Comparative Assessment of the Prevalence, Practices and Factors Associated with Self-medication with Antibiotics in Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Authors

Eugene Vernyuy Yeika^{1*}, Brecht Ingelbeen², Ben-Lawrence Kemah³, Frankline Sevidzem Wirsy⁴, Joseph Nkeangu Fomengia⁵ and Marianne van der Sande^{2,6}

Institutional addresses

¹Bangangte District Hospital, Bangangté, Cameroon; Strategic Humanitarian Services, Bamenda Cameroon

²Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium

³University Hospitals North Midlands, United Kingdom, Health Education & Research Organization (HERO), Cameroon

⁴United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, Yaoundé, Cameroon; Department of Public Health and Hygiene, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon

⁵École de Santé Publique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium; Sintieh Research Academy, Yaoundé, Cameroon

⁶Global Health, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, UMCU, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

Emails

eugenembinglo@gmail.com

bingelbeen@itg.be

kbenlawrence@gmail.com

wirsiysevid2000@yahoo.com

josephfomengia@gmail.com

mvandersande@itg.be

Corresponding Authors

Eugene Vernyuy Yeika, Bangangté District Hospital, Bangangté, Cameroon. eugenembinglo@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

Self-medication with antibiotics (SMA) is a common practise in many parts of Africa, but its magnitude and drivers are not well-known. This systematic review evaluated and compared the prevalence, reasons, sources, and factors associated with of SMA within African sub-regions.

Methods

An electronic search of PubMed and Google Scholar databases was performed for observational studies conducted between January 2005 and February 2020. Two reviewers independently screened the abstracts and full texts using the PRISMA flowchart and equally performed quality assessment. Both quantitative and qualitative syntheses of selected studies were carried out.

Results

A total of 40 studies from 19 countries were selected for qualitative synthesis. The prevalence of SMA in Africa ranged from 12.1% to 93.9% with a median prevalence of 55.7% (IQR 41%-75%). Western Africa was the sub-region with the highest prevalence 70.1% (IQR 48.3%-82.1%), followed by Northern Africa with 48.1% (IQR 41.1-64.3%). We identified 27 different antibiotics used for self-medication from 13 different antibiotic classes. Penicillins were the most frequently used antibiotics followed by Tetracyclines and Fluoroquinolones. The most frequent indications for SMA were upper respiratory tract symptoms/infections (27 studies). Common sources of antibiotics used for self-medication were community pharmacies (31 studies), family/friends (20 studies), leftover antibiotics from previous treatments (19 studies), and patent medicine stores (18 studies). Commonly reported factors associated with SMA were no education/ low educational status (9 studies), male gender (5 studies), and low income / unemployment (2 studies).

Conclusions

The prevalence of SMA in Africa is high and varies across sub-regions with Western Africa having the highest prevalence. Drivers of SMA are complex comprising of socio-economic factors, limited access to healthcare coupled with absence or poorly implemented policies regulating antibiotic sales.

Keywords: Self-Medication with Antibiotics, Prevalence, Practices, Associated Factors, Africa

BACKGROUND

Self-medication with antibiotics (SMA) is the use of antibiotics to treat self-recognized disorders without prior consultation by a qualified health professional (1). SMA can lead to inappropriate antibiotic use and other problems such as masking of underlying symptoms, delaying or misleading diagnoses, drug interactions and accelerating the emergence and spreading of antimicrobial resistance (2–4). The World Health Organization defines inappropriate antibiotic use as using antibiotics without proper indication, or administering wrong dosages, incorrect treatment duration, late or absence of downscaling of treatment, poor adherence to treatment, and use of poor quality or substandard antibiotics (5). In Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMIC), it is estimated that about 80% of antibiotics are used in communities, outside of official health care facilities, of which about 20-50% are used inappropriately (6). Other issues related to SMA are the wastage of economic resources from prolonged treatment

durations due to incorrect management of infections and adverse reactions (1). Africa has been hardest hit by the growing antimicrobial resistance pandemic (7,8). This region carries a high burden of infectious diseases which compounds the growing weight of non-prescription sales and inappropriate use of antibiotics, and thus also its aforementioned challenges notably accelerating antimicrobial resistance (9). It was estimated in 2011 that more than half of the antibiotics used in communities especially in Africa were sold without a prescription (10). This review aimed to evaluate the magnitude and drivers of SMA amongst different populations in Africa and to generate evidence for recommendations to control and reduce inappropriate use of antibiotics.

METHODS

Search strategy

An electronic systematic search of the Medline through PubMed and Google Scholar databases was performed following the PRISMA statement (11). Search terms or keywords were identified through a pilot literature search and Boolean operators were used to combine these terms to come up with a search strategy [Table 1]. Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) were used to synchronizes synonymous terms in PubMed. We excluded reviews, animal models, editorials, letters, opinions or comment publication types. To ensure that no similar review had been registered or previously carried out, a preliminary scoping search was done on the following registries: International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY), Research Registry and Cochrane Library of Systematic Reviews and also on the PubMed and Google Scholar databases.

Table 1: Search strategy

Database	Search mode	Search term syntax
Medline via PubMed	All fields	((("Anti-Bacterial Agents"[Mesh]) AND "Self Medication"[Mesh]) AND "Nonprescription Drugs"[Mesh]) AND "Drug Misuse"[Mesh]) AND "Africa"[Mesh]
Google Scholar	Articles	(antimicrobial* OR antibacterial* OR antibiotic*) AND ("self medication" OR self-medication OR "non prescription" OR non-prescription OR "over-the-counter OR "inappropriate") AND (determinants OR "associated factors") -Asia -Europe - America -Review

Selection of articles for review

Articles included in this review were selected using the PRISMA Flow Diagram (12). Two reviewers EVY and JNF, independently screened studies against the eligibility criteria and discrepancies were resolved through a third reviewer BI. Titles and abstracts of all records identified through database searches were screened for duplicates and studies that met the inclusion criteria were selected. These included observational studies carried out on SMA in Africa between January 2005 and February 2020. Studies on SMA conducted in other regions, dissertations on SMA, studies on general SM, studies on aggregated non-prescription antibiotic sales data, and studies with full-text published in languages different from English or French were excluded. Additional articles that met the inclusion criteria were identified by

reading-through reference lists of studies eligible for full-text review. The full-text of selected studies were reviewed and studies not relevant to research questions, qualitative studies, and studies of which the full-text could not be retrieved were excluded.

Assessment of the quality of included studies

Quality appraisal of studies selected for full-text review was done using the risk of bias in prevalence studies evaluation tool by Hoy et al, appraising the studies on 9 criteria (13).

Data Extraction

Titles and abstracts of studies selected retrieved were saved in Mendeley. The following characteristics were extracted using a spreadsheet in excel: the country, corresponding author, year of publication, study site, study design, sampling strategy, recall period, sample size and response rate. The prevalence of SMA, type of antibiotics used for self-medication, reasons for non-SMA, sources of antibiotics, and factors associated with SMA were also extracted.

Data syntheses

Both qualitative and quantitative syntheses were performed.

