Title: The effect of Covid-19 isolation measures on the cognition and mental health of people living with dementia: a rapid systematic review of one year of evidence.

Authors: Aida Suárez-González*¹, Jayeeta Rajagopalan², Gill Livingston³, Suvarna Alladi⁴

Affiliations:

¹Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK

²Strengthening Responses to Dementia in Developing Countries (STRiDE) India, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bangalore, India

³Division of Psychiatry, Maple House, University College London

⁴Department of Neurology, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences Bangalore, India

Correspondence: Aida Suárez-González, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology,

Dementia Research Centre, box 16, 8-11 Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG

aida.gonzalez@ucl.ac.uk

Total word count: 3443

Key words: dementia, Covid-19, lockdown, isolation, neuropsychiatric symptoms

Abstract

Background: Covid-19 control policies have entailed lockdowns and confinement. Although these isolation measures are thought to be particularly hard and possibly harmful to people with dementia, their specific impact during the pandemic has not yet been synthesised. We aimed to examine and summarise the global research evidence describing the effect of Covid-19 isolation measures on the health of people living with dementia.

Method: We searched Pubmed, PsycINFO and CINAHL up to February 2021 for peer-reviewed quantitative studies of the effects of isolation measures during Covid-19 on cognitive, psychological and functional symptoms of people with any kind of dementia or mild cognitive impairment. We summarised the findings of included papers following current guidelines for rapid reviews.

Results: We identified 15 eligible papers, examining a total of 6,442 people with dementia. 13/15 were conducted in people living in the community and 2 in care homes. 60% (9/15) studies reported changes in cognition with 77% (7/9) of them describing declined cognition by >50% of respondents. 93% (14/15) of studies reported worsening or new onset of behavioural and psychological symptoms. 46% (7/15) studies reported changes in daily function, 6 of them reporting a functional decline in a variable proportion of the population studied.

Conclusion: Lockdowns and confinement measures brought about by the pandemic have damaged the cognitive and psychological health and functional abilities of people with dementia across the world. It is urgent that infection control measures applied to people with dementia are balanced against the principles of non-maleficence. This systematic review makes 4 specific calls for action.

Key Points

- Neuropsychiatric symptoms of people with dementia (e.g., anxiety, depressive symptoms, apathy, agitation) were found to worsen during lockdown in the majority of studies.
- Cognitive decline affecting memory, orientation concentration and communication was observed by caregivers within few weeks after lockdown.
- The deterioration reported occurred in a short window of time (between 1 and 4 months) and it is unlikely to be attributable to the natural variation of the course of dementia.
- There is little research conducted in care home residents with dementia (only 2 papers found).
- Increase consumption of antipsychotics and benzodiazepines has occurred in people with dementia during lockdown.
- Evidence indicates that isolation measures quickly damaged people's with dementia cognitive and mental health and probably accelerated overall decline.

1. Introduction

The first wave of the new coronavirus disease, Covid-19, swept across the world in March 2020 becoming a universal health challenge. In that month, many countries declared national lockdowns or imposed strict restrictions to try to reduce virus transmission. With little knowledge available at the time about the best way to respond to Covid-19, authorities world-wide enforced strict measures to hugely suppress physical contact. Such measures have evolved, coming and going, as new waves of the virus unfolded, with both direct and collateral significance for individuals. People with dementia have great vulnerability to Covid-19 infection and death but also to the indirect consequences of lockdown and confinement as they usually require day-to-day help and may not be able either to understand the rapidly changing situation and associated knowledge or to make informed decisions about responding to it (Liu et al., 2021). The challenge of living with dementia is amplified by the reduction in therapeutic and essential services because of Covid-19 containment measures, which have entailed disruption in services for maintaining health and well-being and the good management of the dementia-related symptoms (Hanna et al., 2020, Giebel et al., 2020). For instance, not only were specialist dementia consultations postponed or suspended but day centres and home services and most therapeutic and support activities were closed or curtailed in many countries (Giebel et al., 2020, Liu et al., 2021). These are vital services for people with dementia in that the focus of dementia treatment and care is on quality of life (Livingston et al., 2020) and maintaining function for as long as possible, regaining lost function when possible, and adapting to lost function that cannot be regained (Poulos et al., 2017). In some countries the restrictions applied during lockdowns barely allowed for one hour a day of outdoor activities while in others not even that was permitted. Even those people with dementia who lived with relatives or partners were more isolated than before. For those residing in care homes it was even harder, since the measures in residential facilities have been considerably more restrictive and prolonged and maintained for the year after the start of the pandemic. To reduce infection and death rates in care and nursing homes residents who have been in contact with possible infection have been isolated for weeks in their own room and many homes have had a total ban on visitors (including children and spouses) (Comas-Herrera et al., 2020).

