

1 **Macronutrient mass intake explains**
2 **differential weight and fat loss in**
3 **isocaloric diets**

4
5 **Francisco Arencibia-Albite¹ Anssi H. Manninen²**

6
7 ¹Universidad del Sagrado Corazón, Natural Sciences Department, PO BOX
8 12383, San Juan PR 00914-0383, Puerto Rico. Email:
9 franciscom.arencibia@sagrado.edu

10
11 ²Dominus Nutrition Oy, Ylipääntie 438, 92220 Raahe, Finland. Email:
12 anssi@dominusnutrition.fi

13
14 ***Corresponding author: Anssi H. Manninen, Director of Research &***
15 ***Development at Dominus Nutrition Oy.***

16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23

24

25 **Summary**

26 Currently, obesity treatment rests on the “calories-in, calories-out” rule, formally named the energy
27 balance theory (EBT). It maintains that body weight increases as food calories are greater than expended
28 calories but decreases when the opposite occurs; hence, weight stability is expected at energy balance
29 meaning that over time energy-in equals energy-out.¹ It follows that dietary regimens with identical energy
30 content should evoke similar amounts of weight and fat loss with only minor differences that emerge from
31 diet’s macronutrient composition⁷, e.g., diet-induced glycogen depletion and water excretion. A vast
32 collection of evidence shows, however, that low-carbohydrate diets typically result in much greater weight
33 and fat loss than isocaloric low-fat diets.^{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12} Furthermore, our recent mathematical analysis
34 demonstrated that weight stability coincides with a persistent energy imbalance and not otherwise.⁴ As an
35 alternative, the mass balance model (MBM) was proposed that fitted weight loss data and explained the
36 often superior weight loss evoked by low-carbohydrate diets versus low-fat diets.⁴ Here, we expand on
37 these observations by computationally contrasting the predictions of both models in two scenarios
38 described in the literature^{3, 16}: altering the diet’s macronutrient composition while energy intake is kept at
39 weight maintenance level; and the weight loss response as diet composition is changed under untreated
40 type 1 diabetes. Our results indicate that MBM predictions are remarkably accurate while those of the EBT
41 are clearly erroneous. These findings may represent the beginning of a paradigm shift in obesity research.

42 Keywords: obesity, weight loss, energy balance theory, mass balance model, macronutrients

43

44 **List of abbreviations**

45 MBM = mass balance model; MB = mass balance; EB = energy balance; EBT = energy balance theory; CIM =
46 carbohydrate-insulin model; FFM = fat-free mass; FM = fat mass; EPM = energy-providing mass; VLCD =
47 very-low-carbohydrate diet; KD = ketogenic diet; HCD = high-carbohydrate diet; ND = “normal diet”; BW =
48 body weight; BF = body fat; EI = energy intake; EE = energy expenditure; T1D = type 1 diabetes; ECF = extra
49 cellular fluid; Gly = glycogen; nEPM = non-energy-providing mass; AT = adaptive thermogenesis; PAL =
50 physical activity level; RQ = respiratory quotient; GNG = gluconeogenesis; DNL = *de novo* lipogenesis; TG =
51 triglycerides; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; HbA1c = Glycated hemoglobin;
52 total-C = total cholesterol; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; CT = computed tomography; NHANES =
53 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; GI = glycemic index; GL = glycemic load; SGLTs = sodium-
54 glucose transporters; GEBN = Global Energy Balance Network; MBNE = memory-based nutritional
55 epidemiology.

56

57 **Introduction**

58 “The strongest and most evil spirits have so far advanced humanity the most: they have always rekindled
59 the drowning passions – all ordered society puts the passion to sleep; they have always re-wakened the

60 sense on comparison, of contradiction, of joy in the new, the daring, and the untried; they force men to
61 meet the opinion with opinion, model with model [EBT vs. MBM]... The new is always *the evil...*"

62 – Friedrich Nietzsche, *The Gay Science* (1882)

63 Macronutrients – proteins, fats and carbohydrates – are an important part of a diet, and as such, have been
64 the subject of a great deal of discussion and controversy, especially among obesity researchers. According
65 to the energy balance theory (EBT), “[a] fundamental principle of nutrition and metabolism is that body
66 weight change is associated with an imbalance between the energy content of food eaten and energy
67 expended by the body to maintain life and to perform physical work.”¹ Many current approaches to obesity
68 treatment are based on the EBT; however, neither behavioral prevention nor behavioral treatment is
69 generally successful in practice. Furthermore, this theory has major limitations for explaining obesity, as
70 reviewed by Wells and Siervo.² Weight stable obese individuals, for example, may experience substantial
71 changes in body weight as a reaction to isocaloric modifications in diet. In many instances these body mass
72 alterations are far greater than those expected from the macronutrient-induced changes in total body
73 water.³ Thus, the EBT is rendered inadequate in these circumstances.

74 Arencibia-Albite⁴ has recently used mathematical methods to investigate EBT’s central claim:

75 *As energy balance approaches zero body weight becomes stable.*


76 The conclusion of this paper is highly polemical – to put it mildly – and possibly represents the beginning of
77 a paradigm shift:

78 *Body weight stability coincides with a persistent energy imbalance which is negative under low-fat diets but
79 positive under low-carbohydrate diets.*

80 This signifies, then, that the EBT is an incorrect theory, and also explains why in numerous well-controlled
81 studies negative energy balance measurements are frequently observed under a “normal diet” in weight
82 stable populations.^{5, 6} These findings do not represent a violation of the First Law of Thermodynamics, as it
83 allows for any open system to express a positive or negative energy balance under a null mass change (**For
84 further details, see Supplementary File 2**).

85 As an alternative to the EBT, the mass balance model (MBM) of obesity was proposed. It maintains in
86 qualitative terms that *body weight fluctuations are ultimately dependent on the difference between daily
87 nutrient mass intake and daily mass excretion* (e.g., elimination of macronutrient oxidation products) and
88 NOT on energy imbalance. In its mathematical form the MBM fitted weight loss data from dietary
89 interventions of low-carbohydrate diets (LCDs) versus isocaloric low-fat diets (LFDs) leading to a simple and
90 convincing explanation for the often superior weight loss evoked by LCDs over LFDs (Figure 1).⁴ This work
91 compares the MBM predictions against those derived from the EBT; and it is convincingly demonstrated
92 that in the experimental settings where the EBT makes erroneous forecasts the MBM results in remarkably
93 accurate predictions.

94



95

96

97

98

99 **Figure 1. MBM simulation: LCD vs. LFD**

100 **A.** Two hypothetical overweight 90kg adult males with identical body composition and weight maintenance
 101 energy intakes (2 750 kcal/day; 35% fat (F), 50% carbohydrate (C), 15% protein (P)) initiate two distinct
 102 isocaloric diets (2 000 kcal/day): low-fat diet (LFD; 20% F, 65% C, 15% P) vs. low-carbohydrate diet (LCD;
 103 70% F, 15% C, 15% P). Consistent with published feeding data^{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12}, the MBM predicts that the LCD
 104 results in greater weight loss in contrast to the LFD. Model parameters are as follows: $BW_0 = 90\text{kg}$, $FM_0 =$
 105 22.5kg , $nEPM = 1.41\text{kg}$, $F_{loss} = 0.030833$, $PAL = 1.5$, $VO_2 = 4.32\text{ L}/[\text{kg} \times \text{day}]$. **B.** The figure depicts the body fat
 106 (BF) percentage changes that correspond to panel A. **C.** As shown, the LCD mass intake (m_{in}) is small
 107 relative to the eliminated mass (m_{out}) and so the net daily mass loss is large (i.e., $|m_{in} - m_{out}|$). In the LFD
 108 the net daily mass loss is, however, not as efficient since m_{in} cancels out a substantial fraction of m_{out}
 109 decelerating weight loss. LCDs are thus more effective in maximizing the net daily mass loss relative to LFDs
 110 and consequently the former manifest a substantially larger cumulative weight loss than the latter. **D.** Daily
 111 energy expenditure (EE) data from panel A. The horizontal line represents the daily energy intake.

112 According to the EBT, this graph suggests that the subject in the LFD should lose more weight than the one
113 in the LCD, which is not the case as illustrated in panel A. Energy imbalance is, therefore, not predicting the
114 weight loss outcome in this intervention.

115

116 **Materials and Methods**

117 As noted above, this study compares the MBM predictions against those derived from the EBT.

118 **Mass Balance Model (MBM):**

119 For model derivation and details consult Arencibia-Albite⁴ but briefly daily body weight (BW, in kg)
120 fluctuations are given by

$$BW(t) = M / R + (BW_0 - M / R)(1 - R)^t$$

$$121 \quad M = EPM + nEPM$$

$$R = F_{loss} - \rho_{O_2} \cdot PAL \cdot VO_2$$

122 where t is time in days; M is the average daily mass intake defined as the sum of the energy-providing mass
123 (EPM; e.g., F, C and P) plus the non-energy-providing mass (nEPM; i.e., water, insoluble fiber, vitamins and
124 minerals); R is the average relative daily rate of mass excretion free of total daily O_2 uptake; BW_0 is the
125 initial body weight; F_{loss} is the average relative daily rate of mass excretion that includes of total daily O_2
126 uptake; $\rho_{O_2} = 1 / 770$ is O_2 density in kg/L at 27^0 C and 1 ATM; PAL is a dimensionless number that
127 represents the physical activity level computed as the ratio of total daily O_2 uptake over the total daily
128 resting O_2 consumption; and VO_2 is the specific daily resting O_2 uptake in L/(kg x day).