In the qualitative synthesis, we generated descriptive summaries of all studies and outcomes of interest. The WHO AWaRe Classification (14) was used to group reported antibiotics. Reasons for SMA were analyzed using the modified conceptual framework of access to healthcare (15). Prevalence estimates were summarized using medians and interquartile ranges, and box-whiskers plots were generated to compare their differences.

The quantitative synthesis was conducted only on studies carried out within household using the 'metafor' package of R software (version 3.6.1). Random-effect model was used to calculate the weight of each study and Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation was used to stabilise the variance in the proportions of individual studies. Heterogeneity was checked by Cochran's Q-test and quantified by the I^2 . Heterogeneity was considered present and statistically significant when $I^2 > 50\%$ and $P\text{-value} < 0.05$. Findings were displayed graphically using Forest Plots. To verify publication bias, funnel Plots were constructed using Double Arcsine transformed proportions.

RESULTS

Search results

The database search was done on the 26th February, 2020 and a total of 6940 citations were identified; 240 citations through PubMed and 6700 citations through Google Scholar. After adjusting for duplicates, 212 citations were discarded. The titles and abstracts of the remaining 4,218 studies were screened and 4,174 records were disqualified as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Reference mining was carried out on the selected 44 studies and 8 additional studies were identified making a total of 52 studies selected for full-text review. After reviewing the full-text of the selected studies, 12 studies were further excluded. The remaining 40 selected studies served in qualitative synthesis. The 15 studies that were carried out in households, were selected for quantitative synthesis [Figure 1].

Study characteristics

The 40 studies included in this review were from 19 African countries and all the 5 sub-regions: 7 from Northern Africa (16–22), 3 from Central Africa (23–25), 14 from Western Africa (26–39), 15 from Eastern Africa (40–53) and 1 from Southern Africa (54) [Figure 2]. Thirty-seven of these studies were cross-sectional surveys and 3 mixed methods (i.e. cross-sectional surveys with qualitative work) (31,44,49). Fifteen of these studies were carried out in households, 13 studies academic settings (universities), 4 studies in pharmacies, 3 studies in health facilities, and 5 studies in other settings (markets, streets, shopping malls, offices). All studies together included 21,358 participants with sample sizes ranging from 110 - 1750. The recall period used in data collection ranged from 3 days to 10 months and was reported by 32 studies [Table 2].

Quality assessment of included studies

Forty studies were assessed for risk of bias. Three of these studies met all the 9 quality criteria in the assessment tool. Twenty-four studies showed a low-level risk of bias, 15 studies showed a moderate-level risk of bias, and 1 study presented with a high-level risk of bias.

Prevalence of SMA

The prevalence of SMA ranged from 12.1% to 93.9%. Twenty-three studies reported prevalence estimates above 50%, 13 studies above 70% and 3 studies above 90% (90.3% in the Democratic Republic of Congo, 93.9% and 92.2% in Nigeria). Prevalence estimates of less than 20% SMA were reported in 4 studies. The overall median prevalence was 55.7% (IQR: 41%, 75%) and the median prevalence for Northern Africa was 48.1% (IQR: 41.1, 64.3%), Western Africa was 70.1% (IQR: 48.3%, 82.1%) and Eastern Africa was 47.1% (IQR: 31%, 65.4%). The prevalence of studies conducted in households ranged from 18% - 86% with a median prevalence of 48.1% (IQR: 41%, 73%). A meta-analysis of these studies revealed a pooled prevalence estimate of 51.5% (95% CI: 40.1%, 62.8%). The $I^2 = 99.1\%$ ($p < 0.0001$) indicative of pronounced heterogeneity. This means that the variation across studies is higher than that observed by chance, hence the pooled proportion of SMA was incongruous. The summary of results is presented in the forest plot [Figure 3].

We analyzed the pooled estimates of the sub-regions exploring the cause of the observed heterogeneity. The pooled prevalence estimate for Northern Africa was 44.5% (95% CI: 18.3%, 72.5%), Western Africa was 78.5% (95% CI: 51.4%, 96.4%), and Eastern Africa was 47.5% (95% CI: 35.4%, 59.8%). High residual heterogeneity was equally observed with $I^2 = 98.8\%$ ($p < 0.0001$) indicating that the observed heterogeneity was not due to sub-regions. Funnel plots showed an asymmetric distribution of studies with most of them falling out of the funnel indicative of publication bias [Figure 4].

Common antibiotics used in self-medication

Twenty-seven antibiotics from 13 different classes were identified used in SMA, and reported by 31 studies. The majority of these antibiotics (48%) belonged to the Access Group, 41% belonged to the Watch Group, and only one antibiotic belonged to the Reserve Group [Table 3]. The most frequently used classes of antibiotics were Penicillins (31 studies), Tetracyclines (25 studies), Fluoroquinolones (23 studies), Imidazoles (19 studies), Macrolides (10 studies), Amphenicols (9 studies) and Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides (17 studies) [Table 3].

Table 3: AWaRe classification of antibiotics used for SM

Class of antibiotic	Antibiotic used in SMA	AWaRe Group
Penicillins (31 studies)	Amoxicillin	Access
	Cloxacillin	Access
	Flucloxacillin	Access
	Ampicillin	Access
	Penicillin	Access
	Ampicillin/Cloxacillin	Not recommended
	Amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid	Access
Fluoroquinolones (23 studies)	Ciprofloxacin	Watch
	Levofloxacin	Watch
	Ofloxacin	Watch
	Cotrimoxazole	Access
Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides (17 studies)		
Tetracyclines (25 studies)	Tetracycline	Access
	Doxycycline	Access
Macrolides (10 studies)	Erythromycin	Watch
	Azithromycin	Watch
Imidazoles (19 studies)	Metronidazole	Access
Amphenicols (9 studies)	Chloramphenicol	Access
Cephalosporins (4 studies)	Cefuroxime	Watch
	Ceftriaxone	Watch
	Cefixime	Watch
Aminoglycosides (3 studies)	Gentamycin	Access
	Streptomycin	Watch
Aminocyclitols (1 study)	Spectinomycin	Access
Nitrofurans (1 study)	Nitrofurantoin	Access
Glycopeptides (1 study)	Vancomycin	Watch
Polymyxins (1 study)	Polymyxin B	Reserve

SMA: Self-medication with antibiotics

Source of antibiotics used for self-medication

Thirty-two studies provided information on the main sources of antibiotics used for SMA. These include CPs (31 studies), family/friends (20 studies), leftover antibiotics from previous treatments (19 studies), PMS (18 studies), hospital pharmacies (8 studies), street vendors (7 studies), private health facilities (6 studies), healthcare workers (3 studies) and home medicine cabinets (2 studies) [Figure 5].

Reasons for SMA

Twenty-nine studies reported reasons why people self-medicate with antibiotics. These reasons include past or prior experience with using similar symptoms or antibiotics (22 studies), additional cost incurred from facility charges (18 studies), long waiting time required to consult at health facilities (18 studies),

illness perceived as mild by the patient (14 studies), advice from friend or relative (9 studies), lack of time to consult (8 studies), assumed knowledge on antibiotics use (5 studies), financial constraint (5 studies), nonchalant attitude of health workers (8 studies), lack of confidence in the healthcare system (6 studies), difficulty access to health facility due to remoteness (9 studies), easy access to antibiotics due to non-prescription sales (8 studies), emergency relief of symptoms (8 studies) and poorly staffed and equipped hospitals (3 studies) (Figure 6].

