1 Assessing the drivers of syphilis among men who have sex with men in Switzerland reveals 2 a key impact of testing frequency: A modelling study

3 Suraj Balakrishna^{1,2}*, Luisa Salazar-Vizcaya³*, Axel J. Schmidt^{4,5}, Viacheslav Kachalov^{1,2},
4 Katharina Kusejko^{1,2}, Maria Christine Thurnheer³, Jan A. Roth^{6,7,8}, Dunja Nicca⁹, Matthias
5 Cavassini¹⁰, Manuel Battegay⁶, Patrick Schmid⁴, Enos Bernasconi¹¹, Huldrych F. Günthard^{1,2},
6 Andri Rauch³§, Roger D. Kouyos^{1,2}§ and the Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS)

7 * Equal contribution; § Equal contribution

8 Affiliations:

9 ¹ Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich,
10 Zurich, Switzerland

11 ² Institute of Medical Virology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

12 ³ Department of Infectious Diseases, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern,
13 Switzerland

14 ⁴ Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen,
15 Switzerland

16 ⁵ Sigma Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

17 ⁶ Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel,
18 University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

19 ⁷ Basel Institute for Clinical Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

20 ⁸ Division of Research and Analytical Services, Department of Informatics, University
21 Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

22 ⁹ Institute of Nursing Science, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

23 ¹⁰ Division of Infectious Diseases, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

24 ¹¹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Regional Hospital Lugano, Lugano, Switzerland

25

26 **Corresponding author:** Mr. Suraj Balakrishna, Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital
27 Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland. Tel:
28 +41432530186, E-mail address: suraj.balakrishna@usz.ch

29 **Alternate corresponding author:** Prof. Dr. Roger Kouyos, Division of Infectious Diseases and
30 Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich,
31 Switzerland. Tel: +41432553610, E-mail address: roger.kouyos@usz.ch

32 **Author Contributions:** SB, LSV, RDK, and AR participated in study conception and design,
33 data acquisition and interpretation, and critical revision of the manuscript. SB drafted the
34 first manuscript. SB and LSV performed the statistical analyses. Remaining authors critically
35 reviewed the study protocol and the manuscript. All authors contributed to the design of
36 the study and approved the final version of the manuscript.

37 **Abbreviations:** EMIS – European Men-Who-Have-Sex-With-Men Internet Survey; FOPH –
38 Federal Office of Public Health; HIV- Human immunodeficiency virus; IQR – Interquartile
39 range; MSM – Men-who-have-sex-with-men; MSM_{WHD} – MSM with HIV diagnosis; MSM_{w/oHD}
40 – MSM without HIV diagnosis; nsCAI – Condomless anal intercourse with non-steady

- 41 partners; nsP – non-steady partnership; SHCS – Swiss HIV Cohort Study; VCT – Voluntary
- 42 Testing and Counselling

43 Abstract

44 **Background:** Over the last decade, syphilis diagnoses among men-who-have-sex-with-men
45 (MSM) have strongly increased in Europe. Understanding the drivers of the ongoing
46 epidemic may aid to curb transmissions.

47 **Methods and Findings:** We set up an epidemiological model to assess the drivers of syphilis
48 in MSM in Switzerland between 2006 and 2017. We stratified the model by syphilis stage,
49 HIV-diagnosis, and behavioral factors to account for syphilis infectiousness and risk for
50 transmission. In the main model, we used 'reported non-steady partners' (nsP) as the main
51 proxy for sexual risk. We parameterized the model using data from the Swiss HIV Cohort
52 Study, Swiss Voluntary Counselling and Testing center, cross-sectional surveys among the
53 Swiss MSM population, and published syphilis notifications from the Federal Office of Public
54 Health.

55 The main model reproduced the increase in syphilis diagnoses from 168 cases in 2006 to
56 418 cases in 2017. It estimated that between 2006 and 2017, MSM with HIV diagnosis had
57 45.9 times the median syphilis incidence of MSM without HIV diagnosis. Defining risk as
58 condomless anal intercourse with nsP decreased model accuracy (sum of squared weighted
59 residuals, 378.8 vs 148.3). Counterfactual scenarios suggested that increasing screening of
60 MSM without HIV diagnosis and with nsP from once every two-years to twice per year may
61 reduce syphilis incidence (at most 12.8% reduction by 2017). Whereas, increasing screening
62 among MSM with HIV diagnosis and with nsP from once per year to twice per year may
63 substantially reduce syphilis incidence over time (at least 63.5% reduction by 2017).

64 **Conclusions:** The model suggests that reporting nsP regardless of condom use is suitable for
65 risk stratification when modelling syphilis transmission. More frequent screening of MSM
66 with HIV diagnosis, particularly those with nsP may aid to curb syphilis transmission.

Introduction

Syphilis, a sexually transmitted infection caused by bacteria *Treponema pallidum*, remains a major public health problem. Over the last decade, a rising number of diagnoses especially in men-who-have-sex-with-men (MSM) was observed in Western Europe and Northern America[1–3]. In Switzerland, the number of syphilis diagnoses in MSM tripled between 2006 and 2017 [4]. A similar trend was observed for the incidence rate of syphilis in HIV-diagnosed MSM in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS)[5–7]. Having oral sex, condomless anal sex, multiple partners, and sex with non-steady partners have been identified as major risk factors of syphilis transmission[7–9]. Recent studies show a decrease in condom use for anal sex in MSM with HIV diagnosis[10]. This situation may have resulted in increased incidence of syphilis.

