1 **Title:** Interpregnancy interval and pregnancy loss in a rural South Africa: A population-based
2 cohort study

4 **Running title:** Interpregnancy interval & pregnancy loss

6 **Authors:** *Y. Moodley^{1,2}, Kobus Herbst^{1,3}, F. Tanser^{1,4,5}

8 **Author affiliations and addresses:** 1. Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, South
9 Africa; 2. Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences, Central University of Technology,
10 Bloemfontein, South Africa; 3. DSI-MRC South African Population Research Infrastructure
11 Network, Durban, South Africa; 4. Lincoln International Institute for Rural Health,
12 University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK; 5. School of Nursing and Public Health, University of
13 KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

15 ***Email address for corresponding author (Y. Moodley):** yoshan.moodley@ahri.org

17 **Manuscript word count:** 2531

18 **Number of figures:** 0

19 **Number of tables:** 2

20 ABSTRACT

21

22 **Study question:** What is the relationship between interpregnancy interval (IPI) and

23 pregnancy loss in a rural sub-Saharan African (SSA) setting?

24 **Summary answer:** IPIs >60 months, but not <6 months, were associated with a higher odds

25 of pregnancy loss in our SSA setting.

26 **What is known already:** IPIs >60 months are detrimental to both fetal and maternal health,

27 while contradictory findings exist for IPIs <6 months. No studies have investigated the

28 relationship between IPI and pregnancy loss in SSA settings, despite high pregnancy loss

29 rates and exponential population growth in the SSA region.

30 **Study design, size, duration:** Population-based cohort involving 8940 women aged 16-35

31 years who reported two consecutive singleton pregnancies between 2000 and 2017.

32 **Participants/materials, setting, methods:** Study participants were from the uMkhanyakude

33 District in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. We obtained data on pregnancy-related

34 characteristics and other variables relevant to pregnancy loss from regular surveys conducted

35 by the Africa Health Research Institute (AHRI) as part of its demographic and health

36 surveillance platform. IPI was determined as the time in months between the end of the first

37 pregnancy and the start of the second pregnancy. Pregnancy loss was defined as either

38 miscarriage or stillbirth. We used an adjusted logistic regression model to investigate the

39 relationship between IPI and pregnancy loss.

40 **Main results and the role of chance:** IPIs >60 months were associated with an almost three-

41 fold higher odds of pregnancy loss (Adjusted Odds Ratio: 2.64, 95% Confidence

42 Interval: 1.71-4.09) when compared with IPIs of 6-60 months. IPIs <6 months conferred a

43 similar odds of pregnancy loss when compared with IPIs of 6-60 months (Adjusted Odds

44 Ratio: 0.82, 95% Confidence Interval: 0.35-1.91).

45 **Limitations, reasons for caution:** Possible recall bias around some of the pregnancy-related
 46 data. Inability to adjust our multivariate statistical analysis for certain sexually transmitted
 47 diseases which are known risk factors for pregnancy loss.

48 **Wider implications of the findings :** Family planning services in SSA should consider
 49 discouraging IPIs >60 months. Although IPIs <6 months had no impact on pregnancy loss,
 50 these should also be discouraged in SSA, given the potential socioeconomic consequences for
 51 the already vulnerable women of this region.

52 **Study funding/competing interest(s):** The corresponding author was supported with a
 53 postdoctoral fellowship under a National Institute of Health grant (R01 HD084233). The
 54 AHRI demographic and health surveillance platform is supported by the Wellcome Trust
 55 (201433/Z/16/Z), and the South African Population Research Infrastructure Network. No
 56 competing interests are declared.

57 **Trial registration number:** N/A.

58

59

60 **Keywords (MeSH):** Birth Intervals/ Abortion, Spontaneous/ Stillbirth/ Africa South of the
 61 Sahara.

62 INTRODUCTION

63

64 Pregnancy loss, which includes miscarriage and stillbirth, remains an important public health
65 problem in both developed and developing countries (Hogue, 2016). An estimated 10-20% of
66 recognized pregnancies in developed countries end in miscarriage (Hogue, 2016), with this
67 estimate likely to be worse for developing countries who face additional challenges relevant
68 to women's reproductive health (Bhasker Rao, 2000). Indeed, the disproportionate gap in
69 pregnancy loss between developed and developing countries is clearly demonstrated in a
70 recent analysis which found that sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and South Asia accounted for
71 40.5% and 36.9% of global stillbirths between 2000 and 2015, while only 1.8% of stillbirths
72 during the same time period occurred in developed countries (Blencowe *et al.*, 2016).

