 5A**, absolute and relative frequencies for all categories are presented in **Supplemental Table 3**, odds ratios are presented in **Supplemental Table 4**). A history of vaccination adverse effects (30 / 136 [22.1%] vs. 325 / 4,323 [7.5%] of participants without, $p < 0.001$), disagreement with generally keeping vaccines up to date (rather or totally disagree: 74 / 201 [36.8%], rather or totally agree: 269 / 4,150 [6.5%], $p < 0.001$) and with regularly receiving vaccinations against influenza (275 / 1,463 [18.8%] vs. 77 / 2,713 [2.8%], $p < 0.001$) were associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy (**Figure 5B**, **Supplemental Table 3**, **Supplemental Table 4**). Yet, a strong association to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy was found towards fear of long-term (rather or totally agree: 302 / 863 [35.0%], rather or totally disagree: 35 / 3,070 [1.1%], $p < 0.001$) and short-term (159 / 596 [26.7%] vs. 160 / 3,377 [4.7%], $p < 0.001$) adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines, as well as vaccine adverse effects in general (133 / 469 [28.4%] vs. 176 / 3,705 [4.8%]). Disagreement on feeling well informed about vaccines in general (rather or totally disagree: 85 / 265 [32.1%], rather or totally agree: 219 / 3,824 [5.7%], $p < 0.001$) and specifically on COVID-19 vaccines (174 / 398 [43.7%] vs. 135 / 3,649 [3.7%], $p < 0.001$) was associated with vaccine hesitancy (**Figure 5C**, **Supplemental Table 3**, **Supplemental Table 4**). Further, increased vaccine hesitancy was found for participants who believe that financial profit is more important for the pharmaceutical industry than safety of their products in general (rather or totally agree: 197 / 663 [29.7%], rather or totally disagree: 78 / 2,713 [2.9%], $p < 0.001$) and in the production of COVID-19 vaccines (214 / 609 [35.1%] vs. 76 / 2,854 [2.7%], $p < 0.001$), or for participants who reported skepticism towards velocity of COVID-19 development (299 / 695 [43.0%] vs. 31 / 3,226 [1.0%], $p < 0.001$), or the

new mechanism of action (237 / 584 [40.6%] vs. 61 / 3,383 [1.8%], $p < 0.001$) (**Figure 5C, 5D, Supplemental Table 3, Supplemental Table 4**).

COVID-19-specific attitudes and experiences in the personal surrounding

A strong association to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy was observed, when participants stated that their personal surrounding had decided not to get vaccinated (183 / 251, 72.9%) compared to 59 / 3,682 (1.6%) for those who reported that the majority of their family/friends have or would like to have COVID-19 vaccination ($p < 0.001$), and when their general practitioner had advised against a COVID-19 vaccination (30 / 41 [73.2%] vs. 18 / 518 [3.5%] with advise for vaccination, $p < 0.001$). Also, a strong association between colleagues' decisions against a COVID-19 vaccination and hesitancy was observed (not get vaccinated: 106 / 173 [61.3%], get vaccinated: 116 / 3,602 [3.2%], $p < 0.001$). If participants did not know whether persons in their acquaintances had already been vaccinated against COVID-19 (11 / 56, 19.6%) or if none of these had been vaccinated (147 / 948, 15.5%) relevant vaccination hesitancy was present (217 / 3,495 [6.2%] for participants who reported that people in the personal environment already received at least one vaccination dose), (both $p < 0.001$). Participants' fear of an infection in the professional environment (rather or totally agree: 78 / 2,307 [3.4%], rather or totally disagree: 248 / 1,451 [17.1%], $p < 0.001$) was stronger associated to vaccine acceptance/hesitancy than their fear of an infection in the private environment (32 / 1,387 [2.3%] vs. 297 / 2,225 [13.3%]) (**Figure 6, Supplemental Table 3**).

In participants expecting a severe COVID-19 disease course in individuals in their personal environment, the number of hesitant or undecided participants was 141 / 3,197 (4.4%) vs 117 / 655 (17.9%) of those not expecting this ($p < 0.001$), **Figure 7**. Participants who felt that they were at risk of a severe disease course were significantly less often hesitant than participants not fearing a risk of a severe course of the disease for themselves (rather or totally agree: 30 / 941 [3.2%], rather or totally disagree: 292 / 2,658 [11.0%], $p < 0.001$). Only small differences in vaccination acceptance/hesitancy were observed with regard to the history of COVID-19 infections, hospitalizations, intensive care unit admissions, and deaths in the participants' acquaintances. Number of correct answers in the knowledge test was significantly associated with frequency of vaccine hesitation (zero correct answers: 31 / 94, 33.0%, all four questions answered correctly: 64 / 2,224, 2.9%, $p < 0.001$) (**Figure 7, Supplemental Table 3**).

Main Sources of Information on COVID-19

In the group of vaccine hesitant participants, lower proportions reported to obtain COVID-19-vaccination related information from online newspapers (42.4% vs 58.0%, $p < 0.001$), TV / radio (46.9% vs. 60.8%, $p < 0.001$), or websites / media of federal agencies (63.7% vs 76.0%,

$p < 0.001$). Furthermore, in this group, it was more frequently reported that COVID-19-vaccination information was obtained via messenger services (13.6% vs 4.4%, $p < 0.001$) or online video platforms (23.7% vs 12.1%, $p < 0.001$) (**Figure 8**).

Discussion

We examined COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in German health care workers (HCW) in one of the largest and most comprehensive surveys. Overall, data of 4,500 German HCW who participated in the survey during the second pandemic wave in Germany could be considered for this analysis. Of these, about 92% were either willing or already had received the vaccination. This high percentage of acceptance contrasts with recently published studies in Germany and worldwide (see **Table 1**) and shows that vaccine hesitancy may change rapidly. We could furthermore show that vaccine hesitancy in HCW is multifactorial. The identified factors associated with COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy might help to shape specific vaccination campaigns.

In this pandemic, vaccine hesitancy among HCW is an urgent issue (7) that needs to be addressed rapidly. Comparison to previously published studies as shown in **Table 1** needs to be done cautiously as acceptance was defined differently, measured on different scales, using different questionnaires with different numbers of items asked in different countries and time periods. One strength of this study is that the survey was conducted after the Comirnaty[®] vaccine by BioNTech/Pfizer had been approved and was available for German HCW in hospitals. HCW are ranked priority in a situation with limited availability of vaccines to maintain critical health care functions which are especially needed in a pandemic situation. As this group of people has the highest exposure and risk of disease transmission, they had a realistic chance of getting vaccinated very soon in comparison to the hypothetical question asked in other surveys where no vaccination had been approved by health care authorities at the time of the survey. Online surveys are a powerful tool to achieve a broad response in short periods of time and therefore especially of use in a pandemic situation (35). This is opposed to conventional methods with pen-and-paper and careful selection of a representative sample which would simply take too much time in extremely fast changing circumstances (35). In addition, an online survey already seemed more than advisable given the distance requirements and the necessary reduction of interpersonal contacts.

Vaccine hesitancy in health care workers

General vaccine hesitancy in HCW is common (8, 36) and recently published surveys observed substantial skepticism towards receiving a COVID-19 vaccination (summarized in **Table 1**). Previously, COVID-19 acceptance rates had been observed to range between 31% and 86%. The only comparable German survey was conducted mainly in intensive care settings. In that study, the acceptance of 50% in nurses and 73% in physicians was rather low compared to our data (15). However, the earlier assessment in December 2020 with an absence of approved and available vaccines could explain these differences. These low numbers would be insufficient to reach herd immunity in the medical sector (1). With 92% acceptance, we found a broad consent to receive a COVID-19 vaccination throughout all groups of HCW. As our survey has taken place in February 2021 and, therefore, much later than the previously published studies it is likely that the acceptance has changed during the pandemic, with co-health-care-workers already being vaccinated. As vaccination has started in January 2021 in most German hospitals, 44% of the participants in our study had already been vaccinated.

