1 SHORT REPORT

2 **Microsampling for monitoring gentamicin in neonates.**

3

4 Suzanne L. Parker^{*1}, Adam D. Irwin^{1,2}, Francine Hosking³, Deanne August^{3,4}, Brittany
5 Schoenmaker³, Saurabh Pandey¹, Steven C. Wallis¹, Jeffrey Lipman^{1,5,6}, Jason A.
6 Roberts^{1,5,6,7}, Mark W Davies³,

7

8 ¹ UQ Centre for Clinical Research, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

9 ² Infection Management and Prevention Service, Children's Health Queensland Hospital and
10 Health Service, Brisbane, Australia

11 ³ Grantley Stable Neonatal Unit, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

12 ⁴ School of Nursing and Midwifery, Griffith University, Brisbane, Australia

13 ⁵ Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Brisbane,
14 Australia

15 ⁶ Division of Anaesthesiology Critical Care Emergency and Pain Medicine, Nîmes University
16 Hospital, University of Montpellier, Nîmes, France

17 ⁷ Department of Pharmacy, Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

18

19 Keywords: VAMS, antibiotic, neonate, TDM

20 Running Title: Microsampling for neonate gentamicin TDM

21 ^{*}corresponding author: Dr Suzanne Parker, email: suzanne.parker@uq.edu.au

22 **Abstract**

23 Gentamicin is recommended as first-line treatment of neonatal sepsis. The use of gentamicin
24 is associated with toxicity which complicates neonatal dosing and necessitates therapeutic
25 drug monitoring (TDM).

26 In a proof-of-concept investigation, we sought to compare (1) gentamicin concentrations
27 obtained using volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) to standard TDM plasma
28 samples, and (2) the time taken to report results obtained using VAMS compared to standard
29 TDM by the local hospital chemical pathology service.

30 The difference between gentamicin concentrations obtained from plasma collected for routine
31 clinical care and calculated plasma concentrations, based on samples collected in whole
32 blood using VAMS, was -18.0% and -0.4% for two patients. The research laboratory reported
33 results within the time taken for the routine chemical pathology laboratory to report results.

34 This proof-of-concept study demonstrates that the use of microsampling for TDM by
35 pathology services can fulfil the requirements of providing an accurate gentamicin
36 concentration in a timely manner.

37 Introduction

38 Neonatal sepsis is a significant contributor to global mortality, with recent studies suggesting
39 an increasing incidence, driven by increasing intervention in pre-term neonates[1]. Gram-
40 negative organisms such as *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* increasingly
41 predominate and are frequently associated with antimicrobial resistance[2]. It is imperative
42 that recommended and currently available antibiotics are used optimally in this most
43 vulnerable group.

44 Gentamicin is recommended by the World Health Organisation as a first-line antimicrobial
45 for neonatal sepsis, and is the most commonly prescribed antimicrobial in neonatal sepsis[3].
46 It is used to treat invasive Gram-negative infections in neonates and associated with
47 nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity[4]. Gentamicin is a prototypical therapeutic drug monitoring
48 (TDM) agent with trough concentrations below 2 mg/L a common target. Blood sampling in
49 very small and premature neonates presents a complex challenge where, relative to body size,
50 the large volumes of blood that are collected for routine clinical care can cause anaemia
51 requiring blood transfusions.

52 Bioanalytical methodology used for measuring gentamicin concentrations in TDM should be
53 reliable, accurate and able to provide a result in a timely manner. Recent advances in
54 bioanalysis have led to interest in reducing the volume of blood sampled from the patient to
55 less than 0.05 mL (microsampling) to support clinical care. The volumetric absorptive
56 microsampling (VAMS) device, marketed as Mitra® by Neoteryx, collects a known volume
57 (10.8 µL) of whole blood onto a hydrophilic tip. This sample can be used in quantitative
58 analysis either while the blood remains wet or the tip can be dried and stored.

59 This proof-of-concept investigation sought to compare (1) the gentamicin concentrations
60 obtained using VAMS against the results obtained from the TDM plasma sample, and (2) the

time taken for analysis of the VAMS compared to routine TDM by the local hospital chemical pathology service.

Methods

A prospective proof-of-concept study involving two neonates was conducted within a clinical correlative study (the OPTIONS study) at the neonatal intensive care unit of a tertiary hospital in 2020. A standard protocol for dosing neonates in the unit is the administration of gentamicin as a 3.5 mg/kg dose every 36 h for patients less than 30 weeks gestational age (GA) and every 24 h for patients greater than or equal to 30 weeks gestational age. Dose adjustments are made based on TDM performed at least 30 minutes prior to the third dose. If the trough concentration is ≥ 2 mg/L and gentamicin treatment is to continue, the dosing interval is then prolonged, with the TDM repeated prior to the administration of the next gentamicin dose. Patient information for the study was collected from medical records and chemical pathology laboratory and pharmacy databases. The study was approved by the Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital human research ethics committee (HREC/2020/QRBW/55401). Informed consent was obtained from parents/guardians for participants in this study and for publication of the results.

The acceptance criteria applied to the comparison of calculated plasma concentrations (C_p^*) derived from VAMS to the measured plasma (C_p) results was that the difference between the two results must be within 20% of the mean of the measured (C_p) and calculated plasma concentrations (C_p^*). A brief description of the blood collection, validation results and analytical technique is presented in the Supplementary Material. The nurses assigned to the care of each of the neonatal patients collected the VAMS; both nurses having received prior training on the correct collection process.