73

74 Pregnancy loss has psychological consequences for the families involved (Farren *et al.*,
75 2018), and has also been linked with subsequent maternal mortality (Reardon & Thorp,
76 2017). Given these implications, and the projected exponential growth of the SSA population
77 in the next few decades (Ezeh *et al.*, 2020), interest in understanding modifiable risk factors
78 for pregnancy loss in this region has gained momentum. The interpregnancy interval (IPI), or
79 the period of time between the end of one pregnancy and the start of the next pregnancy
80 (Swaminathan *et al.*, 2020), is perhaps one of the least understood modifiable risk factors for
81 pregnancy loss.

82

83 A consistent finding among most published studies on this risk factor is that a longer IPI,
84 particularly when this is >60 months, is detrimental to both fetal and maternal health
85 outcomes (Conde-Agudelo *et al.*, 2007, Kwon *et al.*, 2012, Gupta *et al.*, 2019). However, the
86 data which exists for IPIs <6 months is contradictory (Conde-Agudelo *et al.*, 2007, Kwon *et*

87 *al.*, 2012, Hanley *et al.*, 2017, Gupta *et al.*, 2019), and poses a challenge for family planning
88 initiatives.

89

90 Studies which seek to clarify the relationship between IPI and pregnancy loss in SSA are rare,
91 despite the obvious implications of this knowledge for family planning initiatives and
92 women's reproductive health in this region. Our study sought to address this gap in the
93 knowledge.

94

95 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

96

97 **Study design and setting:**

98 Our study was a population-based cohort involving pregnant women from the southern region
99 of the uMkhanyakude District in KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa. This region
100 (hereafter referred to as the “study area”) has been part of the Africa Health Research
101 Institute (AHRI) demographic and health surveillance platform for almost two decades
102 (Tanser *et al.*, 2008, Gareta *et al.*, 2021). The uMkhanyakude District is characterized by high
103 unemployment and HIV incidence rates, particularly amongst women (Welz *et al.*, 2007).

104

105 **Data source:**

106 AHRI has conducted general household and individual resident surveys in the study area at
107 least twice a year since 2000 (Tanser *et al.*, 2008, Gareta *et al.*, 2021). These surveys have
108 collected the demographic characteristics, socioeconomic characteristics, and selected health-
109 related outcomes of individuals from households in the study area. Since its inception in
110 2000, a total of 231179 unique individuals have participated in these surveys. There were
111 142079 active participants during 2018 (Tanser *et al.*, 2008, Gareta *et al.*, 2021). Additional

detail on the information collected during the general household and individual resident surveys is provided elsewhere (Tanser *et al.*, 2008, Gareta *et al.*, 2021). Pregnancy notification forms are a component of the individual resident survey and are completed for each woman who reports a pregnancy between survey waves (Tanser *et al.*, 2008, Chetty *et al.*, 2017, Gareta *et al.*, 2021). This allows for multiple pregnancies for the same woman to be documented over a period of time. The content of the pregnancy notification forms includes the woman's maternal history prior to the reported pregnancy; date of last menses associated with the reported pregnancy; the date that the reported pregnancy ended; and the outcome of the reported pregnancy, namely singleton/multiple birth, live birth, abortion, or stillbirth (Chetty *et al.*, 2017). Data from the completed pregnancy notification forms is collated in a pregnancy registry, which is updated on a regular basis.

Study sample:

We reviewed the data from the AHRI pregnancy registry to identify women aged 16-35 years old who reported having at least two consecutive singleton pregnancies between 2000 and 2017. For women who had multiple pregnancies during this time period, we included only the first and second pregnancies. Women with first or second pregnancies during the study period which ended in elective abortion were excluded from our study. Following the application of these inclusion and exclusion criteria, our study sample was comprised of 8940 women.

Exposure:

We defined the IPI as the time between the end of one pregnancy and the start of the next pregnancy (Swaminathan *et al.*, 2020). This was established by reviewing the AHRI pregnancy registry and calculating the time in months between the date that the first

pregnancy ended and the date of the last menses associated with the start of the second pregnancy. We categorized the IPI as follows: <6 months, 6-60 months, and >60 months.

