Toward a geography of community health workers in Niger: a geospatial analysis

Nicholas P Oliphant^{1,2}, Nicolas Ray³, Khaled Bensaid⁴, Adama Ouedraogo^{5,6}, Asma Yaroh Gali^{7,8}, Oumarou Habi⁹, Ibrahim Maazou⁹, Rocco Panciera¹⁰, Maria Muñiz¹¹, Samuel OM Manda^{12,13}, Zeynabou Sy³, Debra Jackson^{14,1}, Tanya Doherty^{15,1}

1. University of the Western Cape, School of Public Health, Belleville, Republic of South Africa
2. The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria, Geneva, Switzerland
3. University of Geneva, GeoHealth group, Institute of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva & Institute for Environmental Sciences
4. UNICEF Niger, Niamey, Niger (retired)
5. UNICEF Benin, Cotonou, Benin (current)
6. UNICEF Niger, Niamey, Niger (former)
7. Pathfinder International, Niamey, Niger (current)
8. Direction Générale de la Santé de la Reproduction au Ministère de la Santé, Gouvernement du Niger, Niamey, Niger (former)
9. Institut National de la Statistique du Niger, Niamey, Niger
10. UNICEF Headquarters, New York, United States of America
11. UNICEF Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Office, Nairobi, Kenya
12. South African Medical Research Council, Biostatistics Unit, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa
13. University of Pretoria, Department of Statistics, Hatfield, Republic of South Africa
14. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Centre for Maternal Adolescent Reproductive and Child Health, London, United Kingdom
15. South African Medical Research Council, Health Systems Research Unit, Tygerberg, Republic of South Africa

CORRESPONDENCE

Nicholas P Oliphant
 School of Public Health, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Belleville 7535, Republic of South Africa
 Email: npoliphant@gmail.com
 Telephone: +41 79 203 0439

KEY WORDS

Geographic information systems, Child health, Malaria, Health systems, Health services research

WORD COUNT

4182

1 ABSTRACT

2 Background

3 Little is known about the geography of community health workers (CHWs), their contribution
4 to geographical accessibility of primary health care (PHC) services, and strategies for
5 optimizing efficiency of CHW deployment in the context of universal health coverage (UHC).

6 Methods

7 Using a complete georeferenced census of front-line health facilities and CHWs in Niger and
8 other high resolution spatial datasets, we modelled travel times to front-line health facilities
9 and CHWs between 2000-2013, accounting for training, essential commodities, and
10 maximum population capacity. We estimated additional CHWs needed to maximize
11 geographical accessibility of the population beyond the reach of existing front-line health
12 facilities and CHWs. We assessed the efficiency of geographical targeting of the existing
13 CHW network compared to modelled CHW networks designed to optimize geographical
14 targeting of the estimated population, under-five deaths, and *plasmodium falciparum* malaria
15 cases.

16 Results

17 The percent of the population within 60 minutes walking to the nearest CHW increased from
18 0.0% to 17.5% between 2000-2013, with 15.5% within 60 minutes walking to the nearest
19 CHW trained on integrated community case management (iCCM) – making PHC services and
20 iCCM, specifically, geographically accessible for an estimated 2.3 million and 2.0 million
21 additional people, respectively. An estimated 10.4 million people (59.0%) remained beyond a
22 60-minute catchment of front-line health facilities and CHWs. Optimal deployment of 8064
23 additional CHWs could increase geographic coverage of the estimated total population from
24 41.5% to 73.6%. Geographical targeting of the existing CHW network was inefficient but
25 optimized CHW networks could improve efficiency by 55.0%-81.9%, depending on targeting
26 metric.

27 Interpretations

28 We provide the first high-resolution maps and estimates of geographical accessibility to
29 CHWs at national scale, highlighting improvements between 2000-2013 in Niger,
30 geographies where gaps remained, approaches for improving targeting, and the importance of
31 putting CHWs on the map to inform planning in the context of UHC.

KEY QUESTIONS

What is already known?

- Previous studies have estimated geographical accessibility (as travel time) to CHWs for subnational areas only¹⁻⁴ and have assessed efficiency of the distribution of hospitals in low and middle-income countries.⁵

What are the new findings?

- The percent of the population within 60 minutes walking to the nearest CHW increased from 0.0% to 17.5% between 2000-2013, with 15.5% within 60 minutes walking to the nearest CHW trained on integrated community case management (iCCM) – making PHC services and iCCM, specifically, geographically accessible for an estimated 2.3 million and 2.0 million additional people, respectively.
- An estimated 10.4 million people (59.0%) remained beyond a 60-minute catchment of front-line health facilities and CHWs in 2013, with important variation across subnational geographies, training of CHWs, and availability of essential commodities.
- Optimal deployment of 8064 additional CHWs could increase geographic coverage of the estimated total population from 41.5% to 73.6%, providing physical access to PHC services for an additional 5.7 million people not covered in 2013.
- Optimized CHW networks increased efficiency of geographical targeting compared to the existing CHW network by 55.0%-81.9%, depending on targeting metric.

What do the new findings imply?

- Geographical accessibility to primary health care services, including iCCM, improved in Niger between 2000-2013 with important contributions by CHWs.
- Gaps in geographical accessibility remained as of 2013 but scale-up of the CHW network, using the scale-up approach described in this study, could substantially increase geographical accessibility of PHC services.
- The efficiency of geographical targeting of the existing network of CHWs was suboptimal. The approach for optimizing efficiency of geographical targeting described in this study could be used to improve geographical targeting of CHW deployment in Niger and other countries.
- This work is a first step toward establishing a geography of CHWs in Niger and is a call to action to put CHWs on the map globally to inform health system planning and maximize geographical accessibility, efficiency, and impact of investments in the context of UHC.

