Title: Disparities in SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination-to-Infection Risk, Massachusetts 2020-2021

Revision date: April 14, 2021

Authors:

Scott Dryden-Peterson, MD, MSc

Gustavo E. Velásquez, MD, MPH

Thomas J. Stopka, PhD, MHS

Sonya Davey, MD, MPhil

Rajesh T. Gandhi, MD

Shahin Lockman, MD, MSc

Bisola O. Ojikutu, MD, MPH

Author Affiliations:

Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

(Dryden-Peterson, Velásquez, Lockman, Ojikutu);

Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health

Boston, Massachusetts (Dryden-Peterson, Lockman);

Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute, Boston, Massachusetts (Dryden-Peterson, Lockman);

Division of Global Health Equity, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

(Velásquez, Ojikutu);

Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston,

Massachusetts (Velásquez);

Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine,

Boston, Massachusetts (Stopka);

23 Tufts Clinical and Translational Science Institute, Boston, Massachusetts (Stopka);
 24 Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (Davey);
 25 Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts
 26 (Gandhi, Ojikutu);

27 **Corresponding Author:** Scott Dryden-Peterson, MD, MSc, Division of Infectious Diseases,
 28 Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115. Work: (617) 732-8881.
 29 sldrydenpeterson@bwh.harvard.edu

30 **Word count (max 600):** 598

31 **References included:** 6

32 **Acknowledgements:** The work was made possible with help from the Harvard University Center
 33 for AIDS Research (CFAR), a funded program of the National Institutes of Health (P30
 34 AI060354), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (K08 AI141740, K24
 35 AI131928, UM1 AI069412), the Dr. Lynne Reid/Drs. Eleanor and Miles Shore Fellowship at
 36 Harvard Medical School, and the Burke Global Health Fellowship at the Harvard Global Health
 37 Institute. The contents of this manuscript are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not
 38 necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the institutions
 39 with which the authors are affiliated. The funding source had no role in the design and conduct
 40 of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation,
 41 review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
 42 Dr. Dryden-Peterson had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the
 43 integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

44

Abstract:

Background:

Effective vaccine-based containment strategies for SARS-CoV-2 require equitable coverage of communities at greatest risk of infection. We sought to examine the alignment of vaccination and SARS-CoV-2 risk in Massachusetts to inform public health response.

Methods:

We aggregated cumulative SARS-CoV-2 testing and vaccination data from the Massachusetts Department of Public Health and the Boston Public Health Commission from January 29, 2020 to April 9, 2021. We used two approaches to assess vaccination equity: vaccination-to-infection risk (VIR) ratio and Lorenz curves. The VIR ratio was calculated for each community as the quotient of the number of fully vaccinated individuals divided by the cumulative number of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections. Lorenz curves were used to describe vaccination relative to COVID-19 burden. A multivariable Poisson model was used to assess predictors of VIR ratio.

Results:

A total of 607,120 (8.9%) SARS-CoV-2 infections were confirmed in Massachusetts residents and 1,485,266 (21.8%) residents were fully vaccinated. Communities with increased socioeconomic vulnerability had lower VIR ratios indicating less equitable vaccination relative to infection risk. In multivariable analysis, decreased vaccination relative to infection risk was independently associated with increasing socioeconomic vulnerability (aRR 0.82 per quartile increase, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.87) and with greater than 20% of the community identified as Black

and/or Latinx (aRR 0.67, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.81). Improved community vaccine delivery was associated with higher community proportion of residents aged 65 or older (aRR 1.23 per 5% increase in proportion, 95% CI 1.15 to 1.31). Lorenz curves indicated considerable inequity (Gini 0.46 between communities). An estimated 330,000 full vaccination courses would need to be diverted to under-vaccinated communities to achieve equity.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, disparities in vaccine delivery highlight ongoing inequities in our approach to COVID-19 and imperil efforts to control the pandemic.

Introduction:

Effective vaccine-based containment strategies for SARS-CoV-2 require equitable coverage of communities at greatest risk of infection.¹ However, significant disparities in vaccination rates have been observed.² Adapting a concept from HIV prevention efforts,³ we examined the alignment of vaccination and SARS-CoV-2 risk in Massachusetts, creating and applying a vaccination-to-infection risk ratio.

Methods:

We aggregated cumulative SARS-CoV-2 testing and vaccination data from the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH) and the Boston Public Health Commission from January 29, 2020 to April 9, 2021. We limited analysis to 291 communities (276 cities and towns; 15 Boston neighborhoods) with a population over 3,000 residents (total population 6,795,000). We considered each community's cumulative incidence of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections to be the best available indicator of future infection risk. We used two approaches to assess vaccination equity: vaccination-to-infection risk (VIR) ratio and Lorenz curves. The VIR ratio was calculated for each community as the quotient of the number of fully vaccinated individuals divided by the cumulative number of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections. Communities with VIR ratios below the state-wide mean have lower vaccination coverage relative to their infection risk. Lorenz curves, which assess equity in resource distribution,⁴ were used to describe vaccination relative to COVID-19 burden and calculate summaries of inequity (Gini index) and magnitude of vaccine re-allocation required to achieve equity (Hoover index).