Also, compared with an estimated worldwide acceptance of around 70%, German HCW showed higher acceptance rates (25). This highlights again the high acceptance rate of German HCW but also points to substantial regional and cross-country differences that might not be generalizable. HCW often function as ambassadors for vaccine acceptance in the general population (36, 37). Therefore, our observations might lead to the conclusion that German HCW might have already acted as role models for vaccine acceptance during the COVID-19 pandemic for their own peer group.

In Germany, influenza vaccination is recommended to all HCW (38). In our survey only 60% followed that recommendation, yet this rate was still higher than in some other published surveys in HCW (38, 39). This suggests a large-scale general vaccine acceptance in participating HCW which is in line with the observed general trust in vaccines, their efficacy, and the high agreement with the statement to keep vaccinations up to date. The perceived severe threat by COVID-19 might explain the higher acceptance to vaccinate against COVID-19 as compared to the seasonal influenza.

Comparison of vaccine hesitancy in the German health care workers and the general population

The acceptance to receive a vaccination in the general population in Germany is measured weekly by the COSMO study (40). In that study, acceptance rates are relatively stable at 68% and therefore lower than in our survey (14). The ongoing debate about Astra Zeneca's vaccine Vaxzevria®, can only serve to a limited extent as an explanation for this discrepancy, since this debate had just begun during our survey. However, it seems conceivable that the threat from

COVID-19 appears less abstract for HCW than for the general population, which could lead to a higher willingness to vaccinate. Yet, preliminary results of the COVIMO study did not find major differences between German HCW and the general population regarding acceptance to receive a COVID-19 vaccine (preliminary results published online only. (34). However, the HCWs surveyed there were only a small subgroup.

Factors influencing COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy

As media reports on vaccine hesitancy in German HCW claimed low acceptance rates, it became particularly important to assess the actual vaccination acceptance of HCW and reasons for hesitancy. In our study, 3.7% of all participants were undecided and 4.6% refused a COVID-19 vaccination. The subgroup of participants working in outpatient nursing services was stronger associated with a COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy with the caveat of a low number of participants in this subgroup. This might be due to the fact that most vaccine campaigns took place in hospitals and by health care providers, leading to the assumption that these workers were better informed. Working with COVID-19 patients was not associated with acceptance to receive a COVID-19 vaccine, except in HCW with less than 50% occupation with COVID-19 patients. This might be explained by the consideration of a perceived higher transmission risk in the family setting than in the professional setting where personal protective equipment and strict testing of patients and staff is used routinely, especially in COVID-19 treatment units, where HCWs treat COVID-19 patients on a daily basis. Also, it could be speculated that HCW in German hospitals were sufficiently supplied with professional protective equipment and therefore the threat of becoming infected with COVID-19 was judged lower (41).

The number of correct answers in the four COVID-19 vaccine knowledge questions was significantly associated with vaccination acceptance providing a direct starting point for informational campaigns. To test whether improving knowledge about COVID-19 vaccines is a modifiable risk factor leading to higher vaccination acceptance is recommended for further studies.

It is not surprising that prior adverse effects to vaccines went along with lower vaccine acceptance. The same could be seen for the factor of “up to date” vaccination and the acceptance to receive an influenza shot. This is in good accordance with previous studies (42). A general and COVID-19 vaccine-specific mistrust in the pharmaceutical industry was also associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy.

Possible implications for COVID-19 vaccination campaigns

Overall, the acceptance in HCW was remarkably high throughout Germany with a tendency of lower acceptance in northern and eastern parts of Germany. However, this small difference

might not justify applying different vaccine campaigns in different German regions. Due to the high acceptance rate in HCW, they should therefore be involved as ambassadors for the COVID-19 vaccination campaign. We suppose that HCW already serve as a role model. Inclusion of HCW in vaccination campaigns might contribute to improved trust in the vaccination. It seems that low numbers of hesitant HCW have high distrust in politicians and health authorities. Having identified trust in vaccines and authorities as a potential modifiable risk factors in HCW, this might not be changeable in short-term campaigns but important in long-term projects. As hesitant HCW are using more streaming video platforms and messenger services it might be reasonable to use these media in designing a vaccination campaign. We assume that the produced content should be of high-level quality in regard to content and storytelling. This implies the need of multi-professional teams including medical education media professionals. As knowledge about the COVID-19 vaccine is a strong factor in favor of the vaccination, “infotainment” formats, e.g., easy to understand explanatory videos might be useful. Importantly, to evaluate the effect of COVID-19 vaccination campaigns a scientific supervision appears to be advisable.

Limitations of the study also need to be considered. As this is an open online survey it is impossible to estimate how representative the sample is. Due to the broad distribution of the survey without addressing specific individuals (due to German data protection laws) we cannot estimate how many HCW received the invitation to participate in the survey. Therefore, the study could be biased towards participants with a positive attitude towards a COVID-19 vaccination. Especially, mistrust in the state and organizations could further hinder participation. Furthermore, we have solely evaluated factors associated with vaccine hesitancy in HCW. Therefore, implications may primarily be drawn for this target group and not be generalizable to the general public. Finally, the small numbers in some subgroups allow only limited conclusions for these subgroups.

Conclusions

In conclusion we saw a high overall acceptance rate amongst HCW to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. Furthermore, several factors associated with vaccination hesitancy in HCW were identified. Absence of knowledge about the vaccines and their mechanism of action as well as mistrust in authorities have been revealed as most important and potentially modifiable factors of vaccination hesitancy. HCW, due to their knowledge and overall high acceptance towards COVID-19 vaccination may prove as promising ambassadors for supporting the COVID-19

vaccination campaign. These factors could be addressed by public health stakeholders to assure a prompt achievement of herd immunity.

Declarations

Funding Information

The project was funded by the BMBF. The funder of the CEOsys as part of the national COVID-19 network NUM (BMBF) did not participate in study design, data collection, data analysis or writing of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

CHL, CSC, MP and BL designed the survey. CS, FF and JC reviewed the study design. CHL and CSC drafted the initial version of the manuscript. MCB revised the initial version and gave important intellectual content. CA, TF and JJM revised the manuscript and gave important advice on the interpretation of the results. BH performed the statistical analysis. All authors contributed important intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study adheres to the declaration of Helsinki. Approval of the local ethics committee of the Technical University of Munich School of Medicine (41/21 S), data protection officer, hospital board and staff counsel were obtained. Every participant gave informed consent by clicking a checkbox after the information on the study and data protection prior to the survey.

Data Availability Statement

The datasets for this manuscript are not publicly available because written informed consent excluded data sharing as advised by the local data protection officer in accordance with the German data protection law.

