Longitudinal changes in brain diffusion MRI indices during and after proton beam therapy in a child with pilocytic astrocytoma: a case report

Lisa Novello^{*1}, Nivedita Agarwal^{*1,2,3}, Stefano Lorentini², Sabina Vennarini²,
Domenico Zacà¹, Anna Mussano⁴, Ofer Pasternak⁵, Jorge Jovicich¹

¹Center for Mind/Brain Sciences (CIMEC), University of Trento, Italy,

²Proton-Therapy Center, Azienda Provinciale per i Servizi Sanitari, Trento, Italy

³Radiology Unit, Santa Maria del Carmine Hospital, Rovereto, Italy

⁴Pediatric Radiotherapy Service, S.Anna Hospital, A.O. Città della Salute e della Scienza,
Torino, Italy

⁵Departments of Psychiatry and Radiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard
Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

*Lisa Novello and Nivedita Agarwal contributed equally to this paper

Corresponding author:

Lisa Novello,
via delle Regole, 101,
38123 Mattarello, Trento, Italy
lisa.novello@unitn.it

Keywords: Proton therapy, free-water, diffusion MRI, case report, astrocytoma

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 **Background**

21 Proton beam therapy (PBT) is an effective pediatric brain tumor treatment. However,
22 resulting microstructural changes within and around irradiated tumors are unknown. We
23 retrospectively applied Diffusion-Tensor-Imaging (DTI) and Free-Water-Imaging (FWI) on
24 diffusion-weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging (dMRI) data to monitor microstructural
25 changes during-PBT and after 8 months, in a pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) and
26 normal-appearing white matter (NAWM).

27 **Methods**

28 We evaluated conventional MRI and dMRI-derived indices from six MRI sessions in a child
29 with a hypothalamic PA: at baseline (t0), during-PBT (t1-t4), and after 8 months (t5). Tumor
30 voxels were classified as “solid” or “fluid” based on FWI.

31 **Results**

32 While during PBT tumor volume remained stable, dMRI analyses identified two different
33 response patterns: i) an increase in fluid content and diffusivity with anisotropy reductions in
34 solid voxels at t1, followed by ii) smaller variations in fluid content but higher anisotropy in
35 solid voxels at t2-t4. At follow-up (t5), tumor volume, its fluid content, and diffusivity in
36 solid voxels increased. NAWM showed dose-dependent microstructural changes.

37 **Conclusions**

38 The use of dMRI and FWI showed complex dynamic microstructural changes in the
39 irradiated mass during PBT and at follow-up, opening new avenues in our understanding of
40 radiation-induced pathophysiologic mechanisms in tumor and surrounding tissues.

41 INTRODUCTION

42 Proton Beam Therapy (PBT) is an effective novel approach for the treatment of
43 pediatric pilocytic astrocytoma (PA).[1-3] However, little is known about microstructural
44 changes that occur during PBT[4] within irradiated tumors and surrounding normal
45 tissues.[5] Diffusion-weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging (dMRI) data allows
46 non-invasive monitoring of microstructural changes[6,7] by measuring water displacement.
47 We investigated PBT-induced microstructural changes within a PA and in normal-appearing
48 white matter (NAWM). We quantified changes using the widely used
49 Diffusion-Tensor-Imaging[8] (DTI) analysis. In addition, we applied Free-Water-Imaging
50 (FWI) analysis[9,10] which allowed us to separately characterize fluid voxels from solid PA
51 based on Free-Water (FW) content to account for treatment- or disease-associated
52 extracellular water accumulation.

53 MATERIALS AND METHODS

54 A child (age range: 10-15 yrs) with a histologically determined PA underwent PBT six
55 months after partial tumor resection. A ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VPS) was placed to
56 prevent hydrocephalus. PBT was delivered in 30 daily conventional fractions of 1.8Gy
57 Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE) for a total target cumulative dose of 54Gy.
58 Treatment planning details are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

59 Imaging protocol

60 Brain structural MRI and dMRI data were acquired (1.5T Philips Ingenia) before PBT (t0),
61 four times during a one-month-long PBT (t1, t2, t3, and t4, Table 1) and at 8-months
62 follow-up (t5).

