1 A novel whole-blood stimulation assay to detect and quantify memory T-cells in COVID-19 patients

2 William Mouton^{1, 2, 3}, Christelle Compagnon^{1, 3}, Kahina Saker¹, Soizic Daniel⁴, Xavier Lacoux⁴, Bruno
3 Pozzetto⁵, Guy Oriol^{1, 3}, Sophia Djebali², Franck Berthier⁴, Jacqueline Marvel², Thierry Walzer², Karen
4 Brengel-Pesce^{1, 3*}, Sophie Trouiller-Assant^{1, 2*} on behalf of COVID-ser study group

5 ¹Joint Research Unit Hospices Civils de Lyon-bioMérieux, Civils Hospices of Lyon, Lyon Sud Hospital,
6 Pierre-Bénite, France.

7 ²CIRI- International Centre for Research in Infectiology (CIRI), INSERM U1111, CNRS UMR5308, ENS
8 Lyon, Claude Bernard Lyon 1 University, Lyon, France.

9 ³Open Innovation & Partnerships (OIP), bioMérieux S.A., Marcy l'Etoile, France.

10 ⁴R&D – Immunoassay, bioMérieux S.A., Marcy l'Etoile, France.

11 ⁵GIMAP EA 3064 (Groupe Immunité des Muqueuses et Agents Pathogènes), Jean Monnet University,
12 Lyon University, Saint-Etienne, France; Laboratoire des Agents Infectieux et Hygiène, Saint-Etienne
13 Univesity hospital, Saint-Etienne, France.

14 *These authors share senior authorship.

15 Corresponding author post-publication :

16 Sophie Trouillet-Assant, PhD
17 Laboratoire Commun de Recherche Hospices Civils de Lyon-bioMérieux.
18 Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Bâtiment 3F, Pierre-Bénite, 69495, France.
19 Tel: +33(0)4 72 67 87 81; Fax: +33(0)4 72 67 87 87
20 Email: Sophie.assant@chu-lyon.fr

21 **Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2 ; Immune functional assays ; T-cells response ; Immune memory

22 **Running title:** T-cells memory 6 months post COVID-19

23 Abstract

24 SARS-CoV-2 specific T-cells responses are essential for virus clearance. We present a novel and
 25 simple whole-blood assay allowing the detection of interferon-gamma-producing antiviral T-cells
 26 following peptide stimulation. We show that unlike neutralizing antibodies, antiviral memory T-cells
 27 persist at least 6 months in convalescent Covid-19 individuals.

28 Introduction

29 As our understanding of the immune response against SARS-CoV-2 improves, it becomes clear that
 30 virus-specific T-cells are key players in the control of infection and that suboptimal T-cells response
 31 likely explains Covid-19 severity in many individuals [1]. SARS-CoV-2 specific T-cells responses often
 32 target epitopes conserved in different virus clades, including variants of concern [2], and even in
 33 other seasonal human coronaviruses (HCoV) [3]. Thus, memory T-cells may be more cross-protective
 34 than antibodies against different coronavirus infections. In addition, specific SARS-CoV-2 T-cells
 35 responses have been detected in convalescent donors without antibody responses [3] suggesting
 36 that, at least in some individuals, the measurement of T-cells responses may outperform serological
 37 measurements to uncover past infections. Thus, novel immunodiagnostics that measure cellular
 38 immune response to SARS-CoV-2 are needed to assess individual immune status and evaluate
 39 emerging vaccines in a robust and standardized manner, adapted to the clinical routine.

40 For this purpose, we designed a novel semi-automated whole-blood immune functional assay (WB
 41 IFA) to detect and quantify SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cells immunity. WB assays are rapid and simple
 42 assays that preserve all interactions between circulating immune cells, which likely reflects the *in*
 43 *vivo* situation [4]. Interferon-gamma (IFN γ) secretion measurement following WB SARS-CoV-2
 44 peptides stimulation was used to reveal SARS-CoV-2 specific T-cells, as previously described [5].