The natural course of syphilis has four stages: primary, secondary, latent, and tertiary. Almost all syphilis transmission occurs during the primary and secondary stages and the disease remains transmissible for an average period of two to three months[11]. During the latent stage of syphilis, there are no clinical signs or symptoms of syphilis. If left untreated, the infection may reach its tertiary stage and may affect different organ systems. Hence it is essential to diagnose and treat people infected with syphilis as early as possible.

We aimed to identify possible drivers of the ongoing syphilis epidemic among MSM in Switzerland using a mathematical model (Figure 1) and to identify behavioral predictors (proxy for transmission risk of syphilis) that could best explain the changes in syphilis incidence over time. Based on this model, we evaluated the impact of different screening strategies on syphilis incidence.

Methods

We used data from the SHCS and cross-sectional surveys among the Swiss MSM population to estimate the transmission risk of syphilis. These temporal trends were used to parameterize a mathematical model, which was then used to assess the impact of different screening strategies via counterfactual scenarios (see Figure 1 for an overview of the workflow). The model's open-source code is available on https://github.com/Kouyos-Group/Suraj_syphilis_repository.

Figure 1: Schematic overview of the methods

Data

Our model population comprised all MSM in Switzerland from 2006 to 2018. We estimated the number of MSM in Switzerland between 2006 and 2018 based on the demographic dynamics of Switzerland by the Federal Statistical Office, Switzerland and Schmidt and

102 Altpeter, 2019[12,13]. In Switzerland, all syphilis cases are anonymously reported to the
103 Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH)[4].

104 Approximately 80% of all HIV-diagnosed MSM in Switzerland are enrolled in the Swiss HIV
105 Cohort Study (SHCS)[13,14]. The SHCS is a prospective multicenter cohort study, which
106 systematically collects epidemiological and clinical data for more than 20000 HIV-diagnosed
107 persons of age 16 or older in Switzerland since 1988[15]. After the restart of routine syphilis
108 testing in the SHCS in 2004, MSM are being tested for syphilis annually with treponemal and
109 non-treponemal tests. We defined the first syphilis episode as a positive treponemal test
110 after a negative treponemal test result. A ≥ 4 -fold titer reduction or negativity in non-
111 treponemal test result after an episode of syphilis indicate the absence of active syphilis.
112 We defined subsequent episode(s) of syphilis as a subsequent ≥ 4 -fold titer increase with a
113 titer value of at least eight in non-treponemal test.

114 **Patterns of transmission risk**

115 We estimated the proportion of MSM with HIV diagnosis (MSM_{wHD}) and with non-steady
116 partners (nsP) based on information in the SHCS data. We estimated the yearly rates of
117 switching from MSM_{wHD} with nsP to MSM_{wHD} without nsP and vice-versa using time-to-
118 event survival analysis (Supplementary section 6). In contrast, we estimated analogous
119 proportion for MSM without HIV diagnosis ($MSM_{w/oHD}$) from published survey data
120 (*Gaysurvey*)[16]. *Gaysurvey* was a series of anonymous internet-based self-administered
121 surveys periodically conducted in Switzerland among MSM since 1987. It was a part of the
122 monitoring system established by the FOPH as a tool for monitoring risk behavior among
123 MSM in Switzerland. In the last *Gaysurvey*, in 2014, 834 people participated in the
124 *Gaysurvey* and had a median age of 40 years. About 97% of these participants identified

125 themselves either as gay/homosexuals (84.1%) or bisexuals (12.8%). As the data is not
126 longitudinal at the individual level and is available for only a couple of years, a linear
127 interpolation and a constant extrapolation was used to estimate the proportion of MSM
128 with non-steady partners for $MSM_{w/oHD}$. As the participants of *Gaysurvey* were not followed
129 up over time and hence participants could be different at each time point of survey
130 conduction, we assumed a constant rate of switching back to $MSM_{w/oHD}$ with nsP from
131 $MSM_{w/oHD}$ without nsP. We fixed this rate to the mean rate of switching back to MSM_{wHD}
132 with nsP from MSM_{wHD} without nsP for the years 2006 and 2017. Based on this assumption,
133 we estimated that the yearly rates of switching from $MSM_{w/oHD}$ without nsP to $MSM_{w/oHD}$
134 with nsP using a spline function (Supplementary section 6).