Common symptoms/illnesses that resulted in SMA

The most common indications for SMA reported were upper respiratory tract symptoms/infections (common cold, cough, catarrh/runny nose, nasal congestion, sore throat, rhinitis, throat pain, tonsillitis) in 27 studies, followed by gastrointestinal tract symptoms (diarrhea, abdominal pain, vomiting) in 25 studies, fever or febrile illnesses in 18 studies, body aches (headache, toothache, joint pains, malaise) in 15 studies, skin injuries, infections and rashes/acne in 15 studies, urogenital tract symptoms in 10 studies, sexually transmitted infections in 5 studies, eye infections in 5 studies, dental infections in 4 studies, and menstrual symptoms in 3 studies.

Factors associated with SMA

Twenty-one studies reported results of multivariable logistic regression analysis to determine factors associated with SMA. No education or low educational status were the most frequently reported factors by 9 studies (30,31,37,40–42,45,51,55). Five studies reported male gender (32,40,43,45,53), while 2 studies presented the female gender (20,51). Other associated factors reported were low income or unemployment (52,55), young age <30 years (17,42,55), middle-age 30 to 60 years (20,51), the remoteness of health facility (48,53), and perceived long waiting time at health facilities (43,53) [Table 4].

DISCUSSION

This systematic review analyzed the extent to which SMA is practiced across Africa, the sources of SMA and the main reasons reported why people self-medicate with antibiotics. The prevalence of SMA ranged from 12.1% to 93.9%, whereby the overall median prevalence in Africa is higher than that obtained in South East Asia and the Middle East (3,56). Comparing median prevalence estimates by sub-regions showed that Western Africa reported the highest median prevalence, followed by Northern Africa and Eastern Africa. Previously, the high prevalence of SMA in Africa has been linked to population growth, health inequities and weak healthcare systems coupled with poorly regulated procurement, dispensing and use of antibiotics, and the huge role of an informal health sector (57,58).

Socio-economic determinants of health vary from sub-region to sub-region, and country to country. These are associated with the structure and conditions of health systems, and the health-seeking behaviors of people (57). The higher prevalence estimates that are observed in Africa could be related to the burden of infectious diseases, which is higher than that of HIC warranting a greater use of antibiotics. There is also variation in the way antibiotic sales are regulated across regions and countries. In most African countries, antibiotics are available over-the-counter, and can be obtained from CPs and PMS without prescription, similar to conditions in other LMIC in the Middle East and South East Asia Regions. Poor regulation of antibiotic sales resulting from the absence of policies or laxity in law enforcement makes antibiotics easily available for self-medication (9). A different scenario occurs in

HICs, where non-prescription sales of antibiotics is often prohibited with a relatively lower prevalence of SMA observed (3). Law enforcement activities could include government inspections, retention of medical prescriptions in pharmacies, the involvement of pharmacists in designing interventions, and educational interventions (59). Without government inspections, many CPs may tend to dispense antibiotics based on financial motivation and not strictly on medical indications (9).

This review found that CPs and PMS were the main sources of antibiotics used for SMA. Controlling over-the-counter sales of antibiotics in Africa can be a useful strategy to mitigate SMA. This process has proven successful in HIC where that is done by engaging pharmacists in the development of interventions, retention of medical prescriptions in pharmacies, regular inspections of pharmacies by the government, and media campaigns in communities (59). Limiting access to over-the-counter antibiotics without improving access to healthcare in general may not be a tangible solution in resource-limited settings like Africa where many communities are experiencing an absence of medical doctors. This problem can be addressed with task-shifting, thereby authorizing pharmacists and state-registered nurses to prescribe and dispense Access Group antibiotics. Patent medicine stores are community retail stores managed often by non-qualified personnel, and are prohibited from existing in many African countries. Unqualified persons involved in sales of antibiotics do not have sufficient knowledge and skills to properly counsel patients on antibiotics use, control the dosages dispensed, and quality of antibiotics sold. Using leftover antibiotics and old prescriptions is an indication of inappropriate antibiotic usage and a lack of proper education. Preventing reuse of leftovers can be another effective way of preventing SMA (60). This can be achieved by counselling patients when dispensing antibiotics and ensuring that the quantity dispensed corresponds to that prescribed and encouraging the return of uncompleted antibiotics in CPs against financial re-imbursement. Strengthening regulations on dispensing practices and physicians' sensitization of patients during consultations and physicians will limit this practice and slowly instigate a behavioral change in many people.

Financial constraints, limited access to healthcare and easy access to antibiotics from CPs due to lack of regulatory measures were the most frequently cited motives for SMA in Africa. We identified a nonchalant attitude of healthcare workers towards patients, not involving them in decision making as one of the main causes leading to a lack of trust in healthcare workers. Patient-centered care, though an important component of the acceptability of health services, is still grossly lacking among many health workers especially in Africa (61). Due to the huge patient load, many medical doctors don't have enough time to properly communicate with patients or caregivers, and they often focus mainly on the biomedical aspects of health and fail to integrate psychosocial aspects of care. Coupled with all the other bottlenecks encountered in the entire healthcare delivery in resource-limited settings, most patients leave the consultation office unsatisfied and this reduces trust and acceptability of health services (61). Many countries in Africa have weak and poorly developed local health systems characterized by lack of facilities and poor quality of service delivery. This negatively affects health-care seeking behaviors and causes many people to go for the option of purchasing antibiotics directly from CPs and PMS which are easy to access and at a reduced cost. Another major reason cited amongst top enablers of SMA is the reliance on past experiences. When people suffer from recurrent or chronic medical problems, they easily develop a habit of self-medicating facilitated in the context where they can get drugs over-the-counter. They often rely on their prior successes, hoping that the outcome will always remain the same with all disease episodes.

SMA in Africa occurs for many different indications and with different antibiotics. Penicillins were the most widely used class of antibiotics used for SMA in Africa. These findings were similar to those reported in other studies (62–64). Penicillins are widely used for SMA because they have lesser side effects and are cheaper to purchase compared to other classes of antibiotics. Even though WHO recommends that Watch Group antibiotics like Fluoroquinolones or Macrolides should be restricted to prescription-only, due to the potential of resistance developing, they were reported as SMA in Africa by many studies. Reserve Group antibiotics were little reported as SMA, presumably because their rare availability and often available as intravenous injections only and has a high cost.

The most common factors associated with SMA were young age, male gender, low education status, and low-income level. Most of these factors are in line with those reported by Ocan and colleagues in a review on developing countries (65). Accessibility, affordability, and conditions of health facilities, as well as the health-seeking behaviors were among the factors identified by Torres and associates in LMIC (17). Low educational status is the most frequently reported factor associated with SMA, warranting the need to promote literacy among communities in Africa as a vital strategy to also reduce SMA. Illiteracy is a driver to the practice of SMA as individuals and entire communities can be less aware of the health risks associated with SMA. A multicenter study carried out in Europe also revealed higher gross domestic product and dispensing the exact quantities of prescribed doses were independently associated with a lower likelihood of SMA, while the perceived availability of antibiotics over-the-counter was the key enabling factor for SMA (60). SMA rates tend to be higher in countries with a lower gross domestic product like African countries. HIC have well-structured health systems with good healthcare infrastructures, adequate access to healthcare services and good health insurance coverage reflecting the high gross domestic product.

