Title: Health and Healthcare Variables Associated with Italy’s Excess Mortality during the First Wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: An Ecological Study.

Authors: Alessandra Buja ^a, Matteo Paganini ^b, Riccardo Fusinato^c, Silvia Cocchio ^a, Manuela Scioni ^c, Vincenzo Rebba ^d, Vincenzo Baldo ^a, Giovanna Boccuzzo^c.

a) Department of Cardiologic, Vascular and Thoracic Sciences, and Public Health, University of Padova. Via Loredan, 18, 35131, Padova, Italy. AB: alessandra.buja@unipd.it; SC: silvia.cocchio@unipd.it; VB: vincenzo.baldo@unipd.it

b) Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padova. Via Marzolo, 3, 35131 Padova, Italy. MP: matteo.paganini@unipd.it

c) Statistics Department, University of Padova. Via C. Battisti, 241, 35121, Padova, Italy. RF: riccardo.fusinato@studenti.unipd.it; MN: manuela.scioni@unipd.it; GB: boccuzzo@stat.unipd.it

d) ‘Marco Fanno’ Department of Economics and Management, University of Padova and CRIEP (Inter-University Center for Research on Public Economics).Via del Santo, 33, 35123, Padova, Italy. VR: vincenzo.rebba@unipd.it

Corresponding author: Matteo Paganini, MD. Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padova. Via Marzolo, 3, 35131 Padova, Italy. Phone: +39 049-8275387. Email: matteo.paganini@unipd.it ORCID No. 0000-0002-7556-6928

Running title: Excess Mortality and Health and Healthcare Variables

Keywords: COVID-19; pandemic; ecological studies; SARS-CoV-2; healthcare

Acknowledgments: This work was carried out within the framework of the research project “Impact of different healthcare System MODEls and of different CONtainment measures on the

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

24 spread and health outcomes of COVID-19 in Italy and Europe” (MOSSCOV) – University of
Padova.

Conflict of Interest: the authors declare no conflicts of interest.

27

Abstract

Background: Healthcare factors have strongly influenced the propagation of COVID-19. The

present study aims to examine whether excess mortality during the first phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy was associated with health, healthcare, demographic, and socioeconomic indicators measured at a provincial level.

Methods: The present ecological study concerns the raw number of deaths from Jan. 1 to Apr. 30, 2020 and the mean number of deaths in the same months of 2015 to 2019, per province.

Information on socioeconomic factors and healthcare settings were extracted from the most recently updated databases on the ISTAT website. Two multilevel, multivariate models were constructed to test whether excess mortality was associated with the indicators across 107 provinces in Italy.

Results: On linear multilevel, multivariate analysis, AIDS mortality rate (p-value <0.05) correlates positively with excess mortality, while a higher density of General Practitioners (number of GPs per 1,000 population) is associated with lower excess mortality (p-value <0.05). After controlling for the diffusion of COVID-19 in each province, the significance of GP density increases (p-value <0.001) and the rate of hospitalization in long-term care wards is positively associated (p-value <0.05) with excess mortality.

Conclusion: Some health and healthcare variables are strongly associated with excess mortality caused by COVID-19 in Italy and should be considered to implement mitigation policies and increase healthcare resilience.

INTRODUCTION

Pandemics are natural disasters that affect global health for prolonged periods [1]. Depending on their impact on healthcare systems, pandemics prompt varying degrees of public health interventions to contain the contagion. The availability of resources – in terms of staff, stuff, and structures – plays a fundamental part in expanding a healthcare system’s capacity to cope with the increasing demand [2]. When healthcare systems are overwhelmed, the level of care delivered to populations gradually declines until the crisis capacity threshold is reached [3]. Beyond that point, severe disruptions in the chain of care affect not only infected patients but also those suffering from chronic illnesses or presenting with acute conditions that need prompt or urgent treatment, leading to a worsening of the population’s health.

Excess mortality is an essential epidemiological indicator for monitoring the capability of healthcare systems. It gives us a comprehensive picture of the death toll attributable to a pandemic. Rapid surveillance systems can effectively track both deaths due directly to the disease and indirect fatalities owing to the impact of a pandemic on healthcare systems [4]. The result is a better mortality estimate, less affected by errors in the certification of the cause of death, that enables before-after comparisons within the same country [5].