135 **Model of syphilis transmission**

136 To model the syphilis epidemic in MSM in Switzerland between 2006 and 2017, we set up a
137 system of 36 coupled ordinary differential equations. We stratified the mathematical model
138 by syphilis stage and cascade of care to account for infectiousness and disease progression
139 in four levels: susceptible (MSM who do not have syphilis and can become infected with
140 syphilis), infected (MSM who are infected with syphilis and can transmit; infectious), latent
141 (MSM who are infected with syphilis but cannot transmit; non-infectious) and diagnosed
142 (MSM diagnosed with syphilis) (Figure S1). We did not include a 'treated' compartment as
143 diagnosed individuals are assumed to be immediately treated and to clear from the
144 infection within one month[11]. We further stratified the model by reported non-steady
145 partners to account for increased transmission risk of syphilis. Additional layers in the model
146 include HIV status ($MSM_{w/oHD}$ vs. MSM_{wHD}), the episode of syphilis: first (MSM who never
147 had syphilis before) or subsequent (MSM who had at least one episode of syphilis before).

148 Susceptible MSM become infected with syphilis with a force of infection (Λ) due to sexual
 149 contact with MSM infected with syphilis (Supplementary section 3.3). Infected MSM are
 150 infectious during the primary and secondary stages of syphilis that together last about 2-3
 151 months after transmission. After this period, infected MSM enter the latent stage of syphilis
 152 during which the infection will no longer contribute to Λ . We further assume that infected
 153 and latently infected MSM would be diagnosed with syphilis if they are tested for syphilis
 154 and that all diagnosed MSM are immediately treated. Treated men would clear syphilis and
 155 become susceptible for subsequent episodes of syphilis. A complete description of the
 156 model, including model equations is available in the supplementary sections 1 and 2.

157 Changes in transmission risk such as MSM with non-steady partners (nsP), as well as syphilis
 158 diagnosis and treatment rates among the MSM_{wHD} were derived from the SHCS data.

159 Changes in sexual risk behaviour among $MSM_{w/oHD}$ were derived from the *Gaysurvey*.

160 Diagnosis rate and treatment rate of syphilis among the $MSM_{w/oHD}$ were derived from the
 161 literature, and Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) data (Table S2).

162 Parameters governing transmission of syphilis and the syphilis incidence rate among the
 163 MSM_{wHD} not enrolled in the SHCS were assumed to be same as the MSM_{wHD} enrolled in the
 164 SHCS. Parameters which could not be obtained from databases and literature such as
 165 transmission rate of syphilis, transmission rate of HIV, risk-sorting behaviour, and initial
 166 number of MSM infected with syphilis in 2006 were derived by fitting the model to data on
 167 syphilis cases among the MSM_{wHD} and $MSM_{w/oHD}$ in Switzerland. This fit was done by
 168 minimizing the sum of squared weighted residuals between each observed and predicted
 169 datapoint assuming normally distributed errors (Supplementary section 7). We further used
 170 simplified Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), based on an adaptive Metropolis algorithm

and including a delayed rejection procedure, with prior values specified by the distribution of the parameters to obtain their posterior distributions using the package ‘A Flexible Modelling Environment for Inverse Modelling, Sensitivity, Identifiability and Monte Carlo Analysis (FME)’ in R version 4.0.0[17,18]. We used independent and uniform priors within *ad hoc* bounds for the free parameters (Table S1). In a sensitivity analysis, we also fitted the model through maximization of the likelihood by assuming Poisson distributed incident cases of syphilis and compared the model fits.

Counterfactual scenarios

We compared the incidence of syphilis obtained with the main model fit with that simulated when assuming the following two alternative scenarios. Firstly, we considered the impact of change in transmission risk (reported nsP in MSM) between the years 2006 and 2018 by assuming after 2006 no change in the proportion of MSM switching from with nsP to without nsP and vice-versa. Secondly, we considered the impact of change in screening frequency for syphilis between the years 2006 and 2018. We estimated the influence of this frequency by assuming an increase (by a given factor) of the screening frequency among MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{w/oHD} with non-steady partners.

Sensitivity analysis

To test the robustness of the model and possible inconsistencies in data, we recalibrated the model in the following five ways: Firstly, we used ‘reported condomless anal intercourse with non-steady partners’ (nsCAI) as the proxy for sexual risk instead of nsP. Secondly, we assessed the impact of assuming the same transmission rate for MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{w/oHD} but taking into account a potential underreporting of syphilis cases among MSM without HIV

193 diagnosis. Thirdly, we investigated the impact of a possible overestimation of transmission
194 risk among MSM without HIV diagnosis. Fourthly, we assessed the impact of a potential
195 infectiousness of syphilis in the latent stage on the dependency between screening
196 frequency and syphilis incidence. Finally, we tested the effect of model fitting through
197 maximization of the likelihood by assuming Poisson distributed incident cases of syphilis.

198

199 **Results**

200 **Data**

201 Our mathematical model simulates transmission dynamics of syphilis in the MSM
202 population in Switzerland from 2006 to 2018. Based on the Federal Statistical Office,
203 Switzerland and Schmidt and Altpeter, 2019, we estimated the number of MSM in
204 Switzerland in 2006 and 2018 to be 75016 and 85325, respectively (Figure S2)[12,13]. There
205 were 113 and 456 cases of syphilis reported to the FOPH in 2006 and 2018, respectively[4].

206 To estimate the number of MSM with HIV diagnosis (MSM_{WHD}) in Switzerland from 2006 and
207 2018 and the syphilis cases among them, we analyzed 5382 MSM enrolled in the Swiss HIV
208 cohort study (SHCS) with a syphilis test between 2006 and 2018. The median registration
209 year was 2007 [IQR (1999, 2013)] and the median year of birth was 1967 [IQR (1960, 1975)].