		TIME POINTS (t)					
		BASELINE	PROTON THERAPY TREATMENT				FOLLOW-UP
		t0	t1	t2	t3	t4	t5
dMRI acquisition from treatment start (days)		-	9	17	24	30	269
Cumulative dose (Gy) (percentage of total dose)		none	16.2 (30%)	30.6 (56%)	43.2 (80%)	54 (100%)	none
FA	median	0.153	0.136	0.141	0.159	0.182	0.118
	Δ	-	-11.1%	-7.8%	+3.9%	+19%	-22.9%
	Q1	0.104	0.1	0.099	0.108	0.126	0.084
	Q3	0.214	0.181	0.193	0.225	0.255	0.172
FAt	median	0.344	0.321	0.315	0.337	0.386	0.367
	Δ	-	-6.7%	-8.4%	-2%	+12.2%	+6.7%
	Q1	0.247	0.221	0.208	0.223	0.269	0.238
	Q3	0.436	0.41	0.403	0.434	0.474	0.465
MD ($\times 10^{-3}$)	median	1.506	1.618	1.656	1.615	1.607	1.913
	Δ	-	+7.4%	+10%	+7.2%	+6.7%	+27%
	Q1	1.215	1.321	1.294	1.217	1.193	1.615
	Q3	1.855	2.191	2.285	2.211	2.215	2.249
FW	median	0.589	0.627	0.635	0.614	0.603	0.745
	Δ	-	+6.5%	+7.8%	+4.2%	+2.3%	+26.5%
	Q1	0.448	0.492	0.471	0.426	0.407	0.628
	Q3	0.726	0.809	0.841	0.815	0.811	0.857

Table 1: Longitudinal quantification of brain tumor microstructural changes during and after proton therapy treatment. Each diffusion index reports the median value of the distribution within the whole tumor volume (*i.e.* including fluid voxels), the relative change of the median

with respect to baseline (Δ), with its 1st (Q1) and 3rd (Q3) quartile values. Abbreviations: FA (Fractional Anisotropy), FAt (tissue FA), MD (Mean Diffusivity), FW (Free Water fraction).

MRI acquisitions included anatomical 3D-MPRAGE T1-weighted (T1w), 3D-FLAIR, TSE T2-weighted (T2w) and dMRI. Sequence parameters are described in Table S1. Imaging was performed for continuous monitoring of target volumes over the treatment course and to assess re-planning needs. The patient's parents signed a written institutional consent to use MR data for research purposes.

Patient and Public Involvement

The patient and parents were not involved in the research design nor in its questions formulation or outcome dissemination.

Image analysis

Shunt-artifact mask

A VPS-induced artifact was visible (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1, S2, S3), manually masked, and excluded from all analyses.

dMRI processing

dMRI images were denoised with Gibbs ringing (<https://www.mrtrix.org/>), eddy-current, motion (<https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/>), and bias-field corrections. DTI[8] was used to compute Mean Diffusivity (MD) and Fractional Anisotropy (FA) maps. We used FWI[9,10] to explicitly model an extracellular free-water compartment and a tissue compartment, resulting with an extracellular FW volume fraction map (Fig. S2), and a tissue FA (FAt) map, selectively representing the FA of water molecules hindered or restricted by tissue.

87 Tumor segmentation

88 Tumor volumes for all timepoints were computed on manually segmented FLAIR images
89 using 3D-Slicer (<https://www.slicer.org/>) by an experienced neuroradiologist (Fig. 1A). The
90 image registration pipeline is described in the Supplementary Materials.

91 NAWM radiation dose contour volumes

92 NAWM was segmented from each timepoint's T1w image into four regions based on their
93 cumulative radiation dose percentage (Fig. 1F, and Fig. S3), as described in the
94 Supplementary Materials.

95 dMRI longitudinal analyses

96 Longitudinal changes of diffusion indices from the tumor and the four NAWM regions were
97 evaluated. Since tumor volume variations from t0 to t4 were negligible (see “conventional
98 MRI” Results), we used the t0 tumor segmentation for during-treatment analyses. Tumor
99 voxels with $FW \geq 0.95$ (hereafter referred to as “fluid”) were separated from those with
100 $FW < 0.95$ (hereafter referred to as “solid”). Fluid voxels were monitored by measuring their
101 total volume at each timepoint. Solid voxels were monitored by investigating longitudinal
102 differences in the DTI- and FWI-derived indices by means of Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests in R,
103 with p -values Bonferroni-corrected for longitudinal comparisons and for the four diffusion
104 indices. Longitudinal differences in DTI- and FWI-indices in all NAWM voxels were tested
105 (t0 vs. t5) with a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test in R, and p -values were Bonferroni-corrected for
106 the four diffusion metrics and the four regions investigated.