Code availability

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

References

1. Randolph HE, Barreiro LB. Herd Immunity: Understanding COVID-19. *Immunity*. 2020;52(5):737-41. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2020.04.012
2. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(6):669-77. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7
3. Sivan M, Taylor S. NICE guideline on long covid. *BMJ*. 2020;371:m4938. doi:10.1136/bmj.m4938
4. Polyakova M, Kocks G, Udalova V, Finkelstein A. Initial economic damage from the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States is more widespread across ages and geographies than initial mortality impacts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117(45):27934-9. doi:10.1073/pnas.2014279117
5. Friedrich MJ. WHO's Top Health Threats for 2019. *JAMA*. 2019;321(11):1041. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.1934
6. Jarrett C, Wilson R, O'Leary M, Eckersberger E, Larson HJ, Hesitancy SWGoV. Strategies for addressing vaccine hesitancy - A systematic review. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(34):4180-90. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.04.040
7. Wiysonge CS, Ndwandwe D, Ryan J, et al. Vaccine hesitancy in the era of COVID-19: could lessons from the past help in divining the future? *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2021;1-3. doi:10.1080/21645515.2021.1893062
8. Squeri R, Di Pietro A, La Fauci V, Genovese C. Healthcare workers' vaccination at European and Italian level: a narrative review. *Acta Biomed*. 2019;90(9-S):45-53. doi:10.23750/abm.v90i9-S.8703
9. Betsch C. COSMO COVID-19 Snapshot monitoring Zusammenfassung und Empfehlungen Welle 35. 2021.
10. Wang K, Wong ELY, Ho KF, et al. Intention of nurses to accept coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination and change of intention to accept seasonal influenza vaccination during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(45):7049-56.
11. Kwok KO, Li K-K, Wei WI, Tang A, Wong SYS, Lee SS. Influenza vaccine uptake, COVID-19 vaccination intention and vaccine hesitancy among nurses: A survey. *International journal of nursing studies*. 2021;114:103854.
12. Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. *European journal of epidemiology*. 2020;35(8):775-9. doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00671-y
13. Gagneux-Brunon A, Detoc M, Bruel S, et al. Intention to get vaccinations against COVID-19 in French healthcare workers during the first pandemic wave: a cross-sectional survey. *The Journal of hospital infection*. 2021;108:168-73. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.020
14. COSMO COVID-19 Snapshot Monitoring. Fokuserhebungen: COVID-19 Impfung - Einstellungen und Impfabsticht. 2021.
15. Karagiannidis C, Spies C, Kluge S, Marx G, Janssens U. [Willingness to vaccinate among intensive care personnel: counteracting fears]. *Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed*. 2021;116(3):216-9. doi:10.1007/s00063-021-00797-1
16. DIVI - Deutsche Interdisziplinäre Vereinigung für Intensiv- und Notfallmedizin. Mehr als 75 Prozent wollen sich gegen SARS-CoV-2 impfen lassen: Impfbereitschaft unter Ärzten und Pflegekräften gestiegen 2021.
17. Grech V, Bonnici J, Zammit D. Vaccine hesitancy in Maltese family physicians and their trainees vis-à-vis influenza and novel COVID-19 vaccination. *Early human development*. 2020;105259. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105259
18. American Nurses Foundation. December Pulse on the Nation's Nurses COVID-19 Survey Series: COVID-19 Vaccine. 2020.
19. ReviveHealth. Survey Findings Report: Physicians and Nurses on the COVID-19 Vaccine: Implications for Hospital Communicators. 2020.
20. Di Gennaro F, Murri R, Segala FV, et al. Attitudes towards Anti-SARS-CoV2 Vaccination among Healthcare Workers: Results from a National Survey in Italy. *Viruses*. 2021;13(3):371.
21. Verger P, Scronias D, Dauby N, et al. Attitudes of healthcare workers towards COVID-19 vaccination: a survey in France and French-speaking parts of Belgium and Canada, 2020. *Euro*

surveillance : bulletin Européen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin. 2021;26(3). doi:10.2807/1560-7917.Es.2021.26.3.2002047

22. Papagiannis D, Rachiotis G, Malli F, et al. Acceptability of COVID-19 Vaccination among Greek Health Professionals. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(3):200.

23. Meyer MN, Gjorgjieva T, Rosica D. Healthcare worker intentions to receive a COVID-19 vaccine and reasons for hesitancy: A survey of 16,158 health system employees on the eve of vaccine distribution. *medRxiv*. 2020.

24. Schradang WA, Trent SA, Paxton JH, et al. Vaccination Rates and Acceptance of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination Among US Emergency Department Health Care Personnel. *Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2021. doi:10.1111/acem.14236

25. Sallam M. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy Worldwide: A Concise Systematic Review of Vaccine Acceptance Rates. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2021;9(2). doi:10.3390/vaccines9020160

26. Meerpohl JJ, Voigt-Radloff S, Rueschemeyer G, et al. CEOsys: creating an ecosystem for COVID-19 evidence. Collaborating in response to COVID-19: editorial and methods initiatives across Cochrane. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD2020029

27. Leiner DJ. *SoSci Survey*. 3.1.06 ed2019.

28. Lenzner T, Menold N. Question Wording (Version 2.0). 2016.

29. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res*. 2004;6(3):e34. doi:10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34

30. MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(34):4161-4.

31. Betsch C, Schmid P, Heinemeier D, Korn L, Holtmann C, Böhm R. Beyond confidence: Development of a measure assessing the 5C psychological antecedents of vaccination. *PloS one*. 2018;13(12):e0208601.

32. Larson HJ, De Figueiredo A, Xiahong Z, et al. The state of vaccine confidence 2016: global insights through a 67-country survey. *EBioMedicine*. 2016;12:295-301.

33. Lane S, MacDonald NE, Marti M, Dumolard L. Vaccine hesitancy around the globe: Analysis of three years of WHO/UNICEF Joint Reporting Form data-2015–2017. *Vaccine*. 2018;36(26):3861-7.

34. Robert-Koch-Institut. COVID-19 Impfquoten-Monitoring in Deutschland (COVIMO) –2. Report. 2021.

35. Hlatshwako TG, Shah SJ, Kosana P, et al. Online health survey research during COVID-19. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2021;3(2):e76-e7. doi:10.1016/S2589-7500(21)00002-9

36. Paterson P, Meurice F, Stanberry LR, Glismann S, Rosenthal SL, Larson HJ. Vaccine hesitancy and healthcare providers. *Vaccine*. 2016;34(52):6700-6. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.10.042

37. Boudier F, Way D, Löfstedt R, Evensen D. Transparency in Europe: a quantitative study. *Risk Analysis*. 2015;35(7):1210-29.

38. Neufeind J, Wenkel R, Boedeker B, Wicker S, Wichmann O. Monitoring influenza vaccination coverage and acceptance among health-care workers in German hospitals - results from three seasons. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2020;1-9. doi:10.1080/21645515.2020.1801072

39. To KW, Lai A, Lee KC, Koh D, Lee SS. Increasing the coverage of influenza vaccination in healthcare workers: review of challenges and solutions. *The Journal of hospital infection*. 2016;94(2):133-42. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2016.07.003

40. Betsch C, Wieler LH, Habersaat K, group C. Monitoring behavioural insights related to COVID-19. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10232):1255-6. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30729-7

41. Erber J, Kappler V, Haller B, et al. Strategies for infection control and prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG in 4,554 employees of a university hospital in Munich, Germany. *medRxiv*. 2020:2020.10.04.20206136. doi:10.1101/2020.10.04.20206136

42. Wang K, Wong EL, Ho KF, et al. Change of Willingness to Accept COVID-19 Vaccine and Reasons of Vaccine Hesitancy of Working People at Different Waves of Local Epidemic in Hong Kong, China: Repeated Cross-Sectional Surveys. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2021;9(1). doi:10.3390/vaccines9010062

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Overview on studies identifying vaccination acceptancy in medical professionals.

Publication	Country	Participants total	Subgroups	Acceptance (% of participants Apr 20 2021)	Hurdles / Barriers	Peer-reviewed	Survey conducted
Holzmann-Littig et al. (present manuscript)	Germany	4500	HCW	91.7%	development velocity, lack of trust in authorities, personal surrounding against vaccination, lack of information, generally not keeping vaccinations up to date	Preprint	Feb 21
Wang et al. (10)	Hong Kong	806	Nurses	40.3%	"suspicion on efficacy, effectiveness and safety", "believing it unnecessary", and "no time to take it"	yes	Feb / Mar 20
Kwok et al. (11)	Hong Kong	1205	Nurses	63.0%	5 C	yes	Mar / Apr 20
Dror et al. (12)	Israel	211	Nurses	61.1%	No care of COVID-19 patients	yes	Mar / Apr 20
Gagneux-Brunon (13)	France	1554	HCW	76.9%	vaccine hesitancy	yes	Mar-Jul 20
COSMO (9, 14)	Germany	127	n.a.	□ 64 %	Knowledge / information, trust	no	Dec 20
Karagiannidis et al. (15)	Germany	2305	Physicians, Nurses	64%		yes	Dec 20
DIVI/DGIIN (16)	Germany	3501	Physicians, Nurses	75%		no	Feb 21
Grech et al. (17)	Malta	123	GP's and GP trainees	61.8%	Knowledge, long-term adverse effects	yes	Sep 20
American Nurses Foundation (18)	USA	12939	Nurses	34.0%	Development to quick, not enough information, unclear clinical trials process	no	Oct 20
Revive Health (19)	USA	370	Physicians, Nurses	31.0%	Safety, efficacy, compromised development process	no	Oct / Nov 20
Di Gennaro et al (20)	Italy	1723	HCW	67%	Lack of trust in vaccine safety, little / conflicting information	yes	Oct/Nov 20
Verger et al. (21)	France, Belgium, Quebec (Canada)	2678	HCW	71.6%	Vaccine safety concerns	yes	Oct / Nov 20
Papagiannis et al. (22)	Greece	340	HCW	78.5%	fear of adverse effects, shortness of vaccine development time	yes	Dec 20
Meyer et al. (23)	Pennsylvania, USA	16158	HCW	55.0%	Unknown risks, insufficient data, adverse effects, wanting to wait to see how it goes with others	Preprint	Dec 20
Schrading et al. (24)	USA	1398	HCW	86.0%	Safety concerns	yes	Jan 21

Abbreviations: GP, general practitioner; DIVI, Deutsche Interdisziplinäre Vereinigung für Intensiv- und Notfallmedizin; DGIIN, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internistische Intensivmedizin und Notfallmedizin; HCW, health care worker; n.a., not applicable.