210 The key demographic characteristics of MSM_{WHD} in the SHCS is summarized in Table 1. There
211 were 2665 and 4366 MSM_{WHD} enrolled in the SHCS in 2006 and 2018, respectively. By
212 assuming that 80% of MSM_{WHD} in Switzerland are enrolled in the SHCS, we estimated the
213 number of MSM_{WHD} in Switzerland in 2006 and 2018 to be 3031 and 5257[13,14]. We also
214 estimated syphilis cases reported among MSM_{WHD} in Switzerland in 2006 and 2018 to be 106
215 and 312, respectively.

216 **Table 1:** Characteristics of HIV-diagnosed MSM in the SHCS

Variable	Value
Number of HIV-diagnosed MSM in the SHCS with at least one syphilis test between 2006 and 2018	5382

Total person-years of follow-up between 1 st January 2006 and 31 st December 2018	35375.7
Number of syphilis events observed during the observation period	2165
Median syphilis testing rate per person-year (IQR)	1.20 (1.02 to 1.58)
White ethnicity, n (%)	4855 (90.2)
Median year of birth (IQR)	1967 (1960 to 1975)
Median age at HIV infection (IQR)	35 (28 to 42)
Education level higher than high school, n (%)	2484 (46.2)
Nadir CD4 (IQR)	387.5 (272 to 533)
Ever used a recreational drug, n (%)	1246 (23.2)
Alcohol consumption more than once a month for at least 6 months during the entire follow-up, n (%)	4452 (82.7)
Current smoker, n (%)	2598 (48.3)
Ever had a non-steady partner, n (%)	3768 (70.0)
Ever had condomless anal intercourse with a non-steady partner, n (%)	1942 (36.1)

217

218 **Patterns of transmission risk**

219 Information about reported non-steady partners and condomless anal intercourse was
220 available for 5027 out of the analyzed 5382 MSM_{WHD} (93.4%) enrolled in the SHCS during the
221 observation period. 3768 out of 5027 MSM (75.0%) reported having a non-steady partner
222 (nsP) at least once and 1942 (51.5%) among them reported condomless anal intercourse at
223 least once. We estimated the proportion of MSM_{WHD} with nsP to be 0.48 and 0.52 for the

years 2006 and 2018, respectively (Figure S3). We estimated that among MSM_{WHD} the yearly rates of switching from without nsP to with nsP has decreased only slightly from 0.49 in 2006 to 0.41 in 2017. For the same period, we estimated that the yearly rates of switching back from with nsP to without nsP has decreased from 0.74 in 2006 to 0.45 in 2017 (Figure S4). We estimated the proportion of MSM_{W/OHD} with nsP to be 0.74 and 0.73 for the years 2006 and 2018 respectively using data published in *Gaysurvey* (Figure S5)[16]. Our results were similar to the proportion of MSM_{W/OHD} with nsP (0.75) published in European Men-who-have-sex-with-men Internet Survey (EMIS) 2017[19]. As the *Gaysurvey* data is not longitudinal, we assumed a constant rate of switching for MSM_{W/OHD} from without nsP to with nsP. It equaled the mean rate of switching for MSM_{WHD} from without nsP to with nsP for the years 2006 and 2017 and estimated it to be 0.54. Based on the *Gaysurvey* data, we then estimated that the yearly rate of switching for MSM_{W/OHD} from without nsP to with nsP has increased by 2.7% (1.44 in 2006 vs 1.48 in 2017) (Figure S6). Detailed information on the data used in our model is provided in supplementary material (Table S1-S2)

Observed and modelled data

The model could accurately reproduce the number of MSM_{WHD} in Switzerland and the syphilis cases among MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{W/OHD} (Figure 2). The model estimated that the number of new syphilis infections among MSM for the years 2006 to 2017 ranged between 168 and 418 diagnosed cases per year, which corresponds to an overall incidence rate of 2.24 and 4.91 syphilis cases per 1000 person-years (median syphilis incidence rate of 3.77 cases per 1000 person-years).

Figure 2: Model fit; MSM: men who have sex with men. SHCS: Swiss HIV Cohort Study; Red circles represents the observed datapoints obtained from the SHCS and literature. Blue line represents the median of the simplified Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) model fit. Light blue shaded region represents the 95% quantile of the MCMC model fit.

In addition, the model provided an estimate for the incidence rate of syphilis among MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{W/OHD} stratified by reported non-steady partners (Figure 3). MSM_{WHD} had 45.93 [IQR (45.28, 46.32)] times the incidence of MSM_{W/OHD} and MSM with non-steady partners had 1.63 [IQR (1.60, 1.67)] times the incidence of MSM without non-steady partners. Characterization of model optimization, model performance, and sampling for uncertainty estimates are depicted in the supplementary material (Figure S7-S11, Table S3)

Figure 3: Model simulations – Incidence of syphilis stratified by transmission risk (reported non-steady partners) and HIV-status; MSM – men who have sex with men; nsP – non-steady partners; py – person-years; Solid red and dashed blue lines represent incidence rate of syphilis in MSM with and without nsP, respectively. The shaded regions represent the 95% quantile for the respective incidence rates.