As seen previously with the influenza pandemic in 1918 [6], COVID-19 has brought every country to the brink of their healthcare systems’ failure. The first wave of the disease dramatically increased countries’ all-cause mortality rates. Italy registered over 45,000 total deaths in March and April 2020, corresponding to an excess mortality due to any cause of 50% in March and 36% in April compared with the average deaths in the same months of the years from 2015 to 2019 [7]. There were marked differences between regions and provinces, with most excess deaths occurring in the north of Italy. Strict nationwide lockdown policies, which included restrictions on movement between regions from the beginning of March until early May, probably helped contain the infection and the consequent excess mortality in the country’s central and southern regions [8]. The

72 pandemic's impact on different countries and regions varied [9], but the spread of COVID-19
followed much the same trend in 24 European nations early in the first wave [10]. Social,
environmental, and economic factors have strongly influenced the transmission of the disease
75 (especially in the complex scenario of the European continent [9,11]), with a far from a negligible
impact on cumulative, all-causes excess deaths.

The present study aims to identify any health, healthcare, environmental, demographic, and
78 socioeconomic indicators associated with the province-level excess mortality during the first wave
of the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. This might reveal underlying “predisposing” factors to bear in
mind to implement effective policies to mitigate the effects of a pandemic.

81

MATERIALS AND METHODS

84 *Context*

The National Health Service (NHS) in Italy is a regionally-based healthcare system. It provides universal coverage free of charge at the point of service. The country's central government ensures compliance with the general objectives and fundamental principles of the NHS, defines a national statutory benefits package of "essential levels of care" for the population, and allocates national funds to the regional authorities. The regional governments (of 19 regions plus one region divided into two autonomous provinces, totaling 20 regions) are responsible for organizing and delivering healthcare services through a network of local health authorities. The latter have catchment areas that are often defined by the provinces' boundaries (institutional entities that group together several municipalities in a given region. Depending on the region, public funds are allocated by local health authorities to public hospitals and accredited private clinics.

Study design and data sources

96 The present ecological study includes mortality data for each Italian province. Data on mortality were obtained from the Italian Statistics Institute (ISTAT) demographic database [12] as the raw number of deaths from Jan. 1 to Apr. 30, 2020, and the mean number of deaths during the same months for the years 2015 to 2019.

Information on the provinces' socioeconomic, demographic, health, and healthcare variables was extracted from the most recently updated databases available on the Italian Ministry of Health's and the ISTAT's websites [13], and the ISTAT's "Health for All" database [14].

Statistical analysis

105 The excess all-cause mortality was calculated for each of Italy's 107 provinces as a percentage. Given the total number of deaths in January-April 2020 for each province (D_1) and the mean

number of deaths during the same period for the years 2015 to 2019 (D_0), the excess mortality for
 108 the i -th province was computed as follows:

$$\text{Excess overall mortality in } i = [(D_{1_i} - D_{0_i})/D_{0_i}] * 100$$

111 First, a univariate linear regression model was adapted to establish which indicators at provincial
 level were significantly associated ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$ in the univariate model) with the excess mortality.
 The 70 variables included in the analysis are detailed in Appendix 1. A Pearson's correlation
 114 coefficient was then calculated for each pair of indicators obtained in the previous step to identify
 those correlating with the smallest number of other indicators.

Six variables regarding healthcare, health, and socioeconomic indicators were selected:

- 117 • acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) mortality rate: number of deaths due to AIDS
 per 1,000 population;
- long-term care hospitalization rate: number of hospital admissions in long-term care wards
 120 per 1,000 population;
- gross domestic product per capita based on purchasing power parity (GDP);
- average density of general practitioners (GPs): number of GPs per 1,000 population;
- 123 • average density of hospital physicians: number of hospital physicians per 10,000 population;
- province's COVID-19 transmission factor: a measure of the rate at which COVID-19 spread
 in each province.

126 This last variable, the transmission factor, measures the trend of contagion as the relative
 increase in the number of individuals infected between two time points, T_0 and T_1 , and it was
 calculated under the hypothesis of exponential growth [11]. The data were drawn from the
 129 COVID-19 Italian Civil Protection Department's official database, which was updated daily

[15]. The date T0 was set as the day when a given province reached at least 10 total cases, and the date T1 was 30 days later.

132 Two multivariate models were developed. Model 1 is a multilevel, multivariate linear model including the first five province-related indicators on the first level and the region as a grouping variable on the second to consider the different rates of transmission of the infection in other areas.