33 BACKGROUND

34 Community health workers (CHWs) can play an important role in improving equitable access
 35 to quality primary health care (PHC) and other services in the context of Universal Health
 36 Coverage (UHC) as front-line service providers and as a trusted bridge between health
 37 systems and communities.⁶⁻⁸ CHWs typically focus on maternal, newborn and child health
 38 and nutrition, providing a range of preventive, health promotion and curative services –
 39 including single disease or integrated community case management (iCCM).⁹ iCCM is the
 40 provision of integrated case management services for two or more childhood illnesses among
 41 children less than five years of age by CHWs, where geographical accessibility (i.e. physical
 42 access) to health facility-based case management services is limited.¹⁰ However, little is
 43 known about the geography of CHWs globally – how many there are, where they are
 44 deployed, their contribution to geographical accessibility to health services, efficiency of their
 45 deployment, and needs for further scale-up.^{6,9} In this article, we provide the first description
 46 of the geography of CHWs at national scale in Niger.

47 DATA AND METHODS

48 In this section we describe the study settings, data, and methods used. Supplementary
 49 Appendix 1 provides a simplified analysis flow (pages 3-5) and additional details on the data
 50 and methods (pages 13-56).

51 Study settings

52 Niger is a large country (1.267 million sq. kilometers) in the Sahel region of sub-Saharan
 53 Africa,¹¹ and is ranked among the poorest countries in the world.¹² Infrastructure, including
 54 roads, is underdeveloped¹³ and possession of motorized transportation is low.¹⁴ The North is
 55 predominantly bare/sparse desert, and the South is predominantly herbaceous vegetation and
 56 cropland.¹⁵ The climate is Sudanese, with a long dry season from October to May and a short
 57 rainy season from May to September.¹¹

58 During the period of focus of this study, 2000-2013, Niger was divided into four political
 59 administrative levels: communes, departments, regions, and national.¹¹ The health system of
 60 Niger included a public and private sector organized in a decentralized, pyramidal structure
 61 with three administrative levels overseen by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH). Details
 62 on the health system are provided in Supplementary Appendix 1, pages 22-24. Our analysis
 63 focuses on the first level (periphery): the *Centre de Santé Intégré* (CSI) and *Case de Santé*

(CS) networks of the public sector. As of December 2012, there were 856 CSI, offering a minimum package of services, focused on PHC, referral from and counter-referral to the CS, and supervision of the CS.¹¹ CSI were typically staffed by nurses and in certain large communes by a generalist doctor and midwives and were intended to serve a maximum population of 5000-15000 inhabitants, depending on population density.^{11,16} According to national norms, CS were intended to be situated 5km beyond a supervising CSI and served a population of 2500 to 5000.¹⁶ CS provided a minimum package of services, focused on PHC, including prevention services, health promotion services, and services for reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health, including iCCM. CS were typically staffed by a cadre of paid, full-time CHWs called *agent de santé communautaire* (ASC) and/or, in some cases, a nurse.¹⁷ CS and ASC were scaled-up between 2000-2013 – a period of considerable progress on under-five mortality.^{17,18} As of December 2012, there were 2451 CS.¹¹ Some CS were supported by one or more volunteer CHWs called *relais communautaires* (RC), providing health promotion and prevention interventions in the communities within the catchment area (typically a 5km radius) of the CS.^{11,16} The MOPH in Niger plans to scale-up RC – some targeted to communities beyond 5km of CS or CSI to provide a standard package of preventive, promotive and curative services, including iCCM.¹⁹

Data

We obtained spatial datasets for the following inputs: administrative boundaries (levels 0-3),²⁰ health service delivery networks (CSI, CS and ASC),²⁰ digital elevation model,²² land cover,¹⁵ roads,²³ rivers and other water bodies (treated as barriers to movement where no road crossed),²⁴ travel scenarios, modelled estimates for population counts for 2000-2013²⁵ and 2015,²⁶ modelled estimates for the annual mean under-five mortality rate in 2013,²⁷ and modelled estimates for the annual mean incidence of *Plasmodium falciparum* (*Pf*) malaria among all ages (0-99 years) in 2013.²⁸ We prepared the input datasets in a coordinate reference system for Niger at 100m x 100m resolution for our analysis of accessibility coverage and 1km x 1km for our analysis of geographic coverage, targeting and scale-up. Further details are in Supplementary Appendix 1 (pages 21-33).

We prepared travel speed tables for two travel scenarios: 1) walking in dry conditions and 2) walking to the nearest road and then using motorized transportation (assumed to be immediately available) in dry conditions. We set travel speeds by travel scenario for each land cover class and road class. Travel speeds were adapted from previous studies and experience in Niger and broader sub-Saharan Africa.^{29,30}

97 **Assessing geographical accessibility**

98 We assessed geographical accessibility through two measures: accessibility coverage and
99 geographic coverage.

100 We defined accessibility coverage as the estimated percentage of people within a given travel
101 time to the nearest health service delivery location of a given health service delivery network,
102 accounting for travel speeds of different modes of transportation over different land cover
103 classes and slope, with the direction of travel toward the health service delivery location.²⁹

104 We estimated accessibility coverage at 100m x 100m resolution for the CSI, CS, and CS-ASC
105 (includes CS with or without ASC and the small number of ASC sites not within a CS)
106 networks in 2013 – and for the ASC network by gender, year of deployment (2000-2013),
107 training, and availability of essential commodities – using 30-minute and 60-minute cutoffs
108 for administrative levels 0-3 and the two travel scenarios. We used 30-minute and 60-minute
109 cutoffs as previous analyses have shown careseeking decays as a function of travel time after
110 these cutoffs³¹ and they are clinically relevant (e.g., for prompt treatment of severe illness).³²
111 The analysis was constrained to national borders but allowed for travel across subnational
112 administrative boundaries. We used the “geographic accessibility” module within AccessMod
113 5 (v5.6 .48)²⁹ to calculate travel time layers and the “zonal statistics” module to calculate the
114 zonal statistics for each travel time layer by administrative level.