Counterfactual scenarios

We compared the incidence rate of syphilis obtained with the model fitted to the observed number of syphilis cases with simulations assuming the following alternative scenarios: Firstly, we considered the impact of change in transmission risk between the years 2006 and 2018. With no change in the proportion of MSM switching from MSM with nsP to MSM without nsP and vice-versa between the years 2006 and 2018, we estimated a reduction of 17.56% (4.04 vs 4.91 cases per 1000 person-years) in incidence rate of syphilis in 2017

compared to incidence rate obtained by the model fit in 2017 (Figure S12). Secondly, we considered the impact of change in screening frequency for syphilis between the years 2006 and 2018. Increasing the frequency of screening for syphilis among MSM with HIV diagnosis and with nsP from once per year to twice per year during the observation period, we estimated a reduction of 99.19% (0.04 vs 4.91 cases per 1000 person-years) in incidence rate of syphilis in 2017 compared to incidence rate obtained by the model fit in 2017. By contrast, we estimated only a reduction of 12.75% (4.28 vs 4.91 cases per 1000 person-years) in incidence rate of syphilis in 2017 by increasing the frequency of screening for syphilis among MSM without HIV diagnosis and with nsP from once per two years to twice per year during the observation period (Figure 4).

Figure 4: Counterfactual scenario: impact of change in screening frequency for syphilis; MSM – men who have sex with men; nsP – non-steady partners; py – person-years; Blue lines represent incidence rate of syphilis in the base model (initial model fit). Red and black lines in panel a) represent incidence rate of syphilis that obtained for the counterfactual scenarios when MSM with HIV diagnosis were screened for syphilis every 6 and 3 months

instead of once a year, respectively. Red and black lines in panel b) represent incidence rate of syphilis that obtained for the counterfactual scenarios when MSM without HIV diagnosis were screened for syphilis every 6 and 3 months instead of once every 2 years, respectively. The shaded regions represent the 95% quantile for the respective incidence rates.

Sensitivity analysis

To test the robustness of the model and possible inconsistencies in data, we recalibrated the model and determined the corresponding change in the incidence rate of syphilis, the fitted parameters, and the goodness of fit (sum of squared weighted residuals) (Table S4).

Firstly, we considered alternative criteria to stratify transmission risk (Figure S13). When assuming reported non-steady condomless anal intercourse (nsCAI) as the transmission risk instead of nsP, we estimated that MSM with nsCAI had 1.71 [IQR (1.65, 1.81)] times the incidence of MSM without nsCAI. However, the goodness of fit for this model was considerably worse than for the main model (378.8 vs 148.3). Secondly, we assessed the impact of the assumption of different transmission rates of syphilis among MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{w/oHD}. In the main model we had to assume different transmission rates, because of an apparent discrepancy in the data: despite similar frequency of reported nsP among MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{w/oHD}, we observed much higher incidence of syphilis among MSM_{WHD} than MSM_{w/oHD} even when taking serosorting into account (Table S2). To resolve this contradiction, in the main model, we assumed different transmission rates of syphilis (beta) in MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{w/oHD} in our model (Table S1). In the absence of this assumption, the model was unable to reproduce the syphilis epidemic in Switzerland and led to either large overestimation of syphilis incidence in MSM_{w/oHD} or large underestimation in MSM_{WHD} (Figure S14). As an alternative approach to capture the discrepancy in the data, we fitted a

308 model assuming that there is no difference in transmission rate of syphilis among MSM_{WHD}
 309 and MSM_{w/oHD} but instead including a parameter to account for possible underreporting of
 310 syphilis cases to FOPH among MSM_{w/oHD} (Figure S15). However, the goodness of fit for this
 311 model was worse than for the main model (sum of squared weighted residuals, 206.6 vs
 312 148.3) and required the assumption of an unrealistically high underreporting factor (40.8).
 313 Thirdly, we investigated the impact of a possible overestimation of transmission risk among
 314 MSM_{w/oHD}. We fitted models assuming the proportion of MSM_{w/oHD} with nsP to be 25%,
 315 50%, and 75% lower than the proportion of MSM_{w/oHD} with nsP estimated from data
 316 published in the Gaysurvey (Figure S16). This corresponds to proportion of MSM_{w/oHD} with
 317 nsP in 2017 to be 0.54, 0.36, and 0.18 vs 0.73, respectively. The estimated goodness of fits
 318 for these models were slightly better than the goodness of fit for the main model (136.4,
 319 124.4, and 123.6 vs 148.3 respectively) suggesting that model is robust to the hypothesized
 320 overestimation.