We utilized population estimates from MDPH and the American Community Survey (2014-2018) to determine community age, race, and ethnic composition. Socioeconomic vulnerability was estimated using the Socioeconomic Status domain of the Social Vulnerability Index.⁵ We fit a Poisson model utilizing robust sandwich estimators to assess associations between community VIR ratios and *a priori* selected predictors: proportion aged 65 years or older (an early vaccination eligibility criterion), proportion identified as Black and/or Latinx (<20% or ≥20%), quartile of socioeconomic vulnerability, and community population (<50,000 or ≥50,000 residents). We adhered to STROBE guidelines; this work was designated as exempt by the Mass General Brigham IRB.

Results:

As of April 9, 2021, 607,120 (8.9%) SARS-CoV-2 infections had been confirmed in Massachusetts residents and 1,485,266 (21.8%) residents were fully vaccinated. Cumulative incidence of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (minimum: 1.9%, maximum: 22.7%) and complete vaccination (minimum: 10.1%, maximum: 53%) varied considerably between communities. Communities with increased socioeconomic vulnerability had lower VIR ratios indicating less equitable vaccination relative to infection risk (**Figure 1**).

In multivariable analysis, decreased vaccination relative to infection risk was independently associated with increasing socioeconomic vulnerability (adjusted relative risk [aRR] 0.82 per quartile increase, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.87, $p < 0.001$) and with greater than 20% of the community

identified as Black and/or Latinx (aRR 0.67, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.81, $p < 0.001$). Improved community vaccine delivery was associated with higher community proportion of residents aged 65 or older (aRR 1.23 per 5% increase in proportion, 95% CI 1.15 to 1.31, $p < 0.001$). Community population size was not associated with the VIR ratio ($p = 0.65$).

Lorenz curves indicated considerable inequity, with an estimated Gini coefficient (1, perfect equity; 0, perfect inequity) of 0.46 between communities and 0.51 by individuals statewide (**Figure 2**). An estimated 330,000 full vaccination courses would need to be diverted to under-vaccinated communities to achieve equity.

Discussion:

These analyses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination indicate lower vaccine delivery relative to infection risk in socioeconomically vulnerable communities and those with larger proportions of Black and Latinx populations. The disparities reported here are greater than those previously reported using county-level data,² and emphasize the importance of monitoring and targeting smaller geographic units to guide equitable distribution of vaccines.⁶

In conclusion, disparities in vaccine delivery highlight ongoing inequities in our approach to COVID-19 and imperil efforts to control the pandemic.

Figure 1. The vaccination-to-infection risk (VIR) ratio among Massachusetts communities with a population greater than 25,000 residents, ordered by socioeconomic vulnerability. The VIR ratio is calculated as the cumulative number of fully vaccinated individuals divided by the cumulative number of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections in each community reported from January 29, 2020 through April 9, 2021.

Figure 2. Lorenz curves of cumulative proportion of individuals who have been fully vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 versus the cumulative proportion of confirmed COVID-19 infections by communities (A) and individual residents (B) in Massachusetts, grouped by measures of racial and ethnic composition. Non-White residents account for 62% of confirmed infections and only 26% of full vaccinations. Cases and vaccinations reported from January 29, 2020 through April 9, 2021 are included.

References

1. Wrigley-Field E, Kiang MV, Riley AR, et al. Geographically-targeted COVID-19 vaccination is more equitable than age-based thresholds alone. *medRxiv*. 2021.03.25.21254272; doi:10.1101/2021.03.25.21254272
2. Hughes MM, Wang A, Grossman MK, et al. County-Level COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage and Social Vulnerability — United States, December 14, 2020–March 1, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(12):431-436. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7012e1
3. Siegler AJ, Mouhanna F, Giler RM, et al. The prevalence of pre-exposure prophylaxis use and the pre-exposure prophylaxis-to-need ratio in the fourth quarter of 2017, United States. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2018;28(12):841-849. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2018.06.005
4. Mody A, Pfeifauf K, Geng EH. Using Lorenz Curves to Measure Racial Inequities in COVID-19 Testing. *Jama Netw Open*. 2021;4(1):e2032696. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.32696
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/ Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry/ Geospatial Research, Analysis, and Services Program. CDC Social Vulnerability Index 2018 Database US. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/data_documentation_download.html. Accessed on September 9, 2020.
6. Jean-Jacques M, Bauchner H. Vaccine Distribution—Equity Left Behind? *JAMA*. 2021;325(9):829-830. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.1205