115 We defined geographic coverage as the theoretical catchment area of a health service delivery
116 location, within a maximum travel time, accounting for the mode of transportation and the
117 maximum population coverage capacity of the type of health service delivery location.²⁹ We
118 used the "geographic coverage" module of AccessMod 5 (v5.6 .48)²⁹ to estimate geographic
119 coverage for the CSI and CS-ASC networks in 2013 at 1km x 1km resolution for the two
120 travel scenarios. The maximum travel time was set at 60 minutes. The maximum population
121 capacity was set at 10000 for CSI and 2500 for CS-ASC based on norms of the MOPH of
122 Niger.¹⁸ The maximum extent of a catchment was therefore delimited by the maximum travel
123 time of 60 minutes except in cases where the estimated population in the catchment exceeded
124 the maximum population capacity of the health service delivery location – in which case the
125 extent of the catchment was smaller than the maximum travel time and was defined by the
126 area containing the estimated population, up to the maximum population capacity.

127 **Assessing geographic coverage of a hypothetical scale-up network of RC**

To estimate the number of RC needed to maximize geographical accessibility of the population beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI and CS-ASC networks, we simulated a hypothetical network of RC in grid cells with at least 250 people in 2013 located beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI and CS-ASC networks at 1km x 1km resolution, using a ratio of 1 RC per 1000 population (with a minimum threshold of 250 people to allocate 1 RC). We conducted a geographic coverage analysis at 1km x 1km resolution to estimate the percent of the estimated residual population that could be covered by the hypothetical RC network, within a maximum travel time of 60 min walking to the nearest RC and maximum population capacity of 1000 for each RC.

Assessing efficiency of geographical targeting

We assessed the efficiency of geographical targeting of the CS-ASC network, using the concept of technical efficiency. We defined technical efficiency as the maximization of a health outcome (geographic coverage) for a given set of inputs (the number of CS-ASC).³³ We used the estimated population, under-five deaths, and *Pf* malaria cases beyond the geographic coverage (60 minutes walking) of the CSI network in 2013 – hereafter called the estimated residual population, under-five deaths, and *Pf* malaria cases, respectively – as the “populations” to target in our geographical targeting analysis. We assessed the efficiency of geographical targeting of the existing CS-ASC network with three metrics a) geographic coverage of the estimated residual population b) geographic coverage of the estimated residual under-five deaths and c) geographic coverage of the estimated residual *Pf* malaria cases among all ages beyond the catchment of the CSI network in 2013 at 1km x 1km resolution compared to three hypothetical CS-ASC networks designed to optimize metrics a-c. For comparison with the existing CS-ASC network (n=2550) we restricted the hypothetical networks to the 2550 sites that maximized geographic coverage of the targeted population. We assessed the potential effect of uncertainty of the estimates for under-five deaths and *Pf* malaria cases among all ages on interpretation of our targeting results (see Supplementary Appendix 1, pages 54 and 57, and Supplementary Appendix 7).

Patient and public involvement statement

We did not involve patients or the public in this study.

RESULTS

Accessibility coverage

Accessibility coverage of the ASC network increased from 0.0% to 17.5% between 2000-2013, with large variation at subnational levels, given a 60-minute cutoff and walking scenario (Table 1, Figure 1, Supplementary Appendix 2). Video 1 and video 2 show the evolution of accessibility coverage of the ASC network between 2000-2013 by mode of transportation.

FIGURE 1

Accessibility coverage of the ASC network varied by gender of the ASC and training on specific interventions (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 2A-L on page 9 of Supplementary Appendix 1). Accessibility coverage of the ASC network trained on iCCM was 15.5% in 2013, given a 60-minute cutoff and walking scenario (Table 1, Figure 2D). The estimated additional contribution of the ASC network and ASC network trained on iCCM to accessibility coverage beyond the accessibility coverage of the existing CSI and CS (without ASC) networks combined, given a 60-minute cutoff and walking scenario, was 12.8% and 11.0%, covering an estimated 2.3 million and 2.0 million additional people, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Accessibility coverage of the front-line health facility and ASC networks

	Walking				Walking + motorized transportation			
	Covered 30 min (no.)	Covered 60 min (no.)	Covered 30 min (%)	Covered 60 min (%)	Covered 30 min (no.)	Covered 60 min (no.)	Covered 30 min (%)	Covered 60 min (%)
CSI + CS-ASC	7555209	9702395	41.8%	53.7%	10049232	11847974	55.6%	65.5%
CSI	4454595	5617195	24.6%	31.1%	7499712	9375295	41.5%	51.9%
CS-ASC	3724166	5516196	20.6%	30.5%	8552971	10917747	47.3%	60.4%
CS with nurse or ASC	2656798	3877172	14.7%	21.4%	7234714	9781239	40.0%	54.1%
ASC	1930318	3156228	10.7%	17.5%	6177540	9228791	34.2%	51.0%
Female ASC	624548	1115902	3.5%	6.2%	3333890	6228099	18.4%	34.4%
Male ASC	1403743	2352088	7.8%	13.0%	4710547	8290546	26.1%	45.9%
ASC trained on iCCM	1681118	2807629	9.3%	15.5%	5789678	8866791	32.0%	49.0%
ASC trained on promotion of key family practices	257252	502715	1.4%	2.8%	1350665	3117135	7.5%	17.2%
ASC trained on promotion of WASH	285021	459284	1.6%	2.5%	1761924	3431730	9.7%	19.0%
ASC trained on promotion of maternal and child nutrition	942742	1531534	5.2%	8.5%	3708632	6386347	20.5%	35.3%
ASC trained on promotion of childhood immunization	792972	1304697	4.4%	7.2%	3367442	5801114	18.6%	32.1%
ASC trained on prevention of HIV and STIs	422425	838176	2.3%	4.6%	2385540	4964508	13.2%	27.5%
ASC trained on family	257252	502715	1.4%	2.8%	1350665	3117135	7.5%	17.2%