135 Model 2 is an adjusted linear multivariate model in which we add the province's COVID-19 transmission factor as a covariate. Since this indicator measures the rate of COVID-19 spread in each province, we can expect it to capture most of the variability in the outcome, enabling us to

138 better understand the role of the other covariates as excess mortality risk factors.

Quantile regression was also conducted, and the parameter estimates of each covariate variable were plotted at different quantiles of the outcome variable (excess mortality).

141 The data analysis was performed with SAS Studio statistical software (ver. 5.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

144

RESULTS

In the period considered, excess mortality ranged from -10.99% (for L'Aquila, a province in the central Abruzzo region) to 164.20% (for Bergamo, in the northern Lombardy region), with a mean value of 15.02%, and a median of 4.43% across Italy as a whole. The five provinces with the lowest excess mortality are in Southern Italy, while the five with the highest excess mortality are all in Northern Italy: four in Lombardy, and one (Piacenza) in the Emilia-Romagna region on Lombardy's southern border (see Table 1). Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics for the six indicators selected.

TABLE 1 AND 2 SHOULD BE INSERTED HERE

Figure 1 shows the trend of the indicators estimated for all excess mortality quantiles.

FIGURE 1 SHOULD BE INSERTED HERE

Table 3 shows the estimates for the unadjusted associations and the results of the two multivariate models. In Model 1 (linear multilevel, multivariate analysis with the first five province-related indicators), the AIDS mortality rate (p-value <0.05) is positively associated with excess mortality, and the long-term care hospitalization rate is statistically significant at p-value $\sim$ 0.10. The density of GPs is negatively associated with the outcome variable (p-value <0.05). After controlling for the rate at which COVID-19 spread in each province, the linear multivariate Model 2 suggests a significant positive association (p-value <0.05) between excess mortality and a province's transmission factor, AIDS mortality rate, and long-term care hospitalization rate. The density of GPs is significantly and negatively associated with excess mortality (p-value <0.001).

TABLE 3 SHOULD BE INSERTED HERE

It is worth noting that, with both multivariate analyses (Models 1 and 2), GDP per capita loses its significance as a covariate positively correlated with excess mortality. This may stem from the fact that socioeconomic factors (capturing economic and social interactions and transportation flows) tend to be more significantly related to COVID-19 incidence than to excess mortality [11,16]. Simultaneously, health and healthcare variables become more important in explaining the excess mortality directly and indirectly caused by COVID-19 in the various provinces.

DISCUSSION

Since the outbreak of COVID-19 in Europe, strict public health measures have gradually been introduced to contain the contagion. Decision-makers have relied mostly on daily updates regarding new cases of COVID-19, COVID-19 mortality, the numbers of those infected being admitted to general wards and intensive care units, and the number of patients who have recovered. The under-reporting of cases, unfortunately, hindered the proper interpretation of these data. On the other hand, excess mortality can be more useful in describing pandemic trends in relation to other variables affecting local and national health systems [17].

This ecological study found that some health and healthcare variables were associated with excess mortality at a provincial level during the first wave of the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy (January-April 2020). Our analysis confirmed that most excess deaths occurred in northern provinces in or adjacent to Lombardy, the region mostly overwhelmed by the pandemic [8].

Judging from our Model 1 (considering the first five province-related independent variables), the excess mortality caused directly and indirectly by COVID-19 across Italy's provinces correlated

positively with the AIDS mortality rate and negatively with a higher density of GPs. Adding the
195 province's COVID-19 transmission factor, Model 2 confirmed the significance of the AIDS
mortality rate, while both the density of GPs and the long-term care hospitalization rate increased in
significance as variables explaining excess mortality.

198 Our finding of a significant positive association between excess mortality due to COVID-19 and
AIDS mortality in recent years is interesting, especially in light of these two infectious diseases'
different transmission routes. AIDS prevention and treatment are among the most important public
201 health achievements of recent decades. They are also strongly influenced by socio-cultural factors
and by individual behavior and attitudes [18]. The positive association identified between excess
mortality due to COVID-19 and AIDS mortality could result from a complex interaction between
204 the public health system, socio-cultural environments, and individual lifestyles and behavior (e.g.,
positive prevention practices and adherence to antiviral medication among individuals with HIV).
According to this interpretation, local communities with higher AIDS mortality rates, owing to a
207 relatively lower propensity for prevention and more risky behavior not adequately countered by
effective public health services, could also have higher excess mortality due to COVID-19. This
would show that behavior and attitudes to prevention are important social and individual
210 characteristics associated with how people respond to COVID-19 prevention. This should be borne
in mind in future public health efforts to improve adherence to recommendations for controlling the
spread of infectious diseases.

