Patterns and Changes in Expectation of Life at Birth in India: 1998-2017

Aalok Ranjan Chaurasia
MLC Foundation
51, Lake City Farms (Ganesh Puri),
Kalkheda Road, Neelbad,
Bhopal, MP-462044, India
aalok@mlcfoundation.org.in

Abstract

This paper analyses patterns and changes in the expectation of life at birth in India and decomposes the increase in the expectation of life at birth between 1998-2002 and 2013-2017. The analysis reveals considerable volatility in the increase in the expectation of life at birth in the country and in its different population groups and states. In recent years, there is considerable deceleration in the increase in the expectation of life at birth in the country because of the deceleration in the increase in female expectation of life at birth. The decomposition exercise reveals that most of the increase in the expectation of life at birth is attributed to the improvement in the survival probability in the first five years of life. The analysis also suggests that the recent deceleration in the increase in female expectation of life at birth may be attributed to the decrease in the person-years lived in the age group 40-65 years.

Key Words

India, State, Expectation of life at birth, Trend, Decomposition

Patterns and Changes in Expectation of Life at Birth in India: 1998-2017

1. Introduction

The abridged life tables prepared by the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India suggest that the expectation of life at birth (e_0) in India increased by more than 6 years from 62.9 years during 1998-2002 to around 69 years during 2013-17 (Government of India, 2019). The increase has been more rapid in females (6.4 years) than in males (5.9 years). When compared with the United Nations model mortality improvement schedules (United Nations 2004), male mortality improvement in India has been somewhere between slow to medium model mortality improvement trajectory while female mortality improvement has been somewhere between medium to fast model mortality improvement trajectory. According to the estimates prepared by the United Nations Population Division, the e_0 in India was around 69 years during 2015-2020 which is 3 years lower than the world average of around 72 years (United Nations, 2019). Estimates prepared by United Nations Population Division also suggest that India ranks 144 among 201 countries in terms of e_0 which suggests that the expectation of life at birth in India is low by international standards.

India was one of the signatories of the Programme of Action adopted at the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development at Cairo (UNFPA, 2004). One of the goals of the Programme of Action was that every country would take appropriate steps to increase e_0 to more than 70 years by the year 2005 and to more than 75 years by the year 2015. Viewed from this perspective, the improvement in the expectation of life at birth in India has fallen substantially short of what was committed way back in 1994. Interestingly, India's latest National Health Policy 2017, now aims at increasing the e_0 to 70 years by the year 2025 (Government of India, 2017) which implies a significant lowering of the target of improvement in e_0 as compared to what was envisaged and agreed upon by India more than 25 years ago.

Within the country, there are marked differentials and regional variations in e_0 . The e_0 is higher in females compared to males and in urban areas compared to rural areas of the country. Among 17 major states of the country – states with a population of at least 10 million – for which official abridged life tables are available, e_0 ranged from more than 75 years in Kerala to 65 years in Uttar Pradesh during 2013-17. Estimates of e_0 for smaller states and Union Territories are not available. There are only six states, in addition to Kerala, where e_0 is estimated to be more than 70 years during the period 2013-17. The female-male gap in e_0 has increased from around 2.1 years in 1998-2002 to 2.6 years in 2013-2017 but the urban-rural gap has decreased from 6 years to 4.7 years during this period. Similarly, the gap between the highest and the lowest e_0 across 17 states

has narrowed down from around 13.9 years during 1998-2002 to around 10.2 years during 2013-2017.

Figure 1: Trend in expectation of life at birth (years) in India, 2000-2015

The expectation of life at birth is the most widely used summary indicator of population health (Wilmoth, 2000) – the greater the e_0 , the better the assumed health status of the people. Viewed from this perspective, the low level of e_0 reflects the unsatisfactory state of population health in India by international standards. The expectation of life at birth, essentially, summarises the survival experience of the entire population. The relationship between survival experience of the population and e_0 , although reciprocal, is, however, complicated (Pollard, 1982). The impact of the improvement in survival probability at different ages of the life on the improvement in e_0 is different. Monitoring changes in e_0 , however, is relevant as the increase in the length of life has always been one of the key health and development agenda throughout the world. Improvement in the health status of the people and reduction in mortality are widely recognised as the most proximate approaches of increasing the length of the life.

Despite the slow transition and marked within country variations in e_0 in India, there is virtually no study, to the best of our knowledge, that has analysed temporal patterns, differentials and regional variations in e_0 in the country in recent years. There have been many studies in the past that have analysed mortality transition in the country (Chaurasia, 2010; Mari Bhat, 1987) but recent studies on spatio-temporal variations in e_0 , especially, after 2000, are rare. Such an analysis appears timely as major policy level changes have been introduced in the country after 2000 that may be conjectured to have an impact on longevity. India announced a new population policy in 2000 (Government of India, 2000),

followed by a new health policy in 2002 (Government of India, 2002). The National Rural Health Mission was launched in the year 2005 with a focus on establishing a fully functional, community-owned, decentralized health care delivery system (Government of India, 2005). In 2013, the National Urban Health Mission was launched (Government of India, 2013) and the two Missions were clubbed into National Health Mission in 2013 which envisages achievement of universal access to equitable, affordable and quality health care services that are accountable and responsive to health and family welfare needs of the people (Government of India, 2013). India has also recorded an unprecedented economic growth during this period. The country recorded an average annual growth rate of almost 7.7 per cent per year in the gross domestic product during 2001-2011 (Government of India, 2018). Although, economic growth slowed down after 2011, yet, it remained amongst the highest in the world. It is, however, not known how population and health related policy measures and rapid economic growth during have contributed to improvement in population health in India. The present paper is an exposition in this direction.

The paper is organised as follows. The next section describes the data source while the third section outlines the methodology. Trend in e_0 in India are analysed in section four of the paper. Section five decomposes the increase in e_0 into the change in survival experience in different age groups. Section six analyses regional variations in the increase in e_0 . The last section summarises the findings of the analysis from the perspective of population health in India.

