1 Introducing the 4Ps Model of Transitioning to Distance

2 Learning: a convergent mixed methods study conducted

3 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

4 Farah Otaki^{1¶} (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8944-4948>), Shroque Zaher^{2¶}
5 (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1836-6134>), Stefan Du Plessis² ([https://orcid.org/0000-0001-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9750-5731)
6 [9750-5731](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9750-5731)), Ritu Lakhtakia² (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3549-9986>), Nabil Zary³
7 (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8999-6999>), Ibrahim Inuwa^{2*} ([https://orcid.org/0000-0002-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4024-657X)
8 [4024-657X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4024-657X))

9

10 ¹Strategy and Institutional Excellence, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and
11 Health Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

12 ²College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences,
13 Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

14 ³Institute for Excellence in Health Professions Education, Mohammed Bin Rashid University
15 of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

16

17 *Corresponding Author:

18 Ibrahim Inuwa

19 Email: ibrahim.inuwa@mbru.ac.ae

20 [¶]These authors contributed equally to this work.

21 **Abstract**

22 Significant concern has been raised regarding the effect of COVID-19 on medical education.

23 The aim of this study was to shed light on the distance learning experiences of medical

24 students and their instructors. A convergent mixed methods approach was utilized.

25 Qualitative and quantitative data was collected using a survey.

26 The percentage of the total average of satisfaction among stakeholders was 76.4%. The

27 qualitative analysis revealed several themes. This study introduced the 4Ps Model of

28 Transitioning to Distance Learning. It would be useful to leverage the lessons-learned to

29 tailor blended medical programs, with a reasonable mélange of experiences. The study also

30 contributes to the mixed methods research through showcasing a means of adapting it to

31 evaluate critical situations reliably and rapidly.

32 **Keywords: Medical Education, Distance Learning, COVID-19 Pandemic,**

33 **Convergent Mixed Methods, Joint Display**

34 Introduction

35 The novel Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic has forced universities around the
36 world to take immediate action to move to delivering courses via online platforms. High
37 quality distance learning typically takes months, if not years, to get off the ground, but
38 COVID-19 forced institutions to make that transition in a matter of weeks (1). Institutions
39 that had already embraced online education, and digitized learning and teaching, were at an
40 advantage at the onset of the pandemic. Having a robust instruction design team, working
41 closely with the academic staff, proved to be key to effectively transitioning to distance
42 learning (2).

43 Distance learning is proven to be an effective method of acquiring knowledge (3), and
44 provides opportunities for students to work independently, expand their agency, and learn to
45 use novel tools and strategies. It does, however, solicit concerns around matters such as:
46 student engagement in a virtual environment, as well as participation in discussions, where
47 the transition from the workplace or medical school setting to home could result in isolation,
48 and in struggles with establishing boundaries between work and home, which could affect
49 students, faculty, and support staff (4).

50 Some courses, by their very nature (e.g., those aimed at developing clinical skills), are more
51 difficult to be adapted to an online format, resulting in understandably high levels of stress
52 and uncertainty for both students and instructors. Accordingly, concerns were raised
53 regarding the effect of COVID-19 on medical education, especially in relation to the medical
54 students who have been in the process of preparing for or undertaking assessments that
55 require clinical exposure (5). Also, many students are transitioning from preclinical to clinical
56 stages which is already associated with high levels of student anxiety and uncertainty (6).

57 However, adoption of online education during the pandemic has shown that it is possible to
58 achieve a number of teaching objectives virtually, particularly for pre-clinical students who
59 have had their entire curriculum moved to online formats (7). The pandemic introduced novel
60 methods of delivering education to medical students (e.g., online webinars via zoom and
61 virtual dissection sessions). Such advanced technological approaches have the potential to
62 maximize engagement among medical students (8).

63 There are many helpful documents in the literature aimed at supporting institutions
64 undergoing such abrupt transitions, focusing on how to design learning environments,
65 pedagogies, and strategies to engage learners (1, 2, 9-11). One reference emphasized the
66 importance of not comparing emergency remote instruction to established online learning
67 under these circumstances (2). The importance of organizational structures in facilitating
68 critical collaborations between students, faculty, and digital information departments during
69 such turning points are emphasized in the literature (12).

70 At the College of Medicine (CoM) at the Mohammad Bin Rashid University of Medicine and
71 Health Sciences (MBRU), the transition to online learning took place in March 2020. As is
72 the case with many universities, MBRU is focused on continuously improving andragogical
73 strategies. It is also interested in developing and maintaining Instructional Design (ID), which
74 is defined as a system of procedures for developing education and training programs in a
75 consistent and reliable fashion (13). ID models such as that of 'Morrison-Ross-Kemp' (14,
76 15) consider instruction from the perspective of the learners. Whilst acknowledging the
77 challenges posed by the rapid transition to distance learning, the emergency instructions were
78 still framed as much as possible in alignment with these preset guidelines.

79 From this perspective, the aim of this study is to reflect on the distance learning experiences
80 of the undergraduate medical students and their instructors at MBRU during these

81 unprecedented times, which is expected to provide valuable insights that can inform future
82 learning and teaching. Accordingly, in this study, we strive to address the following research
83 questions: how was the rapid transition to distance learning, due to COVID-19, perceived by
84 undergraduate medical students and instructors, and how do those perceptions relate to one
85 another? How can we leverage the lessons learned from this experience for MBRU and for
86 other similar higher education institutions?

87

88 **Materials and methods**

89 **Context of the study**

90 On 8th March 2020, all educational activities in the UAE were suspended temporarily due to
 91 the onset pf COVID-19. The CoM at MBRU transitioned all educational activities (Teaching,
 92 Assessment, and Administration) to the online environment, resuming activities after two
 93 weeks (as of the 22nd of March 2020), with all employees (faculty and staff) working
 94 remotely. The rapid transition was regularly punctuated by policy guidance within the
 95 country's health and education regulatory framework and involved four interrelated aspects.
 96 The first one involved supporting faculty members in delivering the content, which involved
 97 raising their awareness of available resources that are worth leveraging and offering them a
 98 series of relevant learning and development opportunities. The second aspect involved
 99 managing the curriculum changes. The transition also involved several measures to facilitate
 100 the students distance learning experiences, which included assuring their technical readiness
 101 for the transition, including but not limited to the quality of their internet connectivity,
 102 maintaining connectedness through instilling open communication channels and continuous
 103 engagement, and protecting their health and wellbeing. The last aspect of the transition
 104 related to transitioning all assessments to the online environment (16).