Table 2. Overall study population, and differences between participants undecided/dismissive or willing to receive a COVID-19 vaccination.

Item	Total (n=4500)	Undecided / dismissive (n=375)	Willing (n=4125)	P
Sex				
Female	2610 (58.0)	218 (8.4)	2392 (91.6)	0.801
Male	1879 (41.8)	153 (8.1)	1726 (91.9)	
No answer	11 (0.2)			
Age				
≤ 20 years	156 (3.5)	22 (14.1)	134 (85.9)	0.039
21-30 years	1603 (35.6)	112 (7.0)	1491 (93.0)	
31-40 years	872 (19.4)	78 (8.9)	794 (91.1)	
41-50 years	730 (16.2)	66 (9.0)	664 (91.0)	
51 – 60 years	776 (17.2)	62 (8.0)	714 (92.0)	
≥ 61 years	355 (7.9)	30 (8.5)	325 (91.5)	
No answer	8 (0.2)			
Professional groups				
Certified nurse	466 (10.4)	42 (9.0)	424 (91.0)	<0.001
Other non-physician medical staff	346 (7.7)	35 (10.1)	311 (89.9)	
Resident	323 (7.2)	21 (6.5)	302 (93.5)	
Physicians with specialist / personnel responsibility	1329 (29.5)	104 (7.8)	1225 (92.2)	
Administration / science	266 (5.9)	25 (9.4)	241 (90.6)	
Medical student	1313 (29.2)	88 (6.7)	1225 (93.3)	
Dentist / dentistry student / dental assisting personnel	288 (6.4)	47 (16.3)	241 (83.7)	
Other	148 (3.3)	5 (3.4)	143 (96.6)	
No answer	21 (0.5)			
Work setting				
Maximum-care hospital / university hospital	1838 (40.8)	102 (5.5)	1736 (94.5)	<0.001
Hospitals of other care levels	792 (17.6)	47 (5.9)	745 (94.1)	
Medical practice / medical care center	771 (17.1)	107 (13.9)	664 (86.1)	
Rescue service	128 (2.8)	5 (3.9)	123 (96.1)	
Nursing home / retirement home	26 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	26 (100.0)	
Outpatient nursing service	34 (0.8)	10 (29.4)	24 (70.6)	
Other	526 (11.7)	47 (8.9)	479 (91.1)	
No answer	385 (8.6)			
Hospital, intensive care unit yes	1105 (24.6)	52 (4.7)	1053 (95.3)	0.070
Hospital, intensive care unit no	1525 (33.9)	97 (6.4)	1428 (93.6)	
Region				
Northern Germany	1266 (28.1)	134 (10.6)	1132 (89.4)	0.001
Southern Germany	1582 (35.2)	107 (6.8)	1475 (93.2)	
Eastern Germany	380 (8.2)	36 (9.5)	344 (90.5)	
Western Germany	1168 (26.0)	85 (7.3)	1083 (92.7)	
No answer	104 (2.3)			
COVID-19 patient care				
Never	1729 (38.4)	170 (9.8)	1559 (90.2)	<0.001
< 50% of working days	1815 (40.3)	112 (6.2)	1703 (93.8)	
> 50% of working days (but not every working day)	307 (6.8)	20 (6.5)	287 (93.5)	
On each working day	171 (3.8)	10 (5.8)	161 (94.2)	
No answer	478 (10.6)			
Results presented as numbers (percentage), <i>P</i> -values apply for overall group comparisons (Chi square test).				

Figure 1. Flow-chart of participants.

Figure 2. COVID-19 vaccination acceptance in German regions.

Regions defined according to the region definitions in the COVIMO study.(34)

Figure 3. Vaccination acceptance in professional groups and work setting. The overall proportion of willing to vaccinate was 91.7%.

Abbreviations:

prop.,

proportion.

Figure 4. Basic demographics and vaccination acceptance.

Abbreviations: Ref, reference; d., day; ICU, intensive care unit; COVID, coronavirus disease.

Figure 5. Attitudes and experiences with vaccinations.

Attitudes towards authorities, and medical institutions (A), prior vaccination experience (B), towards COVID-19-specific vaccines and pharmaceutical industry (C), and generally towards vaccines and pharmaceutical industry (D).

Abbreviations: Ref, reference; d., day; ICU, intensive care unit; incl., including; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; Pharmac. ind., pharmaceutical industry

Figure 6. Personal environment and attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination.

Abbreviations: Vacc., vaccination; GP, general practitioner; Pers, persons; Ref, Reference.

Figure 7. Perceived risk of a severe COVID-19 disease, history of infections in the personal environment and knowledge on COVID-19 vaccines.

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; environm., environment; Y, Yes; N, No. Risk of severe disease for oneself (totally agree to totally disagree) was dichotomized for visualization. Category "I do not know" was not visualized. All data are given in Supplemental Table 3.

Figure 8. Source of information and media usage

Supplemental Material

Supplemental Table 1: Appliance of the CHERRIES checklist, table adapted from the Cherries checklist (29)

Item Category	Reported
Design	
Describe survey design	√
IRB (Institutional Review Board) approval and informed consent process	
IRB approval	√
Informed consent	√
Data protection	√
Development and pre-testing	
Development and testing	√
Recruitment process and description of the sample having access to the questionnaire	
Open survey versus closed survey	√
Contact mode	√
Advertising the survey	√
Survey administration	
Web/E-mail	√
Context	√
Mandatory/voluntary	√
Incentives	√
Time/Date	√
Randomization of items or questionnaires	√
Adaptive questioning	√
Number of Items	√
Number of screens (pages)	√
Completeness check	√
Review step	√
Response rates	
Unique site visitor	n/a
View rate (Ratio of unique survey visitors/unique site visitors)	n/a
Participation rate (Ratio of unique visitors who agreed to participate/unique first survey)	n/a
Completion rate (Ratio of users who finished the survey/users who agreed to participate)	√
Preventing multiple entries from the same individual	
Cookies used	√
IP check	√

Item Category	Reported
Log file analysis	✓
Registration	✓
Analysis	
Handling of incomplete questionnaires	✓
Questionnaires submitted with an atypical timestamp	✓
Statistical correction	✓

Supplemental Table 2. Original questions of the survey, translated from German.