LIMITATIONS

Limitations of this review include unequal distribution and territorial coverage of included studies. All the studies included in this review came from 19 countries out of the 54 countries in Africa with over 80% of the studies from Western Africa and Eastern Africa, while 3 countries provide 50% of the studies. This could explain the relatively high prevalence in Western Africa compared to other sub-regions. However, studies are often carried out when a problem is suspected. There are some limitations introduced by the potential biases from individual studies. Fifteen out of the 40 studies included had a moderate risk of bias. These biases resulted from variations in the method of analysis and recall period, selection and social desirability, scientific rigor, study population, and sample size. Other issues that lead to bias were the use of non-random sampling procedures to recruit participants, and failure to validate the survey questionnaires in some studies. Also, some studies did not meet the correct case definition of SMA, as they indiscriminately used either antimicrobials and antibiotics and without specifying the duration. Many studies had a recall period used during data collection of more than 6 months, while some studies did not report the recall period used in the study at all.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of SMA in Africa is high and varies across sub-regions with Western Africa having the highest prevalence. Drivers for SMA comprise of socio-economic factors elucidated by low educational status and financial constraint, limited access to healthcare characterized by high out-of-pocket payments, absence of patient-centered care, and inadequate policies regulating the sales of antibiotics or poor implementation of existing regulations. There will be no one-size-fits-all strategy to address SMA

in Africa ensuring effective and sustainable control. Tackling this problem therefore requires a multifaceted approach that is user-centered and context-specific, addressing various actors and stakeholders ranging from antibiotic users to dispensers and policy makers.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SMA – Self Medication with antibiotics

CP – Community Pharmacies

PMS – Patent Medicines Stores

LMIC – Low and Middle Income Countries

HIC – High-income Countries

REFERENCES

1. Rather IA, Kim BC, Bajpai VK, Park YH. Self-medication and antibiotic resistance: Crisis, current challenges, and prevention. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2017.
2. WHO Guidelines for the regulatory assessment of medicinal products for use in self-medication. *WHO Drug Inf*. 2000;
3. Nepal G, Bhatta S. Self-medication with Antibiotics in WHO Southeast Asian Region: A Systematic Review. *Cureus*. 2018;
4. Kardas P, Devine S, Golembesky A, Roberts C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of misuse of antibiotic therapies in the community. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2005;
5. Nwokike J, Clark A, Nguyen PP. Medicines quality assurance to fight antimicrobial resistance. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2018.
6. WHO's first global report on antibiotic resistance reveals serious, worldwide threat to public health. *Saudi Med J*. 2014;
7. WHO. Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (GLASS) Report. Who. 2017.
8. King DA, Peckham C, Waage JK, Brownlie J, Woolhouse MEJ. Infectious diseases: Preparing for the future. *Science* (80-). 2006;313(5792):1392–3.
9. Auta A, Hadi MA, Oga E, Adewuyi EO, Abdu-Aguye SN, Adeloye D, et al. Global access to antibiotics without prescription in community pharmacies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect*. 2019;
10. Morgan DJ, Okeke IN, Laxminarayan R, Perencevich EN, Weisenberg S. Non-prescription antimicrobial use worldwide: A systematic review. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2011.
11. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. In: *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2009.
12. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J AD. PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram. The PRISMA statement. 2009.
13. Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, March L, Bain C, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence

- studies: Modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2012;
14. World Health Organization. The 2019 WHO AWaRe classification of antibiotics for evaluation and monitoring of use. Geneva; 2019.
15. Levesque JF, Harris MF, Russell G. Patient-centred access to health care: Conceptualising access at the interface of health systems and populations. *Int J Equity Health* [Internet]. 2013;12(1):1. Available from: International Journal for Equity in Health
16. Gacem H, Ahmane A. Antibiotics in self-medication: study of five pharmacies from five Algerian cities [L ' automédication par les antibiotiques: étude auprès de cinq officines pharmaceutiques de cinq ... ARTICLE ORIGINAL L ' automédication par les antibiotiques: étude a. 2015;(June):29–35.
17. Elden NMK, Nasser HA, Alli A, Mahmoud N, Shawky MA, Ibrahim AAEA, et al. Risk factors of antibiotics self-medication practices among university students in Cairo, Egypt. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2020;8(E):7–12.
18. El-Hawy RM, Ashmawy MI, Kamal MM, Khamis HA, Abo El-Hamed NM, Eladely GI, et al. Studying the knowledge, attitude and practice of antibiotic misuse among Alexandria population. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* . 2017;
19. Ghaith MF, Elhag SRM, Hussien ME, Konozy EHE. Antibiotics self-medication among medical and nonmedical students at two prominent Universities in Benghazi City, Libya. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci*. 2015;
20. Awad A, Eltayeb I, Matowe L, Thalib L. Self-medication with antibiotics and antimalarials in the community of Khartoum State, Sudan. *J Pharm Pharm Sci*. 2005;
21. Awad AI, Eltayeb IB. Self-medication practices with antibiotics and antimalarials among Sudanese undergraduate university students. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2007;
22. Ahmed AH, Corporation HM, Eltahir MM. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* PATTERN OF SELF-MEDICATION WITH ANTIBIOTICS IN. 2014;(July).
23. Ngu R, Feteh V, Kika B, F. E, Ayeah C, Chifor T, et al. Prevalence and Determinants of Antibiotic Self-Medication among Adult Patients with Respiratory Tract Infections in the Mboppi Baptist Hospital, Douala, Cameroon: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Diseases*. 2018;
24. Bunduki G, Mumbere M, Mbahweka F. Assessment of Antibiotic Self-medication Pattern among University Students in Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo. *J Pharm Res Int*. 2017;
25. Patrick A N. Prevalence of antimicrobial self-medication among patients attending two hospitals in the Buea Health District, Cameroon. *Arch Community Med Public Heal*. 2019;5:024–8.
26. Donkor ES, Tetteh-Quarcoo PB, Nartey P, Agyeman IO. Self-medication practices with antibiotics among tertiary level students in Accra, Ghana: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2012;
27. Olayemi OJ, Olayinka BO, Musa AI. Evaluation of antibiotic self-medication pattern amongst undergraduate students of Ahmadu Bello University (Main Campus), Zaria. *Res J Appl Sci Eng Technol*. 2010;