105 The Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) program of CoM is a six-year
 106 undergraduate program following a spiral curriculum and divided into three phases. The first
 107 academic year constitutes Phase 1 and introduces students to basic concepts in medicine. The
 108 second and third academic years represent Phase 2 where teaching is organized around body
 109 organ-systems and integrated with clinical medicine. The fourth through sixth academic years
 110 constitute Phase 3, through which the students undergo their clinical rotations followed by an
 111 internship as a wrap-up.

The transition took place 8 weeks into the 15-week second semester of the medical program. Phases 1 and 2 students had just completed their mid-term In-Course Assessments (ICA) with the year 4 students (i.e., the only cohort in Phase 3) midway through their 4th of a total of 5 clinical rotations (16-18).

Research Design

Ethical approval for the study was granted by the MBRU, Institutional Review Board (Reference # MBRU-IRB-2020-032). A convergent mixed methods study design was utilized to develop a systemic understanding of the stakeholders' perceptions regarding the rapid transition to distance learning. Quantitative and qualitative data was concurrently collected and analyzed. The integration of data sources (i.e., students and instructors) and types (i.e., quantitative and qualitative) is meant to raise the validity of the generated findings and relied on joint model analysis (19-21).

Data Collection

The data was collected using a contextualized version of a validated survey (22, 23). The version of the survey, adapted for this study, aimed at assessing the perception of students and instructors regarding the rapid transition to distance learning due to COVID-19, and its effect on the learning and teaching at the CoM.

The adapted survey was composed of four segments. The first segment is a Likert-type scale of five points (1: Strongly Disagree, 2: Disagree, 3: Neutral, 4: Agree, and 5: Strongly Agree) across 7 components, as per Table 1. Out of those 7 components, 3 were replicated as is for both students and instructors, 2 other students' components were replaced for the instructors with corresponding alternatives designed to reflect the other side of the same coin, and 2 were unique to the students.

135 *Table 1 Description of the first segment of the survey*

Variable	Students	Instructors
1	The transition to the online environment was clearly explained.	
2	The technology used in the online environment worked effectively.	
3	Adequate opportunities to express my viewpoints and questions were offered to me, during the distance learning.	The University provided me with adequate and timely support throughout the distance teaching.
4	The online courses' materials were easy to access.	The courses' content and materials were easy to share online.
5	The online courses' materials suitably contributed to my learning.	-
6	The online courses' materials available were adequate to meet my learning goals.	-
7	Overall, I was satisfied with the distance learning.	

136 Legend: This table shows the similarities and differences between the two surveys that were disseminated to capture the perceptions of the
137 students and instructors, respectively. Components 1, 2, and 7 were common for both surveys. Components 3 and 4 were meant to
138 constitute two sides of the same coin. As for components 5 and 6, they were unique to the students.

139 The second section targeted two out of the four participating cohorts, namely: Year 3 and
140 Year 4. The question asks the respective students to rate, on a scale of zero to ten, the extent
141 to which the distance learning prepared them for the upcoming clinical clerkships. For the
142 students in Year 4, it would be their second clinical sciences' year. As for the Year 3 cohort,
143 they are transitioning from Phase II to Phase III (hence, from basic to clinical sciences).

144 The third section of the adapted survey entailed the following two dichotomous questions
145 (Yes/ No), each followed by a separate open-ended question giving the participant the choice
146 to elaborate:

- The transition to the online environment, in response to the COVID-19, significantly impacted my learning (or my teaching) in these courses.
- The transition to the online environment, in response to the COVID-19, significantly impacted the courses' structure and delivery.

As for the last section of the survey, it was designed to be exploratory to solicit for qualitative data using the following open-ended questions:

- What were some of the advantages of transitioning to distance learning?
- What were some of the challenges that you faced due to transitioning to distance learning?
- Please reflect upon aspects of the alternative modes of instruction deployed that were particularly supportive of your learning (for the students' distance learning) during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- What aspects of those alternative modes of instruction would you like to sustain in the long run (even after reverting to regular face-to-face sessions)?

In this data collection initiative, no personal identifiers were recorded. Participation was completely voluntary. The privacy of the students and the data confidentiality were protected. The survey was assembled throughout June 2020. In the respective academic year, CoM had 115 in-house and adjunct instructors, and was serving a total of 197 students, across four cohorts Classes of 2022, 2023, 2024, and 2025 (i.e., Years 4, 3, 2, and 1, respectively). Out of those 197 students, as per Table 2, 83 responded (i.e., overall response rate= 42.13%, with the response rates varying across cohorts).

Table 2 Response rates across cohorts

Cohort	Number of Responses	Total Number of	Response
--------	---------------------	-----------------	----------

		Students	Percentage
Year 4	20	47	42.55%
Year 3	19	35	54.29%
Year 2	16	55	29.09%
Year 1	27	60	45%
Total	83	197	42.13%

As for the instructors, a total of 39 faculty members responded (i.e., response rate= 33.91%).

Each of the 122 participants were given a unique identification number. The unique identification numbers were complimented with ‘S’ for the 83 students, and ‘I’ for the 39 instructors (i.e., participants 1 through 83 are followed by ‘S’, and 84 through 122 by ‘I’).

Data Analysis

Quantitative Analyses

The quantitative data was analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 27.0. The descriptive analysis constituted of computing an overall score of satisfaction for both stakeholders combined (i.e., across the 5 components that are common to both stakeholders), along with a score of satisfaction for the students (i.e., across all 7 components) and another one for the instructors (i.e., across the 5 components that constituted the instructors’ tool). Then, the mean and standard deviation for each of the components of the tools, and the scores: combined, and students and instructors, were then calculated. The validity tests of Cronbach’s Alpha and the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were performed to ensure the internal consistency and check external variance, respectively, of the adapted tool.

To select the appropriate comparative analyses tests, a test of normality was conducted for each of the 7 components, and for all three scores of satisfaction (combined, and students and instructors). The data of each of the 7 components, independently, and the combined score of

satisfaction, and that of the students and instructors, all turned out to be not normally distributed.