2. Data Source

The analysis is based on the abridged life tables prepared by the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India on the basis of the age-specific mortality rates generated through India's official sample registration system (SRS). SRS is a large-scale demographic sample survey based on the mechanism of a dual record system which was launched in 1964-65 on a pilot basis to provide reliable estimates of fertility and mortality indicators. Since 1969-70, SRS covers the entire country (Government of India, 1971). Reporting of births and deaths in SRS has been found to be fairly reliable, although, there is some under-reporting of vital events under the system (Government of India, 1983; Government of India, 1988; Mari Bhat, 2002; Mahapatra, 2010; 2017). These abridged life tables are available for the country and for states having least 10 million population. Five years average age-specific death rates available through SRS are used for the construction of life tables to adjust for sampling fluctuations and to augment the sample size (Government of India, 2019). These life tables, therefore, reflect the average mortality experience of the population over a period of five years and it is assumed for the present analysis that the five-year average mortality experience actually refers to the mid-year of the five-year interval. Thus, abridged life table for the period 1998-2002 is assumed to reflect the mortality situation

that has prevailed around the year 2000. In situation where no death is reported under SRS in an age-group, the age-specific death rate for that age group has been imputed on the basis of a geographic approach (Government of India, 2019). The abridged life tables so prepared are available for concurrent five-year periods since 1986-90 (1988). They are the only source to analyse temporal patterns of e_0 in India. The present analysis uses abridged life table since 1998-2002.

3. Methods

The analysis has been carried out in two parts. The first part is devoted to analysing the trend in e_0 while the second part analyses the contribution of the change in age-specific survival probabilities to the change in e_0 . The trend analysis has been carried out following the assumption that the trend in e_0 may be influenced by policies and programmes directed towards increasing improving the health of the people and by improvements in the standard of living. This means that the trend in e_0 may not be linear (on a log scale) but may have changed during the period under reference. Once the point(s) in time when the trend in e_0 has changed are identified, the analysis is carried out separately for temporal segments between two points assuming that the trend is linear (on a log scale) in a given temporal segment.

There are different methods for identifying the time of change in trend including permutation test (Kim et al, (2000), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Kim et al, 2009), BIC3 (Kim and Kim (2016) and Modified BIC (Zhang and Siegmund (2007). The permutation test is the gold standard but is computationally very intensive. BIC performs well to detect a change with a small effect size but has a tendency of over-estimating number of points when the trend has changed. Modified BIC is the most conservative but performs well to detect a change with a large effect size. Performance of BIC3 is comparable to that of the permutation test.

Assuming that the trend is not linear (on a log scale), the trend analysis may be carried out through joinpoint regression analysis. The difference between the joinpoint regression analysis the conventional piecewise regression analysis is that the time or joinpoints when the trend has changed are determined from the data in joinpoint regression whereas they are decided or fixed beforehand in the conventional piecewise regression. Let y_i denotes the expectation of life at birth (e_0) for year t_i such that $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$. Then the joinpoint regression model is defined as

$$\ln y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 t_1 + \delta_1 u_1 + \delta_2 u_2 + \dots + \delta_j u_j + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

where

$$u_j = \begin{cases} (t_j - k_j) & \text{if } t_j > k_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i$ are the years when the trend has changed or joinpoints. Details of joinpoint regression are given elsewhere (Kim et al, 2000; Kim et al, 2004). Assuming that the trend is linear (on a log scale) in a temporal segment or between two joinpoints or

$$\ln(y_i) = \alpha_0 + \beta(t) \quad (2)$$

then the annual per cent change (APC) between two joinpoints or in a temporal segment is estimated as

$$APC = \frac{y_{(i+1)} - y_i}{y_i} \times 100 = (e^\beta - 1) \times 100 \quad (3)$$

The average annual per cent change (AAPC) during the entire reference period is then obtained as the weighted average of APCs in different temporal segments with weights equal to the length of different temporal segments. The AAPC is argued to be a better approach to describe the long-term trend when the trend changes over time in comparison to the commonly used approach in which a single regression line on a log scale is fitted for the entire reference period and the average annual per cent change is calculated from the slope of the regression line (Clegg et al, 2009). AAPC permits comparison of trend in different temporal segments.

Actual calculations were carried out using the Joinpoint Regression Program (National Institute of Cancer, 2013). The software requires specification of minimum (0) and maximum number of joinpoints (>0) up to a maximum of 9 joinpoints in advance. The programme starts with 0 or the minimum number of joinpoints, which means a straight line fit on a log scale and tests whether more joinpoints must be added to the model (up to the pre-specified maximum number of join points) to better describe the trend in the data. The statistical significance of the change in trend is tested on the basis of a Monte Carlo permutation method (Kim et al, 2000). The number of joinpoint(s) are identified using the grid search method (Lerman, 1980) which allows a joinpoint to occur exactly at the year t . A grid is created for all possible positions of the joinpoint(s) or combination of joinpoint(s), the model is fitted for each possible position and that position is selected which minimises the sum of squared errors (SSE). In the present analysis, the minimum number of joinpoint(s) has been set to 0 while the maximum number of joinpoint(s) is set to 4.

Joinpoint regression analysis has frequently been used for analysing trend in mortality and morbidity from specific causes (Tyczynski and Berkel, 2005; Doucet, Rochette and Hamel, 2016; John and Hanke, 2015; Akinyede and Soyemi, 2016; Mogos et al, 2016; Chatenoud et al, 2015; Missikpode et al, 2015; Rea et al,

2017; Qiu et al, 2008; Puzo, Qin and Mehlum, 2016). It has also been used for estimating population parameters under changing population structure (Gillis and Edwards, 2019). Chaurasia (2020) has used joinpoint regression analysis to analyse the long-term trend in infant mortality in India. It has also been applied to analyse the trend in marital fertility (Chaurasia, 2020a), in understanding the rapid increase in life expectancy in Shanghai, China (Chen et al, 2018) and in analysing patterns and changes in life expectancy in China during 1990-2016 (Chen et al, 2020).