Effects of isolation on people with dementia

Since the early months of the pandemic, families, healthcare professionals and scientist have raised concerns about the potentially adverse consequences of confinement and isolation measures on the health of people with dementia who are already vulnerable (Suárez-González, 2020; Suárez-González et al. 2020a). This alarm was because confinement and stimulation deprivation is the opposite of what is therapeutically recommended for someone living with dementia (NICE 2018). People with dementia are susceptible to changes in their routines because these are experienced as stressful events that impose an extra cognitive load on someone who is cognitively impaired. For instance, changes in well-established daily patterns may affect the use of strategies routinely adopted to manage the dementia symptoms and daily living. A lack of activities, sensory stimulation and pleasure make people with dementia more vulnerable to boredom, anxiety, apathy sleep disturbance, agitation, hallucinations and these neuropsychiatric symptoms may lead to conflicts with family. Lastly, while staying at home, time spent in sedentary activities increases and daily physical activity is reduced, which may reduce physical function and independence. These symptoms result from a combination of factors: biological (product of the neuropathological changes of the brain caused by the disease), psychological, environmental and social, as well as unmet needs (responsive behaviours). As the first studies on the topic emerged, those concerns started to crystalise (Canevelli et al., 2020; Lara et al., 2020; Suzuki et al., 2020). The presence of significant NPS is associated with more rapid progression to severe dementia and death (Peters et al., 2015) and negatively impacts on the quality of life of the person with the condition.

Knowledge of the impact of isolation and confinement of people with dementia during the pandemic on their health is crucial to balance the risks and benefits of the current public health policies, the provision of care in post-emergency scenarios and future waves. The purpose of the current study is to bring together the evidence about impact to ensure that no person with dementia is left behind.

This rapid review seeks to answer the following questions: 1) What is the relationship between Covid-19 isolation measures and the cognitive, psychological symptoms functional level among people with dementia living in the community?

2) What is the relationship between Covid-19 isolation measures and the cognitive and psychological symptoms and functional level among people with dementia living in care homes?

2. Methods/Design

The protocol for this rapid review was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42021229259) (Suárez-González et al., 2021). We followed PRISMA guidelines (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) for conducting the review and preparing the report (13,14). We systematically reviewed the literature about Covid-19, isolation measures and the cognitive, mental health and functional level of people with dementia using the recommended general framework (Tricco et al., 2017) (Garritty et al., 2021).

2.1 Selection of studies

We included studies that described the effects of isolation measures (i.e. lockdowns) on the cognitive, psychological and functional performance of people with any kind of dementia or mild cognitive impairment. Inclusion criteria required studies to report either a percentage of people with dementia showing changes due to isolation for at least one of the three variables of interest (cognition, psychological symptoms or level on function for activities of daily living) or a mean and standard deviation of any of these measures at a group level during the pandemic. The outcome measures were 1) cognitive function and 2) psychological symptoms and 3) level of performance for activities of daily living (functional level).

We excluded studies providing information about the variables of interest during the pandemic without referring to any change due to isolation measures, studies in languages other than English, conference abstracts, grey literature and non-peer-reviewed material (pre-prints were excluded).

2.2. Information sources and search terms

We searched the following databases: Pubmed, PsycINFO and CINAHL. The literature included was indexed, published and peer-reviewed literature with unlimited date range up until 27th February 2021. Database specific conventions and the use of multiple search fields was customised for individual databases. To streamline the review process according to frameworks recommended for rapid reviews, reference lists from key articles and reviews were not checked. The following search terms were developed in consultation with experienced researchers and librarians and piloted before being used in the current review: (dementia OR neurocognitive disorders OR cognitive impairment) AND (covid* OR coronavirus* OR coronavirus) AND (pandemic OR outbreak OR lockdown OR confinement OR isolation OR quarantine) AND (behavioral symptoms OR behavioural symptom* OR behavioral symptom* OR psychological symptom* OR psychological well-being OR psychological wellbeing OR loneliness OR loneliness OR neuropsychiatric symptom* OR mental health OR physical health OR mood* OR communication OR communication OR depression OR depressi* OR anxiety OR anxiety OR apathy OR apathy OR agitation OR irritab* OR wandering OR insomnia OR sleep* OR functional decline OR cognitive decline OR activities of daily living OR hallucinations OR hallucinat* OR delusions OR delusion* OR facing OR coping).

The search strategy for the three databases is fully reported in Appendix 1. Results were exported into Endnote software version X9 and automatically deduplicated. A multi-level title-first method was conducted to screen and select the candidate articles, screen titles first and abstracts after (Mateen et al., 2013). The main reviewer (ASG) conducted screening and selection and applied inclusion and exclusion criteria. A 10% sample of the full-text articles selected and 10% of the excluded were double screened (JR).