planning								
ASC trained on promotion of maternal health	420513	614037	2.3%	3.4%	1836845	4098785	10.2%	22.7%
ASC trained on AMTSL	22893	30567	0.1%	0.2%	58142	270105	0.3%	1.5%
ASC trained on essential newborn care	171317	309649	0.9%	1.7%	1161710	2349625	6.4%	13.0%
ASC trained on HMIS	541061	1009626	3.0%	5.6%	2473387	4144195	13.7%	22.9%
Additional contribution ASC	1598393	2312056	8.8%	12.8%	3333890	6228099	18.4%	34.4%
Additional contribution ASC trained on iCCM	1365053	1997636	7.5%	11.0%	860150	1343604	4.8%	7.4%
CS with no stockout of iCCM commodities lasting longer than 7 days	1083373	1697021	6.0%	9.4%	4436870	7082669	24.5%	39.2%
CS with nurse or ASC and no stockout of any iCCM commodities lasting longer than 7 days	1004259	1588442	5.6%	8.8%	4281866	6901898	23.7%	38.2%
CS with nurse or ASC and no stockout of RDT and ACT (AL) lasting longer than 7 days	1611911	2433672	8.9%	13.5%	5550109	8396522	30.7%	46.4%
CS with nurse or ASC and no stockout of first-line antibiotic (Cotrimoxazole) lasting longer than 7 days	1840249	2818994	10.2%	15.6%	6068363	8554497	33.6%	47.3%
CS with nurse or ASC and no stockout of ORS and zinc lasting longer than 7 days	1785901	2670944	9.9%	14.8%	5779524	8763644	32.0%	48.5%
CS with nurse or ASC and no stockout of RUTF lasting longer than 7 days	2294824	3377406	12.7%	18.7%	6775940	9190259	37.5%	50.8%

175

176 Accessibility coverage in 2013, given a 60-minute cutoff and walking scenario, was 31.1%
177 for the CSI network, 30.5% for the CS-ASC network, 21.4% for the CS network with a nurse
178 or ASC, and 53.7% for the combined CSI + CS-ASC network (Table 1 and Figure 2A-D). An
179 estimated 8.3 million people (58.2%) remained beyond 60 minutes walking to the nearest
180 front-line health facility or ASC, without considering the maximum population capacity of
181 these networks. Accessibility coverage of the CS network was lower when we considered
182 availability of trained human resources (nurse or ASC) and essential commodities (Table 1
183 and Supplementary Figure 3A-G, page 7 of Supplementary Appendix 1). Accessibility
184 coverage of all health service delivery networks was higher when considering the walking
185 plus motorized transportation travel scenario (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 4A-F, page

10 of Supplementary Appendix 1). We provide detailed results in Supplementary Appendix 2, tab “Detailed_Results”.

FIGURE 2

Geographic coverage

Geographic coverage of the estimated total population in 2013 by the CSI network was 22.1%, assuming a walking scenario with a 60-minute catchment and maximum population capacity of 10000 per CSI (Figure 3 and Supplementary Appendix 3, tab “Summary”). Over one-third (347) of the CSI realized less than 30% of their maximum population capacity, indicating inefficiency (Supplementary Appendix 3, tab “Summary”).

Geographic coverage of the total estimated population in 2013 by the CS-ASC network was 19.4%, assuming a walking scenario with a 60-minute catchment and maximum population capacity of 2500 per CS-ASC (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 3). Over one-third (830) of the CS-ASC realized less than 30% of their maximum population capacity, indicating inefficiency (Figure 3 and Supplementary Appendix 3, tab “Summary”). Geographic coverage of the estimated residual population beyond the geographic coverage of the CSI network in 2013 by the CS-ASC network was 24.9%, providing an estimated 3.4 million additional people with physical access to PHC services, with important variation by region (Supplementary Appendix 3, tab “Summary” and Supplementary Figure 6).

Geographic coverage of a hypothetical scale-up network of RC

A hypothetical network of 8064 RC with a maximum population capacity of 1000 people per RC, targeting cells with at least 250 people located beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI and CS-ASC networks, could cover 54.9% of this estimated residual population – providing physical access to PHC services for an estimated 5.7 million additional people in 2013 (Figure 5 and Supplementary Appendix 6, tab “Summary”). Geographic coverage of the estimated total population would increase from 41.5% covered by the existing CSI and CS-ASC networks to 73.6% by the combined CSI, CS-ASC, and hypothetical RC networks in 2013 (Supplementary Appendix 4, tab “Summary”).

FIGURE 3

Efficiency of geographical targeting

Geographic coverage of the estimated residual population beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI network was 40.2% by the hypothetical CS-ASC network compared to 24.9% by the existing CS-ASC network, covering an estimated 2.1 million additional people – a 61.9% gain in efficiency (Figure 4 and Supplementary Appendix 5, tab “Comparison_Population”). Geographic coverage of the estimated residual under-five deaths beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI network was 58.0% by the hypothetical CS-ASC network compared to 37.5% by the existing CS-ASC network, covering an estimated 73000 under-five deaths not otherwise covered – a 55.0% gain in efficiency (Figure 4 and Supplementary Appendix 5, tab “Comparison_U5deaths”). Geographic coverage of the estimated residual *Pf* malaria cases (all ages) beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI network was 60.3% by the hypothetical CS-ASC network compared to 33.1% by the existing CS-ASC network, covering an estimated 1.6 million *Pf* malaria cases not otherwise covered – a 81.9% gain in efficiency (Figure 4 and Supplementary Appendix 5, tab “Comparison_Malaria”). Our uncertainty analysis for the efficiency of geographical targeting indicates bins/groups of CS-ASC catchments with relatively higher efficiency geographical targeting could be distinguished from bins/groups of CS-ASC catchments with relatively lower efficiency of geographical targeting (Supplementary Appendix 6).

FIGURE 4

DISCUSSION

Summary

Our results indicate that geographical accessibility of PHC services improved in Niger between 2000-2013, with an important contribution of ASCs – making PHC services physically accessible for an estimated 2.3 million additional people, including an estimated 2.0 million additional people with physical access to iCCM. Despite this improvement, geographical accessibility of PHC services remained inadequate as of 2013, with important variation across subnational geographies, training of CHWs, and availability of essential commodities. Rational scale-up of the CHW network, using approaches to optimize deployment as described here, could increase geographic coverage of PHC services from 41.5% to 73.6%, covering an estimated 5.7 million additional people without adequate physical access to PHC services in 2013. The efficiency of geographical targeting of health facilities and CHWs was suboptimal relative to the distribution of the estimated population,

under-five deaths and malaria burden – but could be improved through the targeting approach used in this study.