213 A higher density of GPs was significantly associated with a lower COVID-19 excess mortality. This
indicator could be seen as a measure of the accessibility to primary care. Its negative association
with the outcome variable suggests that provinces with fewer GPs per 1,000 population may have
216 experienced a higher COVID-19 excess mortality because GPs faced an excessive workload at the
onset of the pandemic, making them less able to meet their patients' needs. Primary care has a
crucial role in minimizing the burden of COVID-19 cases in hospitals. GPs can help prevent

219 healthcare system's overload, but they need appropriate engagement and advice to work effectively
as gatekeepers to acute care facilities [19]. Primary care services should have response mechanisms
and systems in place well before there is widespread community transmission to ensure the
222 continued availability of services and enable a rapid upscaling of services as required. People
exposed to COVID-19 and seeking a test or medical advice may have had great difficulty accessing
primary care. When people cannot access a primary care provider for routine or COVID-19-related
225 care, they may flood emergency departments and urgent care facilities, contributing to overwhelm
the hospital system and potentially increasing the transmission of COVID-19. Besides, patients with
complex medical needs (such as multiple chronic conditions, functional limitations, and other health
228 issues) may have been told only to seek care for urgent problems, but their delayed management
may have worsened their condition. Several policies could help primary care to build capacity in the
short term and mitigate the effects of future crises. For instance, policymakers can help primary
231 care providers develop an adequate telehealth infrastructure and offer them fair reimbursement. A
more robust and well-functioning primary care system is now needed more than ever to save lives
in an increasingly daunting health crisis [20].

234 Our Model 2 considered all six province-related indicators as covariates, including the COVID-19
transmission factor (which considers the different rates of COVID-19 transmission across Italy's
provinces). Adding this transmission factor captures most of the variability in excess mortality at a
237 province level. The model nonetheless confirms the significance of AIDS mortality and the density
of GPs as explanatory variables. The long-term care hospitalization rate also becomes significantly
and positively associated with excess mortality. This result may mean that, after controlling for the
240 rate of COVID-19 transmission across provinces, excess mortality is strongly influenced by the
incidence of individuals with a high health risk among the population infected. In other words, a
large part of the excess deaths was attributable to the elderlies admitted to long-term care wards.

243 Such patients are typically frailer (owing to pre-existing conditions and chronic diseases, or specific

rehabilitation needs) and at substantial risk of dying once infected, as are nursing home residents [21]. This factor seems to have contributed to the excess mortality seen in several European countries during the first wave of COVID-19 when specific measures to control the disease's spread (personal protective equipment, proper isolation of infected cases, training of personnel on infectious disease management) were in the early stages, and the likelihood of nosocomial transmission of COVID-19 was still high [22]. Moreover, even if they were not infected with COVID-19, patients in long-term care settings had to be abruptly isolated, losing contact with families and caregivers. Such a situation may have led to depression and a decline in their psychological and physical health [23]. Solutions targeting these specific issues and ways to improve the community health training of long-term care personnel and administrators should be investigated in the future. From this perspective, it also appears vital to strengthen primary care services. The provision of intermediate services (community hospitals and rehabilitation facilities), home care services, and telecare solutions in Italy are currently insufficient to cope with the needs of the frail elderly, which often leads to inappropriate admissions to acute care hospitals.

Limitations

The main limitation of this study lies in the relatively small province sample size, which could lend a low power to the statistical analyses. These findings also only regard the Italian context and may not hold for other countries. Finally, it is worth mentioning that any correlations emerging from ecological studies do not necessarily imply causality between two events; this could only emerge from more extensive studies and with the support of more complex statistical methods.

CONCLUSIONS

This ecological study indicates that some health and healthcare variables were strongly associated with the excess mortality caused directly and indirectly by the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy during

the first wave of the pandemic (January-April 2020). These variables may be seen as drivers behind
 270 the spatially uneven spread of COVID-19, with most excess deaths occurring in some parts of
 northern Italy. They should be taken into account to implement effective mitigation policies and
 make health systems more resilient to COVID-19 and other crises by reshaping the organization of
 273 healthcare resources and services.

