Wave Reflection: More Than A Round Trip

Rashid Afkhami [†]
rashid.afkhami@newcastle.edu.au

Sarah Johnson [†]
sarah.johnson@newcastle.edu.au

[†]School of Electrical Engineering & Computing, The University
of Newcastle

Abstract

Reflected pressure waves are key to the understanding of vascular ageing, a prominent factor in major cardiovascular events. Several different metrics have been proposed to index the effect of wave reflection on the pressure waveform and thereby serve as an indicator of vascular ageing. The extent to which these indices are influenced by factors other than vascular health remains a matter of concern. In this paper, we use transmission-line theory to derive a mathematical model for the reflection time (T_{refl}), and the augmentation index (AI), assuming a general extended model of the arterial system. Then, we test the proposed model against values reported in the literature. Finally, we discuss insights from the model to common observations in the literature such as age-related “shift” in the reflection site, the variation of AI with heart rate, and the flattening of T_{refl} in older participants.

1 Introduction

Vascular ageing is a prominent factor in major cardiovascular events including stroke, heart failure and coronary artery disease [1]. Vascular health is studied through pulsatile arterial hemodynamics and several key indicators

of vascular ageing have been identified in pulsatile pressure readings such as the pulse wave velocity (PWV), reflection time (T_{refl}), and augmentation index (AI) [1, 2].

In particular, reflected waves are frequently studied to infer cardiovascular properties [2]. Reflected waves occur when forward travelling pressure waves hit an effective reflection site (which is a superimposition of several sites in practice) and are reflected back towards their source (in this case the heart). In elastic arteries appropriately timed reflected waves help maintain pressure during diastole, however, they can have an ill-effect as age progresses and vessels stiffen, leading to rise in PWV. With increased PWV, which more than doubles in the aorta between the ages of 17 and 70 [3], reflected waves advance into the systole and add to the systolic pressure [4]. This increases peak systolic, end diastolic pressure and mean arterial pressure [5] and contributes to stress on the vessels [2].

The concept of reflection time, pulse transit time or pulse return time was defined on the central pressure waveform as the timing of the inflection point formed when a forward travelling pressure waveform from the left ventricle combines with a reflected wave [6]. Here, we will refer to this timing as the reflection time, T_{refl} . T_{refl} is commonly formulated as

$$T_{\text{refl}} = \frac{2d_0}{\text{PWV}} \quad (1)$$

where d_0 is the distance from the measurement site to the reflection site, multiplied by two to account for a round trip. The equation simply calculates the travel time by dividing distance to travel speed. This equation is commonly used to assess vascular compliance or to estimate d_0 [7, 8, 5, 9, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. It should be noted that (1) holds only when a resistive load is assumed, i.e., a real load (in a mathematical sense) impedance [6, 15, 16]. However, a pure resistive load can not sufficiently model distal arteries [16]. In elderly populations (>65 years) T_{refl} reaches a plateau state whereas PWV still increases and the first conclusion from (1) is increased d_0 , the apparent distal shift of the reflection site [5, 9] which contradicts with the accepted opinion [17]. The debate of changes in d_0 has been the topic of several publications [6, 16, 5, 9]. In this paper will examine the controversy of moving reflection site and other observations for the reported T_{refl} and AI values by understanding these indices from a mathematical viewpoint using a model of the vascular tree.

In this paper, we model the vascular system as a three-element Windkessel

Figure 1: Transmission line model

(WK3) [18, 19, 20] at the load site and use the transmission line (TL) theory [19, 21] to formulate T_{refl} and AI in terms of the model parameters. Then, we use the reported values in the literature for each parameter and compare measured T_{refl} and AI values to the model outputs. After validating proposed models for T_{refl} and AI, we use them to gain insights into commonly observed measurements.

2 Method

2.1 Mathematical Models of T_{refl} and AI

The heart is connected to a TL with characteristic impedance of Z_0 and terminated by a WK3 with its third element, Z_0 , matching the characteristic impedance of the line, see Fig. 1. R and C are the resistance and the compliance, respectively, resembling the properties of the vascular system beyond the reflection site and d_0 is the distance between the measurement site and the reflection site.