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this study provides the first estimates and high-resolution maps of geographical accessibility to CHWs at national scale for any country. We used a bespoke modelling approach with a complete national georeferenced census of front-line health facilities and CHWs in Niger, other high resolution spatial datasets, and realistic assumptions.

Our estimates of accessibility coverage are lower than those from Weiss and colleagues³⁴ which estimated 61·8% and 80·7% of the population in Niger was within 60 minutes of the nearest health facility in 2020 based on a walking scenario and motorized transportation scenario, respectively. Paradoxically the health facility dataset used in Weiss and colleagues³⁴ included 568 fewer health service delivery locations (n=2821 in Weiss and colleagues³⁴ for 2020, n=3389 in our model for 2013). The higher estimates of accessibility coverage in Weiss and colleagues³⁴ may be explained by three factors. First, travel speeds for motorized transportation used by Weiss and colleagues³⁴ are higher than those used in our model. Second, the walking model by Weiss and colleagues³⁴ assumes a travel speed of 5km per hour across all landcover classes, whereas our model varies walking travel speed from 5km per hour to 0 km per hour (impassable), according to land cover class and is based on realistic estimates of travel speeds from previous studies in Niger and the region.^{29,30} Third, Weiss and colleagues³⁴ allowed for swimming across water barriers, whereas we did not but we allowed travel over road bridges. Another study, Blanford and colleagues,³⁰ found that 39·1% of the population were within 60 minutes walking of the nearest health facility in 2009. However, their model used an incomplete network of hospitals and CSI (n=504) dating from 1995. We consider our results, while imperfect, to be more useful for national planning in Niger than results reported in Weiss and colleagues³⁴ and Blanford and colleagues³¹ as our results were based on a bespoke model for Niger, with a more complete dataset on health service delivery locations and more realistic, contextualized travel speeds.

Our scale-up analysis provides a useful roadmap for the MOPH to consider as it plans to scale-up the RC network to fill gaps in physical access to PHC services, for example, by identifying optimal RC locations and enabling the prioritization of bins/groups of RC (the first 500 RC, next 500 RC and so on) that would maximize geographical accessibility, allowing a phased approach to scale-up. Lastly, our analysis highlights important inefficiencies in

geographical targeting of the existing CS-ASC network relative to estimates of the spatial distribution of the population and underlying burden of under-five mortality and *Pf* malaria, suggests alternatives to guide fine-tuning of the network, and provides an approach that could be used in future planning efforts to maximize efficiency of geographical targeting.

We acknowledge that, in addition to physical accessibility, it is important to consider social and economic barriers to care seeking (e.g. social norms, intrahousehold power dynamics, costs of transportation, opportunity costs of travel time, costs of services and commodities) which may influence access to and use of health services.³⁵ It is also important to consider the quality of health services and the potential for bypassing.^{36,37} Lastly, predominate modes of transportation may vary by socioeconomic status and geography³⁸ and they may change in response to contextual factors (e.g. the lockdowns due to COVID-19 in 2020).

There are important limitations to this study. First, we did not include secondary or tertiary facilities or outreach/mobile sites. We focused on the question of physical access to CHWs and first level health facilities, rather than secondary or tertiary health facilities and permanent, fixed service locations rather than periodic, mobile services. Moreover, our service delivery location dataset is more complete than datasets publicly available at the time of our analysis in 2020.³⁹ Second, our analysis is limited by the completeness and quality of the publicly available data on road and river networks. We acknowledge that more complete and/or accurate government or proprietary road and river network data may be available. For the river network, we acknowledge that some rivers, streams, and other waterways may not be perennial barriers to movement. We attempted to mitigate this limitation by allowing major road classes (motorway, trunk, primary, secondary, and tertiary) to cross rivers/streams and by incorporating data on the hydrographic network from the high-resolution Copernicus land cover layer¹⁵ in our merged land cover layer. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis using only waterways classified as “rivers” in the rivers input layer as barriers to movement and found this made no important difference to the results (Supplementary Appendix 2, tab Sensitivity_analysis”). Third, our accessibility coverage, geographic coverage, and targeting analyses do not account for uncertainty of the estimates of population. Previous analyses of accessibility coverage and geographic coverage have not accounted for uncertainty of this kind, but we acknowledge this is an important limitation and area for improving future modelling. Fourth, our analysis does not account for national parks or other “no-go” zones (e.g., military bases) due to lack of access to the geography of these objects for 2013. Fifth, our travel speeds were based on similar analyses for Niger and other countries in sub-Saharan

Africa^{29,30} but could be improved through a country-led consensus-building process with national experts to derive subnational travel speeds and validate other data inputs. Our travel speeds do not account for differences in travel speeds by season³⁰ which may be relevant in the Sahel, possible group differences (e.g., pregnant women, people with illness, and caregivers carrying sick children may walk slower), river transportation, and our walking plus motorized transportation scenario assumes immediate access to a vehicle once a road is reached and does not account for road traffic or factors impacting road traffic (e.g. traffic lights). Sixth, our analysis does not account for the possibility of accessing health service delivery locations across national boundaries, an important consideration for cross-border and migrant populations. Seventh, the modelled population counts for 2000-2012 use the HRSL population settlement footprint from 2015,²⁶ which may not accurately reflect the population settlement footprint for the early 2000s. Lastly, the accuracy of the modelled estimates of under-five mortality rates²⁷ and *Pf* malaria incidence²⁸ used in our targeting analysis is unknown. Despite this limitation, results from our uncertainty analysis indicated that our targeting approach could be used to confidently identify bins/groups of health service delivery catchment areas that are relatively more efficient at geographical targeting than other bins/groups – and that this information could be used in planning to enhance scale-up and geographical targeting of CHWs.