Outcome:

Our study outcome was pregnancy loss at the second pregnancy. We adopted our definition for pregnancy loss from our prior research (Moodley *et al.*, . In press.). Briefly, pregnancy loss was defined as a pregnancy which ended in either a miscarriage or stillbirth. We defined a miscarriage as a pregnancy which ended before a gestational age of 28 weeks was reached. This was established by reviewing the AHRI pregnancy registry data and calculating the difference in weeks between the pregnancy outcome date and the date that the same pregnancy was conceived. We defined stillbirth as delivery of a dead infant of gestational age ≥ 28 weeks. Stillbirth is recorded as a separate pregnancy outcome variable on the AHRI pregnancy registry.

Statistical analyses:

All statistical analyses in our study were performed using R version 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). We first performed a descriptive analysis to determine the distribution of important characteristics in our study sample. The results of our descriptive statistical analysis are presented as frequencies and percentages. We then used univariate and multivariate logistic regression models to investigate the relationship between IPI and pregnancy loss in our study sample. The multivariate regression model was adjusted for several maternal characteristics (age when pregnancy was conceived, socioeconomic status, level of education, marital status, access to healthcare services – based on distance to nearest clinic, HIV serostatus, and history of tuberculosis), as well as characteristics of prior pregnancies (number of prior pregnancies and whether the first pregnancy ended in

pregnancy loss). We identified these specific covariates for inclusion in our multivariate regression model from the published literature. We obtained covariate data from the AHRI general household surveys, individual resident surveys, and the pregnancy registry. The results of our regression analyses are presented as unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Ethical approval:

The AHRI demographic and health surveillance platform has received approval from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

RESULTS

Description of the study sample:

We provide a description of our study sample, which was comprised of 8940 women, in Table I. The majority of women were between the ages of 21 and 25 years old (n=3654, 40.9%). Most of the women were in the lower socioeconomic status group (n=5366, 60.0%). Just under two-thirds of women had completed primary school (n=5816, 65.1%). Only 564 women (6.3%) were married. The vast majority of women resided within 5km of a clinic (n=8163, 91.3%). A total of 1105 women (12.4%) were HIV-positive, 2772 (31.0%) were HIV-negative, and 5063 (56.6%) did not have an HIV test done prior to conception. Tuberculosis was uncommon (n=80, 0.9%). Only a small proportion of the study sample reported having ≥ 4 prior pregnancies (n=389, 4.3%). A total of 178 first pregnancies (2.0%) ended in pregnancy loss. Almost three-quarters of women in our study sample conceived within 6-60 months after the first pregnancy ended (n=6689, 74.8%). A total of 135 women (1.5%) suffered pregnancy loss at the second pregnancy.

Statistical associations between IPI, various covariates, and pregnancy loss:

We present the results of our univariate and multivariate statistical analyses in Table II. We found that the odds of pregnancy loss in women with IPIs <6 months were statistically similar to that observed for the reference group of women who had IPIs of 6-60 months (Unadjusted OR: 1.04, 95% CI: 0.45-2.39; and Adjusted OR: 0.82, 95% CI: 0.35-1.91). On the other hand, we found an IPI >60 months to be associated with an almost two-fold higher unadjusted odds of pregnancy loss (Unadjusted OR: 1.81, 95% CI: 1.25-2.62) when compared with the reference group. In our adjusted statistical analysis, an IPI >60 months was associated with an almost three-fold higher odds of subsequent pregnancy loss (Adjusted OR: 2.64, 95% CI: 1.71-4.09) when compared the reference group. Our adjusted statistical analysis also found a lower odds of pregnancy loss in the 31-35 year old maternal age group (OR: 0.26, 95% CI: 0.11-0.58), while the odds of pregnancy loss were higher in mothers who had a history of tuberculosis (OR: 3.98, 95% CI: 1.34-11.80), mothers with parity of 2-3 (OR: 2.61, 95% CI: 1.76-3.88) or ≥ 4 (OR: 4.05, 95% CI: 1.71-9.53), and when the first pregnancy was reported to have ended in pregnancy loss (OR: 3.75, 95% CI: 1.85-7.58).