129 The EMP parameter is adjusted according to:

$$130 \quad EPM = EI(x_C / \rho_C + x_F / \rho_F + x_P / \rho_P)$$

131 where EI is the energy intake; $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ is the energy fraction from $i = C, F, P$; and ρ_i is the energy density of
132 i with $\rho_C = 4.2 \text{ kcal} / \text{g}$, $\rho_F = 9.4 \text{ kcal} / \text{g}$, $\rho_P = 4.7 \text{ kcal} / \text{g}$. To simulate pancreatic β -cell death $x_C = 0$
133 as the bulk of ingested glucose is lost through urination.

134 Fat mass (FM) and fat free mass (FFM) alterations are given by:

$$135 \quad FM(t) = 10.4W \left\{ \frac{FM_0}{10.4} \exp \left[\frac{(M/R - BW_0) [1 - (1-R)^t] + FM_0}{10.4} \right] \right\}$$

$$FFM(t) = BW(t) - FM(t)$$

136

137 where FM_0 is the initial fat mass and W is the lambert W function.

138 In MBM simulations daily energy expenditure (EE) can be estimated by

139 $EE = (\text{kcal per O}_2\text{L}) \cdot PAL \cdot VO_2 \cdot BW$

140 The first term at the right-hand side can be approximated with the diet's macronutrient composition and the
141 following Weir formula¹³

142 $\text{kcal per O}_2\text{L} = 5.047x_C + 4.463x_P + 4.735x_F.$

143 **Energy Balance Theory (EBT):**

144 The quantitative form of the EBT use in this study is that of the United States National Institute of Health
145 Body Weight Planner developed by Hall et al.¹⁴ A succinct model description is given below.

146 Glycogen (G), extracellular fluid (ECF), body fat (F_b), lean tissue (L) and adaptive thermogenesis (AT) are
147 modeled by following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG}{dt} &= (CI - CI_b (G/G_0)^2) / \rho_G \\ \frac{dECF}{dt} &= \frac{1}{[Na]} (\Delta Na_{diet} - \xi_{Na} (ECF - ECF_0) - \xi_{Cl} (1 - CI/CI_b)) \\ 148 \quad \frac{dF_b}{dt} &= \left[\frac{F_b / \rho_F}{10.4\rho_L / \rho_F + F_b} \right] (EI - EE - CI + CI_b (G/G_0)^2) \\ \frac{dL}{dt} &= \left[\frac{10.4 / \rho_F}{10.4\rho_L / \rho_F + F_b} \right] (EI - EE - CI + CI_b (G/G_0)^2) \\ \frac{dAT}{dt} &= (\beta_{AT} \Delta EI - AT) / \tau_{AT} \end{aligned}$$

149 where Cl_b is the carbohydrate energy intake (CI) at energy balance; G₀ is the initial body glycogen
150 amount; ρ_G is the glycogen energy density (4.2 kcal/g); [Na] is the extracellular Na concentration (3.22
151 mg/ml); ξ_{Na} is a ECF Na excretion constant (3 000 mg/[ml x day]); ξ_{Cl} CI dependent Na excretion constant
152 (4 000 mg/[ml x day]); ρ_L is the energy density of lean tissue (1.815kcal/g); τ_{AT} is the AT time constant (14
153 days); and β_{AT} = 0.14 is the AT coefficient.

154 EE is defined as

$$155 \quad EE = \frac{\left[K + \gamma_{F_b} F_b + \gamma_L L + [(1 - \beta_{TEF}) \cdot PAL - 1] RMR + \beta_{TEF} \Delta EI + AT \right. \\ \left. + (EI - CI + CI_b (G/G_0)^2) \left(\frac{10.4\eta_L / \rho_F}{10.4\rho_L / \rho_F + F_b} + \frac{\eta_{F_b} F_b / \rho_F}{10.4\rho_L / \rho_F + F_b} \right) \right]}{1 + \frac{10.4\eta_L / \rho_F}{10.4\rho_L / \rho_F + F_b} + \frac{\eta_{F_b} F_b / \rho_F}{10.4\rho_L / \rho_F + F_b}}$$

156 where K is arbitrary constant determined to achieved energy balance at BW₀; γ_{F_b} = 3.1 kcal/[kg · day] is
157 the specific body fat metabolic rate coefficient; γ_L = 21.99 kcal/[kg · day] is the specific lean tissue
158 metabolic rate coefficient; β_{TEF} = 0.1 is the thermic effect of feeding coefficient;

159 $RMR = 19.7(BW - F_b) + 413$ is Mifflin et al.¹⁵ resting metabolic rate formula;

160 $\eta_L = 229.446 \text{ kcal / kg}$ lean tissue synthesis efficacy; and $\eta_{F_b} = 179.254 \text{ kcal / kg}$ fat tissue synthesis

161 efficacy.

162 The body weight at time t is obtained by the following sum

$$163 \quad BW(t) = F_b(t) + L(t) + 1.0027G(t) + ECF(t)$$

164 To simulate pancreatic β -cell death $CI = 0$ as the majority of ingested glucose is lost through urination. The
165 system of differential equations was solved using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method with a time step of
166 1 day.


167

168 **Results**

169 The Figure 2 A uses the MBM to simulate the effect of exchanging a high-carbohydrate diet (HCD) for an
170 isocaloric very-low-carbohydrate diet (VLCD) in a weight-stable 70kg individual. Under the HCD (day 150-
171 400), body weight gradually increases to 73kg. However, after beginning the isocaloric VLCD (day 400),
172 body weight decreases towards a steady value of 67.5kg. Figure 2 B repeats the simulation in panel A, but
173 with Hall *et al.*¹⁴ model of the EBT. The latter indicates, in general, that isocaloric perturbations only elicit
174 non-significant alterations in body weight that are mostly a consequence of changes in extracellular fluid
175 (ECF) and stored glycogen (Gly, Figure 2 C1). In such cases, therefore, changes in body composition are
176 expected to be nearly undetectable (Figure 2 C2). For further details, see the figure legend.

177 An interesting aspect of the MBM is its capacity to account for the degree of weight loss observed at the
178 onset of type 1 diabetes (T1D). Before the discovery of insulin, a common dietary treatment of T1D was a
179 very-low-carbohydrate diet/high-fat diet which slowed down and reduced the excessive weight loss while
180 alleviating the classical symptoms of polyuria, polyphagia and polydipsia [16]. The Figure 2 D1 shows that
181 the MBM explains the weight loss associated with the onset of T1D and also makes predictions consistent
182 with the diabetes treatment during the pre-insulin era. The EBT, however, predicts a substantial weight loss
183 at T1D onset even if the pre-onset diet is a VLCD (Figure 2 D2). For further details, see the figure legend.

184



185

186

187 **FIGURE 2 Predictions under isocaloric perturbations: EBT vs. MBM**

188 **A.** MBM response to exchanging a HCD for an isocaloric VLCD. BW is stable at 70 kg with EI = 2 000 kcal
 189 during 150 days (control: 50% F, 35% C, 15% P). The daily carbohydrate mass intake (CMI) is 167g. At day
 190 150 EI = 2 000 kcal but CMI has increased by 143g as the control diet is exchange for a HCD (20% F, 65% C,
 191 15% P). On day 400, EI = 2 000 kcal but the diet is exchange again for a VLCD (75% F, 10% C, 15% P). Here

192 CMI decreases by 262g. Model parameters are as follows: $BW_0 = 70\text{kg}$, $FM_0 = 14\text{kg}$, $nEPM = 1.5\text{kg}$, $F_{\text{loss}} =$
193 0.034942857 , $PAL = 1.5$, $VO_2 = 4.464 \text{ L}/[\text{kg} \times \text{day}]$. **B.** EBT response to the same perturbations as in panel A.
194 Initial conditions are as follows: $K = 547.2925$, $BW(0) = 70\text{kg}$, $F_b(0) = 14\text{kg}$, $L(0) = 36.012\text{kg}$, $ECF(0)=19.486\text{kg}$,
195 $AT(0) = 0$, $G(0) = 0.5\text{kg}$, $PAL = 1.5$ **C1.** According to the EBT, majority of the weight change under an isocaloric
196 perturbations result from changes in extracellular fluid (ECF) and stored glycogen (Gly) that stabilize within
197 few days. **C2.** MBM predicts that BF evolves in parallel to the weight change direction. In contrast, the EBT
198 predicts that, under isocaloric perturbations, BF evolves according to the amount of fat intake (FI). **D1.**
199 MBM predicts that the weight loss magnitude evoked by pancreatic β -cell death is dependent on the diet's
200 macronutrient composition. To simulate pancreatic β -cell death, we set $CI = 0$, as majority of the ingested
201 glucose is lost through urination. One of the mechanisms that has evolved to maintain normoglycemia is
202 the re-uptake (by sodium-glucose transporters [SGLTs]) of glucose that is excreted in the urine but in
203 untreated type I diabetes very high blood glucose concentration clearly over-power these SGLTs. Model
204 parameters are as in A. **D2.** The EBT predicts that changes in the diet's macronutrient distribution will elicit
205 measurable changes in the rate and magnitude of weight loss after β -cell death but not as potent as those
206 predicted by the MBM. Initial conditions are as in B.