We understand that rational decisions on scale-up and targeting of CHWs, like with health facilities, cannot be addressed purely through modelling, as there are many factors involved in the political economy of health system planning and decision-making.^{40,41} Nonetheless, we think our results provide novel insights for policy makers, practitioners and researchers and future efforts can build on and refine this work through collaborative, country-led processes.

CONCLUSION

Geographical accessibility of PHC services improved in Niger between 2000-2013, with important contributions from ASCs, providing an estimated 2.3 million additional people with physical access to PHC services – including 2.0 million additional people with physical access to iCCM. However, as of 2013, gaps in geographical accessibility remained and efficiency of geographical targeting of ASCs was suboptimal. Rational scale-up and geographical targeting of the RC network, using approaches to optimize deployment as described here, could make an important contribution toward increasing geographical accessibility to PHC services in Niger. These approaches could be adapted to meet planning needs in other contexts. As Niger works toward UHC, developing a geography of the health

system – as part of a broader spatial data infrastructure⁴² – will be critical. Developing a geography of CHWs within this broader context will be equally important for these purposes and for maximizing efficiency, impact, and equity of investments in quality PHC within the context of UHC. This study is one step toward a geography of CHWs in Niger and a call to action for establishing a geography of CHWs globally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The views expressed in this article are the authors' views do not necessarily represent the views, positions, or policies of the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. This work would not have been possible without the efforts of the many people that contributed to the first georeferenced census of CSI, CS and ASC led by the INS, the Ministry of Public Health of Niger, and UNICEF in 2013.

FOOTNOTES

Contributors: NPO was responsible for the study conceptualization, methodology, data curation, and writing the draft manuscript. OH, IM, KB, AYG, NPO, and NR collected data or provided feedback on data. NPO, NR and ZY conducted the formal analysis and were responsible for data visualization. NPO, NR and TD verified the underlying data. TD, DJ, and NR provided supervision and overall guidance. All authors contributed to reviewing and editing the manuscript.

Funding: No funding was provided.

Competing interests: Mr. Oliphant reports grants (salary support) from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF), outside the submitted work. Other co-authors declare no competing interests.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement: Data are available on a public, open access repository under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the license is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Supplementary Appendices 2-6, Videos 1-2, and all model outputs are available in Supplementary Appendix

1b at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4482969>. All model inputs (except existing service delivery locations) are available in Supplementary Appendix 1c at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13536779.v5>. Health service delivery location data are only available through data sharing agreements with UNICEF and the Ministry of Public Health of Niger.

REFERENCES

1. Pratt A, Dale M, Olivi E, Miller J. Spatial distribution and deployment of community-based distributors implementing integrated community case management (iCCM): Geographic information system (GIS) mapping study in three South Sudan states. *Journal of Global Health* 2014;4(2): 020402. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.04.020402>
2. Cherkesly M, Rancourt MÈ, Smilowitz KR. Community Healthcare Network in Underserved Areas: Design, Mathematical Models, and Analysis. *Prod Oper Manag* 2019;28:1716–1734. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13008>
3. Ihantamalala FA, Herbreteau V, Révillion C, Randriamihaja M, Commins J, Andréambeloson T, et al. Improving geographical accessibility modeling for operational use by local health actors. *International Journal of Health Geographics* 2020;19(27). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-020-00220-6>
4. Brunie A, MacCarthy J, Mulligan B, Ribaira Y, et al. Practical implications of policy guidelines: A GIS model of the deployment of community health volunteers in Madagascar. *Global Health: Science and Practice* 2020;8(3):466. <https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-19-00421>
5. Wong KLM, Brady OJ, Campbell OMR, Jarvis CI, Pembe A, Gomez GB, et al. Current realities versus theoretical optima: quantifying efficiency and sociospatial equity of travel time to hospitals in low-income and middle-income countries. *BMJ Global Health* 2019;4:e001552. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001552>
6. Cometto G, Ford N, Pfaffman-Zambruni J, Akl EA, Lehmann U, McPake P, et al. Health policy and system support to optimise community health worker programmes:

- 405 an abridged WHO guideline. The Lancet Global Health 2018;6(12):e1397–e1404.
406 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30482-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30482-0)
- 407 7. Haines A, Sanders D, Lehmann U, Rowe A, Lawn JE, Jan S. Achieving child survival
408 goals: potential contribution of community health workers. Lancet 2007;
409 369(9579):2121–2131.
410 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60325-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60325-0)
- 411 8. Kok MC, Ormel H, Broerse JEW, Kane S, Namakhoma I, Otiso L, et al. Optimising
412 the benefits of community health workers’ unique position between communities and
413 the health sector: A comparative analysis of factors shaping relationships in four
414 countries. Global Public Health 2017;12(11):1404–1432.
415 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2016.1174722>
- 416 9. Boschi-Pinto C, Labadie G, Ramachandran D, Oliphant N, Dalglish SL, Aboubaker S,
417 et al. Global implementation survey of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness
418 (IMCI): 20 years on. BMJ Open;8(7):e019079.
419 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019079>
- 420 10. World Health Organization, UNICEF. 2012. WHO/UNICEF Joint Statement:
421 Integrated Community Case Management (iCCM): An Equity-Focused Strategy to
422 Improve Access to Essential Treatment Services for Children. Geneva and New York:
423 WHO and UNICEF.
424 [https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/statement_child_services_](https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/statement_child_services_access_who.unicef.pdf)
425 [access_who.unicef.pdf](https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/statement_child_services_access_who.unicef.pdf)
- 426 11. Ministère de la Santé Publique. 2013. Annuaire des statistiques sanitaires du Niger,
427 Année 2012. Niamey, Niger: Ministère de la Santé Publique, Secrétariat Général,
428 Direction des Statistiques.
- 429 12. United Nations Development Programme. 2019. Human Development Report 2019.
430 Beyond income, beyond averages, beyond today: Inequalities in human development
431 in the 21st century. New York, NY: UNDP.
432 <http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/hdr2019.pdf>
- 433 13. World Food Programme. Logistics Capacity Assessment: 2 Niger Logistics
434 Infrastructure. December 13, 2013.