45 Using the IFA, we monitored T-cells immunity directed against different SARS-CoV-2 proteins
 46 including nucleocapsid (NC), membrane glycoprotein (MBGP) and receptor-binding domain (RBD) in a
 47 cohort of 129 Covid-19 convalescent healthcare workers (HCWs) previously infected 6 months before

with SARS-CoV-2. We correlated these measurements with serological levels of antibodies (Abs) against SARS-CoV-2. We also assessed the specificity of the IFA assay for SARS-CoV-2 by performing measurements in 25 SARS-CoV-2 seronegative healthy volunteers (HV) and in 3 HCWs having experienced a previous documented infection by HCoV.

Methods

Study design

A prospective cohort study was conducted at the Hospices Civils de Lyon, France in HCWs with symptoms suggestive of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The clinical study was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04341142) [6]. Written informed consent was obtained from participants and the study was approved by the national review board for biomedical research (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud Méditerranée, ID-RCB-2020-A00932-37). Nasopharyngeal swabs collected at inclusion were tested with the BIOFIRE® Respiratory Panel (bioMérieux,). At 6 months post-infection, blood sampling was performed on 129 HCWs who were SARS-CoV-2 positive and 3 HCWs who were HKU-1 or NL-63 positive. According to French procedures, a written non-opposition to the use of donated blood for research purposes was obtained from HV. The donors' personal data were anonymized before transfer to our research laboratory. We obtained approval from the local ethical committee and the French ministry of research (DC-2008-64) for handling and conservation of these samples.

Serological investigations

The presence of anti-SARS CoV-2 Ab was evaluated on serum samples using the Wantai Ab assay that measures total Abs against the RBD of the S protein and the bioMérieux Vidas® assay that measures IgG to the RBD. Positivity was established according to the threshold value recommended by each manufacturer. The neutralizing antibody (NAb) titres were determined by a virus neutralization test (VNT) using live SARS-CoV-2 virus as previously described [7].

71 T-cells response after WB stimulation against SARS-CoV-2 peptides

72 Fresh blood collected in heparanized tubes was stimulated for 22 hours at 37°C under 5% of CO₂ with
 73 peptide pools targeting RBD (46 peptides), MBGP (53 peptides) or NC (102 peptides) (bioMérieux,
 74 France) diluted in IFA solution (bioMérieux, France). The IFA solution was used as a negative control
 75 and a mitogen as a positive control. The peptides (15-mer) encompassed the whole protein sequence
 76 and overlapped by 5-residues. The concentration of IFN γ in the supernatant was measured using the
 77 VIDAS automated platform (VIDAS® IFN γ RUO, bioMérieux). The measuring range was 0.08 -8 IU/mL
 78 and IFA positivity thresholds were defined at 0.08 IU/mL. The IFN γ response was defined as positive
 79 when the IFN γ concentration of the test was above threshold and the negative control was below
 80 threshold or when the IFN γ concentration of the test minus IFN γ concentration of the negative
 81 control was above threshold. All positive controls were $\geq$ 8 IU/mL.

82 Statistical analysis

83 For T-cells responses, comparisons were performed using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test and
 84 Dunn's multiple comparisons test; the overall, positive and negative percent agreements were
 85 determined between T-cells response and serological assays or VNT as previously described [8].
 86 Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism® software (GraphPad software, La Jolla,
 87 USA) and R software. A p-value $\leq$ 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

88 Results

89 We first monitored SARS-CoV-2 specific Abs using the Wantai total Ab kit in the three different
 90 groups of participants (HV, or convalescent HCWs with previous infection by SARS-CoV-2 or HCoV
 91 occurring 6 months before). These Abs were undetectable in the sera from the 3 HCoV positive HCWs
 92 and from the 25 HV, confirming the absence of SARS-CoV-2 previous infection. By contrast, they
 93 were detected in all 129 HCWs with documented SARS-CoV-2 infection 6 months before. Among

94 them, we observed that 82.9% (n=107) were positive for IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD detection
95 (bioMérieux VIDAS) and that only 48.8% (n=63) had neutralizing Abs (Nabs, Supplementary Table 1).