207 Kong *et al.*³ have recently published a well-controlled feeding study that allows to test the validity of the
208 predictions made by the MBM and EBT. Their young female subjects (age: $21 \pm$ standard deviation [SD]: 3.7
209 years, weight: $65.5 \pm$ SD: 7.7 kg, body mass index: $24.9 \pm$ SD: 2.7 kg/m^2) were weight-stable for 4 weeks
210 under a normal diet (ND: $1967\text{kcal} \pm$ SD: 362kcal ; F $44\% \pm$ SD: 7.6%, C $39.6\% \pm$ SD: 5.8%, $15.4\% \pm$ SD:
211 3.3%) and then switched to an isocaloric ketogenic diet (KD $1817\text{kcal} \pm$ SD: 285kcal ; F $69\% \pm$ SD: 5.4%, C
212 $9.2\% \pm$ SD: 4.8%, $21.9\% \pm$ SD: 3.4%) for another 4 weeks leading to a substantially reduced body weight ($-$
213 2.9 kg) and body fat percentage (-2.0%). According to the authors, "[t]he findings of this study do not
214 seem to support the idea that the weight-loss effect of KD is due to reduced appetite [and thus reduced
215 energy intake]."
216

217 Figure 3 A1, A2 and A3 simulate Kong *et al.*³ data using the Hall *et al.*¹⁴ model of the EBT. Here the KD
218 decreases body weight by 1.71Kg (Figure 3 A1) which is mainly a result of changes in ECF and stored
219 glycogen (Figure 3 A2). In such case, the EBT predicts a slight increase in body fat percentage (Figure 3 A2).
220 Figure 3 B1 simulates Kong *et al.*³ data using the MBM. As illustrated, this model predicts a much larger
221 drop in body weight (-3.05kg) under KD than the EBT. Contrary to the EBT, however, the MBM predicts a
222 drop in body fat percentage of about 1.6%. This signifies, therefore, that at the MBM leads to more
223 accurate predictions in than those made by the EBT.
224
225
226

227

228


229

230

231

232

233



234

235 **FIGURE 3. The simulation of Kong *et al.* data: EBT vs. MBM**

236 **A1.** The figure utilizes the EBT to simulate Kong *et al.*³ data. During the ND period (days 0-30) energy
 237 balance (EB, red curve) is zero. After day 30 EB becomes negative under the KD resulting in a cumulative
 238 weight loss of 1.71kg at day 60. Initial conditions are as follows: $K = 892.7146$, $BW(0) = 65.5\text{kg}$, $F_b(0) =$
 239 22.925kg , $L(0) = 25.698\text{kg}$, $ECF(0) = 16.375\text{kg}$, $AT(0) = 0$, $G(0) = 0.5\text{kg}$, $PAL = 1.5$. **A2.** The EBT predicts that –
 240 although the KD results in *weight loss* – BF increases since FI has been augmented by 41g. **A3.** During the
 241 ND total glycogen is 500g but after the KD this amount has dropped by 280.25g. ECF has also decreased by

242 1.076kg. These quantities add to $1.35525\text{kg} = 0.28025\text{kg} + 1.076\text{kg}$. Consequently, of the 1.71kg weight loss
243 in A1, $0.35475\text{kg} = 1.71\text{kg} - 1.35525\text{kg}$ are from other mass sources ($0.2436\text{ kg fat mass} + 0.11115\text{ kg fat-}$
244 $\text{free mass} = 0.35475\text{kg}$). According to the EBT, fat mass has in fact decreased; however, only by slight
245 amount since FI has been augmented during the KD. Consequently, as the decline in fat-free mass is much
246 larger than that of fat mass, BF increases as in A2. **B1.** The figure utilizes the MBM to simulate Kong *et al.*³
247 data. During the ND period (days 0-30) mass balance (MB, red curve) is zero. After day 30 MB becomes
248 negative under the KD resulting in a cumulative weight loss of 3.05kg at day 60. Model parameters are as
249 follows: $BW_0 = 65.5\text{kg}$, $FM_0 = 22.925\text{kg}$, $nEPM_{ND} = 1\text{kg}$, $nEPM_{KD} = 0.96\text{kg}$, $F_{\text{oss}} = 0.029078$, $PAL = 1.5$, $VO_2 =$
250 $4.32\text{ L}/[\text{kg} \times \text{day}]$ **B2.** According to the MBM, of the 3.05kg of weight loss 2.07kg came from fat and 0.98kg
251 from fat-free mass. Even though FI has increased the decline in fat-free mass is much smaller than that of
252 fat mass and thus BF decreases as shown.

253

254 Discussion

255 According to the EBT, at energy balance, changes in macronutrient composition elicit non-substantial
256 changes in body weight. These alterations are assumed to be secondary to changes in ECF and glycogen
257 that follow from adjustments in the diet's sodium content and carbohydrate intake.^{4, 7} The EBT also claims
258 that body composition alterations, under these circumstances, are expected to be small but to occur in
259 parallel to the amount of dietary fat intake (see Figure 2 C2). Consequently, from the EBT perspective, the
260 MBM simulations (see Figure 2 A and C2) are unrealistic, as body weight and body fat alterations are much
261 greater than those predicted when energy balance is assumed to be present. If such an argument is
262 definitive, then the accuracy of EBT predictions should always exceed those made by the MBM. Figure 3
263 illustrates, however, that this is *clearly* not the case.

264 Moreover, the EBT implicitly asserts that blood leptin concentration should be minimally affected when
265 arbitrary subject switches from a weight-maintenance standard diet to an isocaloric ketogenic diet since, as
266 argued by this theory, under such conditions fat mass decline is insignificant (see Figure 3 A2). In contrast,
267 the MBM predicts a substantial drop in leptin levels since this model indicates that this type of isocaloric
268 exchange results in a substantial drop in fat mass. Indeed, a recent well-controlled feeding trial by Kong *et*
269 *al.*³ showed that the interchange of a weight-preserving normal diet for an isocaloric ketogenic diet evokes
270 a significant reduction in leptin levels.

271 In addition, the MBM simulations on pancreatic β -cell death are consistent with a review of case histories
272 from the pre-insulin era illustrating that VLCDs could result in some weight gain after the onset of T1D.¹⁶
273 Elliot Proctor Joslin, for example, was the first United States medical doctor that specialized in diabetes
274 treatment during this era. Although anecdotal, one of his diabetic patients, Mary H., reportedly gained
275 nearly 3kg of body weight while consuming a diet containing solely protein and fat. The EBT simulations, in
276 contrast, do not predict such therapeutic effect.

277 Numerous examples in the weight management literature show that the amount of weight loss is far
278 greater in low-carbohydrate diets (LCDs) compared to isocaloric low-fat diets (LFDs).^{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12} According
279 to the EBT, this can only occur if the energy expenditure under LCDs is larger than that in LFDs. However, in
280 many of these dietary interventions non-significant differences are found between the energy expenditures
281 of these diets.^{7, 12, 17, 18, 19} Researchers that subscribe to the EBT, therefore, argue that in such cases the
282 most likely explanation of the observed superior weight loss is the result of energy intake underreporting

283 by low-fat dieters.¹² This claim has encountered minimal opposition since it is widely accepted that the
284 majority of the energy intake recording devices (e.g., self-reported food records) are biased toward
285 underestimation.^{5, 6} (**For further discussion, see Supplementary File 1**). Two alternative models, however,
286 may explain the apparent weight loss advantage of LCDs over isocaloric LFDs: the carbohydrate-insulin
287 model (CIM) of obesity²⁰ and the MBM⁴ (**See also Supplementary File 3**).

288 The CIM postulates that high-carbohydrate intake elevates insulin levels leading to the activation of
289 complex neuroendocrine responses that drive body fat deposition, increase appetite and decrease energy
290 expenditure¹⁷; which, according to the EBT, explains the persistent weight gain over time observed in obese
291 subjects. Conversely, LCDs, by significantly decreasing circulating insulin levels, should increase energy
292 expenditure by augmenting fat oxidation, which – as argued by CIM advocates – accounts for the greater
293 and faster weight loss observed in feeding trails of LCDs vs. isocaloric LFDs. The above claims are open to
294 discussion, however, as evidence shows that obese individuals manifest a highly elevated energy
295 expenditure relative to normal weight subjects²¹, plus, as already mentioned, the predominant evidence
296 indicates that no significant energy expenditures differences exist between diets.^{7, 12, 17, 18, 19} A recent meta-
297 analysis by Ludwig *et al.*²² suggests that an adaptation period of at least 14 days may be required in order
298 to LCDs to elevate energy expenditure. If this is the case, then one would expect a slow decay of the
299 respiratory quotient (RQ) toward 0.71 since this would reflect the gradual metabolic dependence on fat as
300 the main energy fuel. In ketogenic diets, however, the RQ reaches a steady state within the first week and
301 continuous to be stable for at least three more weeks.¹⁷ Taken together, it seems that the LCD-enhanced
302 weight loss is unlikely to be a consequence of an augmented energy expenditure as proposed by the CIM.

303 In contrast, the MBM describes body weight fluctuations as a **mass imbalance problem**. Specifically, when
304 macronutrient mass intake exceeds the excretion of macronutrient oxidation products body weight
305 increases and *vice versa*. Body weight stability is, hence, expected as over time the average consumed mass
306 equals the average eliminated mass. Our model arrives from the following long-standing observations:

307 **1. The physiological/biochemical activity that decreases body weight is the excretion of oxidation**
308 **products and not energy expenditure.**²³ This is exemplified in the oxidation of a “general” triglyceride
309 molecule:

310

311 The mass entering this reaction is (in g/mol)

312

313 whereas mass exiting the reaction is only present in the reaction products

314

315 and not in the dissipated heat (i.e., Calories). Therefore, body mass decreases as the body excretes or
316 eliminates oxidation products but not as consequence of the heat content in the energy expenditure.