T_{refl} : Using TL theory we show (see supplementary materials 1) that

$$T_{\text{refl}} \approx \underbrace{\frac{2Z_0RC}{R + 2Z_0}}_{\Delta t_{\text{load}}} + \underbrace{\frac{2d_0}{PWV}}_{\Delta t_{\text{line}}}, \quad (2)$$

which breaks the travel time of the reflected wave into two elements. Δt_{line} is the delay caused by the line itself which is influenced by the speed on the

line and the length of the line. Δt_{load} is the delay at the load, in particular forced by the capacitive properties of the load. Thus, the wave reflection is more than a simple “round trip”, there is a delay in between.

AI: The augmentation index, or AI, is commonly defined in distal arteries as:

$$\text{AI} = \frac{P_{\text{refl}} - P_{\text{dia}}}{P_{\text{sys}} - P_{\text{dia}}}, \quad (3)$$

in which P_{refl} , P_{dia} and P_{sys} are peak reflection, end-diastolic and peak systolic blood pressures, respectively [22]. For proximal arteries where reflected and the incident waves often overlap, another definition is used.

$$\text{AI}^* = \frac{P_{\text{refl}} - P_{\text{sys}}}{P_{\text{max}} - P_{\text{dia}}}, \quad (4)$$

where $P_{\text{max}} = \max\{P_{\text{refl}}, P_{\text{sys}}\}$ [7, 23, 24, 13, 25]. Using a similar theoretical approach (see supplementary materials 1) we show that

$$\text{AI} \approx \frac{2}{3} \left(\cos(\omega T_{\text{refl}}) + |\Gamma(0)| + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (5)$$

where ω is the angular velocity and Γ_0 is the reflection coefficient at the load site, defined as the ratio of the reflected pressure wave amplitude to the incident pressure wave amplitude. AI^* can be calculated using the conversion equation of (6).

$$\text{AI}^* = \begin{cases} 1 - 1/\text{AI} & P_{\text{refl}} > P_{\text{sys}} \text{ (i.e., } P_{\text{max}} = P_{\text{refl}}) \\ 0 & P_{\text{refl}} = P_{\text{sys}} \\ \text{AI} - 1 & P_{\text{refl}} < P_{\text{sys}} \text{ (i.e., } P_{\text{max}} = P_{\text{sys}}) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

2.2 Model Validation

In this section we will compare measured values reported in the literature for T_{refl} , AI and AI^* with values derived from proposed models. It is important to note that we do not “fit” proposed model to the data. All model parameters are set based on existing known values for vessel properties from the literature. Four different cases are studied which have measurements from the carotid/radial arteries (see supplementary materials 2 for detailed explanation of the vessel parameters used).

- **Case I:** In a large-scaled study by Segers et al. [26], 2026 healthy middle-aged subjects are divided into four half-decade age ranges with mean group ages of 37.5, 43, 48 and 53.5 years. The pressure waveform is measured from the left common carotid artery using applanation tonometry (flow is measured from the aorta using ultrasound). T_{refl} and AI^* values are reported for each age group. The vessel parameters for this case are reported in Table 1. We compare the values for T_{refl} and AI^* reported by Segers et al. [26] with the values calculated using (2) and (6). We also calculate T_{refl} using the existing model as per (1).
- **Case II:** For this case we use the data of 266 healthy participants (age range of 18-78 years and mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of 37.9 ± 18.9 years) reported by Zhang et al. [27]. Radial arterial pressure is measured using applanation tonometry, the time interval between systolic and reflected peaks is reported as T_{refl} and we use these values to derive T_{refl} with both (1) and (2). Vessel parameters for this case are listed in Table 2.
- **Case III:** A radial augmentation index is reported by Kohara et al. [22] for 632 healthy subjects, where AI is defined as in (3). We derive model-based AI for the radial artery using (5) and parameters listed in Table 2 and compare with the reported values by Kohara et al. [22].
- **Case IV:** Changes of aortic T_{refl} with PWV in 73 outpatients (age range 17-95 years, mean age 51.8 years) is reported by London et al. [28], where pressure waveforms are recorded with non-invasive methods from the carotid artery and are assumed to be similar to the pressure values in the ascending aorta and central arteries. Reported values are gender-independent and are listed in Table 2. We use these values to derive T_{refl} with both (1) and (2).