96 We then monitored the T-cells response against MBGP, RBD and NC peptides using the IFA WB assay
97 for the different patients' groups. A positive IFNg release was detected for 89.1% (n=115/129;
98 median 0.51 [0.24-1.49] IU/mL), 62.4% (n=58/93; median 0.12 [0.17-0.34] IU/mL) and 97.9%
99 (n=92/94; median 1.20 [0.60-2.48] IU/mL) of SARS-CoV-2 positive HCWs after stimulation with MBGP
100 (Figure 1A), RBD (Figure 1B) and NC (Figure 1C) SARS-CoV-2 peptides respectively. No or very low
101 IFNg release (<0.12 IU/mL) was detected for HV and HCoV upon stimulation with MBGP or RBD
102 (Figure 1A et 1B). Interestingly, two of the three HCoV positive HCWs (0.45 [0.10-0.79] IU/mL), as
103 well as 44% (n=11/25, 0.20 [0.13-0.32] IU/mL) of the HV showed a positive IFNg response upon
104 stimulation with NC (Figure 1C), confirming that HCoV-specific T-cells cross-reacted with some of the
105 SARS-CoV-2 NC peptides that were used [9].

106 The overall percent agreement between T-cells responses against MBGP or RBD IFA and positive IgG
107 anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD (BioMérieux IgG assay) was of 78.29% and 70.97% respectively. Among the 22
108 Covid-19 convalescent HCWs with undetectable anti-SARS-CoV-2-RBD IgG, only 4 did not have MBGP-
109 specific T-cells, which resulted in a low negative percent agreement between cellular and humoral
110 responses (18.18%). Moreover, among the 66 convalescent HCWs who lacked neutralizing Abs at 6
111 months post-infection, 81.8% (n=54) had MBGP-specific T-cells (detailed in supplementary Table 1).
112 These results suggest that, in the case of undetectable nAbs against SARS-CoV-2, a T-cells response
113 can still be observed.

114 **Concluding remarks**

115 Here, we presented a novel WB IFA assay that is highly efficient in detecting SARS-CoV-2 specific T-
116 cells responses, especially those directed against MBGP. IFNg-release quantification following WB
117 stimulation could thus be proposed as a suitable and rapid option for assessing the presence of a
118 long-term specific cellular response after natural SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In our cohort of Covid-19 convalescent HCWs, we observed that about 90% of patients had a detectable T-cells response after SARS-CoV-2 peptide stimulation at 6 months post symptoms, in accordance with recent results [10]. Thus, our results suggest that WB IFA stimulation assays can be used to detect memory T-cells in convalescent patients, even at late phases post infection, extending previous findings by *Murugesan et al.* [5] who used a similar approach in recently-infected patients by SARS-CoV-2. Moreover, we confirmed that IFN γ secretion was a robust read-out to assess SARS-CoV-2 memory T-cells responses over time [11]. Yet, peptides derived from distinct viral proteins showed a different capacity to trigger a T-cells response in patients. The highest positivity was observed after stimulation with NC peptides. These peptides could even induce IFN γ secretion by HCoV-convalescent HCWs and HV T-cells, suggesting cross-reactivity between seasonal HCoV and SARS-CoV-2 and potential pre-existing immunity in HV, as previously described [9,12,13]. This also suggests that stimulation using NC peptides encompassing the whole NC protein should not be used as a specific diagnostic tool of SARS-CoV-2 immunity. By contrast, MBGP peptides seem to be more appropriate to reveal SARS-CoV-2 specific memory T-cells. We also confirmed previous findings regarding the relative short half-life of NAb and anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgGs [7]. Thus the measurement of T-cells responses may outperform serological measurements to uncover past infections.

We acknowledge that our study has some limitations: (i) the WB IFA assay was not able to differentiate between CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells responses, (ii) it does not determine whether memory T-cells are protective against SARS-CoV-2 re-infection and (iii) it was not yet evaluated in vaccinated subjects.