Results

Two neonatal patients were recruited into this study and provided both TDM samples, as part of routine clinical care, and whole blood samples using a VAMS device. One patient was extremely pre-term, with a weight of 664 g and serum creatinine of 82 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. The other patient was full term, with a weight 3330 g and serum creatinine of 88 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. Both patients were receiving gentamicin for presumptive treatment for sepsis. When patients were recruited into the study the research bioanalytical laboratory was notified of the time that samples were to be collected, providing time for the laboratory to prepare for the analysis. The results of the measurement of gentamicin concentrations are reported in Table 1. Quality assurance data from the analytical batches is presented in the Supplemental Material.

Each patient provided TDM samples and VAMS approximately one hour prior to their scheduled gentamicin dose. The time to report the gentamicin concentrations was 2 h 55 min and 2 h 33 min for the pathology laboratory, and 1 h 0 min and 2 h 8 min for the research bioanalytical laboratory for the first and second patient, respectively.

Discussion

In our study, the results of gentamicin plasma concentrations obtained using VAMS correlated with those collected from the TDM plasma sample. Furthermore, for each for the two patients, the analysis performed by the research bioanalytical laboratory was able to provide a result as quickly as that obtained from the pathology laboratory.

This is the first paper to describe the clinical application of microsampling to measure gentamicin concentrations. There is one published study using VAMS for patient monitoring, where the authors reported finding an excellent agreement between VAMS and standard blood samples collected for measuring HbA1c[5].

The results of this study comply with the requirements of an incurred sample reanalysis test as described in bioanalytical validation guidelines provided by the U.S. FDA. This test is usually applied to the repeat analysis of a single sample in bioanalysis, whereas our analysis

is performed on two sample types (whole blood and plasma). Meeting this test criteria supports our conclusion that there is a reasonable correlation between the calculated plasma concentrations derived from VAMS and the measured plasma concentrations. Further research is required to complete full correlative or ‘bridging’ studies to adhere to the regulatory requirements for the use of bioanalytical technologies.

An important feature of TDM is the ability of a method to provide a result to a clinical unit in a timely manner. Based on the results of this study it is feasible that this sampling technique and methodology could be applied to routine TDM of gentamicin.

Gentamicin has been found to partition slowly intracellularly and at low concentrations. On the basis that most neonates receive only a few days of gentamicin treatment, our equation to convert concentrations from whole blood to plasma (see Supplemental Material) applies the patient haematocrit only and does not include partitioning into the cellular component. The translation of VAMS for TDM would require a simple change in practice by a pathology laboratory with the use of the conversion equation and the continued reporting of plasma concentrations. This change would not impact on clinical targets or the interpretation of the gentamicin concentration results in the clinic.

This, to the best of our knowledge, is the first report of the application of VAMS to the TDM of gentamicin in neonates. This proof-of-concept study demonstrates the translation of VAMS for TDM of gentamicin for clinical care can fulfil the requirements of providing an accurate and reliable concentration in a timely manner. The benefit to the patient of low-volume blood microsampling for reducing the burden of routine clinical care of neonates is high. Further research is required to support the application of microsampling to improve patient care for TDM of gentamicin.

133 **Funding**

134 This study was funded by a Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital Foundation grant. SLP and
135 ADI are recipients of National Health and Medical Research Council-funded Fellowships
136 (APP1142757 and APP1197743, respectively), JAR is a recipient of a National Health and
137 Medical Research Council-funded Centre for Research Excellence Research Excellence
138 (APP1044941), Project Grant (1062040) and Fellowship (APP1048652).

139 **Transparency Declarations**

140 None to declare.

Table 1: Neonatal patient gentamicin concentrations obtained from paired plasma TDM samples and VAMS, with the calculated plasma concentration (Cp*) being the gentamicin result reported to the clinic when using volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS)

Patient weight (g)	Plasma sample (Cp)		VAMS (Cv)		Haematocrit	Calculated plasma concentration from Cv (Cp*) ^a	% difference between Cp and Cp*
	Mean (mg/L, n = 3)	Imprecision (%)	Mean (mg/L, n = 2)	Imprecision (%)	(%)	(mg/L)	
664	0.896	6.1	0.421	12.9	0.438	0.749	-18.0
3330	2.76	5.5	1.17	14.5	0.573	2.74	-0.4

^a Calculation for Cp* = Cv / (1 – Hct)

GA: gestational age;

Cp: measured plasma concentration;

Cv: measured concentration in whole blood on VAMS;

Hct: haematocrit;

Cp*: calculated plasma concentration (using equation a)

References

1. Fleischmann-Struzek C, Goldfarb DM, Schlattmann P, Schlapbach LJ, Reinhart K, Kissoon N. The global burden of paediatric and neonatal sepsis: a systematic review. *Lancet Resp Med* **2018**; 6(3): 223-30.
2. Chaurasia S, Sankar MJ, Agarwal R, et al. Characterisation and antimicrobial resistance of sepsis pathogens in neonates born in tertiary care centres in Delhi, India: a cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health* **2016**; 4(10): E752-E60.
3. Jackson C, Hsia YF, Basmaci R, et al. Global Divergence From World Health Organization Treatment Guidelines for Neonatal and Pediatric Sepsis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* **2019**; 38(11): 1104-6.
4. Rybak MJ, Abate BJ, Kang SL, Ruffing MJ, Lerner SA, Drusano GL. Prospective evaluation of the effect of an aminoglycoside dosing regimen on rates of observed nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **1999**; 43(7): 1549-55.
5. Verougstraete N, Lapauw B, Van Aken S, Delanghe J, Stove C, Stove V. Volumetric absorptive microsampling at home as an alternative tool for the monitoring of HbA(1c) in diabetes patients. *Clin Chem Lab Med* **2017**; 55(3): 462-9.