317 **2. The food property that has the capacity to increases body weight is its macronutrient mass and not its**
318 **potential nutritional energy.** For instance, the absorption and retention within body cells of 1 gram of fat,
319 carbohydrate or protein will increase body mass by exactly 1 gram. This observation is independent of the
320 potential caloric content ascribe to the macronutrient; according to the Law of Conservation of Mass, the

321 absorbed macronutrient mass cannot be destroyed and, thus, it will contribute to total body mass as long
322 as it remains within the body. Such contribution ends, however, when the macronutrient is eliminated from
323 the body either as products of metabolic oxidation (e.g., H₂O and CO₂) or in other forms (e.g., shedding of
324 dead skin cells fill with keratin protein).

325 **3. From points 1 and 2 it follows that body weight fluctuations can be described by the difference**
326 **between daily mass intake and daily mass excretion.** Although at first sight this statement may seem not
327 to have far-reaching consequences, Arencibia-Albite⁴ has shown that when translated into mathematical
328 form it fits body weight and fat mass data from isocaloric diet trails of LCDs vs. LFDs, and also results in
329 predictions that are not evident from the qualitative inspection of points 1, 2 and 3. This work further
330 substantiates such predictions and demonstrates that MBM-based simulations result in highly realistic
331 forecasts in settings where the EBT-based simulations collapse.

332 The energy picture of body weight dynamics explains body fat fluctuations as the balance between fat
333 intake and fat oxidation. The EBT cannot foresee, therefore, that a LCD may lead to more fat loss than an
334 isocaloric LFD since the fat balance in the latter appears to be more negative than in the former.⁷ A
335 multitude of feeding studies^{3, 9,11,12} suggest, however, this is not the case as the contrary is a more frequent
336 observation. In the mass picture, in contrast, body fat fluctuations are not only dependent on fat intake and
337 oxidation but other routes contribute as well to fat loss. In LCDs, for instance, the elevated fatty acid
338 oxidation leads, in hepatocytes, to high cytoplasmic HMG-CoA levels which are rapidly reduced to
339 mevalonic acid for cholesterol production. The characteristic excess cholesterol of LCDs²⁴, in turn, is then
340 eliminated in in feces as bile acids. Additionally, in LCDs, carbon atoms from fatty acid breakdown circulate
341 in the blood stream as high acetoacetate levels which can exit the body either in the urine or through
342 breathing when spontaneously decarboxylated to acetone. The afore mentioned processes may thus be
343 responsible for the greater fat loss in LCDs vs. isocaloric LFD even if fat oxidation is greater in LFDs as
344 suggested by short-term (e.g., 6 days) studies.⁷ Consequently, in the light of this theoretical study, the
345 above argument suggests that the variable that better accounts for body fat fluctuations is mass and not
346 energy.

347 Some readers may wonder why we completely ignored almost any *epidemiological* data as it relates to
348 obesity and energy intake and – thus – to the debate of relative merits of the EBT vs. the MBM. Memory-
349 based nutritional epidemiology (MBNE) supposedly examines the role of nutrition in the etiology of
350 diseases, including obesity. Although there are certain strong defenders of this dubious branch of
351 epidemiology (i.e., nutritional epidemiology people at Harvard School of Public Health), we tend to agree
352 with Ioannidis that this branch needs “radical reform”.²⁵ In fact, almost all central assumptions coming
353 from the MBNE field have been refuted in rigorously controlled feeding trials.

354 **Conclusions**

355 Implicit in the EBT discourse is that body fat accumulates only if fat intake exceeds fat oxidation, even
356 under dietary energy restriction, which explains why this theory argues in favor of LFDs as the optimal fat
357 loss dietary treatment.⁷ Such point of view is clearly captured in Figure 2 C2 and Figure 3 A2; however,
358 numerous examples in experimental literature indicates the opposite. The MBM, on the other hand, is
359 highly consistent with these data suggesting that the relevant variable for weight fluctuation is mass and
360 not energy. Our model by its *razor-sharp* yet *simple* logic, seems to be perfectly able to account for the
361 deferential weight loss among isocaloric diets. The obesity community is trying to explain such

362 “mysterious” findings by invoking complex signaling pathways that completely ignore the *fundamental rule*
363 in science: the Occam's razor (*novacula Occami*), i.e., the simplest explanation is usually the right one. More
364 specifically, "entities should not be multiplied without necessity", a notion attributed to English Franciscan
365 friar William of Ockham. Nevertheless, we are certainly not claiming that our model is definitive (or
366 downplaying the emergent and promising therapeutic value of LCDs), but rather to stimulate researchers
367 around the world to acknowledge that the widely accepted EBT is incorrect.

368 The daily intake of macronutrient mass is, obviously, influenced by the ever-present interplay between the
369 environment and genes; thus, it is important to realize that the MBM makes no claims regarding the
370 *behavioral* aspects of obesity. Certainly, food processing, distribution, marketing, education, etc. plays a big
371 role in current obesity epidemics.

372 “We make [biological] modeling because it helps us in our attempted understanding of the intricate system.
373 It breaks down the set of objects (e.g., macronutrients) and phenomenon too complex [e.g., obesity] to be
374 grasped in their entirities into smaller realms that can be dealt one by one [as was done here by the MBM
375 simulations]. There is nothing objective “true” about such models; the only proper criterion of value is their
376 usefulness.”

377 —Isaac Asimow, *The Human Body: It's Structure and Operation* (1963); cited in *Engineering Physiology:*
378 *Bases of Human Factors Engineering/Ergonomics*, Springer Nature, 2020.

379

380 **Authors contribution**

381 Study concept and designing: Manninen, Arencibia-Albite

382 Acquisition of data: Manninen, Arencibia-Albite

383 Statistical analysis and interpretation of data: Manninen, Arencibia-Albite

384 Drafting the manuscript: Manninen, Arencibia-Albite

385 Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Manninen, Arencibia-Albite

386 Administrative, technical or material support: Manninen, Arencibia-Albite.

387 Study supervision: N/A.

388

389 **Acknowledgements**

390

391 This has been a time-consuming and cliff-hanging research project with both brains working in overdrive
392 almost around a clock. Fortunately, we are now about to close the 1st chapter of our MBM research
393 project.

394 We would like to thank our families for support and care; our numerous colleagues for stimulating
395 discussions; and *medRxiv* for providing excellent customer service.

396

397 **Funding sources**

398 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-
399 profit sectors.

400

401 **Declaration of competing interests**

402 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

403

404 **References**

405 1. Hall K.D. Heymsfield S.B. Kemnitz J.W. Klein S. Schoeller D.A. Speakman J.R. Energy balance and its
406 components: implications for body weight regulation. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2012; 95: 989-994.

407 2. Wells J.C.K. Siervo M. Obesity and energy balance: is the tail wagging the dog? *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2011; 65:
408 1173–1189.

409 3. Kong Z, Sun S, Shi Q, Zhang H, Tong TK, Nie J. Short-Term Ketogenic Diet Improves Abdominal Obesity in
410 Overweight/Obese Chinese Young Females. *Front Physiol.* 2020; 11:856.

411 4. Arencibia-Albite F. Serious analytical inconsistencies challenge the validity of the energy balance theory.
412 *Heliyon.* 2020; 6(7):e04204.

413 5. Winkler JT. The fundamental flaw in obesity research. *Obesity Reviews.* 2005; 6:199–202.

414 6. Dhurandhar NV, Schoeller DA, Brown AW, Heymsfield SB, Thomas D, Sørensen TIA, et al. Energy balance
415 measurement: when something is not better than nothing. *International Journal of Obesity.* 2014; 39:
416 1109–1113.

417 7. Hall KD, Bemis T, Brychta TR, Chen KY, Courville A, Crayner EJ, et al. Calorie for Calorie, Dietary Fat
418 Restriction Results in More Body Fat Loss than Carbohydrate Restriction in People with Obesity. *Cell*
419 *Metabolism.* 2015; 22: 531.

420 8. Lean M, Han T, Prvan T, Richmond P, Avenell A. Weight loss with high and low carbohydrate 1200 kcal
421 diets in free living women. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition.* 1997; 51: 243–248.

422 9. Bazzano LA, Tian H, Reynolds K, Yao L, Bunol C, Liu Y, et al. Effects of Low-Carbohydrate and Low-Fat
423 Diets: a randomized trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* 2014; 161: 309.

424 10. Samaha FF, Iqbal N, Seshadri P, Chicano KL, Daily DA, McGrory J, et al.

