PRISMA-P 2015 checklist

Section and	Item	Page	Checklist item					
topic	No	No						
			Administrative information					
Title:								
Identification	1a	4	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review					
Update	1b	N.A	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such					
Registration	2	4	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number					
Authors:								
Contact	3a	1	Provide name, institutional affiliation, the e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide the physical					
			mailing address of the corresponding author					
Contributions	3b	10	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review					
Amendments	4	N.A	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as					
			such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments					
Support:								
Sources	5a	10	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review					
Sponsor	5b	N.A	Provide a name for the review funder and/or sponsor					
Role of	5c	N.A	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol					
sponsor or								
funder								
			INTRODUCTION					
Rationale	6	3-4	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known					
Objectives	7	4	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants,					
			interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)					
			METHODS					

Eligibility	8	4-5	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report
criteria			characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review
Information	9	6	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors,
sources			trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage
Search strategy	10	Not shown	The present draft of the search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including
			planned limits, such that it could be repeated
Study records:			
Data management	11a	6	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review
Selection	11b	6-7	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through
process			each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)
Data	11c	7	Describe the planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done
collection process			independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators
Data items	12	7	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any
			pre-planned data assumptions, and simplifications
Outcomes and prioritization	13		List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale
Risk of bias in	14	7-8	Describe anticipated methods for assessing the risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this
individual			will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data
studies			synthesis
Data synthesis	15a	8-9	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized
	15b	8-9	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of
			handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of
			consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)
	15c	8-9	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)

	15d	8	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned
Meta-bias(es)	16	8	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	N.A	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)