DISCUSSION

Our analysis reveals a harmful association between IPIs >60 months and subsequent pregnancy loss. Our study findings also suggest that IPIs <6 months do not confer a higher probability of subsequent pregnancy loss amongst SSA women when compared with IPIs of 6-60 months. We confirm the well-established association between long IPIs and adverse pregnancy outcomes. The biological mechanisms underlying this association are poorly understood. However, Zhu and colleagues have put forward two hypotheses which might explain this association. The first hypothesis is that a postpartum woman's physiological state

211 gradually reverts to that of a primigravid woman, which in itself confers a higher probability
212 of adverse outcomes for a subsequent pregnancy (Zhu *et al.*, 1999). The second hypothesis is
213 that the association between IPIs >60 months and pregnancy loss is due to maternal factors
214 which are yet to be identified (Zhu *et al.*, 1999).

215

216 Long IPIs among South African women are thought to be related to early premarital fertility,
217 followed by a gap in fertility from high levels of premarital contraceptive use which enables the
218 mother to continue her education and access employment to provide for her child, then
219 marital fertility (Garenne *et al.*, 2001). Based on our findings, family planning services in
220 SSA should consider discouraging IPIs >60 months. Our findings for IPIs <6 months are in
221 contrast to those from a large retrospective analysis of national health surveys conducted by
222 Swaminathan and colleagues, which reported a slightly higher risk of stillbirth (risk
223 difference of 0.015, 95% CI: 0.012-0.017) in SSA women with IPIs <6 months when a
224 “between-mother” modelling approach was used (Swaminathan *et al.*, 2020). It is important
225 to note that analysis done by Swaminathan and colleagues was not adjusted for certain factors
226 associated with pregnancy loss in SSA, such HIV and tuberculosis (Kennedy *et al.*, 2012,
227 Bekker *et al.*, 2016), which we had included in our analysis. Therefore, our study findings on
228 the relationship between short IPIs and pregnancy loss in a SSA setting are likely more
229 accurate than that reported by Swaminathan and colleagues. Although IPIs <6 months were
230 not associated with pregnancy loss in our study, this finding must be placed in context with
231 the overwhelming socioeconomic challenges experienced by most SSA women. Multiple
232 pregnancies spaced closely apart might exacerbate these challenges by reducing opportunities
233 for SSA women to participate in empowerment activities which seek to improve
234 socioeconomic status or educational attainment (Sonfield *et al.*, 2013). It is for this reason
235 that family planning services in SSA should also consider discouraging very short IPIs.

We also found that the odds of pregnancy loss were 74% lower in mothers aged 31-35 years old when compared with the youngest age group investigated in our study (16-20 years old). Studies from Asia and Europe suggest that the statistical relationship between maternal age and pregnancy loss resembles a U-shaped curve (Nybo Andersen *et al.*, 2000, Altijani *et al.*, 2018), with the trough of the curve (i.e. lowest risk of pregnancy loss) occurring between the ages of 25 and 29 years old. It is possible that the 31-35 year age group corresponds to the trough of this U-shaped curve in our SSA setting, however this hypothesis requires further investigation.

Literature from elsewhere also suggests that there is a U-shaped relationship between parity and the risk of pregnancy loss, with this risk being highest among primigravid women and women with ≥ 3 prior pregnancies (Gardosi *et al.*, 2013). Thus, our study confirms that the risk of pregnancy loss is higher in women with several prior pregnancies, which has important implications for family planning in our SSA setting.

Tuberculosis was associated with an almost 4-fold higher odds of pregnancy loss, highlighting the importance of strengthening tuberculosis control amongst pregnant women, particularly in settings where the disease is endemic (Gounder *et al.*, 2011). Lastly, our finding regarding a higher odds of pregnancy loss in mothers who had experienced pregnancy loss at the first pregnancy is unsurprising, considering that a prior history of pregnancy loss is amongst one of the most well-established risk factors for pregnancy loss (Bhattacharya & Bhattacharya, 2009, Lamont *et al.*, 2015).