425 A Low-Carbohydrate as Compared with a Low-Fat Diet in Severe Obesity

- 426 New England Journal of Medicine. 2003; 348: 2074–2081.
- 427 11. Brehm BJ, Seeley RJ, Daniels SR, D'Alessio DA. A Randomized Trial Comparing a Very Low Carbohydrate
428 Diet and a Calorie-Restricted Low Fat Diet on Body Weight and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Healthy
429 Women. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2003; 88: 1617–1623.
- 430 12. Brehm BJ, Spang SE, Lattin BL, Seeley RJ, Daniels RS, D'Alessio DA. The Role of Energy Expenditure in the
431 Differential Weight Loss in Obese Women on Low-Fat and Low-Carbohydrate Diets. The Journal of Clinical
432 Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2005; 90: 1475–1482.
- 433 13. WEIR JB. New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to protein metabolism. J
434 Physiol. 1949;109(1-2):1-9.
- 435 14. Hall KD, Sacks G, Chandramohan D, Chow CC, Wang YC, Gortmaker SL, Swinburn BA. Quantification of
436 the effect of energy imbalance on bodyweight. Lancet. 2011;378(9793):826-37.
- 437 15. Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA, Scott BJ, Daugherty SA, Koh YO. A new predictive equation for resting
438 energy expenditure in healthy individuals. Am J Clin Nutr. 1990; 51(2):241-7.
- 439 16. Westman E.C. Yancy W.S. Humphreys M. Dietary treatment of diabetes mellitus in the pre-insulin era
440 (1914-1922). Perspect Biol Med. 2006; 49: 77-83.
- 441 17. Hall KD, Chen KY, Guo J, Lam YY, Leibel RL, Mayer LE, Reitman ML, Rosenbaum M, Smith SR, Walsh BT,
442 Ravussin E. Energy expenditure and body composition changes after an isocaloric ketogenic diet in
443 overweight and obese men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(2):324-33.
- 444 18. Hall KD, Guo J. Obesity Energetics: Body Weight Regulation and the Effects of Diet Composition.
445 Gastroenterology. 2017; 152(7):1718-1727.e3.
- 446 19. Hall KD, Guo J, Speakman JR. Do low-carbohydrate diets increase energy expenditure? Int J Obes (Lond).
447 2019; 43(12):2350-2354.
- 448 20. Ludwig DS, Ebbeling CB. The Carbohydrate-Insulin Model of Obesity: Beyond "Calories In, Calories Out".
449 JAMA Intern Med. 2018; 178(8):1098-1103.
- 450 21. Das SK, Saltzman E, McCrory MA, Hsu LK, Shikora SA, Dolnikowski G, Kehayias JJ, Roberts SB. Energy
451 expenditure is very high in extremely obese women. J Nutr. 2004;134(6):1412-6.
- 452 22. Ludwig DS, Dickinson SL, Henschel B, Ebbeling CB, Allison DB. Do Lower-Carbohydrate Diets Increase
453 Total Energy Expenditure? An Updated and Reanalyzed Meta-Analysis of 29 Controlled-Feeding Studies. J
454 Nutr. 2020:nxaa350.
- 455 23. Meerman R, Brown AJ. When somebody loses weight, where does the fat go? BMJ. 2014;349:g7257.
- 456 24. Retterstøl K, Svendsen M, Narverud I, Holven KB. Effect of low carbohydrate high fat diet on LDL
457 cholesterol and gene expression in normal-weight, young adults: A randomized controlled study.
458 Atherosclerosis. 2018; 279:52-61.
- 459 25. Ioannidis JPA. The Challenge of Reforming Nutritional Epidemiologic Research. JAMA. 2018 Sep
460 11;320(10):969-970. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.11025.

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479 **“Macronutrient mass intake explains differential weight and fat loss in**
480 **isocaloric diets”**

481 **SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 1**

482 **Additional discussion regarding free-living human feeding studies with**
483 **special reference to Kong *et al.***

484

485 As recently discussed by Hall [1], feeding trials have their own challenges, including – but certainly not
486 limited to – the impracticality and difficulty of randomizing large numbers of free-living subjects to eat
487 different diets for a long period time while ensuring very-high levels of adherence throughout. Although so-
488 called domiciled feeding studies [1] can certainly provide important insights, their “artificial” environment
489 may seriously limit generalizability and applications to free-living individuals, not to mention the fact that
490 this type of studies have become prohibitively expensive to conduct in the United States since the National
491 Institutes of Health (NIH) ceased directly funding Clinical Research Centers [1]. Consequently, well-
492 controlled randomized free-living feeding studies remain the gold standard when it comes to proving
493 causality in the areas of nutrition and metabolism.

494 There are always potential problems with any kind of dietary records; although we know that these records
495 have relatively high error rates, they can be very meaningful – in terms of causality – if 1) the differences in
496 the study arms are large enough; and 2) there are indicators of very good adherence (e.g., the presence of
497 ketone bodies). *A Very-low-carbohydrate diet always win in a well-controlled face-off with an isocaloric
498 high-carbohydrate diet (see the Supplementary File 1 Figure 1). If such a response is not observed, then it is
499 simply not a well-controlled study, as alternative results would indicate a violation of the Law of
500 Conversation of Mass (see Supplementary File 2).*

501 Researchers guided by the erroneous EBT, however, tend to automatically assume that the subjects on the
502 very-low-carb arm must have overreported what they actually ate, or the people of the high-carb arm must
503 have underreported what they ate, or both. *How likely is that the very-low-carb arms, time after time,
504 substantially overreport dietary records, whereas the high-carb arms consistently underreport dietary
505 records?* [2]

506 To test the predictive power of both the MBM and the EBT, we decided to utilize the recent free-living
507 feeding data by Kong *et al.* (see **Figures A1, A2, A3, B1, and B2 in the main body of the manuscript**). Their
508 young female subjects were weight-stable for 4 weeks under a “normal diet” and then switched to an
509 isocaloric ketogenic diet for another 4 weeks leading to a substantially reduced body weight (– 2.9 kg) and
510 body fat percentage (– 2.0%), consistent with the MBM.

511 To assure subjects’ adherence to ketogenic diet (KD), Kong *et al.* required that:


- 512 • The subjects measure urinary ketones every day (early morning or after dinner) and record 3-day
513 food diaries (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day) during the experimental period.
- 514 • 3-day food diaries were kept by all subjects for 8 weeks.
- 515 • All subjects were given in advance “thorough instructions” on how to estimate portion sizes and
516 record food/beverages intake on food composition tables.
- 517 • Subjects were asked to report to the laboratory every week to assess changes in body weight and
518 hand in the logbook with dietary records.
- 519 • Energy and macronutrient distribution were calculated by the same dietician using the nutrition
520 analysis and management system; diet compliance was evaluated based on the results of the
521 urinary ketones and food diaries, and subjects received follow-up dietary advice and counseling
522 individually from the dietician.

523 *In summary, we believe it is safe to conclude that the energy intake values in a report by Kong et al. are
524 about as reliable as it gets.* Of course, one can claim – and some certainly do – that such free-living feeding

525 studies are not well-controlled. If we follow such criteria, how many “well-controlled” studies we have on
526 dietary supplements, or pharmaceuticals, or other interventions lasting longer than few weeks? Zero.

527 Already in 1971, Young *et al.* [3] compared 3 diets that contained the same amount of calories (1800
528 kcal/d) and protein (115g/d) but that differed in carbohydrate content. After 9 weeks on the 30g, 60g, and
529 104g carbohydrate diets, weight loss was 16.2kg, 12.8kg, and 11.9kg and fat accounted for 95%, 84%, and
530 75% of the weight loss, respectively. Thus, the authors concluded, “[w]eight loss, fat loss, and percent of
531 weight loss as fat appeared to be inversely related to the level of carbohydrate in the isocaloric, isoprotein
532 diets”, consistent with the MBM (When the energy fraction from dietary fat *increases*, while energy intake
533 is clamped, mass intake *decreases* due to the significantly higher energy density of fat in contrast to other
534 substrates). And since these authors were also guided by the erroneous EBT, “[n]o adequate explanation
535 can be given for weight loss differences.” To our knowledge, no one has found flaws in the trial by Young *et*
536 *al.* It is worth noting that they utilized underwater weighing (i.e., hydrodensitometry) to determine body
537 composition, which is more accurate than other widely available methods of body composition testing.
538 When performed properly, underwater weighing can be accurate to 1.8 to 2.8% compared to the state-of-
539 the-art methods (e.g., magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], computed tomography [CT]).

540 Modern studies have reported similar findings. For example, a randomized, balanced, free living feeding
541 study by Volek *et al.* [4] compared the effects of isocaloric and energy-restricted very-low-carbohydrate
542 (ketogenic) and low-fat diets on weight loss and body composition in overweight and obese men and
543 women. Despite a significantly greater energy intake with the ketogenic diet than with the low-fat diet
544 (1855 kcal/d vs. 1562 kcal/d), both the between- and within-group comparisons revealed a quite distinct
545 weight and fat loss advantage of a ketogenic diet (**See Supplementary File 1 Figure**
546 **2**).



547

548 [FIGURE LEGEND STARTS] Supplementary File 1 Figure 2. A 2004 well-controlled free-living feeding trial
 549 published by Volek *et al.* [4]. This interesting figure illustrates Individual differences between total fat loss
 550 on a very low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet (VLCK or VLCKD) minus total fat loss on a low-fat (LF) diet for
 551 each person. Positive numbers reflect greater fat loss on the VLCK, whereas negative numbers indicate
 552 greater fat loss on the LF diet. Red circles = order of diets VLCK then LF. Blue diamonds = order of diets LF
 553 then VLCK. Like all such studies, the report by Volek *et al.* demonstrate that there is always “noise” in any
 554 complex biological variable such as food ingestion. Based on this data, it seems that a ketogenic diet (VLCKD
 555 or VLCK) is more palatable to men than women, as five men showed a >10 pounds difference in weight loss.
 556 What is clear is that every time the human body oxidizes, for example, 1 g of glucose, the heat released by
 557 the body (~4kcal) simply can NOT change as a function of one’s biological sex. Thus, the mass balance
 558 model (MBM) applies identically to both (or all) biological sexes. There are well-established differences in
 559 food intake regulation between biological sexes; for example, food cravings – and especially carbohydrate
 560 cravings – may change significantly during the menstrual cycle. However, *the MBM makes no claims*
 561 *regarding the behavioral aspects of obesity whatsoever*. Thus, further discussion of this topic is clearly
 562 outside of scope of this paper. Reproduced, with permission, from [4]. LF = low-fat diet. [FIGURE LEGEND
 563 STARTS]

564

565 Since it is widely – and mistakenly – assumed that the fundamental cause of obesity is an energy imbalance
 566 between calories consumed and calories expended, it was logical to recommend a low-fat diet for weight
 567 management and obesity treatment. However, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination
 568 Surveys (NHANES) indicate a large increase in carbohydrate intake as a major contributor to “caloric

569 excess” (in reality, macronutrient mass excess) in the United States from 1974 to 2000, whereas the
570 absolute amount of fat decreased for men during this period and showed only a slight increase for women
571 (See Supplementary File 1 Figure 3). And it was during this very period when the incidence of obesity
572 raised to its current epidemic proportions, consistent with the mass balance model (MBM) predictions.