The second part of the analysis measures the contribution of the change in age-specific survival probabilities to the change in e_0 . Let the radix of the life table is l_0 . Suppose that the entire radix survives up to N years of age, then the total number of person years lived up to age N will be $N \cdot l_0$. If there is no death in the first year of life, the survival probability in the first year of life, p_0 , is 1 and total number of person years lived in the first year of life will be ${}_1L_0 = l_0$. If $p_0 < 1$, then ${}_1L_0 < l_0$ and person years lost in the first year of life is given by

$${}_1D_0 = l_0 - {}_1L_0. \quad (4)$$

The persons years lost up to N years of age as the result of the mortality in the first year of life, therefore, is given by

$$D_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N {}_1D_0 \quad (5)$$

Similarly, the person years lost in the second year of life as the result of the probability of death in the second year of life is given by

$${}_1D_1 = {}_1L_0 - {}_1L_1 \quad (6)$$

and the number of person years lost through all ages as the result of the mortality in the second year of life is given by

$$D_2 = \sum_{i=2}^N {}_1D_1 \quad (7)$$

Finally, the total number of person years lost in the last year of the life table is given by

$$D_N = {}_1L_{N-2} - {}_1L_{N-1} \quad (8)$$

In other words, the total number of person-years lost due to the probability of death in different ages is given by

$$D = \sum_N D_i \quad (9)$$

The expectation of life at birth, e_0 may now be computed as

$$e_0 = \frac{N * l_0 - D}{l_0} = N - D / l_0 \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) suggests that the change in e_0 between two points in time, may now be decomposed as

$$e_0^2 - e_0^1 = \frac{D^1 - D^2}{l_0} = \frac{1}{l_0} \sum_N (D_i^1 - D_i^2) \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) may also be used to decompose the change in the female-male gap or the gender gap and urban-rural gap or the residence gap in e_0 over time. Equation (11) may also be used to analyse the relative contribution of age-specific survival experience in deciding the difference in e_0 across different administrative units of sub-groups of the population.

4. Temporal Patterns in e_0

The joinpoint regression analysis suggests that the trend in e_0 in India changed three times between 2000 (1998-2000) and 2015 (2013-2017) (Table 1). The APC decreased considerably during 2002-2009 relative to 2000-2002; increased during 2009-2012 but again decreased during 2012-2015. As a result, e_0 increased, on average, by 0.35 years per year during 2000-2002; by 0.32 years per year during 2002-2009; by 0.36 years per year during 2009-2012; and by only 0.28 years per year during 2012-2015. If the APC observed during 2000-2002 would have been sustained after 2002, e_0 in India would have increased to more than 71 years by 2015. The deceleration in the increase in e_0 during 2002-2009 and again during 2012-2015, appears to have resulted in a loss of more than two years in the increase e_0 in the country during 2000-2015.

The joinpoint regression analysis also suggests that the trend in male e_0 has been different from that in female e_0 . The trend in male e_0 changed two times but the trend in female e_0 changed three times during 2000-2015. The increase in male e_0 accelerated during 2009-2015 so that male e_0 increased at a rate of almost 0.49 years per year during this period. However, the increase in female e_0 decelerated considerably during 2011-2015 so that female e_0 increased at a rate of only 0.25 years per year during this period. During 2000-2011, female e_0 in the country increased at an annual average rate of 0.730 per cent per year but male e_0 increased at a rate of 0.563 per cent per year only. After 2011, however, male e_0 increased at an average annual rate of 0.750 per cent per year whereas the average annual increase in female e_0 decelerated to 0.606 per cent per year. As the result, the female-male gap in e_0 , which widened to 3.5 years by 2011, narrowed down considerably to 2.6 years by the year 2015.

The increase in e_0 has also been comparatively faster in rural than in urban areas of the country. The trend in both rural and urban e_0 changed three times

but the years of change or joinpoints have been different. The rural e_0 increased more rapidly than urban e_0 during the period under reference except for the period 2007-2011. More importantly, increase in urban e_0 stagnated during the period 2004-2007 as the APC, during this period, has not been found to be statistically significantly different from zero. As the result, the trend in urban-rural gap or the residence gap in e_0 has been quite volatile. The residence gap in e_0 decreased to 4.7 years in 2007; remained unchanged in 2008; increased to 4.9 years by the year 2011 but again decreased to 4.7 years by the year 2015.

The joinpoint regression analysis also suggests that the increase in e_0 has been the fastest in rural females but the slowest in urban females during the period under reference. The rapid increase in rural female e_0 has largely been due to the very rapid increase during 2000-2002 when it increased by more than 1 per cent per year, the most rapid in all population groups and in all time segments. However, after 2002, there has been considerable deceleration in the increase in rural female e_0 and, during 2012-2015, it increased at annual average rate of only 0.253 per cent per year which was slower than the increase in rural male e_0 . In the urban areas, on the other hand, the increase in e_0 stagnated in both males and female during 2004-2007. During 2012-2015, the rate of increase in e_0 of rural females was almost the same as the rate of increase in e_0 of urban females. On the other hand, the increase in rural male e_0 accelerated but the increase in urban male e_0 decelerated during this period so that the urban-rural gap in male e_0 decreased during this period.

5. Decomposition of the Increase in e_0

The e_0 in India increased by around 6.1 years during the 15 years between 1998-2002 and 2013-2017. The increase in the person-years lived in the first year of life accounted for an increase of around 1.72 years while increase in person-years lived in 1-5 years of life accounted for an increase of 1.86 years so that increase in person-years lived in the first five years of life accounted for an increase of 3.58 years or more than 58 per cent of the increase in e_0 (Table 2). Increase in person-years lived during 15-60 years of life accounted for an increase of 1.8 years or 30 per cent increase in e_0 . Increase in person-years lived in 60-75 years accounted for an increase of around 0.62 years or 10 per cent increase in e_0 but the decrease in the person-years lived in the age group 75 years and above resulted in a decrease of around 0.85 years or 14 per cent decrease in e_0 . The average annual gain in e_0 was the highest during 2009-2012 but the lowest during 2012-2015 because person-years lived in the age group 50-65 years decreased during 2012-2015 compared to 2009-2012. Another reason behind low average annual gain in e_0 during 2012-2015 has been very slow improvement in the survival probability in the first five years of life leading to only a marginal increase in the person-years lived in this age group.