Section 1: Basic data / demographics

Sex

female / male / no answer

Age

≤20 years / 21-30 years / 31 - 40 years / 41 - 50 years / 51 - 60 years / ≥61 years / no answer

Profession

Certified nurse / Non-examined nurse / Medical specialist (Medical technical assistants, surgical assistant etc.) / Resident physician / specialized physician / Consultant physician / Chief physician / administrative staff / Non-physician staff in the rescue service / Trainee / student of human medicine / Student of dentistry / other – Free text / no answer

Work Setting

Maximum-care hospital; university hospital / Hospitals of other care levels

Medical practice; Medical care center / Rescue service / nursing home; retirement home / Outpatient nursing service / other – free text / no answer

You indicated that you work in an inpatient setting. Do you work in an intensive care unit in this setting? If work setting 1 or 2 have been selected.

yes / no

I work with COVID-19 positive patients

Never / under 50% of my working days / over 50% of my working days (but not every working day) / on each of my working days / no answer

I work in the following federal district:

Bavaria / Baden-Wuerttemberg / Berlin / Brandenburg / Bremen / Hamburg / Hesse / Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania / Lower Saxony / North Rhine-Westphalia / Rhineland-Palatinate / Saarland / Saxony / Saxony-Anhalt / Schleswig-Holstein / Thuringia / no answer

Section 2: Vaccinations in general

Please rate the following statements about vaccinations in general:

I make sure to keep my vaccinations up to date.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I receive flu (influenza) vaccinations regularly.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I feel well informed about vaccines in general.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I am generally afraid of adverse effects of vaccinations.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I think vaccinations are generally effective.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I trust vaccines in general.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I believe that the pharmaceutical industry puts profit motives over the safety of vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I trust the regulatory authorities of vaccines in Germany in general.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I already had a serious vaccine adverse effect that required medical treatment.

yes / no / no answer, if yes -> free text

Section 3 Vaccinations against COVID-19

I have already received one or two vaccinations against COVID-19 or already have a vaccination appointment.

yes / no / no answer

In my personal environment (family, friends, colleagues) there are people who have already received one (or two) vaccinations.

yes / no / I do not know / no answer

I want to get vaccinated against COVID-19. (Questions opens only if participant indicates not to have been vaccinated yet / if participant clicked "no answer".)

yes / no / undecided / no answer

I do not want to get vaccinated until I see that a lot of people who have been vaccinated have tolerated it. (Question only opens, if "undecided" or "no answer" have been indicated.)

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

In my personal environment (family, friends, colleagues) there were people suffering from COVID-19.

yes / no / I do not know / no answer

You have indicated that there were COVID-19 patients in your personal environment. Please answer the following questions: (Question only opens, if "yes" has been indicated.)

One / more persons of the above have been hospitalized in connection with COVID-19 disease

yes / no / I do not know / no answer

One / more persons of the above have been in an intensive care unit in connection with the COVID-19 disease

yes / no / I do not know / no answer

One / more persons of the above have died in connection with COVID-19 disease

yes / no / I do not know / no answer

In my personal environment (family, friends, colleagues) there are people for whom a COVID-19 disease would probably be severe.

yes / no / I do not know / no answer

Please answer the following questions on COVID-19 / vaccinations against COVID-19:

In case of COVID-19 disease, I fear a severe course of the disease for myself

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I am afraid of getting infected with COVID-19 in my professional environment.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I am afraid of becoming infected with COVID-19 in a private environment.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I feel well informed about COVID-19 vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I am afraid of short-term adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I am afraid of long-term adverse effects from COVID-19 vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I believe the COVID-19 vaccines are effective.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I am concerned about what I consider novel mechanisms of action in COVID-19 vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

The development and approval of COVID-19 vaccines are moving too fast for me.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I generally trust the COVID-19 vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I believe the pharmaceutical industry cares more about its profit motives than safety of COVID-19 vaccines.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I trust the European regulatory authorities of COVID-19 vaccines used in Germany.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I generally trust in the German health care politics.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I generally trust in the medical opinion of physicians.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

I favor an introduction of compulsory vaccination for health care professionals.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

In case of approval by the European and German authorities, I would also be vaccinated with a vaccine not developed in America or Europe.

I do not agree at all / I rather do not agree / I neither agree nor disagree / I rather agree / I fully agree / no answer

If you are concerned about short-term adverse effects, what are they?

Free text

If you are concerned about long-term adverse effects, what are they?

Free text

Knowledge

Which of the statements about COVID-19 vaccines do you think are correct?

m-RNA vaccines stimulate the formation of protein structures that mimic parts of the viral envelope.

true / false / I do not know / no answer

m-RNA vaccines alter the genetic material

true / false / I do not know / no answer

COVID-19 vaccination can cause me to shed infectious viruses
true / false / I do not know / no answer
m-RNA is rapidly degraded in the body
true / false / I do not know / no answer
My primary care physician has given me ...
advise to get vaccinated against COVID-19 / advise not to get vaccinated COVID-19 / We have not discussed the vaccination so far. / We have addressed the vaccination; my primary care physician has not made a recommendation for or against the vaccination. / no answer
The majority of my colleagues have / would like to
get vaccinated against COVID-19 / not get vaccinated against COVID-19 / not yet decided whether to get vaccinated / we have not discussed vaccination so far / answer
The majority of my family / friends have or would like to
get vaccinated against COVID-19 / not get vaccinated against COVID-19 / not yet decided whether to get vaccinated / we have not discussed vaccination so far / no answer
I have given the majority of my patients (without contraindication) ...
advise to get vaccinated against COVID-19 / advise not to get vaccinated COVID-19 / We have not discussed the vaccination so far / We have addressed the vaccination; I have not made a recommendation for or against the vaccination / no answer
I have given my family / friends
advise to get vaccinated against COVID-19 / advise not to get vaccinated COVID-19 / We have not discussed the vaccination so far / We have addressed the vaccination; I have not made a recommendation for or against the vaccination / no answer
Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, I, or my family, have suffered economic losses.
yes / no / I do not know / no answer
From what media do you get your information on COVID-19 vaccination?
Daily newspapers, weekly magazines (print) / Daily newspapers, weekly magazines (online) / Scientific journals (print) / Scientific journals (online) / Television / radio / social networks (e.g., Facebook) / messenger services (e.g., Twitter, Telegram) / Online video platforms (e.g., YouTube) / Websites / information portals of government health authorities (e.g., RKI, WHO) / Other -> Free text

Supplemental Table 3: overall results.

	Want to get vaccinated or already vaccinated (N=4125)	Undecided (N=167)	Do not want to get vaccinated (N=208)	Total (N=4500)
Sex				
Female	2392 (91.6%)	115 (4.4%)	103 (3.9%)	2610 (100.0%)
Male	1726 (91.9%)	51 (2.7%)	102 (5.4%)	1879 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				11
Age				
≤20 years	134 (85.9%)	8 (5.1%)	14 (9.0%)	156 (100.0%)
21-30 years	1491 (93.0%)	51 (3.2%)	61 (3.8%)	1603 (100.0%)
31-40 years	794 (91.1%)	39 (4.5%)	39 (4.5%)	872 (100.0%)
41-50 years	664 (91.0%)	30 (4.1%)	36 (4.9%)	730 (100.0%)
51-60 years	714 (92.0%)	29 (3.7%)	33 (4.3%)	776 (100.0%)
≥61 years	325 (91.5%)	8 (2.3%)	22 (6.2%)	355 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				8

Profession				
Certified nurse	424 (91.0%)	18 (3.9%)	24 (5.2%)	466 (100.0%)
Other non-physician medical staff	311 (89.9%)	17 (4.9%)	18 (5.2%)	346 (100.0%)
Resident	302 (93.5%)	9 (2.8%)	12 (3.7%)	323 (100.0%)
Physician with specialist / personnel responsibility	1225 (92.2%)	42 (3.2%)	62 (4.7%)	1329 (100.0%)
Administration / science	241 (90.6%)	16 (6.0%)	9 (3.4%)	266 (100.0%)
Medical student	1225 (93.3%)	37 (2.8%)	51 (3.9%)	
Dentist / dentistry student / dental assisting personnel	241 (83.7%)	21 (7.3%)	26 (9.0%)	288 (100.0%)
Other non-physician medical staff	143 (96.6%)	3 (2.0%)	2 (1.4%)	148 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				21
Work setting				
Maximum-care hospital / university hospital	1807 (94.4%)	55 (2.9%)	53 (2.8%)	1915 (100.0%)
Hospital of other care levels	758 (93.8%)	23 (2.8%)	27 (3.3%)	808 (100.0%)
Medical practice / medical care center	781 (86.0%)	49 (5.4%)	78 (8.6%)	908 (100.0%)
Rescue service	126 (96.2%)	3 (2.3%)	2 (1.5%)	131 (100.0%)
Nursing home / retirement home	27 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	27 (100.0%)
Outpatient nursing service	35 (77.8%)	3 (6.7%)	7 (15.6%)	
Other non-physician medical staff	263 (93.6%)	10 (3.6%)	8 (2.8%)	281 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				385
You indicated that you work in an inpatient setting. Do you work in an intensive care unit in this setting?				
Yes	1053 (95.3%)	20 (1.8%)	32 (2.9%)	1105 (100.0%)
No	1428 (93.6%)	55 (3.6%)	42 (2.8%)	1525 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				1870
I work with COVID-19 positive patients				
Never	1559 (90.2%)	80 (4.6%)	90 (5.2%)	1729 (100.0%)
< 50% of working days	1703 (93.8%)	46 (2.5%)	66 (3.6%)	1815 (100.0%)
> 50% of working days (but not every working day)	287 (93.5%)	7 (2.3%)	13 (4.2%)	307 (100.0%)
On each working day	161 (94.2%)	2 (1.2%)	8 (4.7%)	171 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				478
Region				
Southern Germany	1475 (93.2%)	52 (3.3%)	55 (3.5%)	1582 (100.0%)
Eastern Germany	344 (90.5%)	15 (3.9%)	21 (5.5%)	380 (100.0%)
Northern Germany	1132 (89.4%)	57 (4.5%)	77 (6.1%)	1266 (100.0%)
Western Germany	1083 (92.7%)	37 (3.2%)	48 (4.1%)	1168 (100.0%)