A major strength of our study was our use of data from a population-based cohort rather than a facility-based dataset, which increases the generalizability of our findings. Our large sample

size enabled us to perform an appropriate multivariate analysis without violating the statistical rule of thumb around events per variable entered into a regression model (Peduzzi *et al.*, 1996). Furthermore, use of population-based data allowed us to adjust the multivariate analysis for certain covariates which would not usually be collected during facility-based studies in our setting, such as socioeconomic status and the educational attainment of the mother. An important limitation of our study was the concern around potential recall bias for data on early miscarriages and the date of last menses. However, it is likely that the potential recall bias was mitigated by the frequency of the AHRI general household and individual resident surveys (conducted 2-3 times every year). As pregnancy loss carries a high level of stigma in lower-and-middle income countries such as South Africa, and it is also possible that some miscarriages and stillbirths were not reported by women in the study area (Goldenberg *et al.*, 2011). We were also unable to adjust our multivariate analysis for sexually transmitted infections known to increase the risk of pregnancy loss, such as syphilis (Gomez *et al.*, 2013), as the AHRI general household and individual resident surveys did not collect data on sexually transmitted infections besides HIV.

In conclusion, we found that an IPI >60 months, but not <6 months, was associated with a significantly higher odds of pregnancy loss in our SSA setting. We recommend that family planning services in SSA settings consider discouraging IPIs >60 months. Although we found that IPIs <6 months had no significant impact on pregnancy loss, very short IPIs should also be discouraged by family planning services in SSA settings, given the potential socioeconomic consequences for the already vulnerable women of this region.

Data availability:

The data underlying this article are available (upon reasonable request) from the AHRI Data Repository at [<https://data.ahri.org/index.php/home>]. Pregnancy dataset: [<https://doi.org/10.23664/AHRI.RD01-04.COREDATASET.PREGNANCIES.201909>], General Household Survey dataset: [<https://doi.org/10.23664/AHRI.RD07-99.PIP.HSE-I.ALL.201907>], HIV Surveillance dataset: [<https://doi.org/10.23664/AHRI.RD07-99.PIP.HIV.ALL.202007>].

Author's roles:

All authors contributed to the study's conception and design, data analysis, and writing of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

The authors are grateful to the study participants and the work and support of the fieldwork and database teams at AHRI.

Funding:

The corresponding author was supported with a postdoctoral fellowship under a National Institute of Health (NIH) grant (R01 HD084233). The Africa Health Research Institute's Demographic Surveillance Information System and Population Intervention Programme is funded by the Wellcome Trust (201433/Z/16/Z), and the South African Population Research Infrastructure Network (funded by the South African Department of Science and Technology and hosted by the South African Medical Research Council). The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding bodies.

311 **Conflict of interest:**

312 None declared.

313

314 **REFERENCES**

315

316 Altijani N, Carson C, Choudhury SS, Rani A, Sarma UC, Knight M , Nair M. Stillbirth
317 among women in nine states in India: rate and risk factors in study of 886,505 women
318 from the annual health survey. *BMJ Open* 2018; **8**: e022583.

319 Bekker A, Schaaf HS, Draper HR, Kriel M , Hesseling AC. Tuberculosis Disease during
320 Pregnancy and Treatment Outcomes in HIV-Infected and Uninfected Women at a
321 Referral Hospital in Cape Town. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0164249.

322 Bhasker Rao K. Reproductive health of women in developing countries. *Reprod Biomed*
323 *Online* 2000; **1**: 4-6.

324 Bhattacharya S ,Bhattacharya S. Effect of miscarriage on future pregnancies. *Womens Health*
325 *(Lond)* 2009; **5**: 5-8.

326 Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, Say L, Chou D, Mathers C, Hogan D, Shiekh S, Qureshi
327 ZU, You D, *et al.* National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in
328 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016; **4**: e98-
329 e108.

330 Chetty T, Vandormael A, Thorne C , Coutsooudis A. Incident HIV during pregnancy and early
331 postpartum period: a population-based cohort study in a rural area in KwaZulu-Natal,
332 South Africa. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2017; **17**: 248.

333 Conde-Agudelo A, Rosas-Bermúdez A , Kafury-Goeta AC. Effects of birth spacing on
334 maternal health: a systematic review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; **196**: 297-308.

335 Ezeh A, Kissling F , Singer P. Why sub-Saharan Africa might exceed its projected population
336 size by 2100. *Lancet* 2020; **396**: 1131-1133.