The relative contribution of the change in age-specific survival

probabilities to the change in e_0 has been different in females as compared to males. Almost 80 per cent of the increase in the female e_0 during the period under reference is attributed to the increase in the number of person-years lived in the first 15 years of life. This proportion is only 70 per cent in males. On the other hand, increase in the number of person-years lived in the age group 60-75 years accounted for an increase of 0.73 years in male e_0 but only 0.53 years increase in female e_0 . Finally, the decrease in the number of person-years lived in the age group 75 years and above accounted for a decrease of 0.81 years in female e_0 but a decrease of 0.90 years in male e_0 . In 2000, female e_0 was 2 years more than male e_0 because females had survival advantage over males in the age groups 0-1 years 30-75 years and 85+ years. Males had survival advantage over females in the age group 1-30 years and in 75-85 years. In 2015, female e_0 exceeded male e_0 by almost 2.6 years because females had survival advantage over males in the age groups 15-80 years. However, males had survival advantage over females in the age groups 0-15 years and 80 years and above (Table 3).

On the other hand, increase in the person-years lived in the first five years of life accounted for almost two-third of the increase in e_0 in the rural areas of the country but only around 43 per cent of the increase in e_0 in the urban areas. Similarly, increase in the person-years lived in the age group 1-5 years of life accounted for more than 34 per cent of the increase in rural e_0 but only around 18 per cent of the increase in urban e_0 . The urban e_0 was higher than the rural e_0 in 2000 as well as in 2015 because the urban population had survival advantage over rural population in the age group 0-75 years and in population aged 85 years and above. However, rural population had survival advantage over urban population in the age group 75-85 years in 2000 as well as in 2015 (Table 3).

The relative contribution of the change in survival experience in different age groups to the change in e_0 has been different in different time segments of the period 2000-2015 identified through the joinpoint regression analysis. The deceleration in the increase in male e_0 during 2004-2009 was primarily due to the decrease in person-years lived in the age group 40-55 years and 65 years and above. During this period, the contribution of the increase in the person-years lived in the first 15 years of life was higher than the increase in e_0 during this period (Table 4). On the other hand, the deceleration in the decrease in female e_0 during 2011-2015 was primarily due to the decrease in the person-years lived in the age group 40-65 years and 85 years and above.

6. Inter-state Variations

The trend in e_0 has varied across states for which official life tables are available for the year 2000 (1998-2002) and 2015 (2013-2017) (Table 5). In Andhra Pradesh and Kerala, the trend in e_0 changed four times whereas Madhya Pradesh is the only state where there has been no change in the trend or e_0 increased linearly (on a log scale) during the period 2000-2015. In majority of the states,

however, the trend in e_0 changed three times. The increase in e_0 has been the fastest in Odisha but the slowest in Kerala. Odisha is the only state where female e_0 increased by more than 9 years during 2000-2015 whereas Kerala is the only state where e_0 increased by less than 4 years. Inter-state variance in e_0 , however, decreased over time indicating sigma-convergence in e_0 . There are seven states where APC was not statistically significantly different from zero for sometimes during 2000-2015 reflecting that the increase in e_0 stagnated in this time-segment. In Andhra Pradesh, the increase in e_0 stagnated in three out of five time-segments. In Kerala and Punjab, increase in e_0 stagnated in two time-segments while e_0 stagnated in one time segment in Bihar, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir and Maharashtra. The annual per cent increase in e_0 (APC) was the fastest in Jammu and Kashmir during 2000-2004 but the slowest in Kerala during 2013-2015. In most of the states, increase in e_0 decelerated during the later years of the period 2000-15 as compared to the earlier years of the period with the exception of only two states - Assam and Odisha. The deceleration in the increase in e_0 has been particularly marked in female e_0 . Odisha is the only state where increase in female e_0 did not decelerate during the period under reference whereas, in 9 states, increase in female e_0 stagnated at least during 2013-2015 as the APC was not statistically significantly different from 0 during this period. By contrast, there is no state where the increase in male e_0 stagnated in recent years. In all states, the volatility in the trend has also been found to be less in male e_0 compared to female e_0 .

The relative contribution of the change in the survival experience in different age-groups to the change in e_0 has also been different in different states (Table 6). In most of the states, increase in e_0 has primarily been the result of the improvement in the survival experience in the first five years of life. Notable exceptions to this general pattern are Jammu and Kashmir and Kerala. Similarly, decrease in the number of person-years lived in the age group 75 years and above has accounted for a decrease, instead increase, in e_0 in most of the states. There are only four states - Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala and Punjab - where number of person-years lived in the age group 75 years and above increased during the period under reference and, therefore, the survival experience in this age group, has contributed to the increase in e_0 . In Haryana, the number of person-years lived in the age group 60-75 years decreased, instead increased, in 2015 compared to 2000. Similarly, the number of person years lived decreased, instead increased, in the age group 40-65 years in Rajasthan and in the age group 45-65 years in Utter Pradesh. The decrease in the person-years lived in these age groups has contributed towards slowing down the increase in e_0 in these states during the period under reference.

7. Discussions and Conclusions

The present analysis reveals unsatisfactory trend in e_0 in India, in its

different population groups and in 17 states during the period 2000 (1998-2002) through 2015 (2013-2017). The trend in e_0 is characterised by substantial degree of volatility. Most importantly, there has been considerable degree of deceleration in the increase in e_0 which has been quite marked in females so that the increase in e_0 slowed down considerably over time. The increase in e_0 has also decelerated in most states of the country. The primary reason for the slowdown in the increase in e_0 has been a rapid deceleration and even stagnation in the increase in female e_0 .

The analysis also reveals that the increase e_0 stagnated in the urban areas of the country during 2003-2007 and this stagnation has primarily been responsible for relatively slower increase in urban e_0 as compared to the increase in rural e_0 . Unlike the urban areas, there has been no stagnation in the increase in rural e_0 . The urban-rural gap in e_0 narrowed down again in the recent past as the increase in urban e_0 decelerated again. The deceleration in the increase in urban e_0 has not been confined to a particular sex but is evident in both sexes.

The increase in e_0 in the country during the period under reference has largely been the result of the improvement in the survival experience in the first fifteen years of life, although, this contribution has varied in different time segments and, in recent years, there has contribution has decreased substantially after 2002-2009. On the other hand, the number of person-years lived in the age group 75 years and above has also decreased despite the increase in the probability of survival in the age group 75-85 years. It appears that improvement in survival probability has not been large enough to ensure a decrease in the number of deaths and hence increase in the number of person-years lived in these age groups so that person-years lived in these age groups decreased.