107 RESULTS

108 PBT was well-tolerated without the need for supportive treatment. At t5 the patient presented
109 with vomiting, headache and ideomotor slowing, which was successfully treated with
110 corticosteroids.

111 **Longitudinal changes on conventional MRI**

112 Baseline (t0):

113 T2w and FLAIR identified a hyperintense partially resected mass of 41.3 cm³ centered in the
114 ventral hypothalamus surrounded by two fluid containing cysts that were hyperintense on
115 T2w and hypointense in FLAIR, attached to the left lateral and posterior mass aspects (Fig.
116 1A and Fig. S1).

117 Acute PBT changes (t0 to t1):

118 The mass showed increased signal on T2w image. Both cysts were hyperintense on FLAIR
119 (Fig. S1).

120 Changes during PBT (t1 to t4):

121 Whereas the T2w signal within the mass progressively increased, the FLAIR signal in the
122 cysts progressively decreased (Fig. S1). Total tumor volume remained stable relative to t0:
123 mean Dice coefficient of overlap was 0.95, mean relative volume change was 5.35% (Fig.
124 1B).

125 Follow-up changes (t4 to t5):

126 T2w signal in the mass remained high, however FLAIR signal in the cyst increased again.
127 Total tumor volume increased to 44.7 cm³ (+8.1%).

128 **Longitudinal microstructural changes**

129 Baseline (t0):

130 Before PBT the tumor presented with 7.6% fluid voxels (Fig. 1B).

131 Acute PBT changes (t0 to t1):

132 At t1, fluid voxels volume increased by 88.5% (Fig. 1B,E). In solid voxels, both DTI- and

133 FWI-derived indices similarly showed small but significant changes: both FA and FAt
134 decreased whereas both MD and FW increased (Fig. 1C-D).

135 Changes during PBT (t1 to t4):

136 Changes in fluid voxels volume between consecutive timepoints varied from -9.5% to +11%
137 (Fig. 1B,E). From t1 to t2, FA increased but FAt decreased, MD did not vary significantly,
138 and FW decreased. Between t2 and t4, DTI- and FWI-derived indices showed coherent
139 trends, with small but significant increases in FA and FAt and decreases in MD and FW (Fig.
140 1C-D), representing opposite trends as compared to the changes between t0-t1.

141 Follow-up changes (t4 to t5):

142 Fluid voxels volume increased by 18.1% (Fig. 1B,E). In solid voxels, while DTI and FWI
143 showed similar increases respectively in MD (+23.6%) and in FW (+30.7%), they showed
144 different scales of anisotropy changes (Fig. 1C-D): DTI-FA decreased by 34.9%, and
145 FWI-FAt decreased by 3.3%, a ten-fold smaller percent variation with respect to DTI-FA.
146 NAWM showed longitudinal microstructural changes at t5 that were strongest in proximity to
147 the tumor, as reported in Fig. 1F and in the Supplementary Materials (Fig. S3-S4, Table S2).

148 **DISCUSSION**

149 We detected novel tissue microstructure changes in proton-irradiated PA combining
150 conventional MRI and dMRI.

151 DTI and FWI revealed two distinct patterns of radiation-induced changes during PBT,
152 the first occurring at t1 (30% dose), and the second during t2-t4 (56%-100% dose). At t1, in
153 agreement with observed T2w changes, tumor fluid volume increased by 88%, diffusivity and
154 extracellular water increased, and anisotropy in solid voxels decreased. These changes likely
155 suggest cell death, as observed after 4Gy proton irradiation in vitro,[4] and damage to PA's
156 dense fibrillated protoplasmic astrocytes and Rosenthal fibers.[11] However, at t2-t4, we