3 Results

For cases I-III the results are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 and for case IV the results are in Fig. 4. Note that Segers et al. [26] reports AI^* in the form of mean and standard errors of mean which are converted into mean $\pm$ SD for case I. Error bars for estimated AI in case III are due only to heart

Case I						
parameter (unit)	gender	age 35-40 years	age 41-45 years	age 46-50 years	age 51-56 years	reference
HR (bpm)	M	61.1 ± 9.1	61.2 ± 9.2	63.1 ± 10.3	61.8 ± 9.9	[26]
	F	65.3 ± 9.0	65.8 ± 8.8	65.2 ± 8.5	65.4 ± 8.1	
PWV ($\text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$)	M	609	626	662	696	[26]
	F	613	640	664	696	
Z_0 ($10^{-4} \text{KPa} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}$)	M	155	136	135	134	[26]
	F	155	150	145	145	
R ($10^{-4} \text{KPa} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}$)	M	1654	1690	1688	1713	[26]
	F	1650	1677	1722	1804	
C ($10^{-1} \text{KPa}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^3$)	M	4.785	5.010	4.875	4.740	[26, 29]
	F	2.781	2.726	2.642	2.531	
$ \Gamma_0 $	M	0.413	0.450	0.450	0.459	[26]
	F	0.415	0.431	0.445	0.473	
d_0 (cm)	M			40		[30], S2
	F			35		

Table 1: Model parameters for Case I. M: male, F: female, HR: heart rate, S2: supplementary materials 2. HR values are in the form of mean $\pm$ SD

rate variability. The results show high similarity between modelled T_{refl} and AI and the corresponding measured values and a high level of improvement for the estimation of the reflected wave compared to the existing model, especially in the radial artery.

For case I, linear interpolation of Fig. 3 suggests an age of 34 (men) and 24.9 (women) for $AI^*=0$ which aligns with the carotid AI^* zero crossing at the ages of 31.7 years for total of 38 male and 18 female participants by Hirata et al. [35]

4 Discussion

In this paper we have formulated a mathematical model for T_{refl} and AI including dependencies on both line and load properties. Through several case studies we have compared proposed models for T_{refl} and AI against published measured data, and have shown that they closely match the observed data. We can thus apply these models to give insights into several observed phenomena which we discuss in this section.

Several studies have reported a strong correlation between T_{refl} and age

Cases II, III and IV		
parameter (unit)	gender artery value	reference
PWV ($\text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$)	$10 \times \text{Age} + 300$	[31]
R ($10^{-4} \text{KPa} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}$)	age < 50: $8.1 \times \text{Age} + 926.9 + g_r$ age > 50: $333.4 \times \exp(0.0277 \times \text{Age}) + g_r$ M $g_r = -32$, F $g_r = 105$, mixed $g_r = 0$	[29, 32], S2
C ($10^{-1} \text{KPa}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^3$)	$12.39 \times \exp(-0.0277 \times \text{Age}) + g_c$ M $g_c = 0.77$, F $g_c = -0.70$, mixed $g_c = 0$	[29], S2
d_0 (cm)	M CA 40, RA 20 F CA 35, RA 16	[33]
Z_0 ($\text{KPa} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}$)	CA 0.136, RA 1.185	[34]
Γ_0	$R / (R + 2Z_0 + 2j\omega Z_0 RC)$	S2
HR (bpm)	M 70.6 ± 11.0 F 71.5 ± 9.5	[22]

Table 2: Model parameters for Cases II, III and IV. M: male, F: female, CA: carotid artery, RA: radial artery, HR: heart rate, S2: supplementary materials 2. HR values are in the form of mean $\pm$ SD.

[5, 10, 27]. Based on proposed model we can see that the load site delay of the reflection time, Δt_{load} , is primarily influenced by the compliance of the load, as the resistance properties, R and Z_0 , are found in both numerator and denominator of the model in (2) and so cancel out to some extent. In addition, PWV itself is a function of the line compliance (the compliance of the arteries between the measurement site and the reflection site, commonly used as an index of vascular compliance [36]) and combined with the influence of load compliance (the WK3 compliance at the load) makes T_{refl} a strong index of overall vascular compliance with only weak effects from vascular resistance. It is well known that compliance decreases with age; therefore, the correlation of the reflection time with age is due to strong dependence on changes in compliance with age.

