Short-term acute exposure to wildfire smoke and lung function in a Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) cohort
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ABSTRACT

**Rationale:** The increasing incidence of extreme wildfire is becoming a concern for public health. Although long-term exposure to wildfire smoke is associated with respiratory illnesses, reports on the association between short-term occupational exposure to wildfire smoke and lung function remain scarce.

**Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, we analyzed data of 218 Royal Canadian Mounted Police officers (mean age: 38±9 years) deployed at the Fort McMurray wildfire in 2016. Individual exposure to air pollutants was calculated by integrating the duration of exposure with the air quality parameters obtained from the nearest air quality monitoring station during the phase of deployment. Lung function was measured using spirometry and body plethysmography. Association between exposure and lung function was examined using principal component linear regression analysis, adjusting for potential confounders.

**Results:** The participants were predominantly male (71%). Mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁), and residual volume (RV) were 76.5±5.9 and 80.1±19.5 (% predicted). A marginal association was observed between air pollution and higher RV [β: 1.55; 95%CI: -0.28 to 3.37 per interquartile change of air pollution index], but not with other lung function indices. The association between air pollution index and RV was significantly higher in participants who were screened within the first three months of deployment [2.80; 0.91 to 4.70] than those screened later [-0.28; -2.58 to 2.03], indicating a more acute effect of air pollution on peripheral airways.

**Conclusion:** Acute short-term exposure to wildfire-associated air pollutants may impose subtle but clinically important deleterious respiratory effects, particularly in the peripheral airways.

**Keywords:** Air pollution; lung function; occupational exposure; wildfire
1. Introduction

In the past three decades, the number of wildfire events in North America has increased significantly. There have been more than 120 major wildfire events in the United States and Canada between 1990 and 2020, out of which 41 took place in 2020 alone (Government of Canada 2020). Between 2007 and 2017, over 6 million acres of land were burned every year in the US and Canada (The Economist 2017). Rapid penetration of wildland areas for residential and industrial purposes, and climate change are some of the major reasons for the increasing number of wildland fires (Bowman et al. 2017; Radeloff et al. 2018; Spracklen et al. 2009). In May 2016, a major wildfire outbreak took place at Fort McMurray in the northern part of Alberta province in Canada, which led to the largest evacuation in Canadian history of over 80,000 people, and is considered the most expensive natural disaster in Canadian history with insured losses worth $3.7 billion (Statistics Canada 2016). This wildfire burned an area of 5,890 km², an area greater than the size of Prince Edward Island, and affected approximately 8% of all private households in that region (Statistics Canada 2016).

Wildfires severely impact the environment and human health. Wildfire smoke contains a wide range of gaseous compounds, such as carbon monoxide (CO), various oxides of nitrogen (nitric oxide, NO; nitrogen dioxide, NO₂; and other oxides, NOₓ) and sulfur (sulfur dioxide, SO₂; and other oxides, SOₓ), ozone (O₃), methane (CH₄), and many other polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), and a particulate matters of varying aerodynamic diameters (PMs) (Jaffe et al. 2008a; Jaffe et al. 2008b; Ontawong et al. 2020; Reid et al. 2019; Vasileva and Moiseenko 2013). A higher emission of CO and CH₄, and NOₓ during a wildfire event facilitates tropospheric O₃ production (Fiore et al. 2002; Kasischke and Bruhwiler 2002; Vasileva and Moiseenko 2013). The gaseous and particulate matters produced by the wildfire smoke is more complex than what is found in vehicular exhaust, and its complexity also depends on various environmental aspects, such as the landscape of the burn area, seasonal conditions, and nature and phase of combustion (such as flaming and smoldering) (Kim et al. 2018; Kondo et al. 2019). Most importantly, the nature of different chemical production is dependent on weather; for example, generation of PMs in a wildfire smoke is dependent on the condition (dry or wet) of the burning biomass and phase of combustion (Black et al. 2017; Kondo et al. 2019).
Most studies describe PMs as the most important wildfire pollutant to impact human health (Adetona et al. 2016; Black et al. 2017; Cascio 2018; Groot et al. 2019; Kim et al. 2014; Kondo et al. 2019; Reid et al. 2016). Exposure to wildfire-related PMs has been consistently shown to associate with increased inflammatory response and respiratory symptoms (Kondo et al. 2019; Künzli et al. 2006; Lipner et al. 2019; Reid et al. 2016; Stowell et al. 2019; Tse et al. 2015; Vora et al. 2011), emergency room visits and hospitalization (Gan et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2017; Youssouf et al. 2014), and mortality (Reid et al. 2016). While there is consistent evidence of long-term exposure to wildfire smoke and respiratory outcomes, lung function as a result of short-term acute exposure to wildfire smoke, particularly to different wildfire-related pollutants, has not been studied in detail. Understanding the clinical nature of respiratory function resulting from such exposure is important for the diagnosis of acute or chronic respiratory health events. Therefore, we aimed to study the association of lung function in relation to short-term acute exposure to different wildfire-related pollutants in first responder Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) officers during the Fort McMurray wildfire in 2016.