- 435 <https://dlca.logcluster.org/display/public/DLCA/2+Niger+Logistics+Infrastructure>
436 Date accessed: November 11, 2020.
- 437 14. Institut National de la Statistique (INS) du Niger, ICF International. 2013. Niger
438 Enquête Démographique et de Santé et à Indicateurs Multiples (EDSN-MICS IV)
439 2012. Calverton, Maryland, USA: INS and ICF International.
440 <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR277/FR277.pdf>
- 441 15. Buchhorn M, Smets B, Bertels L, De Roo B, Lesiv M, Tsendbazar N-E, et al.
442 Copernicus Global Land Service: Land Cover 100M: collection 3:epoch 2015:Globe
443 2020.
444 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3939038>
445 Date accessed: October 4, 2017
- 446 16. Ministère de la Santé Publique et de la Lutte contre les Endémies. 2006. Normes et
447 standards des infrastructures, équipements et personnel du système de santé. Niamey,
448 Niger: Ministère de la Santé Publique et de la Lutte contre les Endémies.
- 449 17. Besada D, Kerber K, Leon N, Sanders D, Daviaud E, Rohde S, et al. Niger's Child
450 Survival Success, Contributing Factors and Challenges to Sustainability: A
451 Retrospective Analysis. PLOS ONE 2016;11(1):e0146945
452 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146945>
- 453 18. Amouzou A, Habi O, Bensaid K, the Niger Countdown Case Study Working Group.
454 Reduction in child mortality in Niger: a Countdown to 2015 country case study. The
455 Lancet 2012;380(9848):1169–1178
456 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61376-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61376-2)
- 457 19. Edir, B. Santé Communautaire: Atelier De Validation Du Plan Stratégique National.
458 2019.
459 [https://nigerinter.com/2019/11/sante-communautaire-atelier-de-validation-du-plan-](https://nigerinter.com/2019/11/sante-communautaire-atelier-de-validation-du-plan-strategique-national/)
460 [strategique-national/](https://nigerinter.com/2019/11/sante-communautaire-atelier-de-validation-du-plan-strategique-national/)
461 Date accessed: November 11, 2020
- 462 20. Intstitut Géographique National Niger (IGNN). 2017. [Updated by the REACH
463 INITIATIVE in 2018]. Niger - Subnational Administrative Boundaries.
464 <https://data.humdata.org/dataset/niger-administrative-boundaries>
465 Date accessed: February 14, 2018

21. Institut National de la Statistique (INS), UNICEF. 2014. Évaluation de la Mise en Œuvre de l'Initiative Catalytique dans les Cases de Santé et les Centres de Santé Intégrés. Niamey, Niger: Institut National de la Statistique (INS) Niger, UNICEF.
22. NASA JPL. 2013. NASA Shuttle Radar Topography Mission Global 1 arc second v003. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC.
<https://doi.org/10.5067/MEaSUREs/SRTM/SRTMGL1.003>
Date accessed: October 4, 2017
23. Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team (HOTOSM). 2018. HOTOSM Niger Roads (OpenStreetMap Export).
https://data.humdata.org/dataset/hotosm_niger_roads
Date accessed: August 1, 2018
24. Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team (HOTOSM). 2018. HOTOSM Niger Waterways (OpenStreetMap Export).
https://data.humdata.org/dataset/hotosm_niger_waterways
Date accessed: January 15, 2018
25. WorldPop (www.worldpop.org - School Of Geography and Environment Science, University of Southampton; Department of Geography and Geosciences, University Of Louisville; Département De Géographie, Université De Namur) And Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CEISIN), Columbia University. 2018. Global High Resolution Population Denominators Project - Funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1134076).
<https://dx.doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00660>
Date accessed: March 3, 2020
26. Facebook Connectivity Lab and Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN - Columbia University. 2016. High Resolution Settlement Layer (HRSL). Source imagery for HRSL © 2016 DigitalGlobe.
<https://data.humdata.org/dataset/highresolutionpopulationdensitymaps-ner>
Date accessed: August 6, 2020
27. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). 2019. Low- and Middle-Income Country Neonatal, Infant, and Under-5 Mortality Geospatial Estimates 2000-2017. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME).

497 <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/lbd-data>
 498 Date accessed: March 3, 2020

499 28. Weiss DJ, Lucas TCD, Nguyen M, Nandi AK, Bisanzio D, Battle KE, et al. Mapping
 500 the global prevalence, incidence, and mortality of *Plasmodium falciparum*, 2000-
 501 2017: a spatial and temporal modelling study. *Lancet* 2019;394(10195):322–331.
 502 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31097-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31097-9)

503 29. Ray N, Ebener S. AccessMod 3.0: computing geographic coverage and accessibility to
 504 health care services using anisotropic movement of patients. *International Journal of*
 505 *Health Geographics* 2008;7(1):63.
 506 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-7-63>

507 30. Blanford JJ, Kumar S, Luo W, MacEachren AM. It's a long, long walk: accessibility
 508 to hospitals, maternity and integrated health centers in Niger. *International Journal of*
 509 *Health Geographics* 2012;11(1):24.
 510 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-11-24>

511 31. Alegana VA, Maina J, Ouma PO, Macharia PM, Wright J, Atkinson PM, et al.
 512 National and sub-national variation in patterns of febrile case management in sub-
 513 Saharan Africa. *Nature Communications* 2018;9(4994).
 514 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07536-9>

515 32. World Health Organization. 2012. Management of severe malaria: a practical
 516 handbook—3rd ed. 2012. Geneva: World Health Organization.
 517 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/79317/9789241548526_eng.pdf

518 33. Palmer S and Torgerson DJ. Definitions of efficiency. *BMJ* 1999;318:1136.
 519 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.318.7191.1136>

520 34. Weiss DJ, Nelson A, Vargas-Ruiz CA, Gligorić K, Bavadekar S, Gabrilovich E, et al.
 521 Global maps of travel time to healthcare facilities. *Nature Medicine* 2020;26:1835–
 522 1838.
 523 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1059-1>