337 Farren J, Mitchell-Jones N, Verbakel JY, Timmerman D, Jalmbrant M, Bourne T. The
338 psychological impact of early pregnancy loss. *Hum Reprod Update* 2018; **24**: 731-749.

339 Gardosi J, Madurasinghe V, Williams M, Malik A, Francis A. Maternal and fetal risk factors
340 for stillbirth: population based study. *Bmj* 2013; **346**: f108.

341 Garenne M, Tollman S, Kahn K, Collins T, Ngwenya S. Understanding marital and
342 premarital fertility in rural South Africa. *Journal of Southern African Studies* 2001; **27**:
343 277-290.

344 Gareta D, Baisley K, Mngomezulu T, Smit T, Khoza T, Nxumalo S, Dreyer J, Dube S,
345 Majozi N, Ording-Jespersen G. Cohort profile update: Africa Centre Demographic
346 Information System (ACDIS) and population-based HIV survey. *Int J Epidemiol* 2021.

347 Goldenberg RL, McClure EM, Bhutta ZA, Belizán JM, Reddy UM, Rubens CE, Mabeya H,
348 Flenady V, Darmstadt GL, committee LsSSs. Stillbirths: the vision for 2020. *The*
349 *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 1798-1805.

350 Gomez GB, Kamb ML, Newman LM, Mark J, Broutet N, Hawkes SJ. Untreated maternal
351 syphilis and adverse outcomes of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. .
352 *Bull World Health Organ* 2013; **91**: 217-226.

353 Gounder CR, Wada NI, Kensler C, Violari A, McIntyre J, Chaisson RE, Martinson NA.
354 Active tuberculosis case-finding among pregnant women presenting to antenatal clinics
355 in Soweto, South Africa. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2011; **57**: e77-84.

356 Gupta PM, Freedman AA, Kramer MR, Goldenberg RL, Willinger M, Stoll BJ, Silver RM,
357 Dudley DJ, Parker CB, Hogue CJR. Interpregnancy interval and risk of stillbirth: a
358 population-based case control study. *Ann Epidemiol* 2019; **35**: 35-41.

359 Hanley GE, Hutcheon JA, Kinniburgh BA, Lee L. Interpregnancy Interval and Adverse
360 Pregnancy Outcomes: An Analysis of Successive Pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol* 2017;
361 **129**: 408-415.

362 Hogue CJ. Invited Commentary: Preventable Pregnancy Loss Is a Public Health Problem. *Am*
363 *J Epidemiol* 2016; **183**: 709-712.

364 Kennedy D, Kroon M , Fawcus S. The effect of maternal HIV status on perinatal outcome at
365 Mowbray Maternity Hospital and referring midwife obstetric units, Cape Town. *South*
366 *African Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2012; **18**: 7-10.

367 Kwon S, Lazo-Escalante M, Villaran MV , Li CI. Relationship between interpregnancy
368 interval and birth defects in Washington State. *J Perinatol* 2012; **32**: 45-50.

369 Lamont K, Scott NW, Jones GT , Bhattacharya S. Risk of recurrent stillbirth: systematic
370 review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* 2015; **350**: h3080.

371 Moodley Y, Tomita A, de Oliveira T , Tanser F. HIV viral load and pregnancy loss: Results
372 from a population-based cohort study in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Aids*. In
373 press.

374 Nybo Andersen AM, Wohlfahrt J, Christens P, Olsen J , Melbye M. Maternal age and fetal
375 loss: population based register linkage study. *Bmj* 2000; **320**: 1708-1712.

376 Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR , Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the
377 number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1996;
378 **49**: 1373-1379.

379 Reardon DC ,Thorp JM. Pregnancy associated death in record linkage studies relative to
380 delivery, termination of pregnancy, and natural losses: A systematic review with a
381 narrative synthesis and meta-analysis. *SAGE Open Med* 2017; **5**: 2050312117740490.

382 Sonfield A, Hasstedt K, Kavanaugh ML , Anderson R (2013) *The Social and Economic*
383 *Benefits of Women's Ability to Determine Whether and When to Have Children*.
384 Guttmacher Institute, New York.

385 Swaminathan A, Fell DB, Regan A, Walker M , Corsi DJ. Association between
386 interpregnancy interval and subsequent stillbirth in 58 low-income and middle-income

387 countries: a retrospective analysis using Demographic and Health Surveys. *Lancet Glob*
388 *Health* 2020; **8**: e113-e122.