2. Methodologies

2.1. Study design and participants

In this cross-sectional study, we investigated a cohort of RCMP officers who were deployed for the evacuation and rescue of people during a major wildfire that broke out in 2016 at Fort McMurray, located in the northern part of the province of Alberta in Canada. The officers were referred to Synergy Respiratory and Cardiac Care at Sherwood Park, Alberta, for a complete health assessment after being exposed to the Fort McMurray wildfire. 218 officers attended the clinic with a median (range) interval of 60 (33-627 days) days between their deployment at the wildfire sites and the visit to the clinic.

This study was approved by the Health Research Ethics Board of Alberta (HREBA) and Health Research Ethics Board, University of Alberta and all participants provided signed informed consent forms before taking part in the study.

2.2. Demographic, job, and health-related information
An interviewer-administered structured questionnaire was used to capture information about demographic profile (age, sex, smoking history, and frequency of smoking), and personal and family history (exposure to smoke at childhood, and parental lung disease). Details of the questionnaire have been described elsewhere (Moitra et al. 2020). Additionally, job-exposure information including the dates and duration of deployment at the wildfire sites and use of respiratory protection (yes/no) were also recorded. Asthma was either self-reported or previously diagnosed by a physician or diagnosed at the clinic as per the guidelines (GINA 2016).

2.3. Exposure assessment

Information about the air quality indices associated with the Fort McMurray wildfire were obtained from the Athabasca valley air monitoring station, the nearest air quality monitoring station where the officers were deployed. The Athabasca valley air monitoring station continuously (hourly) measures several indices of air quality along with weather conditions such as air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction, and barometric pressure. Details of the instrumentation and measurement procedures are available elsewhere (WBEA 2020). As the officers were deployed at wildfire sites in different time points between May 01 and May 31, we accessed the air quality data from May 01 until May 31, 2016. Daily concentrations of CO, CH₄, NO, NO₂, O₃, SO₂, and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5μm (PM₂.₅) were considered as the main air pollutants.

We then calculated individual exposure to each of the air pollutants according to the formula developed previously (Rappaport 1991), which can be expressed mathematically as the following:

\[ E = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(t) \, dt \]

Where, \( E \) is the total exposure to each of the pollutants; \( C \) is the concentration of the pollutant in the air between the first day (\( t_1 \)) and last day (\( t_2 \)) of deployment; and \( t \) is the exposure duration (number of days spent at the wildfire sites).

2.4. Lung Function

A complete lung function profiling was performed for each of the officers. Spirometry and body plethysmography were performed using a Vmax™ Encore pulmonary function test system (Vyaire Medical, Mettawa, IL) according to the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society
(ATS/ERS) guidelines for spirometry (Miller et al. 2005), and body plethysmography (Wanger et al. 2005). Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV\textsubscript{1}), forced vital capacity (FVC), and ratio of FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC (FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC) were considered for this study. Percent of predicted values of these indices were calculated from the Canadian Cohort of Obstructive Lung Diseases (CanCOLD) reference equations of spirometry (Tan et al. 2011). Total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV) and their ratio (RV/TLC) were considered as the main plethysmographic indices. Percent of predicted values for plethysmographic indices were calculated from previously established reference equations for the Canadian population (Gutierrez et al. 2004). COPD was confirmed if the post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC was lower than 0.7 (Vestbo et al. 2013).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Variables were presented as mean (standard deviation, SD) or median (IQR) for continuous variables, and frequency (%) for categorical variables. At first, we analysed the relationships between the exposure variables (individual exposure to the studied air pollutants) using Spearman’s rank order correlation as the variables were non-normally distributed. Secondly, we checked for collinearity between the air pollutant variables using variance inflation factor (VIF). As the variables were highly correlated (Spearman’s $\rho$ range: 0.62 to 0.98; all $p$ value $<0.001$) (Figure 1) and demonstrated very high collinearity (mean VIF = 158.7), we used a dimension reduction technique (principal component analysis, PCA) with a varimax rotation. Based on Eigenvalue $> 1.0$ (Table S1 and Figure S1), one principal component (PC1) was retained that explained 88% of the variance (Figure S2). We have used the term ‘air pollution index’ for the principal component (PC1) in all subsequent analyses and in further text.