573 It is perhaps reasonable to look outside controlled feeding trials: where does this passion for drastic
574 carbohydrate restriction comes from? Over the years, numerous experts have warned that low-
575 carbohydrate diets are dangerous and ineffective yet a huge number of consumers nowadays believe that
576 the so-called “official” high-carbohydrate recommendations are – in general – useless in terms of obesity
577 treatment and weight management. As pointed out by Philip K. Dick, reality is that which, when you stop
578 believing in it, does not go away.

579 Finally, as discussed out by Ludwig in his well-written letter [6], a recent meta-analysis [7] indicates that
580 high glycemic index (GI) and high glycemic load (GL) diets increase the risk for type 2 diabetes among high-
581 risk populations. The authors of the meta-analysis rightly concluded that “the cumulative effect from large
582 quantities of high GI foods may ... nullify cereal fiber's protective effect”. [7]. Simply put,
583 “[o]verweight/obese females could shift their carbohydrate intake for higher cereal fiber to decrease T2DM
584 risk, but higher GL may cancel-out this effect.” [7] Its must be crystal clear as Finland Vodka – from the
585 perspective of evolutionary biology – that staple grain consumption has no precedent in human evolution
586 until very recently [6]; see also [11].

587 Leroy and Garwin [8] remind us that we generally take it for granted that any animal will flourish best on a
588 diet that roughly resembles the one to which it was adapted. It is extremely unlikely that *Homo sapiens*
589 turns out to be the only exception to this generally accepted biological principle. As was stressed in a highly
590 influential 1973 essay by the evolutionary biologist Theodosius Dobzhansky, “Nothing in Biology Makes
591 Sense Except in the Light of Evolution”. [e.g., 9,10]

592


593 References

- 594 1. Hall KD. Challenges of human nutrition research. *Science* 2020 Mar 20;367(6484):1298-1300. doi:
595 10.1126/science.aba3807.
- 596 2. Public Health Collaboration. Randomised [sic] Controlled Trials Comparing Low-Carb Diets Of Less Than
597 130g Carbohydrate Per Day To Low-Fat Diets Of Less Than 35% Fat Of Total Calories.
598 https://phcuk.org/rcts/?fbclid=IwAR0ZPiJs_Bo4dO6ipt3u1QKmxYcizazQNtuZPc0bopBzMS9BWnENcAy6Z5A
599 (accessed 11/01/2021)
- 600 3. Young CM, Scanlan SS, Im HS, Lutwak L. Effect of body composition and other parameters in obese young
601 men of carbohydrate level of reduction diet. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1971;24:290–6.
- 602 4. Volek JS, Sharman MJ, Gómez AI et al. Comparison of energy-restricted very low-carbohydrate and low-
603 fat diets on weight loss and body composition in overweight men and women. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. 2004
604 Nov 8;1(1):13. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-1-13.
- 605 5. Feinman RD, Pogozelski WK, Astrup A et al. Dietary carbohydrate restriction as the first approach in
606 diabetes management: critical review and evidence base. *Nutrition* 2015 Jan;31(1):1-13.

- 607 6. Ludwig DS. Reply to S Joshi. *Nutr.* 2020 Oct 12;150(10):2836-2837. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxaa214.
- 608 7. Hardy DS, Garvin JT, Xu H. Carbohydrate quality, glycemic index, glycemic load and cardiometabolic risks
609 in the US, Europe and Asia: A dose-response meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2020 Jun
610 9;30(6):853-871. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2019.12.050. Epub 2020 Jan 13.
- 611 8. Leroy F, Cofnas N. Should dietary guidelines recommend low red meat intake? *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.*
612 2020;60(16):2763-2772. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2019.1657063. Epub 2019 Sep 5.
- 613 9. Calabrese EJ, Agathokleous E. Theodosius Dobzhansky's view on biology and evolution v.2.0: "Nothing in
614 biology makes sense except in light of evolution and evolution's dependence on hormesis-mediated
615 acquired resilience that optimizes biological performance and numerous diverse short and longer term
616 protective strategies". *Environ Res.* 2020 Jul;186:109559. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.109559. Epub 2020
617 Apr 21.
- 618 10. Varki A. Nothing in medicine makes sense, except in the light of evolution *J Mol Med (Berl).* 2012
619 May;90(5):481-94. doi: 10.1007/s00109-012-0900-5. Epub 2012 Apr 27.
- 620 11. Ludwig DS. The ketogenic diet: Evidence for optimism but high-quality research needed. *J Nutr.* 2020
621 Jun 1;150(6):1354-1359. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxz308.

622

623




624

625

626 [FIGURE LEGEND STARTS] Supplementary File 1 Figure 1. Randomized controlled trials comparing low-
627 carbohydrate diets of less than 130g carbohydrate per day to low-fat diets of less than 35% fat of total
628 calories. For further details, see [2]. A serious question: *How likely is that the very-low-carb arms, time after
629 time, substantially overreport dietary records, whereas the high-carb arms consistently underreport dietary
630 records?* Figure adapted from [2]. [FIGURE LEGEND ENDS]

631



632

633

634 [FIGURE LEGEND STARTS] Supplementary File 1 Figure 3. Estimated macronutrient consumption during the
635 epidemic of obesity and type 2 diabetes. Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
636 (NHANES) by year, and from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Inset: Incidence of diabetes
637 (millions of people with diabetes by indicated year). NHANES suggest a large increase in carbohydrate
638 intake as a major contributor to “caloric excess” (in reality, *macronutrient mass* excess) in the United States
639 from 1974 to 2000, whereas the absolute amount of fat decreased for men during this period and showed
640 only a slight increase for women. It was during this *very* period when the incidence of obesity and diabetes
641 raised to its current epidemic proportions, consistent with the mass balance model (MBM) predictions.
642 Whatever the extent to which the correlation between dietary carbohydrate consumption and
643 diabetes/obesity is causal, *the lack of association between the consumption of dietary fat and diabetes is of
644 real significance*. As pointed out by Feinman *et al.* [5], a lack of association is generally considered strong
645 evidence for a lack of causality. There is no more serious error than mistaking the effect for the cause; in
646 fact, Nietzsche called it “the real corruption of reason (*Twilight of Idols or How One Philosophizes with
647 Hammer, 1888*)”. CHO = carbohydrate; Prot = protein. Reproduced, with permission, from [5]. [FIGURE
648 LEGEND ENDS]

649

650

651

652 **“Macronutrient mass intake explains differential weight and fat loss in**
653 **isocaloric diets”**

654 **SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 2**

655 **Mass balance model (MBM) does not violate any laws of physics**

656

657 In the “Introduction” section of the mainbody of the manuscript, it is briefly clarified that the mass balance
658 model (MBM) does not violate the First Law of Thermodynamics. In this **SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 2**, we
659 further discuss this topic to clear some confusion.

660 As explained by Arencibia-Albite [1], it is possible for an open system, such as the human body, to be at
661 mass balance while the system experiences a persistent energy imbalance; that is, energy balance may be
662 positive ($\Delta E > 0$) or negative ($\Delta E < 0$) yet the **mass change** that may occur during energy flux is not required
663 by the First Law of Thermodynamics (i.e., the Law of Conservation of Energy) to mirror the energy balance
664 **direction**.

665 *Thus, it is clear that the MBM does not violate the First Law of Thermodynamics.*

666 The energy balance theory (EBT), in contrast, ignores the Law of Conservation of Mass [2]. This Law dates
667 from Antoine Lavoisier’s 1789 discovery that mass is neither created nor destroyed in any chemical
668 reaction; hence, the O_2 mass that enters cellular respiration plus the mass of macronutrients that served as
669 energy fuel will equal the mass of the excreted oxidation products. Daily weight loss is, therefore, the result
670 of daily the elimination of oxidation products (CO_2 , H_2O , urea, etc.) and not a consequence of the heat
671 release upon nutrient combustion (i.e., daily energy expenditure).

672 The **Supplementary File Figure 1** illustrates an example of how weight stability happens concomitant to a
673 persistent and significant energy imbalance. The reader may wonder how we are certain that is not
674 possible to obtain a set of mass-in/mass-out values that result in the expected coincidence of mass balance
675 with energy balance? Arencibia-Albite [1], using linear algebra, analyzed under what conditions mass
676 balance concur with energy balance and found that both **ONLY** coexist if the following three conditions are
677 simultaneously satisfied:

678
$$\text{average absorbed fat mass} = \text{average oxidized fat mass}$$

679
$$\text{average absorbed carbohydrate mass} = \text{average oxidized carbohydrate mass}$$

680
$$\text{average absorbed protein mass} = \text{average oxidized protein mass}$$

681 For example, if

682 $65\text{g} = \text{average absorbed fat mass} = \text{average oxidized fat mass} = 65\text{g}$

683 $250\text{g} = \text{average absorbed carbohydrate mass} = \text{average oxidized carbohydrate mass} = 250\text{g}$

684 $85\text{g} = \text{average absorbed protein mass} = \text{average oxidized protein mass} = 85\text{g}$

685 Then,

686 $\text{Average mass in} = 65\text{g} + 250\text{g} + 85\text{g} = \text{Average mass out}$

687 And, hence


688 $\text{Average EI} = (4.7\text{kcal/g}) \times 65\text{g} + (4.2\text{kcal/g}) \times 250 + (9.4\text{kcal/g}) \times 85\text{g} = \text{Average EE} = 2\,154.5\text{kcal}$

689 The reason why this is the only way in which weight stability and energy balance coincide lies outside
690 biochemistry and physiology. In mathematics and physics any well-defined problem, such as the
691 coincidence of weight stability and energy balance, has one and only one possibility:

- 692 1. The problem is unsolvable.
- 693 2. The problem has one and only one solution.
- 694 3. The problem has many solutions.