157 observed only modest variations of fluid voxels. Interestingly, in solid voxels, FA and FAt
158 increased and MD and FW decreased. Such tissue microstructural changes can perhaps be
159 explained by known complex tumor responses to PBT such as induction of cell apoptosis,
160 astrocytic/microglial activation, PBT-induced ischemia, and cell swelling[4,12] accompanied
161 by alterations in tumor extracellular matrix and microenvironment.[13]

162 At t5, total tumor volume increased by 18.1%, with increases in both fluid volume
163 and in diffusivity within the solid voxels, reflecting signal increase in T2w images. We
164 believe that the observed increase in tumor volume is due to increase in the fluid content and
165 diffusivity which supports tumor pseudoprogession, known to occur in 34% of PBT PA
166 patients.[14] In addition, a 10-fold smaller decrease in FAt relative to FA was noticed at t5.
167 The large FA decrease is consistent with previously reported anisotropy estimation biases
168 when not correcting for free-water,[9,10] suggestive of reduced cellularity and extracellular
169 matrix reorganization in the long-term. However, the smaller FAt decrease, along with the
170 large FW increase, is perhaps reflecting mostly extracellular modifications, possibly
171 explained by edema and clearance of cellular debris.

172 Our follow-up dMRI findings are in agreement with data in the only other pediatric
173 case-study that investigated PA response to PBT with dMRI over a 7-year period.[15] Despite
174 having a single 8-month follow-up, our analyses adds novel information: i) during PBT,
175 cumulative dose causes different dMRI-detectable sequential hyperacute processes, and ii)
176 additional FWI analysis, compared with DTI alone, suggested different interpretation
177 regarding the responses of the solid tumor part at follow-up, enhancing thereby our
178 specificity and our understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms underlying
179 proton-beam-induced injury to PA.

180 We acknowledge some study limitations. Between sessions, there were minor MRI
181 variations in sequence parameters and lack of control test-retest dMRI data. NAWM
182 subregions were obtained using dosimetric maps rather by anatomical fasciculi[7] or
183 contralateral “control” areas given the large brain lesion and deformation both due to surgery

184 and VPS. Future studies should consider larger patient cohorts, longitudinal cognitive
185 evaluations, multi-shell dMRI acquisitions that improve FWI estimation, and histological
186 validation.

187 In conclusion, dMRI in combination with FWI has the potential to detect subtle
188 microstructural tissue changes in cystic tumors. A better understanding of the complex
189 dynamic microstructural changes might help to shed light on PBT-induced damage to PA, and
190 help clinicians to evaluate the effectiveness of PA treatment protocols. Future studies could
191 evaluate the predictive role of tissue-specific hyperacute responses on treatment outcome.

192 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

193 We are grateful to the patient and to his family for their participation and consent to perform
194 analysis on data acquired. Grant support: NIH P41EB015902.

195 **CONTRIBUTIONS**

196 NA, DZ, JJ conceived the study and implemented the MRI acquisition protocol. SL, SV and
197 AM conducted the recruitment, treatment planning and treatment. NA collected the MRI
198 data. LN performed the whole data analyses using software developed by OP. LN, NA and JJ
199 drafted the initial manuscript. All authors contributed to the data interpretation, revision and
200 editing of the manuscript.

201 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

202 Data is available on reasonable request, according to restrictions imposed by patient
203 confidentiality.

204 REFERENCES

- 205 1 Levin WP, Kooy H, Loeffler JS, et al. Proton beam therapy. *Br J Cancer* 2005;93:849–854.
- 206 2 Amichetti, M. The actual interest in radiotherapy for the utilization of proton beam,
207 highlighting physics basis, technology and common clinical indications. *Journal of Tumor*
208 2016;4:378–85.
- 209 3 Carbonara R, Di Rito A, Monti A, et al. Proton versus Photon Radiotherapy for Pediatric
210 Central Nervous System Malignancies: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of
211 Dosimetric Comparison Studies. *Journal of Oncology* 2019.
- 212 4 Wang L, Han S, Zhu J, et al. Proton versus photon radiation–induced cell death in head and
213 neck cancer cells. *Head Neck* 2019;41:46–55.
- 214 5 Kralik SF, Ho CY, Finke W, et al. Radiation necrosis in pediatric patients with brain tumors
215 treated with proton radiotherapy. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:1572–78.
- 216 6 Chenevert TL, Stegman LD, Taylor JMG, et al. Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging: an
217 early surrogate marker of therapeutic efficacy in brain tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst*
218 2000;92:2029–36.
- 219 7 Connor M, Karunamuni R, McDonald C, et al. Regional susceptibility to dose-dependent
220 white matter damage after brain radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2017;123:209–17.
- 221 8 Bassar PJ, Mattiello J, LeBihan D. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophys*
222 *J* 1994;66:259–67.
- 223 9 Pasternak O, Sochen N, Gur Y, et al. Free water elimination and mapping from diffusion
224 MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2009;62:717–30.
- 225 10 Albi A, Pasternak O, Minati L, et al. Free water elimination improves test–retest
226 reproducibility of diffusion tensor imaging indices in the brain: a longitudinal multisite study
227 of healthy elderly subjects. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2017;38:12–26.
- 228 11 Collins VP, Jones DT, Giannini C. Pilocytic astrocytoma: pathology, molecular
229 mechanisms and markers. *Acta Neuropathol* 2015;129:775–88.