Accordingly, the Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the combined score of satisfaction, and each of the 5 common components independently, between both groups of stakeholders (students and instructors), and the combined score of satisfaction, and that of the students and instructors, between those who answered ‘Yes’ (versus those who answered ‘No’) to each of the two dichotomous questions of the third section of the survey. The potentiality of association between the perceived readiness for transition for students of Classes of 2022 and of 2023 (i.e., Years 4 and 3, respectively) and the dichotomous variables was also assessed using the same test. In addition, Chi-squared was used to assess any potential associations between the two dichotomous variables of the third section of the survey, and the group of stakeholders and the cohort of students.

Finally, Bivariate Spearman Correlations were conducted to assess the extent to which the combined score of satisfaction, and that of the students and instructors, can be explained by changes in the stakeholders’ perception of the components of the scores, and whether the perceived readiness for transition for students of Classes 2022 and 2023 (i.e., Years 4 and 3, respectively) is associated with the students’ score of satisfaction and/ or the components of the respective score.

Qualitative Analysis

The data collection phase was completed before the start of the data analysis. Thematic analysis by five researchers (SZ, SDP, RL, NZ, and II) was carried out. The factors that could influence the perceptions of the researchers, in relation to the subject matter, were recognized upfront. The qualitative data was divided into five datasets: one for each of the four cohorts of students and one encapsulating the data of all the participating instructors. The research

process was inductive, based on the constructivist epistemology. The consistency, in relation to the theoretical assumptions, was assured throughout the study, where one member of the research team (FO) facilitated, and controlled for the uniformity and steadiness of the qualitative analysis process, without engaging in the actual inductive analysis. This interpretative approach enabled the researchers to gain a thorough understanding of the phenomenon under investigation (i.e., rapid transition to distance learning at CoM at MBRU).

The six-step framework initially introduced by Braun and Clarke (2006) was adapted (24). This multi-staged approach to thematic analysis has been used repetitively in research concerning health professions education (25, 26). NVivo software version 12 plus (QSR International Pty Ltd, Vic, Australia) was used to assign codes to the text fragments, and in turn expedite the classification of the data into categories and themes.

The analysis process started with the researchers acquainting themselves with the data, where they collectively skimmed through all the datasets and reflected upon them. Then, as the second step of the adapted approach, the text fragments that refer to the same aspect of the distance learning experience were compiled together, labelling each with an all-encapsulating title. This was done for each of the five datasets separately (each researcher was randomly assigned one of the five datasets). This is how the qualitative data was examined line-by-line, while the researchers were assigning codes to text fragments, until data saturation was attained.

The resulting categorization schemes for the five datasets were mapped onto each other to compare perceptions. The researchers reflected upon areas of harmony and discord within and across the datasets.

Following that, the discrete concepts that surfaced from the independent, concurrent analyses underwent several rounds of reflections. The multiple ways by which the concepts could

relate to one another were identified. This led to the generation of categories that extensively cover all that surfaced in relation to the research questions, which set the stage for the researchers to work on step three of the adapted thematic analysis approach. The researchers examined the categories, again, to find the best way to merge them into higher order themes. For the fourth stage, the generated themes and categories were then reviewed to ensure that the data within each grouping are sufficiently similar, and data in between the clusters are distinct enough to deserve isolation. To complete stage, the researchers agreed on labels and documented explanations for all the themes and categories. This constituted the basis of the study's conceptual framework which guided the last step of reporting upon the findings (27).

Joint Model Analysis

The findings from both types of analyses: quantitative and qualitative, were merged to result in a meta matrix. This mixed methods integration was done using joint display analysis which ultimately led to meta inferences (28, 29). The findings of both analyses were compared (and contrasted) to weave together a meaningful narrative. The researchers looked for areas where the findings of the two analyses confirmed each other. They also examined areas that were unique to one analysis (quantitative or qualitative), with the intention of building upon the generated insights, and investigated whether these unique areas complement the areas that were confirmed by both analyses. Throughout the iterative integration process, the researchers were open to potential discordances between the findings of the two analyses.

Results

Quantitative Analyses

The reliability score of Cronbach's Alpha for the tailor-made evaluation tool, that captured the perception of the stakeholders was 81.8%. The percentage of the total average of the

students, instructors, and both groups of stakeholders combined were 73%, 81.64%, and 76.4%, respectively, as per Table 3.

Table 3 Output of descriptive quantitative analysis

Stakeholder:	Students (7 Components)			Instructors (5 Components)			Combined (5 Components)		
Identification Number of Item of Satisfaction	Mean (SD)	Percentage of the Mean	Category	Mean (SD)	Percentage of the Mean	Category	Mean (SD)	Percentage of the Mean	Category
1	3.39(1.16)	67.80%	N-A	3.90(0.93)	78.00%	A	3.56(1.11)	71.20%	A
2	3.96(0.91)	79.20%	A	4.18(0.84)	83.60%	A-SA	4.03(0.89)	80.60%	A
3	3.83(1.11)	76.60%	A	4.18(0.93)	83.60%	A-SA	3.94(1.06)	78.80%	A
4	3.94(0.99)	78.80%	A	4.10(0.87)	82.00%	A-SA	3.99(0.95)	79.80%	A
5	3.56(1.15)	71.20%	A	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	3.54(1.12)	70.80%	A	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	3.35(1.08)	67.00%	N-A	4.05(0.82)	81.00%	A	3.58(1.05)	71.60%	A
Score of Satisfaction (7 components):	25.57(5.91)	73.06%	A	-	-	-	-	-	-
Score of Satisfaction (5 components):	18.48(4.15)	73.92%	A	20.40(3.54)	81.64%	A-SA	19.11(4.05)	76.40%	A

N=Neutral, A= Agree, and SA= Strongly Agree

According to the PCA (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy), 73.6% of the variance can be explained by the instrument which means the instrument is not only reliable but also, according to Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, valid to measure what it is intended to measure ($p < 0.001$). Along the same lines, the Bivariate Spearman Correlations showed how the changes in each of the three scores can be explained by changes in all the respective components.