It is reported in the literature that T_{refl} does not decrease linearly with age in older populations and in fact it almost flattens after the age of 65 years [5, 6, 16]. The flattening effect is reflected in proposed model, see Fig. 2, through three main components. First, based on the data reported by McVeigh et al. [29], load compliance does not decrease as sharply with age in older populations (see Table 2). Second, vascular resistance, R , increases exponentially after the age of 50 years [32] (see R in Table 2), which contributes

Figure 2: T_{refl} in carotid (a) case I and radial (b) case II for men (square) and women (triangle). Measured (blue, solid) data is from the literature [26, 27] and estimated values are from the new proposed model (red, dashed) and the existing model (green, dashed). Values are in the form of mean $\pm$ SD.

to an increase in Δt_{load} and thus T_{refl} . The third component is the influence of characteristic impedance, Z_0 , making the effect highly dependent on the sight of measurement. Based on our estimates from the data by Willemet and Alastruey [34] the value of Z_0 increases almost ten-fold moving from the carotid to radial artery. The small Z_0 values in proximal arteries heighten the flattening effect in T_{refl} . Thus, based on proposed model, the flattening of the reflection time is due to reduced changes in compliance and increased changes in vascular resistance with age with the effect more noticeable in proximal arteries due to the decreased impedance in large vessels.

It has been reported that the effective reflection site moves distally after the age of 65 years [5, 9], although this view is challenged in several studies [6, 16]. Our model is able to explain this phenomenon by shedding light on the delay that the reflected wave sees at the load site, Δt_{load} . Note that the Δt_{load} portion of T_{refl} is usually ignored in the analysis [7, 5, 9, 13, 14, 8, 6, 16], despite the hints given by Westerhof and Westerhof [37] and Westerhof et al. [16]. Based on proposed model Δt_{load} can account for up to 25% (case IV) and 62% (case II) of T_{refl} in carotid and radial arteries, respectively. Δt_{load} gets larger relative to Δt_{line} when moving distal from the heart for two main reasons; the decrease of d_0 and the increase of Z_0 .

It is reported in the literature that reflection time does not show a notable

Figure 3: Measured (blue) and estimated (red) augmentation index for men (square) and women (triangle) in carotid (solid line, case I) [26] and radial (dashed line, case III) [22] arteries. Values are in the form of mean $\pm$ SD.

change with heart rate, only 10 ms drop in radial T_{refl} is reported for heart rate ranging from 60 to 80 beats per minute for the data in case II [27]. Our model confirms the independence of heart rate and T_{refl} as heart rate does not affect the calculated T_{refl} in (2).

The augmentation index has been shown to have negative correlations with T_{refl} [38] and heart rate [39] and positive correlation with age [22, 35, 9]. Our model also shows these effects and describes AI, see (5), as a function of T_{refl} , heart rate and reflection coefficient. Even in extreme cases, $\max(T_{\text{refl}}) < 0.25$ s and $\max(f_{\text{HR}}) < 0.5$ Hz (f_{HR} being heart rate frequency) and therefore, $0 < \omega T_{\text{refl}} < \pi/2$ suggesting that the cosine function is monotonically decreasing and AI will always increase with decreased T_{refl} or decreased heart rate, explaining the negative correlation. Thus proposed model suggests that the positive correlation of AI with age is due to decreased T_{refl} with ageing and the negative correlation between AI and heart rate is due to the presence of the cosine function.

A flattening effect with age is repeatedly reported for the augmentation index [12, 9, 24] after the age of 55 years [24] and can even decline thereafter [9]. Although no decline in AI/AI^* was observed in the proposed model, the flattening is noticeable in model outputs with only 1.3% and 2.8% increase in radial AI (case III) between the ages of 65 and 75 years for men and women, respectively. Model suggests that the AI/AI^* flattening is due to the flattening of the reflection time, since the other influencing element

Figure 4: Estimated carotid T_{refl} against PWV using the proposed model (red) and the existing model (green) compared with measured values from London et al. [28] (case IV) in blue.

of the augmentation index is the reflection coefficient which increases, only slightly, with age [26]. Thus, proposed model suggests the observed flattening in AI/AI^* is due to age related increase in vascular resistance and more importantly, a slowing of the decrease in compliance with age.

The proposed model for T_{refl} and AI can facilitate studies of vascular ageing by providing means to understand how changes in vascular properties such as R and C are reflected in these indices [40].

The proposed model contains a series of theoretical assumptions (listed in supplementary materials 1) that form simplified relationships between desired vascular ageing indices and comprehensible model elements, and is subject to practical limitations (listed in supplementary materials 2) which hinder the model validation.

5 Conclusion

The proposed models for T_{refl} and AI explain the dependency of both indices on vascular ageing indicators: compliance, PWV and systemic vascular resistance. The model was able to explain the flattening of T_{refl} repeatedly reported in the literature, due to an exponential increase in vascular resistance as well as the moving of the reflection site due to the delay caused at the load by the compliance at the reflection point. Overall, our results suggest that T_{refl} is strongly influenced by vascular compliance and represents

a useful index of vascular compliance in populations younger than 65. AI is itself inversely dependent on T_{ref} so shows a similar flattening with age, however it is also strongly affected by heart rate, which will influence AI values independently of vascular compliance.