695 Thus, not all well-defined problems can be solved and if they do it may be true that it has exactly one
696 solution. A classic example is the famous Königsberg bridge problem that in 1736 the Swiss mathematician
697 Leonard Euler was able to demonstrate, without any doubt, that the problem solution did not exist leading
698 to the birth of graph theory, a branch of mathematics with many important applications. Consequently, as
699 demonstrated by Arencibia-Albite [1], the simultaneous satisfaction of these three requirements is what
700 guarantees that weight stability happens together with energy balance and NO OTHER WAY IS POSSIBLE.
701 Consequently, body weight stability must coincide with a persistent energy imbalance, since, as exemplified
702 in the Supplementary File 2 Figure 1, the constitutive processes of gluconeogenesis and *de novo* lipogenesis
703 impede the simultaneous satisfaction of afore mentioned conditions.

704



705

706

707 [FIGURE LEGEND STARTS] Supplementary File 2 Figure 1. Mass balance can occur in energy imbalance.
 708 Here we illustrate hypothetical macronutrient mass input vs. output pattern that achieves *weight*
 709 *stability without energy balance*. Circles represent macronutrient (protein [P], carbohydrate [C], fat [F])
 710 body reserves. The left dashed box contains the daily average input mass, whereas the right box encloses
 711 the daily average output mass. Energy densities are as follows: $\rho_F = 9.4 \text{ kcal/g}$, $\rho_C = 4.2 \text{ kcal/g}$, $\rho_P = 4.7$
 712 kcal/g . While mass balance is achieved, energy balance is NOT since some of the absorbed or stored protein
 713 (amino acids) may be transformed into glucose (gluconeogenesis, GNG) or lost through energy
 714 expenditure-independent routes DNL = *de novo* lipogenesis. [FIGURE LEGEND ENDS].

715 References

716 1 Arencibia-Albite F. Serious analytical inconsistencies challenge the validity of the energy balance theory.
 717 Heliyon. 2020 Jul 10;6(7):e04204. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04204. eCollection 2020 Jul.

718 2. Sterner RW, Small GE, Hood JM. (2011) The Conservation of Mass. Nature Education Knowledge
 719 3(10):20.

720

721

722

723

724

725

726 **“Macronutrient mass intake explains deferential weight and fat loss in isocaloric**
727 **diets”**

728 **SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 3**

729 **Energy efficiency in weight loss diets**

730 Contrary what the late Dr. Atkins taught, numerous prominent obesity experts have strictly, if not
731 fanatically, maintained that a isocaloric very-low-carbohydrate/high-fat diet cannot lead to a greater weight
732 loss than a isocaloric high-carbohydrate/low-fat diet – except for the small changes in body fluids – because
733 it would somehow violate the laws of thermodynamics. This is, of course, *not* the case (**See Supplementary**
734 **File 2**).

735 Where does the greater weight loss (**See Supplementary File 1**) comes from? Some claim that it is mostly
736 water weight, but this is only true initially. Water loss occurs due to glycogen depletion [1]; however, in the
737 long term, fat loss is a substantial portion (**See the main body of the paper**). Others have claimed that a
738 very-low-carbohydrate diet leads to progressive loss of muscle mass, but this assumption is clearly
739 fallacious [e.g., 2,3,4].

740 Regarding the main topic of this **Supplementary File 3**, It has been suggested that “[t]he greater weight
741 [and fat] loss on carbohydrate restricted diets, popularly referred to as metabolic advantage can thus be
742 understood in terms of the principles of nonequilibrium thermodynamics and is a consequence of the
743 dynamic nature of bioenergetics where it is important to consider kinetic as well as thermodynamic
744 variables.” [5] (See also [6]). In his latest paper [7], Feinman states that “[e]nergetic inefficiency, substrate
745 cycling and demands of gluconeogenesis support observed advantages for weight [and fat] loss.”

746 In our humble opinion, Feinman and Fine [5,6,7] fail to recognize that efficacy is NOT a matter of
747 incomplete oxidation of substrates; rather, it is about the way the body uses the available fuel resources.
748 Let say you start to run 5km every day and you will soon realize that you can complete the same distance in
749 same time (let say 0.5 h) but with a smaller amount of heartbeats. Now the body has adapted to this
750 cardiovascular stress physiologically, biochemically and – in the long run – anatomically – and thus has
751 become *more efficient fuel utilizer*. That is, your body can achieve the same running average speed
752 (5km/0.5 h=10km/h) utilizing less fuel. However, every time the body oxidizes 1 g of glucose while running
753 the heat released by the body continues to be ~4kcal, which will not change as you age or as a function of
754 your genome, epigenome, or proteome.

755 *Body chemistry – and thus body mass – is all about detailed mass balances, not about energy conservation.*
756 Mass balance model (MBM) maintains that a low-carbohydrate (LCD) is more effective in minimizing the
757 daily macronutrient mass intake relative to a high-carbohydrate diet (HCD), and – consequently – a LCD
758 manifests a substantially larger daily weight and fat loss than a HCD. *According to the MBM, weight loss*

759 *advantage of a LCD over HCD is independent of the differences in the physiological effects of the diets (e.g.,*
760 *dietary carbohydrate-induced insulin secretion). Rather, deferential weight loss simply emerges from*
761 *dissimilar macronutrient mass intakes.*

762 ***Answer the question: what do you measure when you stand on the bathroom scale, your body mass or***
763 ***your energy?***

764 On the other hand, we agree with Feinman's [6] recent conclusion that the control of the glucose-insulin
765 axis, via drastic carbohydrate restriction, allows improvement in *all* of the markers of metabolic syndrome
766 and provides methods for remission and reversal of type 2 diabetes [See **Supplementary File Figure 1**].

767 To be fair, one of us (AHM) must admit being "guilty" of suggesting similar ideas about the "metabolic
768 advantage" of LCDs as Feinman and Fine [e.g., 8]. Sometimes it just happens that the whole knowledge
769 structure turns out to be flawed and - thus - has to be abandoned. *The whole game needs to be changed.*
770 However, correcting a mistake always requires sacrifice. If the mistake has been great, so must be the
771 sacrifice. And if the truth has been denied for a very long time, a dangerous amount of sacrificial debt may
772 have been accumulated [9].

773 The take-home message of this **Supplementary File 3** is that contrary what Coca-Cola-sponsored
774 researchers (e.g., Global Energy Balance Network; GEBN) have propagated [e.g., 10, 11, 12], the most
775 "cost-effective" way to gain body weight/body fat is to ingest pure carbohydrate-based energy-providing
776 mass (EPM), i.e., sugars, syrup and/or starch. It does not really matter which one in terms of body
777 weight/body fat.

778

779 **References**

780 1. Fernández-Elías VE, Ortega JF, Nelson RK et al. Ricardo Mora-Rodriguez. Relationship between muscle
781 water and glycogen recovery after prolonged exercise in the heat in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2015
782 Sep;115(9):1919-26. doi: 10.1007/s00421-015-3175-z. Epub 2015 Apr 25.

783 2. Manninen AH. Very-low-carbohydrate diets and preservation of muscle mass. *Nutr Metab (Lond).* 2006
784 Jan 31;3:9. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-3-9.

785 3. Rondanelli M, Faliva MA 2, Gasparri C et al. Current opinion on dietary advice in order to preserve fat-
786 free mass during a low-calorie diet. *Nutrition.* 2020 Apr;72:110667. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2019.110667. Epub
787 2019 Dec 5.

788 4. Casanueva FF, Castellana M 3 Bellido D et al. Ketogenic diets as treatment of obesity and type 2 diabetes
789 mellitus. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord.* 2020 Sep;21(3):381-397. doi: 10.1007/s11154-020-09580-7.


790 5. Feinman RD, Fine EJ. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics and energy efficiency in weight loss diets. *Theor*
791 *Biol Med Model.* 2007; 4: 27. Published online 2007 Jul 30. doi: 10.1186/1742-4682-4-27.

792 6. Feinman RD. Beyond "a Calorie is a Calorie": An Introduction to Thermodynamics. In: *Nutrition in Crisis:*
793 *Flawed Studies, Misleading Advice, and the Real Science of Human Metabolism.* Chelsea Green Publishing,
794 2019.