The instructors, with a mean of satisfaction of 20.40(3.54), rated the distance learning experience at CoM higher than the students, with a mean of satisfaction of 18.48(4.15) ($p=0.015$). In addition, the instructors were significantly more satisfied than the students in relation to the following two components: “the transition to the online environment was clearly explained” and “overall, I was satisfied with the distance learning” ($p=0.022$ and 0.001 , respectively). As for the remaining three components, common between the two groups of stakeholders, there was no significant differences. In addition, there was no significant difference between the two groups of stakeholders in relation to their perception of whether, or not, the transition significantly impacted the courses’ structure and delivery. Yet, the students perceived the transition to significantly affect their learning more than the instructors perceived the transition to affect their teaching ($p=0.002$).

There seemed to be a statistically significant difference between the score of satisfaction between the cohorts within the students’ group of stakeholders ($p=0.001$), with Year 1 having the lowest mean of satisfaction of 22.67(6.27), followed by Year 3 with a mean of satisfaction of 24.26(5.22), then Year 4 with mean of satisfaction of 27.40(4.59), and finally Year 2 with a mean of satisfaction of 29.75(4.52). As illustrated in Figure 1, there was also statistical significance between the four cohorts across the 7 components of the tool ($p<0.05$). Moreover, across the cohorts, there was no significant difference between the students’ perception of whether, or not, the transition affected their learning in the courses. Yet, there turned out to be statistical significance across the cohorts in relation to how the students perceived the transition to have affected the courses’ structure and delivery ($p=0.018$).

Figure 1 Percentages of the mean for each component across the cohorts of students (Class of 2025=Year 1, Class of 2024=Year 2, Class of 2023= Year 3, & Class of 2022= Year 4).

In relation to the level of perceived readiness to transition to the clinical years (i.e., Year 4 to Year 5, and Year 3 to Year 4), students in both cohorts rated themselves low (on the zero to ten scale) with a mean of 3.97(2.32), with students of Year 4 perceiving themselves to be significantly more ready than those of Year 3 ($p=0.004$). The Bivariate Spearman Correlations showed that the level of perceived readiness is associated with the students' score of satisfaction, and with 6 out of 7 of the components of the evaluation tool ($p<0.05$). The only component which turned out not to be associated with the perceived level of readiness is "the online courses' materials available were adequate to meet my learning goals". The level of perceived readiness was also not associated with whether, or not, the students observed a change in the learning, and courses' structure and delivery. The analysis also showed that there is an association between the scores of satisfaction (students and instructors, and combined) and whether, or not, the respective groups of stakeholders perceive the transition to have impacted the learning or teaching ($p=0.012$) and the courses' structure and delivery ($p=0.003$), where the stakeholders who were more satisfied were significantly less likely to notice a change in the learning or teaching, and the courses' structure and delivery.

Qualitative Data

The qualitative analysis of the perception of the students and instructors showed an interplay between two interlinked themes: People and Processes. The stakeholders perceived this interaction to take place on the Platform, which constituted the third theme of the analysis, all of which is guided by the last theme, namely: Policies. These four themes came together as per Figure 2 to constitute the study's conceptual framework: 4Ps Model of Transitioning to Distance Learning.

Figure 2 The study's conceptual framework: The 4Ps Model of Transitioning to Distance Learning

Theme 1: People

This theme refers to text fragments that pinpoint variables on individual or interpersonal levels that seemingly affected the perceived quality of the distance learning experience, the value obtained from the experience, and/or the stakeholders' level of satisfaction with the transition.

On an individual level, the transition to distance learning affected the stakeholders differently. Some students highlighted that it increased the level of stress:

25-S-Y1: "...I felt more overwhelmed and stressed in comparison to how I felt when we used to have classes at the University. I was required to learn everything on my own, and that is not an easy task...it became more difficult to approach the professors in comparison to when I used to be on campus..."

61-S-Y4: "...days became monotonous, and with the lockdown and minimal engagement with the outside world, my motivation and discipline got affected, even my mental health..."

The students, especially those of Year 3, were particularly concerned about the absence of experiential clinical training:

52-S-Y3: "...learning about physical examination and history taking online, as opposed to actually doing them, was insufficient; I do not feel competent to do them in a clinical setting..."

Similarly, some instructors highlighted challenges that they faced due to the transition.

120- I: "...the working hours extended to overlap with the resting hours... I noticed some students experienced online classroom fatigue... at some point, we lost the boundaries between work and home; work and rest somehow overlapped..."

Some students expressed that the transition offered them a set of advantages and lessened the pressure on them:

55-S-Y1: "...I honestly felt like this has been a much-needed break. I do not feel peer-pressured (for lack of a better term). I am much more relaxed now, as I have struggled with this, prior switching to remote learning, given that most of the students around me are high achievers..."

11-S-Y4: "...I think I am performing better as distance learning allowed me to have more time on my hands. I organized my timings better than when I was experiencing 'regular' university days. I enjoyed a nice balance between studying, family time, and hobbies..."

It was also clear to the instructors that the transition to distance learning was an opportunity to empower the students, encouraging them to be proactive and to engage in active learning.

117-I: "...it allowed for active, collaborative learning... the students identified their own learning needs...we discovered that via the online platform we can more effectively engage the students with the courses' content..."

The instructors also indicated other advantages, most of which were on an individual level.

93-I: "...we benefitted a lot. We learned new teaching modalities. The transition certainly led to greater engagement as some of the quieter students felt more confident to ask their questions using the chat box..."

The instructors perceived the transition to have hampered the interactional aspect of the learning process. To some instructors, the relationships that they have with the students constitute the highlight of their job.

360 88-I: "...in the online environment, there is a 'disconnect' between student- teacher
361 interactions and it is difficult to ensure the students stay involved..."

362 A lot of the students, especially the more junior ones, also yearned for more interaction.

363 35-S-Y1: "...the discussions that I used to have with my fellow classmates, during the
364 sessions, were very beneficial; they constituted opportunities to learn from one
365 another and clear-up any misconceptions about the lecture..."

366 Some students especially the more senior cohorts, mainly those of Year 4 but also those of
367 Year 3, saw interactional value in the transitioning.

368 68-S-Y3: "...we did not have to get up too early or go back too late which made
369 learning easier for us and more joyful since we got the chance to spend quality times
370 with our families..."

371 **Theme 2: Processes**

372 This theme included the text fragments that refer to the core of the system of distance
373 learning and encapsulated three categories, namely: learning and teaching, assessment, and
374 organization and delivery.