Perhaps the most revealing observation of the present analysis is the considerable deceleration in the increase in female e_0 in recent years. This deceleration appears to be the result of the decrease in the person-years lived in the age group 40-65 years despite an increase in the survival probability in the age group. Obviously, the increase in the female survival probability in this age group has not been large enough to ensure the decrease in the number of deaths and hence an increase in the number of person-years lived in this age group. In order to ensure that improvement in survival probability actually results in the increase in the person-years lived and hence contributes to the increase in e_0 , it is imperative that the improvement in survival probability is sufficiently large enough to ensure a decrease in the number of deaths and hence an increase in the number of person-years lived in the age group.

Reasons for the volatile trend in e_0 and the considerable slowdown in the increase in e_0 , especially in females and in the urban areas of the country are not known at present. The period 2000-2015 has been a period of rapid, unprecedented economic growth in India. At the same time, there has been attempts to introduce a paradigm shift in the delivery of health care services so as to improve the efficiency of the health care delivery system in meeting the health

and survival needs of the people of the country. It, however, appears that there has been little impact of rapid economic growth and paradigm shift in the health care delivery system on the improvement in population health as reflected in the trend in the expectation of life at birth. It appears that the dividends of the rapid economic growth that India has witnessed during this period could not be translated into social and human progress that is necessary for improving population health. It also appears that the paradigm shift in the delivery of health care services attempted first through National Rural Health Mission and subsequently through National Health Mission has contributed only marginally in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of health care delivery services in meeting the health care needs of the people. There has, however, been some degree of convergence in the expectation of life at birth across the states of the country.

The available evidence also confirms that the country has not been able to achieve the goal of an e_0 of 75 years by the year 2015 that was set in the Plan of Action adopted at the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development at Cairo and to which India is a signatory. Interestingly, instead of acknowledging the inability to achieve this cherished goal and to identify and remedy the reasons for the sluggish improvement, the National Health Policy 2017 has significantly scaled down the goal to increasing the expectation of life at birth to 70 years by the year 2025. The current trend in the expectation of life at birth suggests the goal set in the National Health Policy 2017 will be achieved even without any acceleration in the current rate of increase in e_0 . If the expectation of life at birth is any indication, then, population in India remains amongst the poorest in the world and it will remain so in the coming next 10 years if one goes by the goal set in the National Health Policy 2017.

References

- Akinyede O, Soyemi K (2016) Joinpoint regression analysis of pertussis crude incidence rates, Illinois, 1990-2014. *American Journal of Infection Control* 44(12):1732–3.
- Chaurasia AR (2010) Mortality transition in India: 1970-2005. *Asian Population Studies* 6(01): 47-68
- Chaurasia AR (2020) Long-term trend in infant mortality in India: a joinpoint regression analysis for 1981-2018. *Indian Journal of Human Development* 14(3): 394-406.
- Chaurasia AR (2020a) Fertility transition in currently married reproductive age women in India: 1985-2017. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.16.20155176>
- Chatenoud L, Garavello W, Pagan E, Bertuccio P, Gallus S, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Bosetti C (2015) Laryngeal cancer mortality trends in European countries. *International Journal of Cancer* 842: 833–42.
- Chen H, Qian Y, Dong Y, Yang Z, Guo L, Liu J, Shen Q, Wang L (2020) Patterns and changes in life expectancy in China, 1990-2016. *PLoS ONE* 15(4): e0231007.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231007>

- Chen H, Hao L, Yang C, Yan B, Sun Q, Sun L, Chen H, Chen (2018) Understanding the rapid increase in life expectancy in Shanghai, China: a population-based retrospective analysis. *BMC Public Health* 18:256. DOI 10.1186/s12889-018-5112-7
- Clegg LX, Hankey BF, Tiwari R, Feuer EJ, Edwards BK (2009) Estimating average annual percent change in trend analysis. *Statistics in Medicine* 20 (29): 3670-82.
- Doucet M, Rochette, Hamel D (2016) Prevalence and mortality trends in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease over 2001 to 2011: a public health point of view of the burden. *Canadian Respiratory Journal* 2016:1–10.
- Gillis D, Edwards BPM (2019) The utility of joinpoint regression for estimating population parameters given changes in population structure. *Heliyon* 5: e02515.
- Government of India (1971) *Sample Registration of Births and Deaths in India 1969-70*. New Delhi, Registrar General.
- Government of India (1983) Report on intensive enquiry conducted in a subsample of SRS units (1980-81). Occasional Paper No. 2. New Delhi, Registrar General.
- Government of India (1988) Report on intensive enquiry conducted in a subsample of SRS units. Occasional Paper 1 of 1988. New Delhi, Registrar General.
- Government of India (2000) *National Population Policy 2000*. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Government of India (2002) *National Health Policy 2002*. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Government of India (2005) *National Rural Health Mission. Framework of Implementation*. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Government of India (2013) *National Health Mission. Framework of Implementation*. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Government of India (2017) *National Health Policy 2017*. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Government of India (2018) *Economic Survey 2017-18*. New Delhi, Ministry of Finance. Department of Economic Affairs.
- Government of India (2019) *SRS Based Abridged Life Tables 2013-2017*. New Delhi, Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India.
- John U, Hanke M (2015) Liver cirrhosis mortality, alcohol consumption and tobacco consumption over a 62 year period in a high alcohol consumption country: a trend analysis. *BMC Research Notes* 8(1):822.
- Kim H-J, Fay MP, Feuer EJ, Midthune DN (2000) Permutation tests for joinpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Statistics in Medicine* 19: 335-351.