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Data extraction from each article was completed by one reviewer, verified by a second one independently and recorded in a standards extraction form covering sample size, measure used, time of data collection, decline in cognition, appearance or worsening of behavioural/psychological symptoms, decline in activities of daily living, increase or addition of pharmacological therapy and quality score. We used the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal tools (<https://joannabriggs.org/critical-appraisal-tools>) for quality

assessment to describe the characteristics of the studies included and current body of knowledge on the impact of Covid-19 isolation measures on the cognitive, psychological and functional health of people with dementia. The assessment was done on study design, with quality assessment conducted by a reviewer (ASG) and 3 (20) % of scoring verified by a second one (JR). Discrepancies were resolved between raters.

As recommended in JBI manual for evidence synthesis, we considered as good quality those studies achieving a cut-off score of more than 70% of the items assessed for the JBI critical appraisal tools, which is fewer than eight out of 11 for cohort studies; and fewer than five out of eight for analytical cross-sectional studies “yes” answers (Tufanaru et al., 2017)

2.4. Synthesis of results

Studies were grouped according to whether they addressed people with dementia living in the community or in care homes and by study design.

3. Results

Figure 1 presents a PRISMA flow diagram of the article inclusion process. The studies' characteristics are summarised in Table 1 and Table 2 by order of their quality score. Table 1 reports studies conducted on people with dementia living in the community and is divided into those providing a measure pre and post lockdown and those providing a mean measure during lockdown. Table 2 illustrates the studies conducted on people with dementia living in care homes. We identified 15 studies examining the effects of lockdown on a total sample of 6,442 people with dementia. 13 of them were conducted with people living in the community (2 of them collecting data pre- and post-pandemic and 11 cross sectional) and 2 in care homes. All studies conducted the cross-sectional data collection within the first months of lockdown or right after, while two of them show measures collected prospectively at baseline before and then after lockdown (Lara et al., 2020, Borges-Machado et al., 2020).

11 studies met the criteria for good quality standard while 2 studies did not. Common quality items missing related to incorrect report of standard criteria used for diagnosis of

the dementia, failure to identify and deal with confounding factors and absence of valid and reliable tools to measure outcomes.

Studies conducted in people with dementia living in the community.

Decline in cognition.

61% (8/13) of studies reported decline in cognition. The most commonly used tool were tailored questionnaires and surveys. Reported worsening in cognition ranges 12% - 80% across studies, with 75% (6/8) of them describing declining cognition in > 50% of respondents. Concentration, memory, orientation and communication were the cognitive domains most named as affected.

Appearance or worsening of behavioural/psychological symptoms.

92% (12/13) of studies reported worsening or new onset of behavioural and psychological symptoms, measured using questionnaires validated for the measurement of symptoms, the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). Reported changes range 75%-22% in 13 patient groups. In at least 75% of the 13 groups reported more than 40% of respondents confirmed worsening or onset of new symptoms. An increase of anxiety, apathy, depression and agitation were the most common changes reported.

Decline in activities of daily living (ADL).

46% (6/13) studies reported changes in ADL. The tool to measure it were tailored questionnaires and Barthel Index. Reported worsening in ADL ranges 34%-5%. One study reported no changes and one significant decline in Barthel index. When the type of decline was stated, this related to the level of independence in personal care and housekeeping.

Increase/addition pharmacological therapy.

Six studies (46%) reported adjustments to pharmacological treatment as a result of worsening neuropsychiatric symptoms during confinement, ranging from 7%- 27%of respondents. When the drug was reported, this was most commonly the introduction of antipsychotics and benzodiazepines.

Studies conducted in people with dementia living in care homes.

Decline in cognition.

One of the two studies examining the effects of lockdown in people with dementia in care homes reported changes in cognition, with 54% of residents experiencing worsening of memory, according to reports from an online survey administer to caregivers.

Appearance or worsening of behavioural/psychological symptoms.

Mean depression and anxiety scores increased in one study and mood deteriorated in 51% of residents examined in the other.

Decline in ADL.

One study reported worsening of independence in ADL in 43% of the residents whose caregivers responded to the survey.

Increase/addition pharmacological therapy.

This was not reported.

5. Discussion

This rapid review shows evidence of worsening of cognition, neuropsychiatric symptoms and level of function for ADL in people with dementia during the Covid-19 lockdowns in Europe and Latin America at home and in care homes. They also showed an increase in prescription of antipsychotics and benzodiazepines for the psychological symptoms. The most frequently measured of these three variables neuropsychiatric symptoms, examined in 14/15 studies, followed by changes in cognition 9/15 and ADL in 7/15. Neuropsychiatric manifestations are highly disrupting and directedly associated with caregiver burden and the person with dementia quality of life, risk of care home admission, and worsening cognitive and functional outcomes (Kales et la., 2014). They can also be reported by caregiving informants without direct contact by any researcher or clinician. Hence, the practicality and interest in studying how Covid-19 has affected these specific symptoms.