524 35. Bedford KJA, Sharkey AB. Local Barriers and Solutions to Improve Care-Seeking for
 525 Childhood Pneumonia, Diarrhoea and Malaria in Kenya, Nigeria and Niger: A
 526 Qualitative Study. *PLOS ONE* 2014;9(6):e100038.
 527 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100038>

36. Ocholla IA, Agutu NO, Ouma PO, Gatungu D, Makokha FO, Gitaka J. Geographical accessibility in assessing bypassing behaviour for inpatient neonatal care, Bungoma County-Kenya. BMC pregnancy and childbirth 2020;20(1):287.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-02977-x>
37. Kruk ME, Chukwuma A, Mbaruku G, Leslie H. Variation in quality of primary-care services in Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, Rwanda, Senegal, Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 2017;95(6):408–418.
<https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.16.175869>
38. Behrens R, Diaz-Olvera L, Plat D, Pochet P. Meta-analysis of travel of the poor in West and Southern african cities. 10th World Conference on Transport Research, Istanbul, 4-8 July 2004.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5087297_Meta-analysis_of_travel_of_the_poor_in_West_and_Southern_african_cities
Date accessed: November 15, 2020
39. Maina J, Ouma PO, Macharia PM, Alegana VA, Mitto B, Fall IS, et al. A spatial database of health facilities managed by the public health sector in sub Saharan Africa. Scientific Data 2019;6(134).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0142-2>
40. Dalglish SL, Surkan PJ, Diarra A, Harouna A, Bennett S. Power and pro-poor policies: the case of iCCM in Niger. Health Policy and Planning 2015;30(suppl_2):ii84–ii94.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czv064>
41. Croke K. The origins of Ethiopia's primary health care expansion: The politics of state building and health system strengthening. Health Policy and Planning 2020;czaa095.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czaa095>
42. Ebener S, Guerra-Arias M, Campbell J, Tatem AJ, Moran AC, Amoako J, et al. The geography of maternal and newborn health: the state of the art. International Journal of Health Geographics 2015;14(19).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-015-0012-x>

Figures

Figure 1. Median and interquartile range of the percent of the population within 60 minutes walking of an ASC at administrative level 3 (commune) between 2000-2013 at 100m x 100m resolution.

Black lines indicate the median at commune level. Blue boxes represent the interquartile range at commune level. Circles and stars indicate communes outside of the interquartile range. Red lines and percentages indicate the national mean.

Figure 2. Geographic accessibility (travel time in minutes, walking in dry conditions) at 100m x 100m resolution.

A) Centre de santé intégrée in 2013, n=839; B) Case de santé / Agent de santé communautaire in 2013, n=2550; C) Agent de santé communautaire in 2013, n=1457; D) Agent de santé communautaire in 2013 trained on iCCM, n=1214. Inset near Madarounfa commune in Maradi region. *For visualization purposes road classes limited to motorway, trunk, primary, secondary, and tertiary; **other water bodies from landcover layer included permanent water bodies, temporary water bodies and herbaceous wetlands.

Figure 3. Geographic coverage of the CSI, CS-ASC, and hypothetical scale-up ASC networks in 2013, 60-minute catchment, walking scenario at 1km x 1km resolution.

A) Geographic coverage at 1km x 1km resolution of the CSI (dark green) and CS-ASC networks (medium green) in 2013, 60-minute catchment (walking scenario) with inset near Madarounfa commune in Maradi region; B) Cumulative percent of the estimated total population covered within a 60-minute catchment, walking scenario (y-axis) by the number of CSI (x-axis, dark green line) and CS-ASC (x-axis, medium green line) at 1km x 1km resolution. C) Geographic coverage at 1km x 1km resolution of the CSI network (dark green), CS-ASC (medium green) and hypothetical scale-up RC network (light green) deployed to optimize geographic coverage of the residual population beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI and CS-ASC networks (60-minute catchment, walking scenario) in 2013, with maximum population capacity of 1000 people per RC, n=8064 RC, and inset near Madarounfa commune in Maradi region; D) Cumulative percent of the estimated total population covered within a 60-minute catchment, walking scenario (y-axis) by the number of CSI (x-axis, dark green), CS-ASC (x-axis, medium green), and hypothetical scale-up RC network (x-axis, light green) at 1km x 1km resolution. The hypothetical scale-up RC network targeted 1km x 1km grid cells with at least 250 people situated beyond the geographic

coverage of the existing CSI and CS-ASC networks (60-minute catchment, walking scenario) in 2013. Maximum population capacity was set to 1000 people per RC.

Figure 3. Geographic coverage of the CSI and CS-ASC networks in 2013, 60-minute catchment, walking scenario at 1km x 1km resolution.

A) Geographic coverage at 1km x 1km resolution of the CSI and CS-ASC networks in 2013, 60-minute catchment (walking scenario) with inset near Madarounfa commune in Maradi region; B) Cumulative percent of the estimated total population covered within a 60-minute catchment, walking scenario (y-axis) by the number of CSI (x-axis, dark green line) and CS-ASC (x-axis, medium green line) at 1km x 1km resolution.

Figure 4. Targeting of the existing CS-ASC network compared to hypothetical optimized networks at 1km x 1km resolution.

A) Comparison of the percent of the estimated residual population beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI network (60-minute catchment, walking scenario) that was covered by the existing CS-ASC network compared to a hypothetical CS-ASC network deployed to optimize geographic coverage of the estimated residual population; B) Comparison of the percent of the estimated residual under-five deaths beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI network (60-minute catchment, walking scenario) that was

620 covered by the existing CS-ASC network compared to a hypothetical CS-ASC network
 621 deployed to optimize geographic coverage of the estimated residual under-five deaths; C)
 622 Comparison of the percent of the estimated residual Pf malaria cases among all ages (0-99
 623 years) beyond the geographic coverage of the existing CSI network (60-minute catchment,
 624 walking scenario) that was covered by the existing CS-ASC network compared to a
 625 hypothetical CS-ASC network deployed to optimize geographic coverage of the estimated
 626 residual Pf malaria cases among all ages (0-99 years). All analyses at 1km x 1km resolution.