- Kim H-J, Yu B, Feuer EJ (2009) Selecting the number of change-points in segmented line regression. *Statistica Sinica* 19(2): 597-609.
- Kim J, Kim H-J (2016) Consistent model selection in segmented line regression. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 170: 106-116.
- Lerman PM (1980) Fitting segmented regression models by grid search. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)* 29(1): 77-84.
- Mahapatra P (2010) An overview of Sample Registration System in India. Available at <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/vitalstatkb/KnowledgebaseArticle50447.aspx>
- Mahapatra P (2017) The Sample Registration System in India. An overview as of 2017. Hyderabad, Institute of Health Systems.
- Mari Bhat PN (1987) *Mortality in India: Levels, Trends and Patterns*. Pennsylvania, University of Pennsylvania.
- Mari Bhat PN (2002) Completeness of India's Sample Registration System. An assessment using the general growth balance method. *Population Studies*, 56(2): 119-134.
- Missikpode C, Peek-Asa C, Young T, Swanton A, Leinenkugel K, Torner J (2015) Trends in non-fatal agricultural injuries requiring trauma care. *Injury Epidemiology* 4;2(1): 30.
- Mogos MF, Salemi JL, Spooner KK, McFarlin BL, Salihu HM (2016) Differences in mortality between pregnant and nonpregnant women after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 128(4): 880-8.
- National Institute of Health (2020) *Joinpoint Regression Program, Version 4.8.0.1*. Bethesda, National Institute of Health, National Cancer Institute. Surveillance Research Program. Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences.
- Pollard JH (1982) The expectation of life and its relationship to mortality. *Journal of Institute of Actuaries* 109: 225-240.
- Puzo Q, Qin P, Mehlum L (2016) Long-term trends of suicide by choice of method in Norway: a joinpoint regression analysis of data from 1969 to 2012. *BMC Public Health* 16:255.
- Qiu D, Katanoda K, Marugame T, Sobue T (2009) A Joinpoint regression analysis of long-term trends in cancer mortality in Japan (1958-2004). *International Journal of Cancer* 124: 443-448.
- Rea F, Pagan E, Compagnoni MM, Cantarutti A, Pigni P, Bagnardi V, Cpprap G (2017) Joinpoint regression analysis with time-on-study as time-scale. Application to three Italian population-based cohort studies. *Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Public Health* 14(3): e12616.
- Tyczynski JE, Berkel HJ (2005) Mortality from lung cancer and tobacco smoking in Ohio (U.S.): will increasing smoking prevalence reverse current decreases in mortality? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Preview. United States* 14(5):1182-7.
- United Nations (2004) *World Population Prospects. The 2004 Revision. Volume III*.

Analytical Report. New York, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division.

United Nations (2019) *World Population Prospects 2019. Online Edition. Revision 1*. New York, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division.

United Nations Population Fund (2004) *Program of Action Adopted at the International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo 5-13 September, 1994*. New York, United Nations Population Fund.

Zhang NR, Siegmund DO (2007) A modified Bayes Information Criterion with applications to the analysis of comparative genomic hybridization data. *Biometrics* 63: 22–32.

Table 1: Trend in the expectation of life at birth in India 2000 (1998-2002) through 2015 (2013-17). Net increase; average annual per cent change (AAPC); and Annual percent change (APC) in different time segments.

Population groups	Net increase (years)	AAPC	APC in different time segments															
			2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Person	6.1	0.622*	0.823*					0.558*					0.738*				0.534*	
Male	5.9	0.613*	0.670*					0.448*							0.750*			
Female	6.4	0.643*	0.962*					0.618*				0.800*					0.606*	
Rural	6.1	0.631*	0.884*					0.573*					0.696*				0.491*	
Rural male	6.6	0.601*	0.653*						0.460*						0.683*			
Rural female	4.8	0.662*	1.002*							0.717*							0.256*	
Urban	5.7	0.455*	0.464*					0.099				0.705*					0.385*	
Urban male	5.1	0.498*	0.592*					0.100					0.715*				0.506*	
Urban female	4.5	0.416*	0.480*					0.143				0.716*					0.256*	

Source: Author's calculations

Remarks: The shaded cell indicates the joinpoint.

* indicates that APC and AAPC are statistically significantly different from zero.

Table 2: Contribution of different age groups (years) to increase in e_0 in India between 2000(1998-2000) and 2015 (2013-2017).

Age	Combined population			Rural population			Urban population		
	Person	Male	Female	Person	Male	Female	Person	Male	Female
0-1	1.72	1.77	1.61	1.72	1.75	1.63	1.22	1.38	1.01
1-5	1.86	1.70	2.10	2.08	1.90	2.35	0.89	0.83	0.99
5-10	0.70	0.58	0.82	0.76	0.63	0.89	0.41	0.34	0.47
10-15	0.30	0.26	0.33	0.33	0.29	0.37	0.15	0.13	0.17
15-20	0.21	0.18	0.25	0.24	0.20	0.29	0.10	0.09	0.12
20-25	0.29	0.21	0.37	0.33	0.24	0.44	0.14	0.11	0.18
25-30	0.31	0.24	0.38	0.33	0.26	0.41	0.22	0.17	0.27
30-35	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.25	0.22	0.29	0.24	0.26	0.21
35-40	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.19	0.23	0.14
40-45	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.18	0.20	0.15
45-50	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.18	0.20	0.15
50-55	0.11	0.18	0.04	0.03	0.12	-0.06	0.24	0.27	0.18
55-60	0.13	0.19	0.05	0.01	0.07	-0.07	0.34	0.38	0.26
60-65	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.39	0.47	0.30
65-70	0.29	0.38	0.21	0.21	0.30	0.15	0.45	0.56	0.36
70-75	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.20	0.19	0.22
75-80	-0.10	-0.16	-0.03	-0.09	-0.15	-0.03	-0.09	-0.19	0.02
80-85	-0.35	-0.38	-0.33	-0.36	-0.37	-0.34	-0.29	-0.31	-0.26
85+	-0.40	-0.36	-0.44	-0.42	-0.38	-0.46	-0.29	-0.21	-0.40
Increase in e_0	6.15	5.89	6.44	6.05	5.65	6.50	4.85	5.10	4.53

Source: Author's calculations.

Table 3: Decomposition of the gender gap (F-M) and residence gap (U-R) in e_0 in India 2000 (1998-2000) and 2015 (2013-2017).