Our results suggest that knowing more about different territories' susceptibility to the diffusion of
 viruses (which is linked to their propensity for prevention) may help prepare locally-tailored
 276 mitigation strategies in countries like Italy, which are characterized by a marked heterogeneity
 across local communities.

Our findings also provide important input for the design of policies aiming to improve a health
 279 system's resilience by drawing on the Italian NHS's experience during the first wave of COVID-19.
 This study shows that the excess mortality due to COVID-19 may be fueled by a limited capacity to
 respond to primary care and community care services. Therefore, it seems crucial to readjust the
 282 balance in the allocation of resources and strengthen these areas of public healthcare.

285

REFERENCES

1. Hick JL, Einav S, Hanfling D, Kissoon N, Dichter JR, Devereaux AV, et al. Surge Capacity Principles. *Chest* 2014;146(4):e1S-e16S. DOI: 10.1378/chest.14-0733
2. Kaji A, Koenig KL, Bey T. Surge Capacity for Healthcare Systems: A Conceptual Framework. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 2006;13(11):1157–9. DOI: 10.1197/j.aem.2006.06.032
3. Hick JL, Barbera JA, Kelen GD. Refining Surge Capacity: Conventional, Contingency, and Crisis Capacity. *Disaster med public health prep* 2009;3(S1):S59–67. DOI: 10.1097/DMP.0b013e31819f1ae2
4. Vestergaard LS, Nielsen J, Krause TG, Espenhain L, Tersago K, Bustos Sierra N, et al. Excess all-cause and influenza-attributable mortality in Europe, December 2016 to February 2017. *Eurosurveillance* 2017;22(14). DOI: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.14.30506
5. Beaney T, Clarke JM, Jain V, Golestaneh AK, Lyons G, Salman D, et al. Excess mortality: the gold standard in measuring the impact of COVID-19 worldwide? *J R Soc Med* 2020;113(9):329–34. DOI: 10.1177/0141076820956802
6. Schoch-Spana M. “Hospital’s Full-up”: The 1918 Influenza Pandemic. *Public Health Rep* 2001;116(2_suppl):32–3. DOI: 10.1093/phr/116.S2.32
7. Alicandro G, Remuzzi G, La Vecchia C. Italy’s first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic has ended: no excess mortality in May, 2020. *The Lancet* 2020;396(10253):e27–8. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31865-1
8. Scortichini M, Schneider Dos Santos R, De’ Donato F, De Sario M, Michelozzi P, Davoli M, et al. Excess mortality during the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy: a two-stage interrupted time-series analysis. *Int J Epidemiol* 2021;49(6):1909-17. DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyaa169
9. Kapitsinis N. The underlying factors of the COVID-19 spatially uneven spread. Initial evidence from regions in nine EU countries. *Reg Sci Policy Pract* 2020;12(6):1027–45. DOI: 10.1111/rsp3.12340
10. Vestergaard LS, Nielsen J, Richter L, Schmid D, Bustos N, Braeye T, et al. Excess all-cause mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe – preliminary pooled estimates from the EuroMOMO network, March to April 2020. *Eurosurveillance* 2020;25(26). DOI: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.26.2001214
11. Buja A, Paganini M, Cocchio S, Scioni M, Rebba V, Baldo V. Demographic and Socio-Economic Factors, and Healthcare Resource Indicators Associated with the Rapid Spread of COVID-19 in Northern Italy: An Ecological Study. *PLoS One* 2020;15(12):e0244535. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244535.
12. [dataset] Mappe, Popolazione, Statistiche Demografiche dell’ISTAT. Accessed: December 15, 2020. Available at: <http://demo.istat.it/>
13. [dataset] Statistiche Istat. [citato 15 dicembre 2020]. Accessed: December 15, 2020. Available at: <http://dati.istat.it/Index.aspx>
14. [dataset] Health for All - Italia. Accessed: December 15, 2020. Available at: <https://www.istat.it/it/archivio/14562>