- 795 7. Feinman RD. The biochemistry of low-carbohydrate and ketogenic diets. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes*
796 *Obes.* 2020 Oct;27(5):261-268. doi: 10.1097/MED.0000000000000575.
- 797 8. Antonio J, Manninen AH. Eating to Improve Body Composition. In: *Essentials of Sports Nutrition and*
798 *Supplements.* Humana Press, 2008.
- 799 9. Peterson JB. *12 Rules for Life: An Antidote to Chaos.* Random House Canada, 2018.
- 800 10. Barlow P, Serôdio P, Ruskin G et al.. Science organisations and Coca-Cola's 'war' with the public health
801 community: insights from an internal industry document *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2018
802 Sep;72(9):761-763. doi: 10.1136/jech-2017-210375. Epub 2018 Mar 14.
- 803 11. Greenhalgh S Inside ILSI: How Coca-Cola, Working through Its Scientific Nonprofit, Created a Global
804 Science of Exercise for Obesity and Got It Embedded in Chinese Policy (1995-2015). *Health Polit Policy Law.*
805 2020 Sep 16;8802174. doi: 10.1215/03616878-8802174. Online ahead of print.
- 806 12. Serodio P, Ruskin G, McKee M et al. Evaluating Coca-Cola's attempts to influence public health 'in their
807 own words': analysis of Coca-Cola emails with public health academics leading the Global Energy Balance
808 Network. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020 Oct;23(14):2647-2653. doi: 10.1017/S1368980020002098. Epub 2020
809 Aug 3.

810

811



Low carbohydrate diets are better than low-GI diets or high cereal diets for weight loss, HbA1c, triglycerides and HDL. Data from Westman, *et al* (2008) *Nutr Metab (Lond)*, 5 (36). and Jenkins, *et al* (2008), *JAMA* 300: 2742-2753.

812

813 **[FIGURE LEGEND STARTS] Supplementary File 3 Figure 1.** Low-carbohydrate diets are more effective for
814 weight loss, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), triglycerides (TG) and high-density lipoprotein than low-glycemic
815 (GI) or high cereal diets, all of which are consistent with the MBM. CHO = carbohydrate; Total-C = total
816 cholesterol; LDL = low-density lipoprotein. Preproduced, with permission, from [6]. **[FIGURE LEGEND ENDS]**

817

818

819 **“Macronutrient mass intake explains differential weight and fat loss in isocaloric**
820 **diets”**

821 **SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 4**

822 **A re-analysis of the Hall *et al.* paper “Calorie for Calorie, Dietary Fat Restriction Results in More**
823 **Body Fat Loss than Carbohydrate Restriction in People with Obesity”**

824 In 2015, Hall *et al.* published a highly influential paper [1] entitled “Calorie for Calorie, Dietary Fat
825 Restriction Results in More Body Fat Loss than Carbohydrate Restriction in People with Obesity” in *Cell*
826 *Metabolism*. The authors restricted dietary carbohydrates vs. dietary fat for 6 days following a 5 day
827 baseline diet in 19 obese adults confined to a metabolic ward where they exercised daily. Subjects
828 were given both isocaloric diets, the carbohydrate restricted diet (RC) or the fat restricted diet (RF), in
829 random order during each of two stays in a ward. Data seems to suggest that that RF resulted in a
830 greater rate of body fat loss compared to isocaloric RC.

831 We decided to take a closer look and re-analyze the Hall *et al.* data; and we come to the firm conclusion
832 that their conclusion is highly, if not fatally, misleading.

833

834 **1. Hall *et al.* data demonstrate that carbohydrate restricted diet (RC) show no risk of body fat**
835 **accumulation**

836

837 A widespread postulate, defended by the energy balance theory (EBT), is that during underfeeding body fat
838 loss (FL) is given by the following difference:

839

840 $FL = (\text{Fat intake}) - (\text{Net Fat Oxidation})$

841

842 Accordingly, RF is expected to result in a greater FL than an isocaloric RC as fat intake is substantially lower.
843 *It should be noted, however, that this is a reasonable hypothesis only if the net fat oxidation is independent*
844 *of diet macronutrient composition.* If the net fat oxidation increases as fat intake increases (and *vice versa*),
845 FL can be similar among isocaloric diets that vary greatly in dietary fat content. Hall *et al.* data demonstrate
846 that this is indeed the case. Respiratory quotient (RQ) measurements in their Figure 2C, for example,
847 indicate that fat oxidation increases in proportion to dietary fat intake. Consequently, the characteristic
848 high fat content of RC elicits high levels of fat oxidation, which counterbalances the high fat intake avoiding
849 excessive body fat deposition. This interpretation is valid as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)
850 measurements in Table 3 indicate that fat loss in RC was similar to that of RF even though the latter diet

851 contained substantially less fat (Fat intake: RC = 108g/day vs. RF = 17g/day; Fat loss: RC = -0.529 ± 0.13 kg vs.
852 RF = -0.588 ± 0.14 kg, $p = 0.78$). Therefore, it seems that the alleged risk of unwanted fat accumulation with
853 RC diets is highly unlikely and experimentally unjustified.

854

855 **2. The cumulative fat measurements illustrated in Table 3 (or Figure 3D) are artificial quantities**
856 **that do not reflect the actual level of body fat loss.**

857

858 DXA measurements in Hall et al. study are the only direct measurement of fat loss. The presented
859 cumulative fat loss illustrated in Table 3 (or in Figure 3D) is an undirect estimate of fat loss computed
860 according the following formulas (in grams):

861

862 (Daily fat loss) = (Daily Fat Intake) – (Daily Net Fat Oxidation)

863

864 where Daily Fat Oxidation is another estimate calculated by

865

866 (Daily Net Fat Oxidation) = $1.63 \times \text{VO}_2 - 1.64 \times \text{VCO}_2 - 1.84 \times (\text{Urinary Nitrogen})$

867

868 (see Hall *et al.* [1] methods).

869

870 In these formulas, the only precise numeric input is "Daily Fat Intake", whereas the "Daily Net Fat
871 Oxidation" is an *estimate* obtained from *estimates* which unavoidably increases the measurement
872 inaccuracy. Moreover, if an indirect measurement is indeed accurate, it should be very close to the most
873 precise direct measurements available, such as DXA. Consequently, if cumulative fat loss calculations
874 reported by Hall *et al.* are accurate, they should be nearly identical to values measured by DXA, which is
875 clearly NOT the case. *The cumulative fat measurements illustrated in Table 3 (or Figure 3D) are thus*
876 *artificial quantities that do not reflect the actual level of fat loss.*

877

878 Nevertheless, Hall *et al.* try to convince readers that the direction of accuracy is other way around, i.e.,
879 cumulative fat calculations are more accurate than direct DXA fat mass measurements. In the justification
880 of such a proposal, they argue that DXA measurements may be prone to inaccuracies in settings of
881 carbohydrate restriction as this may lead to greater water loss than fat restriction:

882

883 "But even high precision methods, such as DXA, may lack the sensitivity to detect small differences in body
884 fat change... Indeed, retrospective analysis [what analysis?] of our data suggests that the minimal
885 detectable difference between the diets for body fat mass using DXA was ≈ 0.4 kg. Thus, we suspect that the
886 DXA measurements of fat mass change in the present study were insufficiently sensitive to detect
887 differences between the diets. Furthermore, DXA may provide inaccurate results in situations of dynamic
888 weight change and shifting body fluids... This could be especially important with diets differing in their level
889 of carbohydrate restriction since greater losses of body water are likely with lower levels of dietary
890 carbohydrate." [1]

891

892 *It should be noted, however, that DXA fat mass measurements will be mainly unaffected since the amount*
893 *of water present in the body fat compartment is essentially non-existent.* Thus, if any inaccuracy is present,
894 it would be substantially greater for lean mass measurements than for fat mass measurements as total

895 body water is basically localized in the lean mass compartment. This fact, alone, refutes the above
896 mentioned interpretation by Hall *et al.*

897

898 Sensitivity, nonetheless, is an academic issue with minor relevance in the treatment of obesity. Lets
899 assume, as Hall *et al.* claim, that DXA sensitivity is ~0.4kg. This means that if DXA fat mass measurement
900 before a dietary intervention is, let say 35kg, and a particular underfeeding procedure decreases fat mass
901 by exactly 200g (0.2kg) then DXA measurement will still read 35kg as the fat mass change is less than the
902 sensitivity of the instrument. This is, of course, immaterial during typical underfeeding interventions as the
903 fat loss at such procedures substantially exceeds DXA sensitivity.

904

905 **3. Compared to RF, RC resulted in significantly greater weight loss both experimentally and**
906 **computationally**

907

908 Table 3 by Hall *et al.* shows that RC resulted in substantially more weight loss than isocaloric RF (RC:–
909 1.85±0.15kg vs. RF: –1.3±0.16kg, p = 0.022). For instance, the simulation in Figure 3H shows that, over a six
910 month period, RC leads to about 4kg of extra weight loss compared to RF.

911

912

913 **References**

914

915 1. Hall KD, Bemis T, Brychta R, Chen KY, Courville A, Crayner EJ, Goodwin S, Guo J, Howard L, Knuth ND,
916 Miller BV 3rd, Prado CM, Siervo M, Skarulis MC, Walter M, Walter PJ, Yannai L. Calorie for Calorie, Dietary
917 Fat Restriction Results in More Body Fat Loss than Carbohydrate Restriction in People with Obesity. *Cell*
918 *Metab.* 2015 Sep 1;22(3):427-36. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.07.021. Epub 2015 Aug 13. PMID: 26278052;
919 PMCID: PMC4603544.

920

921

922

923 **NOTE:**

924 **As Corresponding Author, I confirm that that all supplementary files have been read and**
925 **approved for submission by all the named authors.**

926 **Sincerely,**

927 **Anssi H. Manninen 23/03/2021**

928

929 **[NOTE THAT THE REFERENCES IN SUPPLEMENTARY FILES ARE SEPARATE FROM THE REFERENCES**
930 **IN THE MAINBODY OF THE MANUSCRIPT. THUS, THEY DO NOT FOLLOW THE SAME REFERENCE**
931 **NUMBERING.]**

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940