321 Fourthly, we assessed the impact of the duration of syphilis infectiousness on the
 322 dependency between the screening frequency and the syphilis incidence. Instead of
 323 assuming zero infectiousness in the latent stage of syphilis (>3 months since infection), we
 324 varied the infectiousness of syphilis in the latent stage to be 1% and 10% of that in the
 325 primary and/or secondary stage of syphilis (≤ 3 months since infection) (Figure S17). The
 326 goodness of fits for these models were worse than for the main model (154.3 and 397.6 vs
 327 148.3 respectively). As depicted above in the counterfactual scenario, increase in the
 328 frequency of screening for syphilis among MSM_{WHD} with nsP led to reduced simulated
 329 syphilis incidence in 2017. Increased infectiousness during the latent stage led to a smaller
 330 estimated reduction in syphilis incidence in 2017 in the counterfactual scenarios (93.59%

and 63.50% vs 99.19% by assuming the infectiousness in latent stage of syphilis to be 1% and 10% vs 0% of that in primary and secondary stage of syphilis respectively) (Figure 5).

Figure 5: Sensitivity analysis: impact of allowing for syphilis transmission to occur in the latent stage. Panel a) and b) show the impact of syphilis screening frequency on syphilis incidence assuming the infectiousness of syphilis in the latent stage to 1% and 10% of that in primary and secondary stage of syphilis, respectively; MSM – men who have sex with men; nsP – non-steady partners; py – person-years; Blue lines represent incidence rate of syphilis in the base model (initial model fit). Red and black lines represent incidence rate of syphilis that obtained for the counterfactual scenarios when MSM with HIV diagnosis were screened for syphilis every 6 and 3 months instead of once a year, respectively. The shaded regions represent the 95% quantile for the respective incidence rates.

Finally, we tested the effect of using an alternative fitting procedure. Instead of fitting the model through minimization of the sum of squared weighted residuals, we fitted the model through maximization of the likelihood by assuming Poisson distributed incident cases of

346 syphilis. We obtained similar median incidence rate and model fit as obtained by the main
347 approach (Figure S18).

Discussion

The transmission model presented here could accurately reproduce the syphilis epidemic in Switzerland. Our study outlines the much higher incidence of syphilis in MSM with HIV diagnosis compared to MSM without HIV. In our simulations, MSM with HIV diagnosis have 46 times the syphilis incidence of MSM without HIV diagnosis. On average, MSM with non-steady partners (nsP) have 1.6 times the syphilis incidence in MSM without nsP. Also, constant yearly rates of switching from MSM without nsP to MSM with nsP (our measure of transmission risk) and vice-versa during the observation period would have led to lower incidence of syphilis in 2017 by 17.6%. Finally, increasing the screening frequency of syphilis to twice per year in MSM with HIV diagnosis and with nsP would have contributed to a much higher reduction in syphilis incidence than increasing the screening frequency to twice per year in MSM without HIV diagnosis and with nsP (99.2% vs 12.8%).

A key challenge in simultaneously modelling syphilis transmission in MSM_{wHD} and $MSM_{w/oHD}$ population is the apparent discrepancy in the data: When comparing the data from the FOPH and the SHCS, MSM_{wHD} exhibited 46 times the syphilis incidence of $MSM_{w/oHD}$, even though proportions of $MSM_{w/oHD}$ with nsP obtained from the data published in *Gaysurvey* was higher than the proportion of MSM_{wHD} with nsP estimated from the SHCS. To resolve this contradiction, we had to assume different transmission rates of syphilis in MSM_{wHD} and $MSM_{w/oHD}$ in our model. Based on fitting the model, we estimated >20-fold in transmission rate of syphilis in MSM_{wHD} compared to $MSM_{w/oHD}$, even after adjusting for contact probabilities and difference in testing frequency among MSM_{wHD} and $MSM_{w/oHD}$. Because the majority of the MSM_{wHD} in Switzerland are virally suppressed, it is unclear whether a biological explanation for a higher transmission rate of syphilis in MSM_{wHD} is plausible.

371 Alternatively, this difference may also be due to unadjusted transmission risk of syphilis
372 such as number of partners and frequency of contacts, which we did not model explicitly.

373 To explore alternative distributions of risk, we stratified the structure of transmission risk in
374 our model based on reported condomless anal intercourse with non-steady partners (versus
375 just reported non-steady partners). The model fit was worse than that in the main analysis.
376 This might be because syphilis could be transmitted through oral sex, and using condoms for
377 anal intercourses is not completely protective against syphilis[20]. In an alternative
378 approach, we assumed no difference in transmission rate of syphilis among MSM_{WHD} and
379 MSM_{W/OHD} and allowed the model to account for possible underreporting of syphilis cases to
380 FOPH among MSM_{W/OHD} to explain the apparent difference in the incidence rate among
381 MSM_{WHD} and MSM_{W/OHD}. We estimated the incidence of syphilis in MSM_{W/OHD} to be 40.8
382 times that reported by FOPH. This hypothesized underreporting of syphilis cases, although
383 not in the same magnitude, seems to be supported by the European Men-who-have-sex-
384 with-men Internet Survey (EMIS) 2017[19]. Based on the EMIS-2017 survey, 3.4% of all
385 European MSM_{W/OHD} and 13.9% of all European MSM_{WHD} (overall 4.4%) were diagnosed
386 syphilis in the previous twelve months. If the EMIS-2017 was representative of MSM in
387 Switzerland, the number of syphilis cases would have been about 6.3 times those reported
388 to the FOPH. However, about 70% of the participants of EMIS-2017 were recruited through
389 geosocial dating apps such as Planet-Romeo, Grindr, and Hornet. Several studies have
390 shown that MSM who use geosocial dating apps have higher number of partners, frequency
391 of unprotected sex, and incidence of sexually transmitted diseases compared to MSM who
392 do not use such apps[21–24]. Hence, incidence of syphilis among participants of EMIS 2017
393 is likely higher than that in the overall MSM population in Switzerland.