15. Italian Civil Protection – Contagion Rates. Accessed January 21, 2020. Available from: <https://github.com/pcm-dpc/COVID-19/tree/master/dati-province>
- 327 16. Gangemi S, Billeci L, Tonacci A. Rich at risk: socio-economic drivers of COVID-19 pandemic spread. Clin Mol Allergy 2020;18:12. DOI: 10.1186/s12948-020-00127-4
- 330 17. Woolf SH, Chapman DA, Sabo RT, Weinberger DM, Hill L. Excess Deaths From COVID-19 and Other Causes, March-April 2020. JAMA 2020;324(5):510–3. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.11787
- 333 18. Haliwa I, Lee J, Wilson J, Shook NJ. Mindfulness and engagement in COVID-19 preventive behavior. Prev Med Rep 2020;20:101246. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101246
- 336 19. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Guidance for health system contingency planning during widespread transmission of SARS-CoV-2 with high impact on healthcare. Accessed: January 15, 2021. Available at: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/guidance-health-system-contingency-planning-during-widespread-transmission-sars>
- 339 20. Lewis C, Shah T, Seervai S, Abrams MK, Zephyrin L. Primary Care and the COVID-19 Pandemic. The Commonwealth Fund, 2020. Accessed January 15, 2021. Available at: <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2020/primary-care-and-covid-19-pandemic>
- 342 21. Lombardo FL. Adverse Events in Italian Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Epidemic: A National Survey. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2020;11:9. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.578465
- 345 22. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Rapid Risk Assessment: Increase in fatal cases of COVID-19 among long-term care facility residents in the EU/EEA and the UK. Accessed: January 21, 2021. Available at: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/rapid-risk-assessment-increase-fatal-cases-covid-19-among-long-term-care-facility>
- 348 23. Meng R, Yu C, Liu N, He M, Lv J, Guo Y, et al. Association of depression with all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality among adults in China. JAMA Netw Open 2020;5;3(2):e1921043. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.21043.
- 351

354 **Authors' contributions**

AB, GB: Conceptualization; MS, VR: Data curation; RF, AB, MS, GB: Formal analysis; AB, VR, VB, GB: Funding acquisition; RF, AB, MS, GB: Investigation; AB, GB: Methodology; AB, GB: Project administration; AB, VR, VB, GB: Resources; AB, GB: Software; AB, VR, VB, GB Supervision; AB, VR, VB, GB: Validation; AB, GB: Visualization; MP, AB; VB, VR: Writing - original draft; MP, AB; VB, VR: Writing - review & editing.

360

Data availability: data used in this study are available on the referenced public datasets.

363

Table 1. Provinces with the five highest and five lowest excess mortality rates (percentages)

366

Lowest excess mortality		Highest excess mortality	
Province	Excess mortality	Province	Excess mortality
Ragusa	-6.68	Piacenza	87.99
Agrigento	-7.39	Brescia	98.66
Catanzaro	-7.77	Lodi	108.11
Campobasso	-7.96	Cremona	132.47
L'Aquila	-10.99	Bergamo	164.20

369

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for the explanatory variables included in the models

Variables	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Median	Standard deviation	IQR
Province's transmission factor	0.05	0.26	0.14	0.14	0.04	0.06
AIDS mortality rate	0	0.27	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07
Gross domestic product per capita	15000	53400	26009	26000	7271	12100
Long-term hospitalization rate	0	34.41	7.11	6.34	5.09	6.38
Number of hospital physicians per 10,000 population	8.32	33.61	19.74	19.53	5.19	6.88
Number of GPs per 1,000 population	0.7	1.3	0.94	0.9	0.12	0.1

372

Table 3. Regression coefficients for variables explaining excess mortality

375

Variable	Unadjusted coefficients (p- value)	Multivariate Model 1 coefficients (p- value)	Multivariate Model 2 (adjusting for provinces' transmission factor) (p-value)
AIDS mortality rate	187.76 (0.001)	63.044 (0.043)	97.192 (0.037)---
Long-term care hospitalization rate	2.50 (<0.001)	0.774 (0.106)	1.13 (0.023)
GDP	0.002 (<0.001)	0.001 (0.251)	---0.000 (0.876)
No. of hospital physicians per 10,000 population	0.49 (0.037)	-0.340 (0.464)	-0.017 (0.973)--
No. of GPs per 1,000 population	-130.573 (<0.001)	-71.499 (0.005)---	-82.612 (0.0008)---
Province's transmission factor	361.72 (<0.001)	---	173.96 (0.030)

378 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

Figure 1. Results of quantile regression: variation in estimated parameters at different quantiles of
381 excess mortality (with 95% confidence intervals)