Missing / no answer				104
I make sure to keep my vaccinations up to date.				
Totally disagree	14 (29.2%)	4 (8.3%)	30 (62.5%)	48 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	113 (73.9%)	8 (5.2%)	32 (20.9%)	153 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	107 (81.1%)	11 (8.3%)	14 (10.6%)	132 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1043 (89.4%)	69 (5.9%)	55 (4.7%)	1167 (100.0%)
Totally agree	2838 (95.1%)	73 (2.4%)	72 (2.4%)	2983 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				17
I receive flu (influenza) vaccinations regularly				
Totally disagree	722 (75.8%)	85 (8.9%)	146 (15.3%)	953 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	466 (91.4%)	31 (6.1%)	13 (2.5%)	510 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	271 (92.5%)	9 (3.1%)	13 (4.4%)	293 (100.0%)
Rather agree	758 (95.9%)	19 (2.4%)	13 (1.6%)	790 (100.0%)
Totally agree	1878 (97.7%)	23 (1.2%)	22 (1.1%)	1923 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				31
I feel well informed about vaccines in general.				
Totally disagree	30 (52.6%)	8 (14.0%)	19 (33.3%)	57 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	150 (72.1%)	24 (11.5%)	34 (16.3%)	208 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	331 (82.3%)	43 (10.7%)	28 (7.0%)	402 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1576 (92.9%)	64 (3.8%)	56 (3.3%)	1696 (100.0%)
Totally agree	2029 (95.3%)	28 (1.3%)	71 (3.3%)	2128 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				9
I am generally afraid of adverse effects of vaccinations.				
Totally disagree	1851 (96.3%)	28 (1.5%)	43 (2.2%)	1922 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1678 (94.1%)	56 (3.1%)	49 (2.7%)	1783 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	254 (79.9%)	29 (9.1%)	35 (11.0%)	318 (100.0%)
Rather agree	241 (76.5%)	39 (12.4%)	35 (11.1%)	315 (100.0%)
Totally agree	95 (61.7%)	15 (9.7%)	44 (28.6%)	154 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				8
I think vaccinations are generally effective.				
Totally disagree	30 (66.7%)	1 (2.2%)	14 (31.1%)	45 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	13 (28.3%)	5 (10.9%)	28 (60.9%)	46 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	29 (39.2%)	8 (10.8%)	37 (50.0%)	74 (100.0%)
Rather agree	456 (79.6%)	66 (11.5%)	51 (8.9%)	573 (100.0%)
Totally agree	3594 (95.7%)	87 (2.3%)	76 (2.0%)	3757 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				5
I trust vaccines in general.				
Totally disagree	18 (31.0%)	0 (0.0%)	40 (69.0%)	58 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	30 (37.0%)	15 (18.5%)	36 (44.4%)	81 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	97 (62.2%)	27 (17.3%)	32 (20.5%)	156 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1038 (88.2%)	80 (6.8%)	59 (5.0%)	1177 (100.0%)
Totally agree	2937 (97.2%)	45 (1.5%)	40 (1.3%)	3022 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				6
I believe that the pharmaceutical industry puts profit motives over safety of vaccines.				
Totally disagree	914 (96.9%)	12 (1.3%)	17 (1.8%)	943 (100.0%)

Rather disagree	1721 (97.2%)	33 (1.9%)	16 (0.9%)	1770 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	976 (91.2%)	53 (5.0%)	41 (3.8%)	1070 (100.0%)
Rather agree	369 (79.2%)	44 (9.4%)	53 (11.4%)	466 (100.0%)
Totally agree	97 (49.2%)	21 (10.7%)	79 (40.1%)	197 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				54

I trust the regulatory authorities of vaccines in Germany in general.

Totally disagree	34 (43.6%)	5 (6.4%)	39 (50.0%)	78 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	49 (40.8%)	12 (10.0%)	59 (49.2%)	120 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	161 (64.9%)	41 (16.5%)	46 (18.5%)	248 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1513 (91.3%)	94 (5.7%)	51 (3.1%)	1658 (100.0%)
Totally agree	2356 (98.9%)	15 (0.6%)	12 (0.5%)	2383 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				13

I already had a serious vaccine adverse effect that required medical treatment.

Yes	106 (77.9%)	11 (8.1%)	19 (14.0%)	136 (100.0%)
No	3998 (92.5%)	151 (3.5%)	174 (4.0%)	4323 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				41

In my personal environment (family, friends, colleagues) there are people who have already received one (or two) vaccinations.

Yes	3278 (93.8%)	104 (3.0%)	113 (3.2%)	3495 (100.0%)
No	801 (84.5%)	58 (6.1%)	89 (9.4%)	948 (100.0%)
I do not know	45 (80.4%)	5 (8.9%)	6 (10.7%)	56 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				1

In my personal environment (family, friends, colleagues) there were people suffering from COVID-19.

Yes	2929 (92.1%)	119 (3.7%)	132 (4.2%)	3180 (100.0%)
No	1117 (90.9%)	43 (3.5%)	69 (5.6%)	1229 (100.0%)
I do not know	77 (86.5%)	5 (5.6%)	7 (7.9%)	89 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				2

One / more persons of the above have been hospitalized in connection with COVID-19 disease

Yes	1029 (92.8%)	39 (3.5%)	41 (3.7%)	1109 (100.0%)
No	2933 (91.3%)	120 (3.7%)	159 (5.0%)	3212 (100.0%)
I do not know	78 (95.1%)	3 (3.7%)	1 (1.2%)	82 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				97

One / more persons of the above have been in an intensive care unit in connection with the COVID-19 disease

Yes	566 (93.2%)	21 (3.5%)	20 (3.3%)	607 (100.0%)
No	3386 (91.5%)	136 (3.7%)	179 (4.8%)	3701 (100.0%)
I do not know	88 (93.6%)	4 (4.3%)	2 (2.1%)	94 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				98

One / more persons of the above have died in connection with COVID-19 disease

Yes	456 (93.8%)	14 (2.9%)	16 (3.3%)	486 (100.0%)
No	3550 (91.5%)	145 (3.7%)	184 (4.7%)	3879 (100.0%)
I do not know	32 (97.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.0%)	33 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				102

In my personal environment (family, friends, colleagues) there are people for whom a COVID-19 disease would probably be severe

Yes	3056 (95.6%)	87 (2.7%)	54 (1.7%)	3197 (100.0%)
No	538 (82.1%)	31 (4.7%)	86 (13.1%)	655 (100.0%)
I do not know	515 (82.1%)	49 (7.8%)	63 (10.0%)	627 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				21

In case of COVID-19 disease, I fear a severe course of the disease for myself

Totally disagree	444 (74.4%)	30 (5.0%)	123 (20.6%)	597 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1922 (93.3%)	86 (4.2%)	53 (2.6%)	2061 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	832 (94.0%)	28 (3.2%)	25 (2.8%)	885 (100.0%)
Rather agree	651 (96.9%)	17 (2.5%)	4 (0.6%)	672 (100.0%)
Totally agree	260 (96.7%)	6 (2.2%)	3 (1.1%)	269 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				16

I am afraid of getting infected with COVID-19 in my professional environment.