The majority of the studies in this review examining behavioural and psychological symptoms reported large percentages of people living with dementia deteriorated. Nine studies reported more than 40% of participants experienced worsening or new onset symptoms (ranging 42%-75%) (Baschi et al., 2020, Palermo et al., 2020, Borelli et al., 2021, Cagnin et al., 2020, Maurik et al., 2020, Barguilla et al., 2020, Pogan et al., 2021, Canevelli et al., 2020, Cohen et al., 2020) and four others showed a similar picture of deterioration but in fewer people with dementia (ranging 12 to 38) (Cagnin et al., 2020, Boutoleau-Bretonniere et al., 2020, Palermo et al., 2020, Baschi et al., 2020). Most studies name depression, anxiety and apathy as the symptoms aggravated the most during lockdowns. Moreover, depression, along with apathy, and anxiety are strongly associated with increased caregiver burden and lower quality of life in people living with dementia (Gómez-Gallego et al., 2012; Hoe et al., 2006; Seignourel et al., 2008; Springate and Tremont, 2014) which may have contributed to situations of increasing distress for patients and families.

This rapid review also found a large proportion of people with dementia experiencing decline in their cognitive abilities due to lockdown (Baschi et al., 2020; Borelli et al., 2021; Maurik et al., 2020; Barguilla et al., 2020, Canevelli et al., 2020, Tsapanou et al., 2020). One small study with only eight people with PDD did not find many people deteriorated (Palermo et al., 2020). While people with dementia deteriorate gradually over time there is usually little difference over a few months. Data regarding the impact of Covid-19 policies on the ADL of people with dementia is scarce and more difficult to interpret due to variation in the outcomes reported (from no affectation at all to 34%) and also measurement tools (e.g. Barthel Index and questionnaires).

Very little information is available about the impact of Covid-19 on people residing in care homes with only two studies addressing this (El Haj et al., 2020, O'Caoimh et al., 2020). This population has been more difficult to reach than those living in the community due to additional restrictions imposed in the social care systems (Comas-Herrera et al., 2020), which is at the same time understandable and of concern. The two studies included in this review both describing a significant worsening in psychological symptoms of residents although comparisons are impossible due to the different measures used. One of them reported a decline in cognition and ADL in around half the residents (O'Caoimh et al., 2020)

which is in keeping with other emerging evidence on the topic (Leontievas et al., 2020). People with dementia in care homes are believed to have gone through the hardest version of Covid-19, both in term of mortality and deleterious effects of confinement, including decisions such as not referring to hospitals and ban on visitors which while made to protect the population can be regarded conflicting with individual human right (Suárez-González et al., 2020b). Although speculative without more data available, it is reasonable to expect, that the deleterious effect of confinement is amplified in this population compared to those living in the community because there are no relatives there, isolation has been greater and, in most homes, both activities and going out have stopped. In addition, those with dementia living in care homes tend to be older, more fragile and at more severe stages of the disease progression. It is therefore paramount that new research studies contribute to shed light on this worrying gap of evidence.

Changes in pharmacological therapy

The one previous study on the prescription of antipsychotics for people with dementia in care homes during the pandemic have already suggested that their use increased significantly during the first months of 2020 (Howard et al 2020). Our review is in line with this notion since, in all studies that reported this variable, increases in dosage or initiation of medicating was found in up to 27% of people with dementia studied (most commonly antipsychotics and benzodiazepines). This may be indicative of generalised aggravation of dementia symptoms due to lockdown and impossibility of resorting to non-pharmacological management strategies due to the limitations imposed by anti-covid measures. It is important as both of these drugs have side effects including increased falls and for antipsychotics higher mortality.

Limitations

Heterogeneity of tools and most importantly the choice of data reporting are the main limitation to synthesise and interpret the data from the studies identified in this review. For instance, whether a total percentage of the patient experiencing change in their symptoms was given, or whether the percentage was broken down by symptoms (with no overall figures) or whether the method used to measure the variables did not allow to extract percentages and mean and standard deviation were used instead. In addition, some

measurement instruments used, such as the HADS, are not appropriate to be used in dementia. It is also fair to notice the difficulties in conducting these studies during the pandemic, in particular in care homes, that banned the entrance to external personnel and families and went through many strains to continue care throughout the pandemic.