28. Ajibola O, Omisakin O, Eze A, Omoleke S. Self-Medication with Antibiotics, Attitude and Knowledge of Antibiotic Resistance among Community Residents and Undergraduate Students in Northwest Nigeria. *Diseases*. 2018;
29. Khalid GM, Jatau AI, Ibrahim UI, Dungus FM, Shitu Z, Sha'aban A, et al. Antibiotics self-medication among undergraduate pharmacy students in Northern Nigeria. *Med Access @ Point Care*. 2019;
30. Bassoum O. Practices About Antibiotic Use Among Urban Residents: A Cross-Sectional Survey in Rufisque, Senegal. *Cent African J Public Heal*. 2019;
31. Hounsa A, Kouadio L, De Mol P. Self-medication with antibiotics obtained from private pharmacies in Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *Med Mal Infect*. 2010;
32. Abdulraheem I, Adegboye A, Fatiregun A. Self-medication with Antibiotics: Empirical Evidence from a Nigerian Rural Population. *Br J Pharm Res*. 2016;
33. Badger-Emeka LI, Emeka PM, Okosi M. Evaluation of the extent and reasons for increased non-prescription antibiotics use in a University town, Nsukka Nigeria. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)* [Internet]. 2018;12(4):11–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30022898><http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC6040858>
34. Ehigior O, Azodo C, Ehikhamenor E. Self-medication with antibiotics among Nigerian Dental Students. *Tanzania Dent J*. 2011;
35. Israel E, Emmanuel E, Sylvester E, Chukuma E. Self-Medication with Antibiotics amongst Civil Servants in Uyo, Southern Nigeria. *J Adv Med Pharm Sci*. 2015;
36. Fadare JO, Tamuno I. Antibiotic self - medication among university medical undergraduates in Northern Nigeria. *J Public Heal Epidemiol*. 2011;
37. Sapkota AR, Coker ME, Rosenberg Goldstein RE, Atkinson NL, Sweet SJ, Sopeju PO, et al. Self-medication with antibiotics for the treatment of menstrual symptoms in southwest Nigeria: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;
38. Umar MT. ANTIMICROBIALS SELF MEDICATION AMONG PARAMEDICAL STUDENTS IN A NIGERIAN UNIVERSITY. *Univers J Pharm Res*. 2018;
39. Yusuf I, Jobbi YD, Arzai AH, Shuaibu M, Ahmad AS. Self-medicated broad spectrum antibiotics in rural communities in Kano-Nigeria: a cross-sectional survey of community members. *African J Biomed Res*. 2019;
40. Ateshim Y, Bereket B, Major F, Emun Y, Woldai B, Pasha I, et al. Prevalence of self-medication with antibiotics and associated factors in the community of Asmara, Eritrea: A descriptive cross sectional survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2019.
41. Erku DA, Mekuria AB, Belachew SA. Inappropriate use of antibiotics among communities of Gondar town, Ethiopia: A threat to the development of antimicrobial resistance. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2017;
42. Gebeyehu E, Bantie L, Azage M. Inappropriate use of antibiotics and its associated factors among urban and rural communities of Bahir Dar city administration, northwest Ethiopia. *PLoS One*. 2015;

43. Gebrekirstos NH, Workneh BD, Gebregiorgis YS, Misgina KH, Weldehaweria NB, Weldu MG, et al. Non-prescribed antimicrobial use and associated factors among customers in drug retail outlet in Central Zone of Tigray, northern Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2017;
44. Owuor IA, Atieli H, Ouma C. Self-medication with Antimicrobials Perceptions among the Households in Nyalenda Informal Settlement, Kisumu County, Kenya: Post-Community Mobilization Intervention. *Int J Trop Dis Heal*. 2019;
45. Mate I, Come CE, Gonçalves MP, Cliff J, Gudo ES. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding antibiotic use in Maputo City, Mozambique. *PLoS One*. 2019;
46. Eticha T. Prevalence and predictors of self-medication with antibiotics among Adi-haqi Campus students of Mekelle University, Ethiopia. *Interanation J Pharm Sci Res*. 2014;5(10):14–7.
47. Nyambega JO. Antibiotic Use and Misuse Among Adults in Magwagwa Ward, Nyamira County in Kenya. *IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci*. 2017;
48. Owour I, Oyugi A. Perceptions Influencing Self Medication with Antibiotics and/or Antimalarials among the Households in Nyalenda B Sub-Location, Kisumu County, Kenya. *Am J Public Heal Res*. 2015;3(3):116–21.
49. Sambakunsi CS, Småbrekke L, Varga CA, Solomon V, Mponda JS. Knowledge, attitudes and practices related to self-medication with antimicrobials in Lilongwe, Malawi. *Malawi Med J*. 2019;
50. Tuyishimire J, Okoya F, Adebayo AY, Humura F, Lucero-Prisno DE. Assessment of self-medication practices with antibiotics among undergraduate university students in Rwanda. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2019;
51. Horumpende PG, Said SH, Mazuguni FS, Antony ML, Kumburu HH, Sonda TB, et al. Prevalence, determinants and knowledge of antibacterial self-medication: A cross sectional study in North-eastern Tanzania. *PLoS One*. 2018;
52. Kajeguka DC, Moses EA. Self-medication practices and predictors for self-medication with antibiotics and antimalarials among community in Mbeya city, Tanzania. *Tanzan J Health Res*. 2017;
53. Ocan M, Bwanga F, Bbosa GS, Bagenda D, Waako P, Ogwal-Okeng J, et al. Patterns and predictors of self-medication in northern Uganda. *PLoS One*. 2014;
54. Pereko DD, Lubbe MS, Essack SY. Public knowledge, attitudes and behaviour towards antibiotic usage in Windhoek, Namibia. *South African J Infect Dis*. 2015;
55. Bogale AA, Amhare AF, Chang J, Bogale HA, Betaw ST, Gebrehiwot NT, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of self-medication with antibiotics among community residents in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2019;
56. Shayan SJ, Negarandeh R, Nazari R, Kiwanuka F, Rad SA. Self-medication with antibiotics in WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Res Sq [Internet]*. 2018; Available from: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-4327/v1>
57. Torres NF, Chibi B, Middleton LE, Solomon VP, Mashamba-Thompson TP. Evidence of factors influencing self-medication with antibiotics in low and middle-income countries: a systematic

- scoping review. Public Health. 2019.
58. Aslam B, Wang W, Arshad MI, Khurshid M, Muzammil S, Rasool MH, et al. Antibiotic resistance: a rundown of a global crisis. Infection and Drug Resistance. 2018.
 59. Jacobs TG, Robertson J, van den Ham HA, Iwamoto K, Bak Pedersen H, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK. Assessing the impact of law enforcement to reduce over-the-counter (OTC) sales of antibiotics in low- and middle-income countries; a systematic literature review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019;
 60. Grigoryan L, Burgerhof JGM, Degener JE, Deschepper R, Lundborg CS, Monnet DL, et al. Determinants of self-medication with antibiotics in Europe: The impact of beliefs, country wealth and the healthcare system. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;
 61. De Man J, Mayega RW, Sarkar N, Waweru E, Leys M, Olmen J Van, et al. Patient-Centered Care and People-Centered Health Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa: Why So Little of Something So Badly Needed? Int J Pers Cent Med. 2016;
 62. Alhomoud F, Aljamea Z, Almahasnah R, Alkhalifah K, Basalelah L, Alhomoud FK. Self-medication and self-prescription with antibiotics in the Middle East—do they really happen? A systematic review of the prevalence, possible reasons, and outcomes. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2017.
 63. Van De Sande-Bruinsma N, Grundmann H, Verloo D, Tiemersma E, Monen J, Goossens H, et al. Antimicrobial drug use and resistance in Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;
 64. Väänänen MH, Pietilä K, Airaksinen M. Self-medication with antibiotics-Does it really happen in Europe? Health Policy (New York). 2006;
 65. Ocan M, Obuku EA, Bwanga F, Akena D, Richard S, Ogwal-Okeng J, et al. Household antimicrobial self-medication: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the burden, risk factors and outcomes in developing countries. BMC Public Health. 2015.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

EVY carried out the review of articles, analysed and interpreted data, and wrote the original manuscript. BI did arbitration of the review process, interpreted data and contributed in writing the original manuscript. JNF acted as the second arbitrary of the review process. BL and MS were major contributors in writing the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge Tine Verdonck for her contribution in analysing and interpretation of meta-analysis.