Totally disagree	203 (60.8%)	23 (6.9%)	108 (32.3%)	334 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1000 (89.5%)	62 (5.6%)	55 (4.9%)	1117 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	654 (93.2%)	27 (3.8%)	21 (3.0%)	702 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1445 (96.2%)	41 (2.7%)	16 (1.1%)	1502 (100.0%)
Totally agree	784 (97.4%)	14 (1.7%)	7 (0.9%)	805 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				40

I am afraid of becoming infected with COVID-19 in a private environment.

Totally disagree	334 (69.0%)	27 (5.6%)	123 (25.4%)	484 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1594 (91.6%)	88 (5.1%)	59 (3.4%)	1741 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	832 (94.9%)	29 (3.3%)	16 (1.8%)	877 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1093 (97.8%)	19 (1.7%)	6 (0.5%)	1118 (100.0%)
Totally agree	262 (97.4%)	4 (1.5%)	3 (1.1%)	269 (100.0%)
N-Miss				11

I feel well informed about COVID-19 vaccines.

Totally disagree	37 (32.5%)	14 (12.3%)	63 (55.3%)	114 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	187 (65.8%)	61 (21.5%)	36 (12.7%)	284 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	380 (85.4%)	36 (8.1%)	29 (6.5%)	445 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1770 (95.2%)	43 (2.3%)	46 (2.5%)	1859 (100.0%)
Totally agree	1744 (97.4%)	13 (0.7%)	33 (1.8%)	1790 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				8

I am afraid of short-term adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines.

Totally disagree	1558 (95.9%)	29 (1.8%)	37 (2.3%)	1624 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1659 (94.6%)	51 (2.9%)	43 (2.5%)	1753 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	461 (89.7%)	23 (4.5%)	30 (5.8%)	514 (100.0%)
Rather agree	353 (80.0%)	48 (10.9%)	40 (9.1%)	441 (100.0%)
Totally agree	84 (54.2%)	16 (10.3%)	55 (35.5%)	155 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				13

I am afraid of long-term adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines.

Totally disagree	1451 (99.0%)	4 (0.3%)	11 (0.8%)	1466 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1584 (98.8%)	16 (1.0%)	4 (0.2%)	1604 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	519 (93.9%)	18 (3.3%)	16 (2.9%)	553 (100.0%)
Rather agree	434 (78.8%)	66 (12.0%)	51 (9.3%)	551 (100.0%)

Totally agree	127 (40.7%)	62 (19.9%)	123 (39.4%)	312 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				14

I believe the COVID-19 vaccines are effective.

Totally disagree	22 (22.2%)	4 (4.0%)	73 (73.7%)	99 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	29 (28.4%)	16 (15.7%)	57 (55.9%)	102 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	180 (58.1%)	82 (26.5%)	48 (15.5%)	310 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1656 (96.2%)	47 (2.7%)	18 (1.0%)	1721 (100.0%)
Totally agree	2216 (98.9%)	16 (0.7%)	8 (0.4%)	2240 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				28

I am concerned about what I consider novel mechanisms of action in COVID-19 vaccines.

Totally disagree	1782 (98.8%)	7 (0.4%)	15 (0.8%)	1804 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1540 (97.5%)	29 (1.8%)	10 (0.6%)	1579 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	437 (86.0%)	42 (8.3%)	29 (5.7%)	508 (100.0%)
Rather agree	269 (71.0%)	61 (16.1%)	49 (12.9%)	379 (100.0%)
Totally agree	78 (38.0%)	25 (12.2%)	102 (49.8%)	205 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				25

The development and approval of COVID-19 vaccines are moving too fast for me.

Totally disagree	1792 (99.4%)	5 (0.3%)	6 (0.3%)	1803 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1403 (98.6%)	14 (1.0%)	6 (0.4%)	1423 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	519 (92.2%)	35 (6.2%)	9 (1.6%)	563 (100.0%)
Rather agree	288 (74.8%)	64 (16.6%)	33 (8.6%)	385 (100.0%)
Totally agree	108 (34.8%)	49 (15.8%)	153 (49.4%)	310 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				16

I generally trust the COVID-19 vaccines.

Totally disagree	46 (27.7%)	11 (6.6%)	109 (65.7%)	166 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	114 (49.1%)	54 (23.3%)	64 (27.6%)	232 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	358 (79.7%)	70 (15.6%)	21 (4.7%)	449 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1830 (98.3%)	24 (1.3%)	7 (0.4%)	1861 (100.0%)
Totally agree	1767 (99.4%)	6 (0.3%)	5 (0.3%)	1778 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				14

I believe that the pharmaceutical industry cares more about its profit motives than safety of COVID-19 vaccines

Totally disagree	1020 (98.3%)	8 (0.8%)	10 (1.0%)	1038 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	1758 (96.8%)	38 (2.1%)	20 (1.1%)	1816 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	911 (92.1%)	50 (5.1%)	28 (2.8%)	989 (100.0%)
Rather agree	320 (78.6%)	41 (10.1%)	46 (11.3%)	407 (100.0%)
Totally agree	75 (37.1%)	27 (13.4%)	100 (49.5%)	202 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				48

I trust the European regulatory authorities of COVID-19 vaccines used in Germany.

Totally disagree	38 (30.9%)	6 (4.9%)	79 (64.2%)	123 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	103 (51.8%)	35 (17.6%)	61 (30.7%)	199 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	299 (74.2%)	65 (16.1%)	39 (9.7%)	403 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1806 (96.1%)	51 (2.7%)	22 (1.2%)	1879 (100.0%)
Totally agree	1872 (99.4%)	8 (0.4%)	4 (0.2%)	1884 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				12

I generally trust in German health care politics				
Totally disagree	130 (57.3%)	19 (8.4%)	78 (34.4%)	227 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	426 (79.6%)	49 (9.2%)	60 (11.2%)	535 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	888 (91.5%)	44 (4.5%)	38 (3.9%)	970 (100.0%)
Rather agree	1835 (96.3%)	45 (2.4%)	26 (1.4%)	1906 (100.0%)
Totally agree	826 (98.3%)	8 (1.0%)	6 (0.7%)	840 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				22
I generally trust in the medical opinion of physicians				
Totally disagree	15 (46.9%)	1 (3.1%)	16 (50.0%)	32 (100.0%)
Rather disagree	98 (74.2%)	12 (9.1%)	22 (16.7%)	132 (100.0%)
Neither agree nor disagree	480 (83.0%)	38 (6.6%)	60 (10.4%)	578 (100.0%)
Rather agree	2078 (92.4%)	88 (3.9%)	83 (3.7%)	2249 (100.0%)
Totally agree	1438 (96.4%)	27 (1.8%)	26 (1.7%)	1491 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				18
Number of correct answers in knowledge test				
0	63 (67.0%)	12 (12.8%)	19 (20.2%)	94 (100.0%)
1	117 (73.6%)	18 (11.3%)	24 (15.1%)	159 (100.0%)
2	485 (86.5%)	29 (5.2%)	47 (8.4%)	561 (100.0%)
3	1100 (92.2%)	51 (4.3%)	42 (3.5%)	1193 (100.0%)
4	2160 (97.1%)	33 (1.5%)	31 (1.4%)	2224 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				269
My primary care physician has given me ...				
advise to get vaccinated against COVID-19	500 (96.5%)	8 (1.5%)	10 (1.9%)	518 (100.0%)
advise not to get vaccinated against COVID-19	11 (26.8%)	7 (17.1%)	23 (56.1%)	41 (100.0%)
We have not discussed the vaccination so far	2019 (90.9%)	98 (4.4%)	103 (4.6%)	2220 (100.0%)
We have addressed the vaccination, my primary care physician has not made a recommendation for or against the vaccination	54 (72.0%)	12 (16.0%)	9 (12.0%)	75 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				1646
The majority of my colleagues have / would like to ...				
get vaccinated against COVID-19	3486 (96.8%)	62 (1.7%)	54 (1.5%)	3602 (100.0%)
not get vaccinated against COVID-19	67 (38.7%)	15 (8.7%)	91 (52.6%)	173 (100.0%)
not yet decided whether to get vaccinated	293 (74.6%)	68 (17.3%)	32 (8.1%)	393 (100.0%)
we have not discussed vaccination so far	125 (81.2%)	13 (8.4%)	16 (10.4%)	154 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				178