375 A lot of students perceived the transition to be smooth, and for the didactic learning and
376 teaching, and assessment to be of the same quality, or in some cases even better, relative to
377 that in a regular face-to-face set-up.

378 25-S-Y1: "...there were a variety of teaching methods that were put out for all
379 students to benefit from...I valued having pre-recorded lectures, and virtual
380 discussions on topics accompanied with question-and-answer sessions and regular
381 feedback sessions..."

382 24-S-Y2: "...the schedule was flexible, and I was able to go through the lectures at
383 my own pace. I was able to take detailed notes and repeat the points that I have
384 missed..."

385 The lack of experiential clinical training left a prominent gap, from the perspectives of the
386 entire student body and that of the instructors.

387 7-S-Y2: "...the Foundations of Clinical Medicine were affected the most. The course
388 was adjusted, of course...it still is not the same as seeing a Simulated Patient..."

389 85-I: "...nothing can replace clinical skills training with real patients which is the core
390 of medical teaching...simulation and clinical-based teaching could not be performed
391 effectively. There were no interactions with patients..."

392 The students of Year 4, relative to the rest of the students, were more grounded, and at ease
393 with the absence of experiential learning and had faith that they would get opportunities to
394 make-up for it in the future.

395 20-S-Y4: "...the only missing component is clinical exposure, which we will get
396 plenty of opportunities to make up for in the upcoming years..."

397 Some students highlighted that they needed to adapt their learning styles to cope with the
398 changes.

399 34-S-Y1: "...I had to figure out a whole new way of studying which is something I
400 had already done at the beginning of this semester to become more accustomed to
401 learning anatomy. I had to do it all over again because I needed to find a new way of
402 studying that is more appropriate to distance learning, and that took some while..."

403 A few students believed that the transition impeded, for differing reasons, their performance
404 in assessments.

405 68-S-Y3: "...I think the exams were affected negatively because we were barely
406 given any input as to what to expect, which in some cases was frustrating. As a
407 student, I need to know what lectures I will be tested on, or at least how many
408 questions per course, all of which was transparently shared with us previously..."

409 Some students highlighted the impact that this had on the quality of feedback provided to
410 them following exams.

411 80-S-Y3: "... in this course, we were not given adequate feedback neither for in-
412 course nor for the final assessment..."

413 Others felt that doing the assessments from the comfort of their homes was more convenient.

414 33-S-Y2: "...during the assessments that we underwent online, I noticed I was much
415 calmer. I am usually very stressed in the exam hall, and so from this perspective, the
416 transition constituted a big advantage..."

417 Students, especially those more junior, faced plenty of challenges around the multiplicity of
418 platforms and the scheduling application.

419 23-S-Y2: "...the multiplicity of channels and approaches was a bit confusing. Some
420 courses were prerecorded, and others were real-time on teams or zoom. At some
421 instances, it became very hard to keep-up with all that was going on online..."

422 Others felt that the workload increased due to the transition.

423 16-S-Y4: "...the quantity of the learning material significantly increased in an attempt
424 to compensate for missed clinical hours..."

425 There were some stakeholders who highlighted the advantages of the transition in terms of
426 program organization and delivery.

50-S-Y3: "...the learning process has been much more efficient in didactic courses than in university. The professors were all very receptive to feedback and questions..."

110-I: "...distance learning compelled me to try other modes of delivery. Distance learning also proved that not all lectures need to be delivered in the college within the confines of the classroom. We now have a repository of online material that can be accessed by students..."

Theme 3: Platform

The students and instructors repeatedly referred to the medium through which the distance learning occurred. They highlighted how the tangible and the intangible came together to allow for the experiences to occur. Within this theme, two categories were grouped: the virtual environment and Information Technology (IT).

The transition to the virtual environment has been a challenge to a lot of the students, especially those of Year 1. This group of students had just started their higher education path when the outbreak started, which exacerbated the perceived uncertainty.

34-S-Y1: "...this was a completely new territory for me. At the beginning, it was strange to learn in this environment. The fact that we had to learn the hardest two courses of the semester and to build our foundation, in these new circumstances, was quite tough..."

Despite the perceived uncertainty that all stakeholders were experiencing, the students expressed appreciation of the virtual environments and online platforms.

39-S-Y2: "...they provided a good experience that is as close to the classroom as possible...video-recorded sessions were fantastic..."

450 51-S-Y4: "...in Family Medicine, we had students preparing presentations on topics
451 that they were interested in which helped us maximize the entailed learning. The
452 Team-Based Learning was great, and the effective use of Learning Activity
453 Management System (LAMS) software helped in maintaining the momentum..."

454 Instructors also highlighted aspects of the online environment that they appreciated.

455 103-I: "...distance learning was a good method for engaging Clinical Adjunct
456 Faculty. The schedules of those stakeholders are extremely packed; it is great to
457 enable them to teach without needing to come on campus... This needs to be
458 maintained on the long-run..."

459 106-I: "...it saved time...working from home rather than having to rush to a lecture
460 hall..."

461 Some students reflected upon the limitations and challenges associated with the utilized
462 platforms and the technical glitches that they faced along the way.

463 36-S-Y3: "...not knowing 'where' classes were held, on which platform...some
464 instructors resorted to calling student names to increase engagement. This, at times,
465 solicited my anxiety..."

466 65-S-Y4: "...I do not think any Information Technology platform will offer a clinical
467 experience that is congruent to what we get from face-to-face interactions and real-life
468 experience..."

469 **Theme 4: Policies**

470 The last theme is related to the 'non-negotiables', be it at the level of the institution or
471 beyond. The pandemic constituted a reality-check. Nations had to rapidly respond by
472 instilling directives that imposed restrictions on all sectors, including but not limited to higher

education. Within this sector, universities needed to adapt to the constraints by instilling institutional policies that minimized losses and maximized value, in abidance with external restrictions.

The lockdown, quarantine, and the formal obligation to stay at home were the restrictions most highlighted by the stakeholders. Other ministerial directives, that affected the policies and procedures at MBRU, were also brought-up by the stakeholders.

45-S-Y3: "...social distancing is important in these times and it has been crucial to stay at home..."