Table 2: Study characteristics and prevalence rates of SMA

Reference	Country	Study site	Study participants	Sampling method	Sample size	Recall period/ weeks	Response rate	Prevalence	95% CI
<i>Gacem et al, 2015</i> (16)	Algeria	Pharmacies	General public	Simple random	159	2	NR	12.6%	07.4 - 17.8
<i>Elden et al, 2020</i> (17)	Egypt	Universities	Students	Multistage	600	40	94%	77.7%	74.4 - 81.0
<i>El-Hawy et al, 2017</i> (18)	Egypt	Clubs, cafes, streets	General public	Convenient	400	8	89.7%	64.3%	59.6 - 69.0
<i>Ghaieth et al, 2015</i> (19)	Libya	Universities	Students	NR	665	16	55%	46%	42.2 - 49.8
<i>Awad et al, 2005</i> (20)	Sudan	Households	General public	Multistage stratified cluster, simple random	1750	NR	89.7%	48.1%	45.8 - 50.4
<i>Awad et al, 2007</i> (21)	Sudan	Universities	Undergraduate students	Multistage stratified cluster, systematic random	1121	24	86.2%	55%	52.1 - 57.9
<i>Ahmed et al, 2014</i> (22)	Sudan	Households	General public	Simple random	442	36	NR	41%	36.4 - 45.6
<i>Ngu et al, 2018</i> (23)	Cameroon	Hospitals	Patients with respiratory tract infection	Convenient	308	24	NR	41.9%	36.4 - 47.4
<i>Amin et al, 2019</i> (25)	Cameroon	Health facilities	Patients	NR	329	16	NR	68.4%	63.4 - 73.4
<i>Bunduki et al, 2017</i> (24)	DR Congo	Universities	Students	Convenient	500	3	86%	90.7%	88.2 - 93.2
<i>Donkor et al, 2012</i> (26)	Ghana	Universities	Students	Stratified sampling, Convenient	600	32	90%	70%	66.3 - 73.7
<i>Olayemi et al, 2010</i> (27)	Nigeria	Universities	Undergraduate students	Simple random	430	NR	65.8%	56.9%	52.2 - 61.6

<i>Abdulraheem et al, 2016 (32)</i>	Nigeria	Health Centres	All patients	Simple random	1150	24	93.9%	82.2%	80.0 - 84.4
<i>Badger-Emeka et al, 2018 (33)</i>	Nigeria	Households	General public	Convenient	400	28	NR	86%	83.2 - 89.8
<i>Ehigiator et al, 2010 (34)</i>	Nigeria	Universities	Dental students	NR	208	12	96.2%	53.5%	46.5 - 60.3
<i>Israel et al, 2015 (35)</i>	Nigeria	Ministries, departments, units	Civil servants	Simple random	526	NR	89.5%	93.9%	91.9 - 95.9
<i>Fadare et al, 2011 (36)</i>	Nigeria	Universities	Medical students	Convenient	183	4	83.2%	38.8%	31.7 - 45.9
<i>Sapkota et al, 2010(37)</i>	Nigeria	Universities	Students	Three-stage cluster, simple random	740	NR	95.4%	24%	20.9 - 27.1
<i>Umar et al, 2018 (38)</i>	Nigeria	Universities	Paramedical students	Stratified	115	NR	82%	81.9%	74.9 - 88.9
<i>Yusuf et al, 2019 (39)</i>	Nigeria	Households	General public	Simple random	300	8	85.3%	70.3%	65.1 - 75.5
<i>Ajibola et al, 2018 (28)</i>	Nigeria	Hall of residence	Community residents & undergraduate students	Convenient	1450	8	84.8%	43%	40.5 - 45.5
<i>Khalid et al, 2019 (29)</i>	Nigeria	Universities	Pharmacy students	Purposive	217	4	100%	92.2%	88.6 - 95.8
<i>Bassoum et al, 2019(30)</i>	Senegal	Bus station	General public	Convenient	400	4	100%	75%	70.8 - 79.2
<i>Hounsa et al, 2010 (31)</i>	Ivory Coast	Pharmacies	General public	Simple random	1123	24	NR	59.7%	56.8 - 62.6
<i>Ateshim et al, 2019 (40)</i>	Eritrea	Households	General public	Two-stage cluster, systematic random	580	12	99.5%	45.1%	41.1 - 49.1
<i>Bogale et al, 2019 (55)</i>	Ethiopia	Households	General public	Multistage, systematic random	605	12	98.3%	67.3%	63.6 – 71.0

<i>Erku et al, 2017</i> (41)	Ethiopia	Households	General public	Multistage, stratified random, systematic random	720	8	90.3%	63.5%	60.0 – 67.0
<i>Eticha et al, 2014</i> (46)	Ethiopia	Universities	Undergraduate Students	Stratified, simple random	422	4	96.4%	44.5%	39.8 - 49.2
<i>Nyambega et al, 2017</i> (47)	Kenya	Markets, shopping malls and households	General public	Simple random	385	8	78%	60%	55.1 - 64.9
<i>Owour et al, 2015</i> (48)	Kenya	Households	General public	Cluster, systematic random	350	3/7	NR	76.9%	72.5 - 81.3
<i>Sambakunsi et al, 2019</i> (49)	Malawi	Households	General public	Weighted cluster random, snowballing	110	NR	95.5%	41%	31.8 - 50.2
<i>Tuyishimire et al, 2019</i> (50)	Rwanda	Universities	Undergraduate students	Simple random	570	NR	NR	12.1%	09.4 - 14.8
<i>Horumpende et al, 2018</i> (51)	Tanzania	Households	General public	Systematic random	300	4	NR	58%	52.4 - 63.6
<i>Kajeguka et al, 2017</i> (52)	Tanzania	Households	General public	Simple random	300	12	NR	55.7%	50.1 - 61.3
<i>Ocan et al, 2014</i> (53)	Uganda	Households	General public	Multistage cluster, Simple random	892	8	99.1%	75.7%	72.9 - 78.5
<i>Gebeyehu et al, 2015</i> (42)	Ethiopia	Households	General public	Systematic random	1082	8	98.3%	18%	15.7 - 20.3
<i>Gebre Kirstos et al, 2017</i> (43)	Ethiopia	Drug retail outlets	General public	Stratified, simple random	829	8	94%	47.1%	43.7 - 50.5
<i>Owuor et al, 2019</i> (44)	Kenya	Households	General public	Two-stage cluster, systematic random	380	NR	83.2%	20.9%	16.8 – 25.0
<i>Mate et al, 2019</i> (45)	Mozambique	Households	General public	Three-stage cluster, Random	1091	12	73.1%	20.9%	18.5 – 23.3
<i>Pereko et al, 2015</i> (54)	Namibia	Pharmacies	General public	Simple random	600	16	74.3%	15.47%	12.6 - 18.4