Funding

This work was supported by bioMérieux SA which provided kits for this study. This research is being supported by Hospices Civils de Lyon and by Fondation des Hospices Civils de Lyon.

Acknowledgments

143 We thank all the personnel of the occupational health and medicine department of Hospices Civils de
 144 Lyon who contributed to the samples collection. We thank Karima Brahami and all members of the
 145 clinical research and innovation department for their reactivity (DRCI, Hospices Civils de Lyon). A
 146 special thanks to Virginie Pitiot and PMO team for their help in patient enrolment and clinical data
 147 collection.

148 *Potential conflicts of interest:* WM, CC, SD, XL, GO, FB and KBP, are employed in bioMérieux SA,
 149 an *in vitro* diagnostic company.

150 *No conflict of interest:* KS, BP, SD, JM, TW and STA

151

Reference

1. Sette A, Crotty S. Adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. *Cell* **2021**; 184:861–880.
2. Skelly DT, Harding AC, Gilbert-Jaramillo J, et al. Vaccine-induced immunity provides more robust heterotypic immunity than natural infection to emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. In Review, 2021. Available at: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-226857/v1>. Accessed 8 March 2021.
3. Nelde A, Bilich T, Heitmann JS, et al. SARS-CoV-2-derived peptides define heterologous and COVID-19-induced T cell recognition. *Nat Immunol* **2021**; 22:74–85.
4. Albert-Vega C, Tawfik DM, Trouillet-Assant S, Vachot L, Mallet F, Textoris J. Immune Functional Assays, From Custom to Standardized Tests for Precision Medicine. *Front Immunol* **2018**; 9:2367.
5. Murugesan K, Jagannathan P, Pham TD, et al. Interferon-gamma release assay for accurate detection of SARS-CoV-2 T cell response. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **2020**; :ciaa1537.
6. Trouillet-Assant S, Albert Vega C, Bal A, et al. Assessment of serological techniques for screening patients for COVID-19 (COVID-SER): a prospective, multicentric study. *BMJ Open* **2020**; 10:e041268.
7. Bal A, Traubad M-A, Fassier J-B, et al. Six-month antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers assessed by virus neutralisation and commercial assays. *Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS)*, 2020. Available at: <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.12.08.20245811>. Accessed 19 February 2021.
8. Obermeier P, Muehlhans S, Hoppe C, et al. Enabling Precision Medicine With Digital Case Classification at the Point-of-Care. *EBioMedicine* **2016**; 4:191–196.
9. Tarke A, Sidney J, Kidd CK, et al. Comprehensive analysis of T cell immunodominance and immunoprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 epitopes in COVID-19 cases. *Cell Rep Med* **2021**; 2:100204.
10. Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. *Science* **2021**; 371:eabf4063.
11. Pérez-Cabezas B, Ribeiro R, Costa I, et al. IL-2 and IFN- γ are biomarkers of SARS-CoV-2 specific cellular response in whole blood stimulation assays. *Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS)*, 2021. Available at: <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.01.04.20248897>. Accessed 18 February 2021.
12. Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, et al. Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell* **2020**; 181:1489-1501.e15.
13. Mateus J, Grifoni A, Tarke A, et al. Selective and cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes in unexposed humans. *Science* **2020**; 370:89–94.

A. MBGP peptides stimulation **B. RBD peptides stimulation** **C. NC peptides stimulation**

Figure legend

Figure 1: IFN γ release after *in vitro* WB stimulation using SARS-CoV-2 peptide pools. IFN γ levels in WB samples from 129 SARS-CoV-2 convalescent HCWs (dot), 3 HCoV convalescent HCWs (square) and 25 controls (HV) (triangle). IFN γ secretion was measured after a 22-hour stimulation using **(A)** MBGP peptides, **(B)** RBD peptides and **(C)** NC peptides. Statistical differences were inferred using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

Abbreviations: HCoV: seasonal human coronaviruses; HCWs: healthcare workers; HV: healthy volunteers; IU: international unit; MBGP: membrane glycoprotein; NC: nucleocapsid; RBD: receptor binding domain.