19-S-Y2: "...another example is when the ministry issued new grading rules (regarding optional Pass/Fail). There was an understandable delay in communicating to the University and in turn to the students. This generated confusion; the students started speculating which solicited panic..."

The restrictions caused challenges, among which was the inability to conduct Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE).

39-S-Y2: "...OSCE was cancelled and the grades were divided among the rest of the assessing activities; we had a written final exam, which was also a considerable shift from the usual structure of the course..."

92-I: "...the course material and assessment had to be restructured to account for the inability to conduct an OSCE..."

The other challenges were related to shortcomings of the experiences and/ or the medium in which the experiences are taking place, which could have been circumvented by endorsing, and ensuring effective implementation of, appropriate institutional policies.

495 12-S-Y3: "...the duration of the recordings exceeded the allocated 50 minutes
 496 duration of the session..."

497 15-S-Y3: "...I would have preferred for sessions that are not interactive to be pre-
 498 recorded..."

499 Most stakeholders expressed satisfaction with how the University applied the external
 500 directives, and how the support units provided the instructors with platforms for the
 501 alternative pedagogies.

502 9-S-Y2: "...the university did a great job at dealing with this crisis..."

503 33-S-Y2: "...the timeline got extended; we ended-up having more time to study and
 504 prepare for the assessments, which was a great plus..."

505 Mixed Methods Integration

506 Mapping the output of the quantitative analysis onto that of the qualitative analysis revealed a
 507 systemic perspective of the situation, illustrated in the study's side-by-side joint display (21)
 508 (Table 4). The convergence of findings enabled the development of the perceptions of
 509 students and instructors, of the transitioning to distance learning, and their interrelationships.

510 *Table 4 Side-by-side joint display*

Key Quantitative findings →	← Key Qualitative findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stakeholders were satisfied 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>People</u>: Personal and Interpersonal variables
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instructors were more satisfied than students 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students perceived readiness to transition 	

to the clinical years was low	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Among the students, those of Year 2 were most satisfied, followed by those of Year 4 then those of Year 3, and lastly the students of Year 1, who were the least satisfied 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transition did not significantly impact the courses' structure and delivery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Process</u>: Learning and Teaching, Assessment, and Organization and Delivery
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Platform</u>: Tangible and Intangible aspects
-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Policies</u>: Institutional, National, and International levels

511 On its own, the quantitative analysis showed the attitudinal reactions of the two groups of
512 stakeholders, and how they relate to one another. These findings were confirmed and
513 expanded upon by looking into the output of the inductive thematic analysis. Moreover, the
514 qualitative data analysis, on its own, uncovered details about the platform and the policies,
515 which generated a more holistic perspective of what has been taking place. Through the
516 meta-inferences, generated from the joint model analysis, it became apparent what the
517 strengths and the opportunities for improvement around the experience are.

Discussion

This study provides a timely reflection on a unique disruption in education in the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, due to its sudden onset, rapid evolution, and global impact. The consequential abrupt cessation of all intramural educational processes, as human activity came to a grinding halt, assumed historic proportions. The associated biological threat imposed an additional stress and required appropriate mitigation measures. Medical professionals are familiar with rapid responses to emergencies, in general, and infectious outbreaks, in specific. However, as medical educators responsible for nurturing and graduating safe doctors, the term: *risk*, takes on entirely different connotations (30). In medical education, the risk was two-fold: first, in the interruption of the educational process, and second, the exposure to a biological threat of medical students learning onsite in healthcare facilities.

This study found a high degree of satisfaction with institutional measures devised for distance learning and teaching, across the surveyed stakeholders. The strongest voice of the student body endorsed the gained flexibility, the access to pre-recorded sessions and blended methods, and the multiplicity of digital learning tools. The stakeholders also appreciated the emergence of increased peer-collaboration and thrived on the chat box interactions during real-time virtual learning sessions. On the assessment front, the students perceived benefits related to having extended preparatory time towards final examinations. Some students found taking exams in the quiet privacy of their homes de-stressing. Others appreciated the feeling of safety of staying at home during the pandemic, which was complemented by the emotional support provided by their families.

The instructors felt a sense of achievement in their enhanced capability on e-learning tools, adopting technology that they would have been otherwise reluctant to use. Along the same

lines, innovation, flexibility, and overcoming resistance to alternative technology-enhanced tools have been endorsed in the literature as transformational in medical education (Wayne, 2020). The robust establishment of distance learning at MBRU was particularly beneficial to off-site clinical faculty who could balance service commitments with academic engagements. Enhanced interaction through innovative techniques, like LAMS, allowed mutual tutor-student satisfaction. Virtual microscopy, already well established in the institution, seamlessly blended with remote learning.

However, students' satisfaction with the transition to learning was lower than the faculty transition to teaching. This could be attributed to the high level of uncertainty perceived by the students due to their pre-existing anxiety around their performance in assessments and progression. Specific qualitative inputs provide multifactorial explanations for the academic struggles reported by students. Early-stage learners grappled with school to college transition, the brand-new curriculum content of medical foundations, lack of exposure to cadavers, and inability to live up to expectations of self-directed learning, clinical reasoning, and interpretative skills. They also did not get the chance to leverage the resources that the university offers as part of the "university life" to strengthen and build their character and resilience (e.g., student clubs and co-curricular activities). Counsellor support was boosted and proved invaluable in providing some degree of relief.

At the other end of the spectrum, deprivation of on-site, first-hand clinical exposure created anxiety in senior students at the critical juncture from pre-clinical to clinical years. Even in pre-COVID times, the preclinical to clinical transition is considered a period of workload and professional socialization stress (6). The requirement for rapid adaptation induced by COVID isolation was bound to aggravate the situation. In contrast to satisfaction with flexibility (expressed by many students), other students reported a struggle with exercising discipline in organizing their study schedules. Students missed class-room interactions and complained of

content overload, affecting coping mechanisms. Loneliness, absence of group dynamics, and balancing an intrusive home environment were psychosocial demotivators. It is worth noting that some of this anxiety might be due to the comparison that naturally takes place between the students in such spiral curriculums; all the years are interconnected and build upon each other, which is why the students tend to evaluate the quality of what they are receiving in relation to what others are going through. The effect of such doubt in readiness and the resulting low level of self-efficacy (and perhaps self-esteem) can affect the students' professional identity, as well.