230 12 Srinivas US, Tan BWQ, Vellayappan BA, et al. ROS and the DNA damage response in
231 cancer. *Redox Biol* 2019;25:101084.

232 13 Krisnawan VE, Stanley JA, Schwarz JK, et al. Tumor Microenvironment as a Regulator of
233 Radiation Therapy: New Insights into Stromal-Mediated Radioresistance. *Cancers (Basel)*
234 2020;12:2916.

235 14 Indelicato DJ, Rotondo RL, Uezono H, et al. Outcomes following proton therapy for
236 pediatric low-grade glioma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019;104:149-56.

237 15 Hou P, Zhu KH, Park PC, et al. Proton Therapy for Juvenile Pilocytic Astrocytoma:
238 Quantifying Treatment Responses by Magnetic Resonance Diffusion Tensor Imaging. *Int J*
239 *Part Ther* 2016;3:414–20.

Fig. 1: A) FLAIR images from baseline (t0) and 8-month post-treatment follow-up (t5). Radiation isodose curves overlaid on FLAIR at t0 (in tumor-proximal to tumor-distal order): purple: $\geq 100\%$ dose; red: $\geq 95\%$ dose; orange: $\geq 90\%$ dose; yellow: $\geq 70\%$ dose; green: $\geq 50\%$

243 dose; cyan: $\geq 30\%$ dose; blue: $\geq 10\%$ dose. Below each FLAIR image the corresponding tumor
244 mass segmentation 3D render is shown (enlarged view for better visibility). **B)** Area chart
245 showing total tumor mass volume (grey, upper), overall FW volume (light blue, middle) and
246 volume of voxels with $FW=[0.95-1]$ deemed “fluid” voxels (dark blue, lower). **C)**
247 Longitudinal percent changes with respect to baseline (t_0) of median diffusion scalar values
248 within the tumor mass (voxels with $FW < 0.95$). **D)** Distributions of diffusion metrics in tumor
249 mass across time points for all scalars with significance of differences between time points.
250 Voxels corresponding to $FW=(0.95-1)$ were excluded. The durations of each time point with
251 respect to baseline are defined in Table 1. **E)** Mapping of FW values in dark blue in panel B)
252 (“fluid” voxels) on tumor mass 3D render for each time point. **F)** Left: Normal-Appearing
253 White Matter (NAWM) segmentation color-coded for irradiation dose (in tumor-proximal to
254 tumor-distal order): magenta: NAWM3 (cumulative dose percent range: 90 - 105%); dark
255 pink: NAWM2 (cumulative dose percent range: 30 - 90%); light pink: NAWM1 (cumulative
256 dose percent range: 10 - 30%); white: NAWM0 (cumulative dose percent range: $< 10\%$).
257 Right: Wilcoxon Effect size (r) for t_0 vs. t_5 comparisons for all scalars. All comparisons
258 revealed significant ($p < .0001$, Bonferroni corrected) differences between baseline and
259 follow-up for each NAWM segmented region, though with overall dose-dependent effect size
260 values. % variation for all time points can be found on Fig. S4. Abbreviations: MD (Mean
261 Diffusivity), FW (Free Water fraction), FA (Fractional Anisotropy), and FAt (tissue FA), ns:
262 not significant, ** : $p < .01$, *** : $p < .001$, **** : $p < .0001$.