- **Figure 1**

To study the association between the air pollution index and lung function, we created a univariable (unadjusted) and a multivariable linear regression model for each of the lung function variables, taking into account potential confounders. Age, sex, body mass index (BMI), race (Caucasian vs. others), smoking history (never vs. ever smoker), and interval (days between deployment and screening) were tested as potential confounders and added into the multivariate models in a step-forward and
backward approach and retained in the model (i) either based on an *a priori* evidence, or (ii) if the covariate influenced the estimates of the remaining variables by more than 10%. Heteroskedasticity of the models was checked using the Cook-Weisberg’s test (Cook and Weisberg 1983). Goodness of fit of the models was assessed using the Akaike’s information criteria (AIC) (Salkind 2011).

In addition to that, we also performed several secondary analyses. Firstly, we adjusted the multivariate models additionally for the use of personal protective equipment (PPE). Secondly, we stratified the multivariable models by interval (≤90 days and >90 days) and the estimates were compared using Chow test (Chow 1960). Lastly, we tested potential effect modification by smoking (never vs. ever smoker), presence of a diagnosed airway disease (asthma or COPD), exposure to second-hand smoke at childhood, and parental lung disease. All analyses were performed using a complete case approach in Stata V.15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). An alpha level of 0.05 was considered as the threshold for statistical significance.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Study population characteristics and air pollution exposure

Of those screened, most participants were Caucasian (96%) and male (71%) with a mean age of 38 (standard deviation, SD: 9) years (*Table 1*). 81% were never-smokers. Median exposure duration of the participants was 8 (interquartile range, IQR: 7, 10) days. Participants were exposed to a very high amount of carboniferous compounds (such as CO and CH₄) (ranging between 9680 and 25945 μg/m³) in addition to a high amount of exposure to O₃, and PM₂.₅ during the entire period of deployment. Mean (SD) FEV₁ (% predicted), RV (% predicted), and RV/TLC of the participants were 96.2 (12.4), 80.1 (19.5), and 22.4 (4.8), respectively.

- **Table 1**

#### 3.2. Association between air pollution and lung function

In the unadjusted models, we did not observe any significant association between the air pollution index and spirometric lung function variables (FVC, FEV₁, and FEV₁/FVC). However, we observed that air pollution index was marginally associated with peripheral airway dysfunction as measured by
plethysmography, i.e., an IQR increment of air pollution index was associated with an increase in RV (% predicted) ($\beta$: 1.76; 95% confidence interval [CI]: -0.06 to 3.57) and RV/TLC (0.40; -0.05 to 0.85) (Figure 2). In multivariable models adjusted for potential confounders, although the magnitude of the estimates was minimised, the directionality of the associations remained unchanged. Upon further adjusting the multivariable models by the use of PPE, we did not observe any change in the estimates of both spirometric and plethysmographic lung function variables (Table S2).

- Figure 2

However, after stratifying the associations by a specific interval (≤90 days and >90 days), we found that there was a significant adverse effect of air pollution on RV and RV/TLC among participants who were screened ≤90 days compared with those screened >90 days of deployment (Figure 3). For example, we observed a 2.8% increase in RV (95%CI: 0.91 to 4.70; P<0.01) and 0.59% increase in RV/TLC per IQR increment of air pollution index in the first group, whereas no such effect was observed in the latter group. Despite these changes, acute effects of air pollution were not observed for spirometric lung volumes. We did not observe any effect modification by smoking, presence of any prior airway obstruction due to existing illness (asthma and COPD), exposure to second-hand smoke at childhood, or any parental history of lung disease (Table S3).

- Figure 3

4. **Discussion**

In this study, we found RCMP officers deployed during the 2016 Fort McMurray wildfires were exposed to an exceptionally high level of wildfire-related air pollutants (primarily to CO, CH₄, NO₂, O₃, and PM$_{2.5}$) over a short period of time. In our analysis, although short-term acute exposure to wildfire-related air pollutants (denoted as ‘air pollution index’ in the text) was not associated with spirometric lung function ($\text{FEV}_1$, $\text{FVC}$, $\text{FEV}_1/\text{FVC}$), we observed a marginal association with small airway functions as observed in plethysmographic recording. A higher association between air pollution index and small airway (indicated by higher RV and RV/TLC) was observed in those participants who were screened within the first 90 days of deployment. However, no such association was found in those
who were screened later (90 days post deployment). We did not observe any effect modification by smoking, any pre-existing airway obstruction due to asthma or COPD, childhood smoke exposure, or any parental history of lung disease.