Revised assessment weightage and schedules that deviated from original plans, and dissatisfaction with quality of feedback were also areas of concern. The achievement of competence in Entrustable Professional Activities form the cornerstone of graduating safe physicians; their learning and subsequent assessment cannot be overemphasized (Rose, 2020). Yet, the tussle between self-preservation and vulnerability of professional learning can be a moral dilemma (30). An insightful analysis suggests a 3-point solution towards assessment, "focusing on outcomes, broadening the assessment toolbox, and improving the Undergraduate Medical Education (UME)-to-Graduate Medical Education (GME) transition" (31).

The lack of association between the perceived readiness to transition, and the availability and accessibility of resources online, is also worth highlighting. It was clear from the quantitative analysis that the extent of perceived readiness is not associated with the students' satisfaction with the availability and accessibility of the resources. Although the students were quite satisfied with the online environment, they were acutely aware that there is no replacement (equivalent alternative) to real-life clinical experience and face-to-face interactions with patients. Similar views have been expressed by medical students from Southampton in the UK, who worried about the reduced learning exposure to certain specialties, the effect on

preparation for skills-based examinations, and their immediate residency and career prospects (5).

Instructors felt supported in ensuring delivery of content in keeping with curricular outcomes. There were specific challenges with lack of on-screen, interactive exchanges. The modification of teaching clinical skills was clearly a common discontent among instructors and students alike. Despite the professional and smooth conduct of an online OSCE (e-OSCE), specific domains of professional skills could not be tested. The need for competency is not that of the student alone, instructors too must be adaptable, and should learn to engage with the students beyond didactic delivery (32).

Information Technology including hardware, software, and the internet connection, upon which the whole experience was anchored, did not pose a significant challenge in the eyes of the stakeholders. Neither students nor instructors expressed any significant degree of dissatisfaction or disruption associated with the platform. This is of particular interest since it was the university's first experience with remote proctored exams. Clearly, the IT support to teaching and assessment was a yeoman effort. World-wide, medical institutions have highlighted the efficacy of globalized outreach for continuity of education through digital platforms when their international students returned home during the pandemic (33). Taking a step further, there is potential for inter-institutional collaboration in *teaching beyond borders* as we move forwards.

The perceived efficaciousness of distance learning, accompanied with the lessons learned from this experience, call for sustaining components of what has been deployed at CoM in MBRU irrespective of how matters unfold pertaining to COVID-19. At a macro-level, the insights from the experience urge a revisit of pedagogic management of the human resource-infrastructure interface. For the teacher-learner partnership to evolve under the current

circumstances, reflection on the experience, and identification of innovative but feasible alternative routes, adds value on the long-run (4). In terms of students, building self-directed learning capability, peer-collaboration, and access to diverse digital resources are clear winners. Instructors must sustain and step-up in digital teaching, embracing technology, spearheaded by faculty development. Virtual reality and Artificial Intelligence platforms are galvanizing the available options. Sustainability of technology is a clear area of focus. Uncertainty of IT environment at the remote users' end is an area of concern and needs deliberation to search for risk mitigation. There is also the need to evaluate and maintain the quality of educational delivery and the long-term outcomes of courses and programs (34).

Yet, given the clear gap in clinical experiential learning, which constitutes the core of medical education, there is consensus across the board that full online programs would be limiting. Blended programs that entail a reasonable mélange of experiences foster active learning among students. There have been several identified ways of students' curricular enhancement through inclusion in community education, telemedicine, and even in patientcare with adequate physical and financial protection which will provide upskilling for future physicians (35). A Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats (SWOT) analysis of the impact on education of the pandemic highlights, among many of the factors discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the opportunity for educational leadership in times of crisis and the challenge of executing it with budgetary constraints (36).

The COVID-19 experience is also a living example to demonstrate to students the health inequities and difficult ethical decisions in a contemporary life or death scenarios; it constituted an invaluable experience that reinforces knowledge of health systems and standards of practice (7). Resilience, grit, and tolerance have transformed from mere teaching points to demonstrable practice (7). The tag: *front-liner*, has become a proud identity, inspirational for the budding physician.

This study is characterized by several limitations that are worth shedding light on. Although the focus on a single program enabled the development of thorough reflections and insights, the generalizability of the generated findings is limited to institutions that are characteristically and contextually like MBRU. It would be worthwhile for future studies to collect data from several programs and run a comparative analysis. The small sample size and low response rate were also limitations. The data collection extended until after the end of course assessments, and hence, the perception of the participating students might have been influenced by their performance in the respective exams and the accompanying emotions. There was also no differentiator between in-house versus adjunct faculty, and those who teach predominantly basic or clinical sciences- it would be interesting for future studies to collect the type of affiliation and engagement of the respective instructors to see if those variables play a role in the educators' impression of the overall learning and teaching experience. Finally, it is worth exploring the perception of education leaders in relation to the transition because they are key to sustainable transformation.

Conclusion

This study introduced the 4Ps Model of Transitioning to Distance Learning, which explain how differing variables related to People, Processes, Platform, and Policies come together to enable the distance learning experience. While the response to the pandemic and the rapid transition to distance learning at CoM, during those unprecedented timings, was swift, plenty of challenges were faced, most of which were effectively circumvented. The virtual learning demonstrated efficacy in many aspects. In addition, the involved stakeholders were offered plenty of opportunities to develop themselves and the systems within which they operate. However, the gap resulting from inability to virtually compensate for experiential learning was evident in this study, since this type of training is integral to medical education and professional identity formation. It is necessary for health professionals' educators to adapt to new tools and engage in dialogue to maintain effective educational missions in preparation to any force majeure, COVID-19 or otherwise.

668 **Acknowledgement**

669 The authors would like to thank Dr. Fatemeh Amir Rad of Hamdan Bin Mohammed College
670 of Dental Medicine (HBMCDM) at MBRU for her support in preparing the project proposal.