NR = Not Reported, CI = Confidence Interval

Table 4: Common antibiotic classes used in self-medication and their sources

References	Country	Common classes of antibiotic and percentage used	Sources of antibiotics and percentage
Ngu et al, 2018 (23)	Cameroon	Penicillins 34.1% Fluoroquinolones 3% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 38.8%	Community pharmacies 62% Leftovers from previous treatment 7.7% Friends/relatives 10.9% Chemists 19.4%
Amin et al, 2019 (25)	Cameroon	Penicillins 32.4%	Community pharmacies 55.1% Patent Medicine Stores 34.2% Health Workers 7.1% Friends 3.6%
Bunduki et al, 2017 (24)	DR Congo	Penicillins 75.1% Tetracyclines 40.8% Fluoroquinolones 54.1% Macrolide 51.5% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 54.1% Imidazoles 37.9%	Community pharmacies Patent medicine stores Public hospital pharmacies Private health facilities
Elden et al, 2020 (17)	Egypt	Penicillins 47.9% Macrolides 2.1%	Community pharmacies 91.7%
El-Hawy et al, 2017 (18)	Egypt	Penicillins (60.3%)	Community pharmacies 56.8% Leftovers from previous treatment 12.3%
Ateshim et al, 2019 (40)	Eritrea	Penicillins 84.1% Tetracyclines 2.5% Sulphonamides 2.1% Imidazoles 1.7	Community Pharmacies 68.0% Leftovers from previous treatment 15.2% Friends/relatives 10.4%
Bogale et al, 2019 (55)	Ethiopia	Penicillins 67.2% Fluoroquinolones 23% Sulphonamides 40%	Community pharmacies 82.3% Patent medicine stores 2% Private health facilities 11% Public hospital pharmacies 3.1%
Erku et al, 2017 (41)	Ethiopia	Penicillins 72% Tetracyclines 19% Fluoroquinolones 8.9% Imidazoles 11%	Community pharmacies 36.8% Health workers (44.1%) Family/friends (19.1%)
Eticha et al, 2014 (46)	Ethiopia	Penicillins 51.7% Fluoroquinolones 12.9% Imidazoles 5.5% Tetracyclines 5.5%	Community pharmacies 83% Patent medicine store 58.9% Friends/family 29.5% Leftovers from previous treatment 28.6%
Donkor et al, 2012 (26)	Ghana	Penicillins 46.9% Amphenicols 14.9% Tetracyclines 8.5% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 3.1%	NR
Nyambega et al, 2017 (47)	Kenya	NR	Community pharmacies 45% Leftovers from previous treatment 22% Patent medicine stores 11% Friends/relatives 22%

Ghaieth et al, 2015 (19)	Libya	NR	Community pharmacies 74%
Sambakunsi et al, 2019 (49)	Malawi	NR	Friends/relatives 26%
			Community pharmacies
			Patent medicine stores
			Leftovers from previous treatment
			Friends/family
Olayemi et al, 2010 (27)	Nigeria	Penicillins 56.7% Tetracyclines 21.5% Fluoroquinolones 10.6% Imidazoles 25.4% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 20%	Community pharmacies 55.8% Public hospital pharmacies 13% Private health facilities 1.8% Patent medicine stores 32.1% leftovers from previous treatment (14.8%)
Abdulraheem et al, 2016 (32)	Nigeria	Penicillins 54.8% Tetracyclines 13.1% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 13.9% Fluoroquinolones 13.1% Imidazoles 13.1%	Community pharmacies (10.9%). Patent medicine stores (20.4%) Chemist shops (58.7%) Relatives /friends (9.7%) Leftovers from previous treatment (0.8%)
Badger-Emeka et al, 2018 (33)		Penicillins (58%) Fluoroquinolones (22%) Tetracycline 20% Aminoglycosides 14.75%	NR
Ehigiator et al, 2010 (34)	Nigeria	Penicillins 41% Tetracyclines 18% Imidazoles 13% Macrolides 7% Fluoroquinolones 1% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 19%	Community pharmacies 67.9% Chemists stores 20.8% Leftovers of previous treatment 10.4% Public hospital pharmacy 0,9%
Israel et al, 2015 (35)	Nigeria	Penicillins 38.3% Nitroimidazoles 27.6% Fluoroquinolones 14.6% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 14.9% Tetracyclines 3.1%	Community pharmacies 19.9% Patent medicine stores 39.3% Family/friends 19.5% leftovers from previous treatment 19% Public hospital pharmacies 1.6% Street vendors 0.8%
Fadare et al, 2011 (36)	Nigeria	Penicillins 45.6% Nitroimidazoles 17.6% Fluoroquinolones 8.8% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 11.8% Tetracyclines 8.8%	Community pharmacies 16.2% Patent medicine stores 19.1% Leftovers from a previous treatment 1.5%
Sapkota et al, 2010 (37)	Nigeria	Penicillins Tetracyclines Imidazoles Fluoroquinolones	Community pharmacies Private health facilities Public hospital pharmacies Chemists shops Friends/relatives Health workers Street vendors
Umar et al,	Nigeria	Penicillins	Community pharmacies 46.8%

2018 (38)		Nitroimidazoles Fluoroquinolones Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides Tetracyclines Macrolides	Chemists shops 25.5% Patent medicine stores 19.2% Street hawkers 1.5% Public hospital pharmacies 7.5% Leftovers from previous treatment
Yusuf et al, 2019 (39)	Nigeria	Penicillins 54% Nitroimidazoles 6.3% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 10.3% Tetracyclines 28%	NR
Tuyishimire et al, 2019 (50)	Rwanda	Penicillins 60.9% Fluoroquinolone 1.5% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 1.5% Tetracyclines 2.9%	Community pharmacies 72.46% Friends/relatives 13.04% Leftover from previous treatment 7.3%
Bassoum et al, 2019 (30)	Senegal	NR	Community pharmacies 81% Friends/ relatives 12% leftovers from previous treatments 5% Patent medicine stores 2%
Awad et al, 2005 (20)	Sudan	Penicillins 23.1% Fluoroquinolones 6.1% Tetracyclines 6.1% Macrolides 3.3%	Community pharmacies 68.8% Relatives and friends 19.2% leftovers from a previous treatment 12%
Awad et al, 2007 (21)	Sudan	Penicillins 56.7% Fluoroquinolones 3% Tetracyclines 1.3% Macrolides 16%	Community pharmacies 90.0% Relatives/friends 10.0%
Ahmed et al, 2014 (22)	Sudan	Penicillins 38% Fluoroquinolones 3.4% Tetracyclines 15% Macrolides 1.7%	Community pharmacies 72% Family/friends 17% Leftovers from previous treatment 11%
Horumpende et al, 2018 (51)	Tanzania	Penicillins 46% Nitroimidazoles 10% Fluoroquinolones 1% Tetracyclines 5%	NR
Kajeguka et al, 2017 (52)	Tanzania	NR	Community pharmacies 72% Friends/relatives (18.0% Leftovers from previous treatment (9.9%)
Ocan et al, 2014 (53)	Uganda	Penicillins 28.9% Imidazoles 12.9% Fluoroquinolones 2.8% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 12.2% Tetracyclines 2.3% Macrolides 1.3%	Community pharmacies 68.4% Leftovers from previous treatment 17.2% Public facility pharmacies 16.9% Home medicine cabinets 16.7% Private health facilities 9.3%
Gacem et al, 2015 (16)	Algeria	Penicillins Tetracyclines Macrolides Imidazoles	NR