394 Persons infected with syphilis are usually symptomatic during the primary and secondary
395 stage of syphilis that lasts about 2-3 months since infection. After this period, individuals
396 enter latent stage of syphilis during which they become asymptomatic, yet still detectable
397 through syphilis screening. If left untreated, individuals can develop late/tertiary syphilis
398 disease any time from 1 to 30 years after primary infection. About 25-40% of individuals
399 with untreated syphilis can develop such diseases[25]. Hence, MSM_{w/oHD} may be infected
400 with syphilis but are not diagnosed due to lower frequency of syphilis screening and
401 eventually may have non-syphilis related deaths, resulting in an underreporting of syphilis
402 cases to FOPH. Moreover, despite syphilis being a notifiable disease, it is possible that not
403 all cases are reported to the FOPH resulting in underreporting. However, the extent of
404 underreporting is not clear and warrants further investigation.

405 Also, there is no clear evidence on the infectiousness of syphilis in the latent stage of
406 syphilis (>3 months since infection) [11]. We tested the influence of infectiousness in the
407 latent stage of syphilis. Our model could reproduce the syphilis epidemic for these scenarios
408 and estimated similar incidence rates compared to the main model fit. By means of
409 counterfactual scenarios, we further assessed the impact of infectiousness of syphilis in
410 latent stage on the dependency between the screening frequency and the syphilis
411 incidence. We found that the estimated reduction in syphilis incidence due to increase in
412 screening frequency decreases when assuming residual infectiousness during the latent
413 stage. Infectiousness during the latent stage of syphilis warrants further investigation as it
414 may have an impact on the effectiveness of different screening strategies. However, even
415 when we assumed infectiousness during the latent stage to be as high as 10% of that in
416 primary and secondary stage, we observed 63.5% reduction in syphilis incidence in 2017

417 when screening of syphilis was performed twice per year instead of once per year in
418 MSM_{wHD} with nsP. Our study results thus robustly indicate that frequent screening of MSM
419 with HIV diagnosis and with nsP is required to curb syphilis transmission. This supports the
420 EACS guidelines which recommend to “consider more frequent than annual screening if at
421 risk”[26].

422 As mentioned before, we observed that the proportion of MSM_{w/oHD} with non-steady
423 partners is higher than the proportion of MSM_{wHD} with non-steady partners. On one hand,
424 MSM_{wHD} in the SHCS answer the questionnaires on sexual behaviour during consultation or
425 interviews with physicians or nurses they are likely to have recurrent contact with, while
426 enrolled in the cohort. This may cause social desirability bias, thereby resulting in potential
427 underestimation of transmission risk among MSM_{wHD}. On the other hand, MSM
428 participating in *Gaysurvey* may not be representative of MSM in Switzerland as MSM who
429 engage in practices such as MSM with nsP may more likely enroll in online surveys such as
430 *Gaysurvey* compared to MSM without nsP, thereby resulting in an overestimation in
431 transmission risk among MSM_{w/oHD}. We tested the influence of overestimation in
432 transmission risk among MSM_{w/oHD} estimated from data published from *Gaysurvey*. Our
433 model could reproduce the syphilis epidemic for these scenarios and estimated similar
434 incidence rates and similar goodness of fit (sum of squared weighted residuals) compared to
435 the main model fit indicating the robustness of our model. Finally, we fitted the model using
436 maximization of likelihood by assuming Poisson distributed incident cases of syphilis and
437 found similar results to that obtained by main model fit.

438 Our transmission model enabled us to integrate data from different sources such as
439 published data from the FOPH and the literature, and the results estimated from the SHCS

440 and cross-sectional studies to assess the drivers of the syphilis epidemic in Switzerland.

441 Previous studies have shown the potential impact of more frequent screening in MSM for
442 syphilis on reducing syphilis infections[27–29]. An agent-based, network model of syphilis
443 transmission, representing a core population of 2000 Canadian MSM at particular high risk
444 for syphilis, explicitly estimated the effect of different screening frequencies on the
445 projected annual rates of syphilis in this population. Our model differs from this study, in
446 that we not only focused on a core group of MSM by means of transmission risk of syphilis
447 but also stratified the model based on HIV status. To the best of our knowledge, this is the
448 first study to link the syphilis epidemic in MSM_{wHD} with that in MSM_{w/oHD} and to estimate
449 the effect of screening strategies implemented in target groups of the population on the
450 overall epidemic.