We did not test the association between each of the air pollutants and lung function separately; nevertheless, our data suggest that the participants were primarily exposed to exceptionally high concentrations of a mixture of different air pollutants that appeared to have a combined effect on small airway function. Although literature on the effect of CO on lung function is scarce, some studies have demonstrated lung function decline in association with environmental exposure to CO, particularly in children (Dashdendev et al. 2011) and asthmatics (Canova et al. 2009). Another recent study demonstrated that kitchen stoves using liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) also emit CO, which is associated with lower lung function (Singh et al. 2017). Moreover, the effect of CO may be more profound in the presence of high levels of carbon dioxide (CO2), although the concentration of CO2 at wildfire sites could not be obtained. Participants in our study were also exposed to very high amounts of CH4. Although methane-induced respiratory problems are not well studied, one case report suggested that CH4 inhalation was associated with acute pneumonitis (Jo et al. 2013). Despite some epidemiological evidence for CH4 exposure-associated respiratory conditions (Ngajilo 2014; Yu et al. 2018), how this molecule affects the lung physiology is not well understood. Although an independent assessment between CH4 and lung function is not practically feasible in this study, we may postulate based on previous literature that CH4 may affect lung function in the presence of other pollutants and oxidizing agents, such as O3.

The effect of O3 on lung function has been studied extensively (Chen et al. 2015; Frank et al. 2001; Tager et al. 2005; Weinmann et al. 1995; Zhang et al. 2019). It is evident that higher exposure to O3 is associated with reduced small airway function (Frank et al. 2001; Tager et al. 2005; Weinmann et al. 1995), and this mechanism is mediated by neutrophilic inflammation as well as other pro-inflammatory responses in the distal airways, which serve to increase airway resistance in the peripheral lungs (Bhalla 1999; Weinmann et al. 1995). All these previous reports support our findings of lowered small airway function in association with exposure to air pollutants.
NO₂ and PM₂.₅ are generated during combustion of biomass and fossil fuels and are considered as the most important air pollutants to cause respiratory damage. The damaging effects of NO₂ on small airway function was first established more than 40 years ago. Inhalation of NO₂ may induce bronchiolitis obliterans, a typical feature of small airway damage (Fleming et al. 1979). Such manifestations might develop within 2 weeks of exposure, but recover over time (Fleming et al. 1979). This further supports our observation of elevated small airway obstruction in those who were screened early after exposure. Several other studies have reinforced evidence of the injurious effects of NO₂ on lung function, particularly on small airways (Gong et al. 2008; Havet et al. 2020). However, it has been noted that NO₂ and PM₂.₅ usually coexist, and the effect of NO₂ on the lungs is enhanced by PM₂.₅ (Gong et al. 2008). PM₂.₅ contain ultrafine particles that can easily penetrate the distal parts of the lungs, deposit in the alveoli, and can also cross the blood-gas barrier (Churg and Brauer 2000). Studies have revealed that exposure to ultrafine particulate matter may elicit acute lung function changes, particularly in the small airways (Yang et al. 2020). Our observation of small airway changes in association with a synergistic effect of PM₂.₅ and other hazardous gases is also substantiated by other studies describing the impact of PM₂.₅ on small airway function (Cui et al. 2020; Gong et al. 2008). Although we did not study underlying mechanisms, we assume that these air pollutants induce acute inflammation in the distal airways and enhance structural changes in these areas (Zheng et al. 2018). However, these changes are often subtle and are not easily detected by spirometry.

Our findings provide a clinical insight into respiratory health effects associated with short-term acute exposure to air pollutants. The results endorse the use of high-sensitivity lung function tests such as body plethysmography and potentially oscillometry over spirometry for the detection of subtle yet clinically important changes in the lungs due to exposure to air pollutants, which may not be detected in spirometry. We also vouch for adequate respiratory protection for first responders involved in fire control and are likely to be exposed to very high levels of gaseous and particulate matters. Moreover, we found a very high prevalence of asthma among the officers than the average Canadian population (Statistics Canada 2020). Although we could not diagnose any events of work-related asthma in our participants, the alarmingly higher prevalence of asthma among the participants than the average Canadian population underscores plausible work-related exposures, which may be responsible for respiratory illnesses. Therefore, our study reinstates the need for a holistic assessment of
occupational and environmental exposure along with state-of-the-art clinical investigations for a comprehensive diagnosis of the health conditions. Lastly, proper monitoring and surveillance for any potential workplace exposures is strongly recommended.