6. Conclusions

The Covid-19 isolation measures have had a detrimental effect on the cognitive and neuropsychiatric symptoms of people with dementia. The long-term consequences of this accelerated decline are still unknown but most probably will imply poorer health and quality of life and reduced life expectancy for many. People with dementia face many challenges and losses as result of their condition in normal times. They have the right to receive the best care and support available to mitigate the disease's symptoms and to promote their independence and well-being. Public infection control and prevention protocols that affect people with dementia have become a source of harm and they need, as a matter of urgency, to be redesigned under principles of compassionate fair care and non-maleficence. More specifically, this review makes the following calls for action:

- 1) Both family caregivers and paid carers should be prioritised for vaccines (as it is already happening in some countries) so home care is not disrupted. Of particular importance is to offer vaccination to staff at a time they can access it when working on shifts.
- 2) Measures to support remote working and work-life balance policies for family caregivers should be implemented and maintained until the pandemic is over and extended to more than one caregiver (some families share the caregiving tasks).
- 3) We now know that the risk of infection is low outdoors, and the correct use of appropriate PPE can allow safe close physical contact. This knowledge should be used to restore routines, support and therapeutic activities in the community for people with dementia.
- 4) Care homes in many countries are progressing in the immunisation of both residents and workers but even in the cases where this is not happening, safe visits can and should be maintained (see Storr et al., 2021, Low et al., 2021 and <https://enablesafecare.org/> for a list of specific measures to enable safe human interaction in care homes).

Acknowledgements. ASG receives funding from a grant jointly funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (UK) and the National Institute for Health Research (UK) (ES/S010467/1). GL is supported by UCLH National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre, and by NIHR North Thames ARC as a NIHR senior investigator. JR is supported by a UK Research and Innovation 's Global Challenges Research Fund (UKRI GCRF) (ES/P0109381).

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Data share statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

References

Adelina Comas-Herrera, Maximilian Salcher-Konrad, Jennifer Baumbusch, Nicolas Farina, Claire Goodman, Klara Lorenz-Dant, Lee-Fay Low. (2020, November). Rapid review of the evidence on impacts of visiting policies in care homes during the Covid-19 pandemic. (<https://ltccovid.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Rapid-review-of-evidence-on-impacts-of-visiting-policies-in-care-homes-during-the-COVID-pandemic-LSE068110.pdf>)

Aida Suarez-Gonzalez, Jayeeta Rajagopalan, Suvarna Alladi. A rapid review of the effect of Covid-19 isolation measures on the cognitive and mental health of people living with dementia. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021229259 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42021229259

Borges-Machado F, Barros D, Ribeiro Ó, Carvalho J. The Effects of COVID-19 Home Confinement in Dementia Care: Physical and Cognitive Decline, Severe Neuropsychiatric Symptoms and Increased Caregiving Burden. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias®. 2020 Dec 8;35:1533317520976720.

Boutoleau-Bretonnière C, Pouclet-Courtemanche H, Gillet A, Bernard A, Laure Deruet A, Gouraud I, Mazoue A, Lamy E, Rocher L, Kapogiannis D, El Haj M. The effects of confinement on neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease during the COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease. 2020 Jan 1(Preprint):1-7.

Cagnin A, Di Lorenzo R, Marra C, Bonanni L, Cupidi C, Laganà V, Rubino E, Vacca A, Provero P, Isella V, Vanacore N. Behavioral and psychological effects of coronavirus disease-19 quarantine in patients with dementia. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2020 Sep 9;11:916.

Canevelli M, Valletta M, Blasi MT, Remoli G, Sarti G, Nuti F, Sciancalepore F, Ruberti E, Cesari M, Bruno G. Facing Dementia During the COVID-19 Outbreak. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2020 Aug 1.

Cohen G, Russo MJ, Campos JA, Allegri RF. COVID-19 epidemic in Argentina: worsening of behavioral symptoms in elderly subjects with dementia living in the community. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2020;11.

El Haj M, Altintas E, Chapelet G, Kapogiannis D, Gallouj K. High depression and anxiety in people with Alzheimer's disease living in retirement homes during the covid-19 crisis. *Psychiatry Research*. 2020 Sep 1;291:113294.

Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Nussbaumer-Streit B, King VJ, Hamel C, Kamel C, Affengruber L, Stevens A. Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2021 Feb;130:13-22. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.10.007. Epub 2020 Oct 15. PMID: 33068715; PMCID: PMC7557165.

Giebel C, Cannon J, Hanna K, Butchard S, Eley R, Gaughan A, Komuravelli A, Shenton J, Callaghan S, Tetlow H, Limbert S, Whittington R, Rogers C, Rajagopal M, Ward K, Shaw L, Corcoran R, Bennett K, Gabbay M. Impact of COVID-19 related social support service closures on people with dementia and unpaid carers: a qualitative study. *Aging Ment Health*. 2020 Sep 21:1-8. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2020.1822292. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32954794.