References

- [1] H.-Y. Lee, B.-H. Oh, Aging and arterial stiffness, *Circulation Journal* 74 (2010) 2257–2262.
- [2] W. W. Nicholas, M. F. O’Rourke, *McDonald’s Blood Flow In Arteries: Theoretical, Experimental and Clinical Principles*, 5 ed., Hodder Arnold, 2005.
- [3] M. F. O’Rourke, R. P. Kelly, Wave reflection in the systemic circulation and its implications in ventricular function, *Journal of Hypertension* 11 (1993) 327–337.
- [4] A. J. Baksi, et al., A meta-analysis of the mechanism of blood pressure change with aging, *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 54 (2009) 2087–2092.
- [5] J. Sugawara, K. Hayashi, H. Tanaka, Distal shift of arterial pressure wave reflection sites with aging, *Hypertension* 56 (2010) 920–925.
- [6] T. S. Phan, et al., Aging is associated with an earlier arrival of reflected waves without a distal shift in reflection sites, *Journal of American Heart Association* 5 (2016).
- [7] J. P. Murgo, N. Westerhof, J. P. Giolma, S. A. Altobelli, Aortic input impedance in normal man: Relationship to pressure wave forms., *Circulation* 62 (1980) 105–116.
- [8] R. D. Latham, N. Westerhof, P. Sipkema, B. J. Rubal, P. Reuderink, J. P. Murgo, Regional wave travel and reflections along the human aorta: A study with six simultaneous micromanometric pressures., *Circulation* 72 (1985) 1257–1269.

- [9] G. F. Mitchell, et al., Changes in arterial stiffness and wave reflection with advancing age in healthy men and women, *Hypertension* 43 (2004) 1239–1245.
- [10] P. Segers, et al., Assessment of pressure wave reflection: Getting the timing right!, *Physiological Measurement* 28 (2007) 1045–1056.
- [11] A. Qasem, A. Avolio, Determination of aortic pulse wave velocity from waveform decomposition of the central aortic pressure pulse, *Hypertension* 51 (2008) 188–195.
- [12] C. M. McEniery, Yasmin, I. R. Hall, A. Qasem, I. B. Wilkinson, J. R. Cockcroft, Normal vascular aging: Differential effects on wave reflection and aortic pulse wave velocity: The Anglo-Cardiff Collaborative Trial (ACCT), *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 46 (2005) 1753 – 1760.
- [13] W. W. Nicholas, B. M. Singh, Augmentation index as a measure of peripheral vascular disease state, *Current Opinion in Cardiology* 17 (2002) 543–551.
- [14] J. P. Lekakis, N. A. Zakopoulos, A. D. Protogerou, T. G. Papaioannou, V. T. Kotsis, V. C. Pitiriga, M. D. Tsitsirikos, K. S. Stamatelopoulos, C. M. Papamichael, M. E. Mavrikakis, Arterial stiffness assessed by pulse wave analysis in essential hypertension: Relation to 24-h blood pressure profile, *International Journal of Cardiology* 102 (2005) 391 – 395.
- [15] B. E. Westerhof, N. Westerhof, Magnitude and return time of the reflected wave: The effects of large artery stiffness and aortic geometry, *Journal of Hypertension* 30 (2012) 932–939.
- [16] B. E. Westerhof, J. P. van den Wijngaard, J. P. Murgo, N. Westerhof, Location of a reflection site is elusive, *Hypertension* 52 (2008) 478–483.
- [17] M. F. O’Rourke, W. W. Nichols, Changes in wave reflection with advancing age in normal subjects, *Hypertension* 44 (2004) E10–E11.
- [18] W. K. Laskey, H. G. Parker, V. A. Ferrari, W. G. Kussmaul, A. Noordergraaf, Estimation of total systemic arterial compliance in humans, *Journal of Applied Physiology* 69 (1990) 112–119.