Age	Gender gap (F-M)			Residence gap (U-R)		
	2000	2015	Change	2000	2015	Change
0-1	0.040	-0.119	-0.158	1.742	1.239	-0.503
1-5	-0.617	-0.221	0.396	1.868	0.673	-1.195
5-10	-0.292	-0.059	0.233	0.562	0.211	-0.351
10-15	-0.075	-0.001	0.074	0.261	0.082	-0.179
15-20	-0.069	0.004	0.072	0.208	0.069	-0.139
20-25	-0.140	0.028	0.167	0.271	0.079	-0.193
25-30	-0.051	0.094	0.144	0.242	0.128	-0.114
30-35	0.110	0.162	0.052	0.183	0.171	-0.012
35-40	0.257	0.269	0.012	0.176	0.166	-0.009
40-45	0.360	0.330	-0.030	0.149	0.206	0.057
45-50	0.432	0.424	-0.008	0.155	0.244	0.089
50-55	0.523	0.374	-0.148	0.139	0.346	0.207
55-60	0.578	0.431	-0.147	0.085	0.413	0.328
60-65	0.552	0.481	-0.072	0.105	0.390	0.285
65-70	0.442	0.272	-0.170	0.028	0.266	0.239
70-75	0.144	0.183	0.040	0.012	0.111	0.099
75-80	-0.067	0.063	0.131	-0.076	-0.078	-0.002
80-85	-0.145	-0.101	0.044	-0.181	-0.113	0.068
85+	0.066	-0.018	-0.083	0.027	0.154	0.127
e_0	2.047	2.597	0.550	5.955	4.757	-1.198

Source: Author's calculations.

Table 4: Decomposition of the increase in male and female e_0 in India in different time segments identified through joinpoint regression analysis.

Age	Time segment								
	Male				Female				
	2000-2004	2004-2009	2009-2015	2000-2015	2000-2002	2002-2008	2008-2011	2011-2015	2000-2015
0-1	0.20	0.81	0.76	1.77	0.07	0.78	0.37	0.39	1.61
1-5	0.30	0.76	0.64	1.70	0.30	0.81	0.42	0.56	2.10
5-10	0.11	0.21	0.26	0.58	0.18	0.21	0.17	0.25	0.82
10-15	0.04	0.11	0.11	0.26	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.33
15-20	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.18	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.25
20-25	0.05	0.03	0.13	0.21	0.05	0.13	0.06	0.13	0.37
25-30	0.06	0.03	0.15	0.24	0.07	0.14	0.06	0.11	0.38
30-35	0.04	0.04	0.16	0.24	0.04	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.29
35-40	0.05	0.00	0.16	0.20	0.03	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.22
40-45	0.05	-0.03	0.15	0.17	0.04	0.11	0.00	-0.02	0.14
45-50	0.05	-0.04	0.12	0.13	0.04	0.06	0.04	-0.01	0.13
50-55	0.08	-0.01	0.12	0.18	0.07	0.03	0.07	-0.13	0.04
55-60	0.13	0.08	-0.02	0.19	0.09	0.18	0.00	-0.23	0.05
60-65	0.13	0.04	0.06	0.24	0.06	0.11	0.06	-0.07	0.17
65-70	0.08	-0.01	0.31	0.38	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.21
70-75	-0.01	-0.10	0.22	0.11	0.07	-0.11	0.03	0.17	0.15
75-80	-0.06	-0.11	0.01	-0.16	-0.01	-0.16	0.03	0.10	-0.03
80-85	-0.08	-0.06	-0.24	-0.38	-0.05	-0.12	-0.04	-0.12	-0.33
85+	0.08	-0.17	-0.27	-0.36	0.04	-0.02	0.00	-0.46	-0.44
Increase in e_0	1.34	1.64	2.91	5.89	1.28	2.58	1.58	1.00	6.44

Source: Author's calculations.

Table 5: Trend in e_0 in selected states, 1998-2002 (circa 2000) through 2013-17 (circa 2015). Net increase; average annual per cent change (AAPC); and Annual percent change (APC) in different time segments.

State	Net increase (years)	AAPC	APC in different time segments														
			2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Andhra Pradesh	6.3	0.645*	0.987*			0.495			0.065			1.029*					0.440
Assam	8.2	0.887*	0.807*													1.208*	
Bihar	5.9	0.589*	0.848*			0.081				1.015*					0.436*		
Gujarat	4.9	0.457*	0.373*											0.640*			
Haryana	4.6	0.450*	0.708*					0.037				0.725*				0.419*	
Himachal Pradesh	4.3	0.416*	0.811*					0.124*							0.625*		
Jammu and Kashmir	8.2	0.843*	1.554*					0.159						0.830*			
Karnataka	4.2	0.417*	0.567*					0.129			0.616*					0.126*	
Kerala	3.3	0.299*	0.930*			0.445*			0.030			0.240*					0.002
Madhya Pradesh	7.9	0.828*	0.828*														
Maharashtra	6.3	0.607*	0.886*						0.330			0.648*					0.366*
Odisha	9.3	0.990*	1.080*					0.712*				1.020*					1.342*
Punjab	5.2	0.511*	0.835*					0.128				0.826*					0.204
Rajasthan	5.2	0.532*	0.626*									0.424*					
Tamil Nadu	6.0	0.580*	0.732*								0.553*						
Uttar Pradesh	5.3	0.567*	0.599*														0.439*
West Bengal	5.6	0.538*	0.788*								0.447*						

Source: Author's calculations

Remarks: The dark shaded cell is the joinpoint.

* indicates APC or AAPC are statistically significantly different from zero.

Table 5 (Cont.): Trend in male e_0 in selected states, 2000(1998-2002) through 2015 (2013-17). Net increase; average annual per cent change (AAPC); and Annual percent change (APC) in different time segments.

Country/State	Net increase (years)	AAPC	APC in different time segments														
			2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Andhra Pradesh	7.0	0.749	0.872*				0.199								1.090*		
Assam	8.0	0.838					0.668*									1.309*	
Bihar	5.8	0.545			0.118									0.831*			
Gujarat	4.6	0.479			0.320*									0.619*			
Haryana	3.2	0.282							0.282*								
Himachal Pradesh	3.2	0.287				0.192*									0.430*		
Jammu and Kashmir	7.4	0.764	1.596*									0.463*					
Karnataka	5.1	0.536	0.690*					0.165				0.833*				0.430*	
Kerala	3.5	0.319	0.933*			0.348						0.187*					
Madhya Pradesh	6.7	0.706								0.706*							
Maharashtra	6.7	0.667		0.884*					0.314					0.707*			
Odisha	8.7	0.913								0.913*							
Punjab	5.0	0.526	0.840*					-0.101						0.840*			
Rajasthan	4.4	0.457			0.606*									0.328*			
Tamil Nadu	5.7	0.570	0.849*						0.478*							0.637*	
Uttar Pradesh	4.4	0.476					0.392*									0.708*	
West Bengal	6.1	0.599	0.717*							0.503*						0.700*	

Source: Author's calculations

Remarks: The shaded cell is the joinpoint.