Gomez-Gallego, M., Gomez-Garcia, J. and Ato-Lozano, E. (2015). Addressing the bias problem in the assessment of the quality of life of patients with dementia: determinants of the accuracy and precision of the proxy ratings. *The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging*, 19, 365–372. doi: 10.1007/s12603-014-0564-7

Goodman-Casanova JM, Dura-Perez E, Guzman-Parra J, Cuesta-Vargas A, Mayoral-Cleries F. Telehealth home support during COVID-19 confinement for community-dwelling older adults with mild cognitive impairment or mild dementia: survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2020;22(5):e19434.

Hanna K, Giebel C, Tetlow H, Ward K, Shenton J, Cannon J, Komuravelli A, Gaughan A, Eley R, Rogers C, Rajagopal M, Limbert S, Callaghan S, Whittington R, Butchard S, Shaw L, Gabbay

M. Emotional and Mental Wellbeing Following COVID-19 Public Health Measures on People Living With Dementia and Carers. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol*. 2021 Feb 25;891988721996816. doi: 10.1177/0891988721996816. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33626977.

Hoe, J., Hancock, G., Livingston, G. and Orrell, M. (2006). Quality of life of people with dementia in residential care homes. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 188, 460–464.

Howard R, Burns A, Schneider L. Antipsychotic prescribing to people with dementia during COVID-19. *Lancet Neurol*. 2020 Nov;19(11):892. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30370-7. PMID: 33098796; PMCID: PMC7577650.

Kales HC, Gitlin LN, Lyketsos CG; Detroit Expert Panel on Assessment and Management of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms of Dementia. Management of neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia in clinical settings: recommendations from a multidisciplinary expert panel. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014;62(4):762-769. doi:10.1111/jgs.12730

Lara B, Carnes A, Dakterzada F, Benitez I, Piñol-Ripoll G. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and quality of life in Spanish patients with Alzheimer's disease during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Eur J Neurol*. 2020 Sep;27(9):1744-1747. doi: 10.1111/ene.14339. Epub 2020 Jun 24. PMID: 32449791; PMCID: PMC7283827.

Leontjevas R, Knippenberg IAH, Smalbrugge M, Plouvier AOA, Teunisse S, Bakker C, Koopmans RTCM, Gerritsen DL. Challenging behavior of nursing home residents during COVID-19 measures in the Netherlands. *Aging Ment Health*. 2020 Dec 9:1-6. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2020.1857695. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33291991.

Liu, K., Howard, R., Banerjee, S., Comas-Herrera, A., Goddard, J., Knapp, M., ... Burns, A. (2021, January 18). Dementia wellbeing and COVID-19: review and expert consensus on current research and knowledge gaps. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/2bq4u>

Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, Ames D, Ballard C, Banerjee S, Brayne C, Burns A, Cohen-Mansfield J, Cooper C, Costafreda SG, Dias A, Fox N, Gitlin LN, Howard R, Kales HC, Kivimäki M, Larson EB, Ogunniyi A, Orgeta V, Ritchie K, Rockwood K, Sampson EL, Samus Q, Schneider LS, Selbæk G, Teri L, Mukadam N. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet*. 2020 Aug 8;396(10248):413-446. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30367-6. Epub 2020 Jul 30. PMID: 32738937; PMCID: PMC7392084.

Mateen FJ, Oh J, Tergas AI, Bhayani NH, Kamdar BB. Titles versus titles and abstracts for initial screening of articles for systematic reviews. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2013;5:89–95

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2018). Dementia: assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. Retrieved from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng97/chapter/recommendations>

O'Caoimh R, O'Donovan MR, Monahan MP, Dalton O'Connor C, Buckley C, Kilty C, Fitzgerald S, Hartigan I, Cornally N. Psychosocial Impact of COVID-19 Nursing Home Restrictions on Visitors of Residents With Cognitive Impairment: A Cross-Sectional Study as Part of the Engaging Remotely in Care (ERiC) Project. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2020 Oct 26;11:1115.

Peters ME, Schwartz S, Han D, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms as predictors of progression to severe Alzheimer's dementia and death: the Cache County Dementia Progression Study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2015;172(5):460-465. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14040480.

Poulos CJ, Bayer A, Beaupre L, Clare L, Poulos RG, Wang RH, Zuidema S, McGilton KS. A comprehensive approach to reablement in dementia. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)*. 2017 Jul 27;3(3):450-458. doi: 10.1016/j.trci.2017.06.005. PMID: 29067351; PMCID: PMC5654482.