671 **Conflicts of interest**

672 The authors confirm no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Manfuso LG. From emergency remote teaching to rigorous online learning. EdTech. 2020.
2. Hodges CM, S.; Lockee, B.; Trust, T.; Bond, A. The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. EDUCAUSE Review. 2020.
3. Aronoff SC, Evans B, Fleece D, Lyons P, Kaplan L, Rojas R. Integrating evidence based medicine into undergraduate medical education: combining online instruction with clinical clerkships. Teach Learn Med. 2010;22(3):219-23.
4. Rose S. Medical Student Education in the Time of COVID-19. JAMA. 2020;323(21):2131-2.
5. Ahmed H, Allaf M, Elghazaly H. COVID-19 and medical education. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(7):777-8.
6. Malau-Aduli BS, Roche P, Adu M, Jones K, Alele F, Drovandi A. Perceptions and processes influencing the transition of medical students from pre-clinical to clinical training. BMC Med Educ. 2020;20(1):279.
7. Wayne DB, Green M, Neilson EG. Medical education in the time of COVID-19. Sci Adv. 2020;6(31):eabc7110.
8. Kay DP, M. Using technology to increase student (and faculty satisfaction with) engagement in medical education. Advances in physiology education. 2019;43:408-13.
9. Klisch Y, Miller LM, Beier ME, Wang S. Teaching the biological consequences of alcohol abuse through an online game: impacts among secondary students. CBE Life Sci Educ. 2012;11(1):94-102.
10. Gainor SJ, Goins RT, Miller LA. Using online modules is a multi-modality teaching system: a high-touch high-tech approach to geriatric education. Gerontol Geriatr Educ. 2004;24(4):45-59.
11. Miller L, Moreno J, Willcockson I, Smith D, Mayes J. An online, interactive approach to teaching neuroscience to adolescents. CBE Life Sci Educ. 2006;5(2):137-43.
12. Kessler AB, S.; Rajagopal, K.; Rankin, J.; Pouchak, L.; Silis, M.; Esser, W. Saving a semester of learning: MIT's emergency transition to online instruction. Information and Learning Sciences. 2020.
13. Gustafson KLB, R. M. What is instructional design? Trends and issues in instructional design and technology. 2002;2:10-6.
14. Morrison GRR, S. J.; Morrison, J. R.; Kalman, H. K. Designing effective instruction: John Wiley & Sons.; 2019.
15. Morrison GRAGJ. An instructional design approach for effective shovelware2009.
16. Du Plessis SO, F.; Zaher, S.; Zary, N.; Inuwa, I.; Lakhtakia, R. Taking a leap of faith: a case study of abruptly transitioning an undergraduate medical education program to distance learning due to COVID-19. JMIR Medical Education. 2020.
17. Naidoo N, Akhras A, Banerjee Y. Confronting the Challenges of Anatomy Education in a Competency-Based Medical Curriculum During Normal and Unprecedented Times (COVID-19 Pandemic): Pedagogical Framework Development and Implementation. JMIR Med Educ. 2020;6(2):e21701.

18. Jackson LO, F.; Powell, L.; Ghiglione, E.; Zary, N. Study of a COVID-19 induced transition from Face-to-Face to Online Team-Based Learning in Undergraduate Family Medicine. MedEdPublish. 2020.
19. Hou SI, Fetters MD. Mixed methods in public health research in Taiwan - Using visual diagrams to communicate complex design procedures. Health Care Women Int. 2019;40(5):515-26.
20. Fetters MD, Curry LA, Creswell JW. Achieving integration in mixed methods designs-principles and practices. Health Serv Res. 2013;48(6 Pt 2):2134-56.
21. Fetters MD. The Mixed Methods Research Workbook: Activities for Designing, Implementing, and Publishing Projects: SAGE Publications, Incorporated; 2019.
22. Amir Rad F OF, Baqain Z, Zary N, Al-Halabi M. Rapid transition to distance learning due to COVID-19: Perceptions of postgraduate dental learners and instructors. medRxiv preprint/ PLOS ONE. 2020.
23. Rad FA, Otaki F, Baqain Z, Zary N, Al-Halabi M. Rapid transition to distance learning due to COVID-19: Perceptions of postgraduate dental learners and instructors. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0246584.
24. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology. 2006;3(2):77-101.
25. Kiger ME, Varpio L. Thematic analysis of qualitative data: AMEE Guide No. 131. Med Teach. 2020;1-9.
26. Amir Rad FO, F.; AlGurg, R.; Khan, E.; Davis, D. A qualitative study of trainer and trainee perceptions and experiences of clinical assessment in post-graduate dental training. Eur J Dent Educ. 2020.
27. Seaman JD, U.; Humberstone, B.; Martin, B.; Prince, H.; Quay, J. Joint Recommendations on Reporting Empirical Research in Outdoor, Experiential, Environmental, and Adventure Education Journals. Journal of Experiential Education. 2020.
28. Johnson REG, A.L.; Clerke, A. Pillar Integration Process: A Joint Display Technique to Integrate Data in Mixed Methods Research. Journal of Mixed Methods Research. 2017.
29. Guetterman TC, Fetters MD, Creswell JW. Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Results in Health Science Mixed Methods Research Through Joint Displays. Ann Fam Med. 2015;13(6):554-61.
30. Gallagher TH, Schleyer AM. "We Signed Up for This!" - Student and Trainee Responses to the Covid-19 Pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(25):e96.
31. Hauer KE, Lockspeiser TM, Chen HC. The COVID-19 Pandemic as an Imperative to Advance Medical Student Assessment: Three Areas for Change. Acad Med. 2020.
32. Gaur U, Majumder MAA, Sa B, Sarkar S, Williams A, Singh K. Challenges and Opportunities of Preclinical Medical Education: COVID-19 Crisis and Beyond. SN Compr Clin Med. 2020;1-6.
33. Sandhu P, de Wolf M. The impact of COVID-19 on the undergraduate medical curriculum. Med Educ Online. 2020;25(1):1764740.
34. Khalil R, Mansour AE, Fadda WA, Almisnid K, Aldamegh M, Al-Nafeesah A, et al. The sudden transition to synchronized online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: a qualitative study exploring medical students' perspectives. BMC Med Educ. 2020;20(1):285.

- 765 35. Bauchner H, Sharfstein J. A Bold Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic:
766 Medical Students, National Service, and Public Health. JAMA. 2020;323(18):1790-1.
767 36. Stoller JK. A Perspective on the Educational "SWOT" of the Coronavirus
768 Pandemic. Chest. 2020.
769

Percentages of the Mean per Component

POLICIES

PLATFORM

PEOPLE

PROCESSES