Our study offers several new information to the existing knowledge of wildfire smoke exposure and respiratory health effects. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate an association between a short-term acute exposure to wildfire smoke and small airway function. Secondly, unlike other wildfire-related studies that have mostly focused on particulate matter, we considered both particulate and gaseous pollutants that enabled us to estimate the cumulative effects of all the pollutants. Lastly, while other studies use only spirometry for lung function measurement, we used both spirometry and body plethysmography for a more comprehensive assessment of respiratory health of the participants.

However, our study has some limitations. This is a cross-sectional study; therefore, a causal relationship between exposure to air pollutants and lung function changes cannot be established, although our results are in line with previously established epidemiological evidence and plausible biological effects of air pollution on lung function changes. Secondly, the study was not designed in a prospective manner and was a result of an unplanned health surveillance program followed by a natural disaster, the participants were not recruited through proper inclusion-exclusion criteria. Therefore, we had a relatively smaller sample size than many other properly designed epidemiological studies of this nature; thus an inadequate statistical power could be another limitation of this study. Thirdly, we could not perform any hematological or immunological profiling, which could delineate any underlying acute or chronic inflammation. Fourthly, although we calculated individual exposure to air pollutants indirectly, a direct approach, i.e., by using a personal air sampler, would more accurately measure exposures and specific deployment sites of participants. Lastly, we did not measure airway resistance, which would be provide us with more clinically important information about any structural changes in the peripheral airways.

5. Conclusion
We found that short-term acute exposure to wildfire-related air pollutants were marginally associated
with lowered small airway function, and that these subtle changes were not reflected in spirometry.
Our results also suggest that such short-term exposure may cause acute changes in lung function,
which needs to be detected at an early stage. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to
investigate small airway function due to a short-term acute wildfire-related smoke exposure, taking
into consideration a wide range of gaseous and particulate matters. Our results provide further
substantiate previously published findings linking air pollution with lung function changes, particularly,
with small airways, and call for more advanced approaches for an early diagnosis of respiratory
conditions.
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### Table 1: Demographic characteristics, exposure history, and clinical profiles of the RCMP officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>N=218</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male), n (%)</td>
<td>155 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>38 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$), mean (SD)</td>
<td>29.8 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>209 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>176 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passively smoke exposure at childhood, n (%)</td>
<td>131 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental lung disease, n (%)</td>
<td>33 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of cancer, n (%)</td>
<td>49 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal PPE used while deployed, n (%)</td>
<td>147 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days spent amid wildfire, median (IQR)</td>
<td>8 (7, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal exposure details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$ (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$ (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$ (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$ (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$ (% predicted), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (% predicted), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$/FVC (%), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (% predicted), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (% predicted), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
RV/TLC (%), mean (SD) 22.4 (4.8)

Data presented as frequency (%), mean (standard deviation: SD), or median (interquartile range: IQR), unless otherwise specified.

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: forced vital capacity; PPE: personal protective equipment.
Figure Legends

Figure 1: Scatterplot matrix and distribution (histograms) of continuous air pollution indices.
Bivariate scatterplots of continuous variables below the diagonal; (distribution) histograms of each variable on the diagonal; Spearman’s correlation coefficient (ρ) above the diagonal. Note: All correlation values are significant at p<0.001. Abbreviations: CO: carbon monoxide; CH₄: methane; NO: nitric oxide; NO₂: nitrogen dioxide; O₃: ozone; SO₂: sulphur dioxide; PM₂.₅: particulate matter ≤2.5μm in diameter. All units are in μg/m³.

Figure 2: Changes in lung function in association with per interquartile (IQR) change in air pollution index.
Data presented as linear regression coefficient (β) and 95% confidence interval, unless otherwise specified. Coefficients were calculated with respect to per IQR change (μg/m³) of air pollution index (principal component). In adjusted models, age, sex, BMI, race, and smoking history were considered as confounders. For abbreviations, see text.

Figure 3: Association between changes in lung function in association with per interquartile (IQR) change in air pollution index stratifying by interval (days between deployment and screening).
Data presented as linear regression coefficient (β) and 95% confidence interval, unless otherwise specified. Coefficients were calculated in respect to per IQR change (μg/m³) of the air pollution index (principal component). In adjusted models, age, sex, BMI, race, and smoking history were considered as confounders. For abbreviations, see text.