Seignourel, P. J., Kunik, M. E., Snow, L., Wilson, N. and Stanley, M. (2008). Anxiety in dementia: a critical review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 28, 1071–1082. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2008.02.008

Springate, B. A. and Tremont, G. (2014). Dimensions of caregiver burden in dementia: impact of demographic, mood, and care recipient variables. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22, 294–300. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2012.09.006

Suarez-Gonzalez A (2020) Detrimental effects of confinement and isolation in the cognitive and psychological health of people living with dementia during COVID-19: emerging evidence. LTCcovid, International Long-Term Care Policy Network, CPEC-LSE, 23 June 2020. <https://ltccovid.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/LTCcovid-1-July-Detrimental-effects-confinement-on-people-with-dementia.pdf>

Suarez-Gonzalez A, Comas-Herrera A, Livingston G. Impact of Covid-19 on people living with dementia: emerging international evidence. (2020a, 29 June). *Rapid Response BMJ*. <https://www.bmj.com/content/369/bmj.m2463/rr-0>

Suárez-González A, Livingston G, Low LF, Cahill S, Hennelly N, Dawson WD, Weidner W, Bocchetta M, Ferri CP, Matias-Guiu JA, Alladi S, Musyimi CW, Comas-Herrera A. (2020b) Impact and mortality of COVID-19 on people living with dementia: cross-country report. LTCcovid.org, International Long-Term Care Policy Network, CPECLSE, 19 August 2020. <https://ltccovid.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/International-report-on-the-impact-of-COVID-19-on-people-living-with-dementia-19-August-2020.pdf>

Suzuki M, Hotta M, Nagase A, Yamamoto Y, Hirakawa N, Satake Y, Nagata Y, Suehiro T, Kanemoto H, Yoshiyama K, Mori E. The behavioral pattern of patients with frontotemporal dementia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2020 Jun 10:1-6. Tricco AC, Langlois EV, Straus SE, editors. *Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Tsapanou A, Papatriantafyllou JD, Yiannopoulou K, Sali D, Kalligerou F, Ntanasi E, Zoi P, Margioti E, Kamtsadeli V, Hatzopoulou M, Koustimpi M. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on people with mild cognitive impairment/dementia and on their caregivers. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2020 Nov 9.

Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Aromataris E, Campbell J, Hopp L. Chapter 3: Systematic reviews of effectiveness. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual [Internet]. Adelaide: Joanna Briggs Institute, 2017 [cited 2017 Jan 1]

van Maurik IS, Bakker ED, van den Buuse S, Gillissen F, Van De Beek M, Lemstra E, Mank A, Van Den Bosch KA, Van Leeuwenstijn M, Bouwman FH, Scheltens P. Psychosocial Effects of Corona Measures on Patients With Dementia, Mild Cognitive Impairment and Subjective Cognitive Decline. *Frontiers in psychiatry*. 2020;11.

Storr J, Kilpatrick C, Vassallo A. Safe infection prevention and control practices with compassion - A positive legacy of COVID-19. *Am J Infect Control*. 2021 Mar;49(3):407-408. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.12.016. PMID: 33640111; PMCID: PMC7904455.

Low L-F, Hinsliff-Smith K, Sinha S, Stall N, Verbeek H, Siette J, Dow B, Backhaus R, Devi R, Spilsbury K, Brown J, Griffiths A, Bergman C, Comas- Herrera A (2021) Safe visiting at care homes during COVID-19: A review of international guidelines and emerging practices during the COVID-19 pandemic. LTCcovid.org, International Long-Term Care Policy Network, CPEC-LSE, 19th January 2021.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Prisma Flow Diagram

Table 1. Summary of studies examining the effect of lockdown in people living with dementia in the community.

Table 2. Summary of studies examining the effect of lockdown in people living with dementia in care homes.

Table 1. Summary of studies examining the effect of lockdown in people living with dementia in the community.

Studies with longitudinal data collection (pre and post lockdown)

Study	Country	Setting	N†	Measure used	Time of data collection	Decline in Cognition	Appearance or worsening of behavioural/psychological symptoms	Decline in ADL	Increase/addition on pharmacological therapy	Quality Score (max 11)
Lara et al., 2020	Spain	Outpatient clinic.	40 MCI=20 AD=20	NPI	A month before lockdown and 5 weeks after.	NR	Total % NR NPI:33.75 (baseline)– 39.05 (post-lockdown) * (apathy most commonly reported)	NR	NR	6/8
Borges-Machado et al., 2020	Portugal	Community dwelling.	36	NPI Barthel Index	November 2019 and June 2020 (3 months after home confinement)	80%	44% NPI: 5.72 (pre-confinement) 10.25, (post-confinement) *	Barthel Index: (pre-confinement) 92.92-(post-confinement) 88.33*	11% (type of change not reported)	7/9

Studies with one time point data collection (during or after lockdown)