* indicates APC or AAPC are statistically significantly different from zero.

Table 5 (Cont.): Trend in female e_0 in selected states, 2000 (1998-2002) through 2015 (2013-17). Net increase; average annual per cent change (AAPC); and Annual percent change (APC) in different time segments.

Country/State	Net increase (years)	AAPC	APC in different time segments														
			2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Andhra Pradesh	5.6	0.559	1.043*					0.119				1.029*				0.188	
Assam	8.4	0.924								0.924*							
Bihar	6.1	0.614	1.082*				0.289*					1.073*				0.133	
Gujarat	5.3	0.509	0.642*					0.358*					0.722*				0.298
Haryana	6.4	0.605	1.396				0.624		0.063				0.723*				0.308
Himachal Pradesh	5.4	0.513	1.158					0.054							0.836*		
Jammu and Kashmir	9.4	0.883		1.327*				0.029					1.366*				0.506
Karnataka	3.1	0.302	0.605*					0.230*				0.541*				0.001	
Kerala	2.9	0.286							0.872*								0.141*
Madhya Pradesh	9.3	0.968					1.062*								0.860*		
Maharashtra	5.8	0.543				0.828*							0.464*				-0.034
Odisha	10.0	1.046	1.405*					0.623*							1.422*		
Punjab	5.7	0.540	0.950*					0.424*					0.716*				-0.218
Rajasthan	6.0	0.592					0.627*					0.844*				0.332*	
Tamil Nadu	6.5	0.686								0.686*							
Uttar Pradesh	6.2	0.650						0.838*								0.135	
West Bengal	5.2	0.487	1.091*			0.732*			0.449*						0.189*		

Source: Author's calculations

Remarks: The dark shaded cell is the joinpoint.

* indicates APC or AAPC are statistically significantly different from zero.

Table 6: Contribution of different age groups (years) to increase in e_0 in different states between 2000 and 2015.

Age	AP	AS	BI	GU	HA	HP	JA	KA	KE	MP	MS	OD	PU	RA	TN	UP	WB
0-1	1.90	1.87	1.57	1.09	1.78	1.47	0.93	2.06	0.07	2.14	2.05	2.52	2.17	1.73	1.76	1.08	1.76
1-5	1.30	2.18	1.89	1.41	1.85	0.84	0.85	1.41	0.15	3.19	0.99	2.68	1.29	2.46	0.85	2.51	1.22
5-10	0.36	0.90	0.93	0.61	0.57	0.19	2.08	0.37	0.41	0.80	0.30	0.60	0.35	0.72	0.26	1.06	0.48
10-15	0.23	0.54	0.48	0.19	0.14	0.09	1.76	0.11	0.33	0.31	0.16	0.32	0.11	0.20	0.12	0.39	0.23
15-20	0.26	0.36	0.35	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.05	0.20	0.18	0.32	0.06	0.14	0.20	0.27	0.17
20-25	0.33	0.49	0.38	0.18	0.25	0.15	0.08	0.20	0.05	0.29	0.19	0.45	0.10	0.21	0.37	0.44	0.21
25-30	0.30	0.46	0.41	0.24	0.31	0.18	0.23	0.21	0.07	0.23	0.22	0.35	0.23	0.26	0.34	0.49	0.18
30-35	0.29	0.34	0.35	0.27	0.14	0.24	0.15	0.22	0.12	0.18	0.28	0.24	0.24	0.19	0.26	0.34	0.13
35-40	0.22	0.27	0.28	0.18	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.23	0.31	0.13	0.06	0.18	0.24	0.15
40-45	0.11	0.28	0.21	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.07	0.15	0.24	0.22	0.27	0.04	-0.01	0.19	0.07	0.12
45-50	0.10	0.39	0.31	0.19	-0.02	0.07	-0.07	0.09	0.14	0.19	0.28	0.14	-0.05	-0.03	0.13	-0.06	0.17
50-55	0.20	0.32	0.35	0.01	0.13	-0.01	0.12	0.22	0.12	0.17	0.19	0.18	-0.06	-0.16	0.11	-0.26	0.17
55-60	0.30	0.39	0.16	-0.11	0.14	-0.01	0.29	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.14	0.40	0.12	-0.21	0.35	-0.41	0.26
60-65	0.37	0.44	0.29	0.11	-0.33	0.19	0.27	-0.01	0.35	0.21	0.38	0.44	0.13	-0.07	0.50	-0.21	0.47
65-70	0.30	0.16	0.47	0.36	-0.48	0.48	0.37	0.07	0.37	0.33	0.50	0.16	0.01	0.11	0.51	0.12	0.50
70-75	0.15	-0.07	-0.18	0.18	-0.21	0.25	0.42	-0.09	0.34	0.07	0.48	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.35	0.13	0.19
75-80	-0.08	-0.25	-0.52	-0.04	-0.02	-0.09	0.12	-0.11	0.34	-0.31	0.22	-0.19	0.16	-0.07	0.08	-0.02	-0.13
80-85	-0.26	-0.47	-0.83	-0.17	-0.02	-0.13	-0.08	-0.41	-0.02	-0.46	-0.35	-0.29	-0.01	-0.22	-0.28	-0.36	-0.35
85+	-0.06	-0.36	-0.96	-0.11	0.05	0.10	0.49	-0.62	-0.03	-0.29	-0.45	0.20	0.11	-0.26	-0.18	-0.52	-0.29
Increase in e_0	6.31	8.24	5.93	4.85	4.59	4.25	8.18	4.26	3.32	7.90	6.24	9.21	5.26	5.18	6.08	5.29	5.63

Source: Author's calculations.