389 Tanser F, Hosegood V, Barnighausen T, Herbst K, Nyirenda M, Muhwava W, Newell C,
390 Viljoen J, Mutevedzi T, Newell ML. Cohort Profile: Africa Centre Demographic
391 Information System (ACDIS) and population-based HIV survey. *Int J Epidemiol* 2008;
392 **37**: 956-962.

393 Welz T, Hosegood V, Jaffar S, Bätzing-Feigenbaum J, Herbst K, Newell ML. Continued
394 very high prevalence of HIV infection in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: a
395 population-based longitudinal study. *Aids* 2007; **21**: 1467-1472.

396 Zhu BP, Rolfs RT, Nangle BE, Horan JM. Effect of the interval between pregnancies on
397 perinatal outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 1999; **340**: 589-594.

398

399 Table I. Description of the study sample (N=8940 women)

Variable	n (% of N)
<i>Maternal characteristics</i>	
Age when pregnancy was conceived	
16-20 years	1428 (16.0)
21-25 years	3654 (40.9)
26-30 years	2453 (27.4)
31-35 years	1405 (15.7)
Socioeconomic status	
Low	5366 (60.0)
High	3574 (40.0)
Completed at least primary school	
No	3124 (34.9)
Yes	5816 (65.1)
Currently married	
No	8376 (93.7)
Yes	564 (6.3)
Clinic within 5km of residence	
No	777 (8.7)
Yes	8163 (91.3)
HIV serostatus	
Negative	2772 (31.0)
Unknown	5063 (56.6)
Positive	1105 (12.4)
History of tuberculosis	
No	8860 (99.1)
Yes	80 (0.9)
<i>Pregnancy characteristics</i>	
Number of prior pregnancies	
1	6535 (73.1)
2-3	2016 (22.6)
≥4	389 (4.3)
*First pregnancy ended in miscarriage/stillbirth	
No	8762 (98.0)
Yes	178 (2.0)
IPI	
6-60 months	6689 (74.8)
<6 months	445 (5.0)
>60 months	1806 (20.2)
<i>Study outcome</i>	
Pregnancy loss	
No	8805 (98.5)
Yes	135 (1.5)

400 *Refers to the first of the two pregnancies reported for each woman during the study period.

401

Table II. Results of the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses investigating statistical associations between IPI, various covariates, and pregnancy loss

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
<i>Independent variable under investigation</i>		
IPI		
6-60 months	1.00	1.00
<6 months	1.04 (0.45-2.39)	0.82 (0.35-1.91)
>60 months	1.81 (1.25-2.62)	2.64 (1.71-4.09)
<i>Covariates (Maternal and other pregnancy characteristics)</i>		
Age when pregnancy was conceived		
16-20 years	1.00	1.00
21-25 years	1.11 (0.66-1.88)	0.85 (0.49-1.46)
26-30 years	1.42 (0.83-2.43)	0.65 (0.35-1.20)
31-35 years	0.85 (0.44-1.67)	0.26 (0.11-0.58)
Socioeconomic status		
Low	1.00	1.00
High	0.97 (0.68-1.37)	0.98 (0.66-1.45)
Completed at least primary school		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	0.94 (0.66-1.34)	1.14 (0.77-1.71)
Currently married		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.06 (0.54-2.10)	1.16 (0.56-2.40)
Clinic within 5km of residence		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	2.06 (0.91-4.69)	1.90 (0.82-4.41)
HIV serostatus		
Negative	1.00	1.00
Unknown	1.48 (0.98-2.23)	1.44 (0.92-2.24)
Positive	1.34 (0.74-2.42)	0.99 (0.53-1.85)
History of tuberculosis		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	3.51 (1.26-9.73)	3.98 (1.34-11.80)
Number of prior pregnancies		
1	1.00	1.00
2-3	2.06 (1.44-2.96)	2.61 (1.76-3.88)
≥4	1.74 (0.83-3.62)	4.05 (1.71-9.53)
*First pregnancy ended in miscarriage/stillbirth		
No	1.00	1.00
Yes	3.65 (1.82-7.30)	3.75 (1.85-7.58)

*Refers to the first of the two pregnancies reported for each woman during the study period.