Study	Country	Setting	N†	Measure	Time of data	Decline in	Appearance or	ADL	Increase/addition	Quality
-------	---------	---------	----	---------	--------------	------------	---------------	-----	-------------------	---------

				used	collection	Cognition	worsening of behavioural/psychological symptoms		on pharmacological therapy	score (max 8)
Baschi et al., 2020	Italy	Outpatient clinic.	PD-MCI: 31 MCI: 31	Semi-structured questionnaire NPI	2 months before lockdown and immediately after end of lockdown	45% (PD-MCI) 41% (MCI)	Worsening of existing symptoms: 42% (PD-MCI) 38% (MCI) New symptoms: 42% (PD-MCI), 22% (MCI)	Number of IADL lost (1.6 ± 1.8 (PD-MCI) Number of IADL lost 0.8 ± 1.2 (MCI)	NR	7
Palermo et al., 2020	Italy	Outpatient clinic.	PD-MCI: 10 ^{††} PDD: 8 ^{††}	Structured questionnaire	After lockdown (June-July 2020)	PD-MCI: 80% PDD: 12% (difficulties with concentration)	Total % NR Anxiety: 70% (PD-MCI) 50% (PDD) Sleep quality: 20% (PD-MCI) 12% (PDD)	5%	NR	6
Borelli et al., 2021	Brazil	Outpatient clinic.	58	NPI	May to July 2020	53%	48% (particularly apathy and depression)	34%	22% (mostly starting antipsychotics and benzodiazepine)	6
Boutoleau -	France	Outpatient clinic.	38 (AD)	NPI	Three months into	NR	26%	NR	NR	6

Bretonnier e et al., 2020					lockdown					
Cagnin et al., 2020	Italy	Outpatient memory clinic.	4,913	Structured interview	1 month after beginning of quarantine	NR	59% Worsening of existing symptoms: 51% New onset of symptoms: 26% (Irritability, apathy, agitation most commonly reported)	NR	27% (type of change no reported)	6
Pogan et al., 2021	France	Community.	389	Survey	During the first lockdown (April to June 2020)	NR	43%	NR	NR	6
Cohen et al., 2020	Argentin a	Outpatient clinic.	119	Survey	First 8 weeks of lockdown.	NR	60% (anxiety, depression and insomnia most common)	NR	Antipsychotics: 20% Benzodiazepines : 15% Hypnotics: 6% Antidepressants : 10%.	6

Maurik et al., 2020	The Netherlands	Outpatient clinic.	147	Survey	During April-July 2020	53%	75% (Apathy, sleeping behaviour and repetitive behaviour were the most common)	NR	NR	5
Barguilla et al., 2020	Spain	Outpatient clinic.	60	NPI CDR	Between three and four months after lockdown	60%	65% (agitation, depression and anxiety were the most common)	No changes in CDR	21% (type of change no reported)	5
Canevelli et al., 2020	Italy	Outpatient clinic.	139 Dementia: 96 MCI: 43 ^{††}	Survey	During first month of lockdown.	31% (memory and orientation)	54% (agitation, apathy and depression were the most common)	18% (dependence in personal care and housekeeping)	7 % (mostly introduction of antipsychotics)	3/7 [§]
Tsapanou et al., 2020	Greece	Day centres and private neurological/psychiatric practices.	204	Self-reported questionnaire	During lockdown (February to June 2020)	61% (decline in communication)	Total % NR (Mood, apathy and appetite most commonly reported)	NR	NR	2/7 [§]

[†]N refers to people with dementia recruited but informants are caregivers unless specified otherwise, ^{††}informants are patients/people living with cognitive impairment, [§] Some of the items from the critical appraisal checklist not applicable, *Significant p value, NR: Not reported, NPI: Neuropsychiatric inventory, EQ-5D: EuroQol-5D, HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, MCI: mild cognitive impairment, AD: Alzheimer's disease, PD-MCI: Parkinson disease with mild cognitive

impairment, PDD: Parkinson disease dementia

Table 2. Summary of studies examining the effect of lockdown in people living with dementia in care homes.

Study	Country	N	Measure	Time of data	Worsening	Worsening psychological	Worsening	Changes in	Quality
-------	---------	---	---------	--------------	-----------	-------------------------	-----------	------------	---------

			used	collection	cognition	symptoms	ADL	pharmacological therapy	Score (max 8)
El Haj et al., 2020	France	AD: 58 ^{††}	HADS	During the Covid pandemic	NR	Total % NR Depression: 0.005* Anxiety: 0.004*	NR	NR	6
O'Caoimh et al., 2020	Ireland	162	Online survey	2 weeks up until end of June 2020	54% (memory)	51% (mood)	43%	NR	6

^{††}informants are patients/people living with cognitive impairment
^{*} p-value

PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.