The majority of my family / friends have or would like to ...				
get vaccinated against COVID-19	3623 (98.4%)	40 (1.1%)	19 (0.5%)	3682 (100.0%)
not get vaccinated against COVID-19	68 (27.1%)	31 (12.4%)	152 (60.6%)	251 (100.0%)
not yet decided whether to get vaccinated	349 (75.1%)	88 (18.9%)	28 (6.0%)	465 (100.0%)
we have not discussed vaccination so far	57 (85.1%)	5 (7.5%)	5 (7.5%)	67 (100.0%)
Missing / no answer				35
From what media do you get your information in COVID-19 vaccination?				
Daily newspapers, weekly magazines (print)				
Not selected	2984 (91.2%)	121 (3.7%)	168 (5.1%)	3273 (100.0%)
Selected	1141 (93.0%)	46 (3.7%)	40 (3.3%)	1227 (100.0%)
Daily newspapers, weekly magazines (online)				
Not selected	1731 (88.9%)	89 (4.6%)	127 (6.5%)	1947 (100.0%)
Selected	2394 (93.8%)	78 (3.1%)	81 (3.2%)	2553 (100.0%)
Scientific journals (print)				
Not selected	3357 (91.8%)	138 (3.8%)	161 (4.4%)	3656 (100.0%)
Selected	768 (91.0%)	29 (3.4%)	47 (5.6%)	844 (100.0%)
Scientific journals (online)				
Not selected	1664 (91.3%)	78 (4.3%)	81 (4.4%)	1823 (100.0%)
Selected	2461 (91.9%)	89 (3.3%)	127 (4.7%)	2677 (100.0%)
Television / radio				
Not selected	1618 (89.0%)	69 (3.8%)	130 (7.2%)	1817 (100.0%)
Selected	2507 (93.4%)	98 (3.7%)	78 (2.9%)	2683 (100.0%)
Social networks (e.g. Facebook)				
Not selected	3511 (92.0%)	135 (3.5%)	170 (4.5%)	3816 (100.0%)
Selected	614 (89.8%)	32 (4.7%)	38 (5.6%)	684 (100.0%)
messenger services (e.g., Twitter, Telegram)				
Not selected	3943 (92.4%)	155 (3.6%)	169 (4.0%)	4267 (100.0%)
Selected	182 (78.1%)	12 (5.2%)	39 (16.7%)	233 (100.0%)
Online video platforms (e.g. YouTube)				
Not selected	3624 (92.7%)	142 (3.6%)	144 (3.7%)	3910 (100.0%)
Selected	501 (84.9%)	25 (4.2%)	64 (10.8%)	590 (100.0%)
Websites / information portals of government health authorities (e.g., RKI, WHO)				
Not selected	989 (87.9%)	56 (5.0%)	80 (7.1%)	1125 (100.0%)
Selected	3136 (92.9%)	111 (3.3%)	128 (3.8%)	3375 (100.0%)
Other				
Not selected	3732 (91.7%)	158 (3.9%)	179 (4.4%)	4069 (100.0%)
Selected	393 (91.2%)	9 (2.1%)	29 (6.7%)	431 (100.0%)

Supplemental Table 4: Attitudes and experiences with vaccinations, Odds ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Large values indicate higher odds for willingness to vaccinate. If not stated otherwise “neither agree nor disagree” was used as reference.

Predictor	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	
		Lower	Upper
I trust the regulatory authorities of vaccines in Germany in general.			
Totally disagree	0.42	0.25	0.70
Rather disagree	0.37	0.24	0.58
Rather agree	5.64	4.13	7.70
Totally agree	47.15	29.76	74.72
I trust the European regulatory authorities of COVID-19 vaccines used in Germany.			
Totally disagree	0.16	0.10	0.24
Rather disagree	0.37	0.26	0.53
Rather agree	8.61	6.23	11.89
Totally agree	54.26	29.49	99.85
I basically trust in the German health care politics.			
Totally disagree	0.12	0.09	0.18
Rather disagree	0.36	0.26	0.49
Rather agree	2.39	1.72	3.31
Totally agree	5.45	3.07	9.68
I generally trust in the medical opinion of physicians.			
Totally disagree	0.18	0.09	0.37
Rather disagree	0.59	0.38	0.92
Rather agree	2.48	1.90	3.24
Totally agree	5.54	3.90	7.86
I already had a serious vaccine adverse effect that required medical treatment. (Ref: No)			
Yes	0.29	0.19	0.44
I make sure to keep my vaccinations up to date.			
Totally disagree	0.10	0.05	0.21
Rather disagree	0.66	0.38	1.16
Rather agree	1.97	1.22	3.16
Totally agree	4.57	2.87	7.29
I receive flu (influenza) vaccinations regularly.			
Totally disagree	0.25	0.16	0.40
Rather disagree	0.86	0.50	1.47
Rather agree	1.92	1.10	3.37
Totally agree	3.39	2.00	5.73
I feel well informed about COVID-19 vaccines.			
Totally disagree	0.08	0.05	0.13
Rather disagree	0.33	0.23	0.47
Rather agree	3.40	2.43	4.77
Totally agree	6.49	4.38	9.61
I believe the COVID-19 vaccines are effective.			
Totally disagree	0.21	0.12	0.35
Rather disagree	0.29	0.18	0.47
Rather agree	18.40	13.16	25.73
Totally agree	66.69	42.05	105.76
I generally trust COVID-19 vaccines.			
Totally disagree	0.10	0.06	0.15
Rather disagree	0.25	0.17	0.35
Rather agree	15.01	9.83	22.91
Totally agree	40.83	21.62	77.12
I believe the pharmaceutical industry cares more about its profit motives than safety of COVID-19 vaccines.			
Totally disagree	4.85	2.88	8.16
Rather disagree	2.60	1.83	3.68

Rather agree	0.31	0.23	0.44
Totally agree	0.05	0.04	0.07
I am afraid of short-term adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines.			
Totally disagree	2.71	1.86	3.95
Rather disagree	2.03	1.43	2.89
Rather agree	0.46	0.32	0.67
Totally agree	0.14	0.09	0.21
I am afraid of long-term adverse effects from COVID-19 vaccines.			
Totally disagree	6.34	3.42	11.73
Rather disagree	5.19	2.96	9.09
Rather agree	0.24	0.16	0.36
Totally agree	0.04	0.03	0.07
I am concerned about what I consider novel mechanisms of action in COVID-19 vaccines.			
Totally disagree	13.16	8.07	21.47
Rather disagree	6.42	4.28	9.62
Rather agree	0.40	0.28	0.56
Totally agree	0.10	0.07	0.15
The development and approval of COVID-19 vaccines are moving too fast for me.			
Totally disagree	13.81	7.08	26.93
Rather disagree	5.95	3.47	10.19
Rather agree	0.25	0.17	0.37
Totally agree	0.05	0.03	0.07
I feel well informed about vaccines in general.			
Totally disagree	0.24	0.13	0.43
Rather disagree	0.55	0.37	0.83
Rather agree	2.82	2.05	3.87
Totally agree	4.40	3.17	6.09
I think vaccinations are generally effective			
Totally disagree	3.10	1.43	6.74
Rather disagree	0.61	0.28	1.35
Rather agree	6.05	3.64	10.06
Totally agree	34.21	20.91	55.98
I trust vaccines in general.			
Totally disagree	0.27	0.14	0.52
Rather disagree	0.36	0.21	0.62
Rather agree	4.54	3.14	6.57
Totally agree	21.02	14.25	31.01
I am generally afraid of adverse effects of vaccinations.			
Totally disagree	6.57	4.57	9.44
Rather disagree	4.03	2.87	5.64
Rather agree	0.82	0.56	1.20
Totally agree	0.41	0.27	0.62
I believe that the pharmaceutical industry's puts profit motives over the safety of vaccines.			
Totally disagree	3.04	1.98	4.65
Rather disagree	3.38	2.37	4.82
Rather agree	0.37	0.27	0.50
Totally agree	0.09	0.07	0.13