Gebeyehu et al, 2015 (42)	Ethiopia	Penicillins 75.5% Imidazoles 2.3% Fluoroquinolones 7.2% Tetracyclines 10.6%	Community pharmacies 15.5% Friends/relatives 15.7%
Hounsa et al, 2010 (31)	Ivory Coast	Penicillins Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides Tetracyclines	Patent medicine stores 13.7% Street vendors 11.2%
Mate et al, 2019 (45)	Mozambique	NR	Community pharmacies 74.2% Patent medicine stores 3.2% Home medicine cabinets 1.6%
Ajibola et al, 2018 (28)	Nigeria	Penicillins 48.1% Imidazoles 18% Fluoroquinolones 18.7% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 12% Tetracyclines 11.4%	Community pharmacies 48.4% Patent medicine stores 40% Street vendors 9.4%
Khalid et al, 2019 (29)	Nigeria	Penicillins 32.6% Fluoroquinolones 22.6% Trimethoprim/Sulphonamides 19.5% Tetracyclines 21.3%	Community pharmacies 29.4% Patent medicine stores 75.4% Family/friends 10.4% Leftovers from previous treatment 9.9% Public hospital pharmacies 7.2% Street vendors 1.4%
NR = Not Reported			

Table 4: Factors associated with SMA

Setting	Reference	Variable associated with SMA	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Households	<i>Awad et al, 2005</i> (20)	Female gender	1.50 (1.16, 1.87) ***
		Age range 40 -59	2.10 (1.50, 3.00) ***
	<i>Ateshim et al, 2019</i> (40)	Male gender	1.81 (1.01, 3.26) *
		Non-knowledgeable	2.13 (1.12, 4.05) *
		Negative attitude	7.47 (4.54, 12.29) ***

	<i>Bogale et al, 2019</i> (55)	Age 18 – 30	8.45 (2.55, 27.96) ***
		No education	6.39 (1.45, 28.19) *
		Low income	2.55 (1.18, 5.50) *
	<i>Erku et al, 2017</i> (41)	Low educational status	5.01 (2.62, 9.34)
		Employed	2.12, (1.81, 7.29)
		Unsatisfied with healthcare services provided	5.41 (2.71, 14.21)
	<i>Owour et al, 2015</i> (48)	Sexually transmitted infection	1.90 (1.00, 3.40) *
		Health facility is far	2.80 (1.50, 5.01) ***
	<i>Sambakunsi et al, 2019</i> (49)	Stocking antimicrobials at home	2.72(1.09, 6.76) *
	<i>Horumpende et al, 2018</i> (51)	Age range 30 – 60 years	1.73 (0.86, 3.50)
		Female gender	1.09 (0.80, 1.79)
		Unmarried	1.14 (0.80, 2.75)
		Low educational status	1.45 (0.46, 4.51)
	<i>Kajeguka et al, 2017</i> (52)	Unemployed	11.10 (1.09, 11.30) *
	<i>Ocan et al, 2014</i> (53)	Male gender	2.03 (1.33, 3.08) ***
		Hospital drugs don't work	1.82 (1.09, 3.04) *
		Advice from relatives/friends	2.91 (1.58, 5.34) ***
		Previous experience	2.49 (1.59, 3.90) ***
		Long-distance to the health facility	2.33 (1.58, 3.41) ***
		Long waiting time at the hospital	2.44 (1.54, 3.88) ***
	<i>Gebeyehu et al, 2015</i> (42)	< 25 years	4.45 (1.54, 12.85) *
		25 – 34 years	2.73 (1.03, 7.24) *
		Poor educational status	4.21 (1.47, 12.07) *
		Engaged with a regular job	1.94 (1.13, 3.32) *
		Unsatisfied with healthcare services	3.51 (2.14, 5.78) **
	<i>Owuor et al, 2019</i> (44)	None	
	<i>Mate et al, 2019</i> (45)	Male gender	1.88 *
		Low educational status	2.60 ***
Universities	<i>Elden et al, 2020</i> (17)	Urban resident	1.60 (1.10, 2.30) ***
	<i>Awad et al, 2007</i> (21)	Age range 21 – 31	1.36 (1.03, 1.81) *
		Private university	1.52 (1.15, 2.02) **
	<i>Sapkota et al, 2010</i> (37)	lower levels of education	2.80 (1.10, 7.10) *
		Non-science students	1.58 (1.03, 2.50) *
	<i>Umar et al, 2018</i> (38)	None	
Others	<i>Eticha et al, 2014</i> (46)	Protestant religion	2.26 (1.19, 4.27) **
	<i>Abdulraheem et al, 2016</i> (32)	Male gender	1.56 (1.48, 1.64) *
		Tertiary education	1.32 (1.18, 1.96) *
		Productive cough	1.68 (1.32, 1.96) *
		Sore throat	1.84 (1.63, 2.51) *
		Unremitting fever	1.48 (1.22, 1.96) *
	<i>Bassoum et al, 2019</i> (30)	No education	2.70 (1.50, 4.80) *
	<i>Hounsa et al, 2010</i> (31)	Low educational level	1.42 (1.14, 1.77) *
		Purchase of antibiotics at the market	1.86 (1.01, 3.42) *

<i>Gebrekiros et al, 2017</i>	Male gender	1.72 (1.21, 2.44) **
(43)	Self-perceived waiting time	1.92 (1.20, 3.09) **

Note: CI = Confidence Interval, OR = Odd Ratio, * = p< 0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = P<0.001

Armed, 2010

Armed, 2014

Bosnia and Herz, 2010

Tunisia, 2010

Algeria, 2010

Bosnia, 2010

Poland, 2007

Colombia, 2010

Qatar, 2010

Qatar, 2010

Hungary, 2010

Kazakhstan, 2010

Qatar, 2010

Saudi Arabia, 2010

India, 2010

Households

- Health policy increasingly based on feasibility studies (more than ever) (for students).
- Long-term development of new concepts and its assessment in health economics (all students)

Accountability

Accountability

- Implementing changes: better evaluation and information flow (for students).
- Financially sound and (for students).

- Available information goes too—assessability CP and patient drug therapy (for students).

Accountability

Accountability

- Non-scientific criticism of health economics (for students).
- Lack of coherence in the healthcare system (for students).