- [19] R. Burattini, K. B. Campbell, Effective distributed compliance of the canine descending aorta estimated by modified T-tube model., *The American journal of physiology* 264 6 Pt 2 (1993) H1977–87.
- [20] N. Westerhof, J.-W. Lankhaar, B. E. Westerhof, The arterial windkessel, *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 47 (2008) 131–141.
- [21] G. Zhang, J.-O. Hahn, R. Mukkamala, Tube-load model parameter estimation for monitoring arterial hemodynamics, *Frontiers in Physiology* 2 (2011) 1–18.
- [22] K. Kohara, et al., Radial augmentation index: A useful and easily obtainable parameter for vascular aging, *American Journal of Hypertension* 18 (2005) 11S–14S.
- [23] R. Kelly, C. Hayward, A. Avolio, M. O’Rourke, Noninvasive determination of age-related changes in the human arterial pulse., *Circulation* 80 (1989) 1652–1659.
- [24] F. Fantin, A. Mattocks, C. J. Bulpitt, W. Banya, C. Rajkumar, Is augmentation index a good measure of vascular stiffness in the elderly?, *Age and Ageing* 36 (2006) 43–48.
- [25] I. Wilkinson, S. Fuchs, I. Jansen, J. Spratt, G. Murray, J. Cockcroft, D. Webb, Reproducibility of pulse wave velocity and augmentation index measured by pulse wave analysis, *Journal of Hypertension* 16 (1998) 2079–2084.
- [26] P. Segers, et al., Noninvasive (input) impedance, pulse wave velocity, and wave reflection in healthy middle-aged men and women, *Hypertension* 49 (2007) 1248–1255.
- [27] Y. Zhang, Y. Zheng, Z. Ma, S. Y., Radial pulse transit time is an index of arterial stiffness, *Hypertension Research* 34 (2011) 884–887.
- [28] G. London, A. Guerin, B. Pannier, S. Marchais, A. Benetos, M. Safar, Increased systolic pressure in chronic uremia. role of arterial wave reflections., *Hypertension* 20 (1992) 10–19.
- [29] G. E. McVeigh, C. W. Bratteli, D. J. Morgan, C. M. Alinder, S. P. Glasser, S. M. Finkelstein, J. N. Cohn, Age-related abnormalities in

- arterial compliance identified by pressure pulse contour analysis, *Hypertension* 33 (1999) 1392–1398.
- [30] A. P. Avolio, Multi-branched model of the human arterial system, *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 18 (1980) 709–718.
 - [31] E. R. Gozna, A. E. Marble, A. Shaw, J. G. Holland, Age-related changes in the mechanics of the aorta and pulmonary artery of man., *Journal of Applied Physiology* 36 (1974) 407–411.
 - [32] S. S. Franklin, W. Gustin, N. D. Wong, M. G. Larson, M. A. Weber, W. B. Kannel, D. Levy, Hemodynamic patterns of age-related changes in blood pressure, *Circulation* 96 (1997) 308–315.
 - [33] H. Shima, K. Ohno, K. ich Michi, K. Egawa, R. Takiguchi, An anatomical study on the forearm vascular system, *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery* 24 (1996) 293 – 299.
 - [34] M. Willemet, J. Alastruey, Arterial pressure and flow wave analysis using time-domain 1-D hemodynamics, *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 43 (2015) 190–206.
 - [35] K. Hirata, T. Yaginuma, M. F. O’Rourke, M. Kawakami, Age-related changes in carotid artery flow and pressure pulses, *Stroke* 37 (2006) 2552–2556.
 - [36] G. M. London, B. Pannier, M. E. Safar, Arterial stiffness gradient, systemic reflection coefficient, and pulsatile pressure wave transmission in essential hypertension, *Hypertension* 74 (2019) 1366–1372.
 - [37] B. E. Westerhof, N. Westerhof, Uniform tube models with single reflection site do not explain aortic wave travel and pressure wave shape, *Physiological Measurement* 39 (2018) 124006.
 - [38] I. B. Wilkinson, H. MacCallum, P. C. Hupperetz, C. J. van Thoor, J. R. Cockcroft, D. J. Webb, Changes in the derived central pressure waveform and pulse pressure in response to angiotensin ii and noradrenaline in man, *The Journal of Physiology* 530 (2001) 541–550.
 - [39] I. B. Wilkinson, H. MacCallum, L. Flint, J. R. Cockcroft, D. E. Newby, D. J. Webb, The influence of heart rate on augmentation index and

central arterial pressure in humans, *The Journal of Physiology* 525 (2000) 263–270.

- [40] R. Afkhami, R. Wong, S. Ramadan, F. R. Walker, S. Johnson, Indexing cerebrovascular health using Transcranial Doppler ultrasound, *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology* 47 (2021) 919–927.