451 One of the main limitations of our study is the uncertainty regarding the representativeness
452 of the data used for MSM_{w/oHD}. This resulted in model assumptions which required critical
453 sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our findings. Our study has however a
454 substantial strength with regard to HIV_{wHD}: the use of routine serological screening in a
455 representative population over many years. Estimation of transmission risk of syphilis in
456 MSM_{wHD} were based on the SHCS data. As the SHCS is highly representative for MSM_{wHD} in
457 care in Switzerland, we expect these data to provide accurate estimates. In contrast,
458 estimation of transmission risk in MSM_{w/oHD} was based on cross-sectional studies which
459 might have led to more uncertainty in results. The degree of mixing between MSM_{wHD} and
460 MSM_{w/oHD} were based on the estimated frequency of HIV discordant status in the data
461 collected from VCT checkpoints across Switzerland where people can get spontaneously
462 tested for sexually transmitted infections in a fully anonymized way. As bias towards testing

463 upon transmission risk is expected, representativeness cannot be warranted. We stratified
464 based on reported non-steady partners and condomless anal intercourse with non-steady
465 partners as the transmission risk of syphilis. Although the available data in the SHCS did not
466 allow us to account for the effect of other risk factors such as number of partners and
467 frequency of sexual acts, the goodness of fit suggests reported non-steady partner to be a
468 good proxy for risk stratification to model syphilis transmission.

469 In conclusion, our model reconstructed the syphilis epidemic among MSM in Switzerland by
470 capturing changes in sexual risk behaviour and interactions between HIV MSM with and
471 without HIV diagnosis. It suggests that non-steady partnership (nsP) regardless of
472 condomless anal intercourse would be a suitable criterion for risk stratification when
473 modelling syphilis transmission. More frequent screening and treating MSM with HIV
474 diagnosis, particularly those with nsP may aid to curb syphilis transmission.

475

476 **Supporting information**

477 S1: Supplementary material

478 **Acknowledgements:**

479 We thank the patients for participating in the SHCS, the study nurses, physicians, data
480 managers, and the administrative assistants.

481 The members of the SHCS are Anagnostopoulos A, Battegay M, Bernasconi E, Böni J, Braun
482 DL, Bucher HC, Calmy A, Cavassini M, Ciuffi A, Dollenmaier G, Egger M, Elzi L, Fehr J, Fellay J,
483 Furrer H, Fux CA, Günthard H (President of the SHCS), Haerry D (deputy of “Positive
484 Council”), Hasse B, Hirsch HH, Hoffmann M, Hösli I, Huber M, Kahlert CR (Chairman of the
485 Mother and Child Substudy), Kaiser L, Keiser O, Klimkait T, Kouyos RD, Kovari H, Ledergerber
486 B, Martinetti G, Martinez de Tejada B, Marzolini C, Metzner KJ, Müller N, Nicca D, Paioni P,
487 Pantaleo G, Perreau M, Rauch A (Chairman of the Scientific Board), Rudin C, Scherrer AU
488 (Head of Data Centre), Schmid P, Speck R, Stöckle M (Chairman of the Clinical and
489 Laboratory Committee), Tarr P, Trkola A, Vernazza P, Wandeler G, Weber R, and Yerly S.

490 **References**

- 491 1. Public Health England. Sexually transmitted infections and chlamydia screening in
492 England, 2016. Health Protection Report. 2016;10(22).
- 493 2. Spiteri G, Unemo M, Mårdh O, Amato-Gauci AJ. The resurgence of syphilis in high-
494 income countries in the 2000s: a focus on Europe. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2019;147.
495 doi:10.1017/S0950268819000281
- 496 3. Forrestel AK, Kovarik CL, Katz KA. Sexually acquired syphilis: Historical aspects,
497 microbiology, epidemiology, and clinical manifestations. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020;82:
498 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2019.02.073
- 499 4. BAG-Bulletin 47. Federal Office of Public Health, Switzerland; 2018.
- 500 5. Thurnheer MC, Weber R, Toutous-Trellu L, Cavassini M, Elzi L, Schmid P, et al.
501 Occurrence, risk factors, diagnosis and treatment of syphilis in the prospective
502 observational Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *AIDS Lond Engl.* 2010;24: 1907–1916.
503 doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833bfe21
- 504 6. Shilaih M, Marzel A, Braun DL, Scherrer AU, Kovari H, Young J, et al. Factors associated
505 with syphilis incidence in the HIV-infected in the era of highly active antiretrovirals.
506 *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96: e5849. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000005849
- 507 7. Roth JA, Franzeck FC, Balakrishna S, Lautenschlager S, Thurnheer MC, Trelu LT, et al.
508 Repeated Syphilis Episodes in HIV-Infected Men Who Have Sex With Men: A
509 Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study on Risk Factors and the Potential Role of Syphilis
510 Immunity. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020;7. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa019
- 511 8. Hook EW. Syphilis. *The Lancet.* 2017;389: 1550–1557. doi:10.1016/S0140-
512 6736(16)32411-4
- 513 9. Polansky A, Levy I, Mor Z. Risk factors of syphilis co-infection among HIV-infected men
514 who have sex with men in Tel-Aviv, Israel. *AIDS Care.* 2019;31: 1157–1161.
515 doi:10.1080/09540121.2019.1612006
- 516 10. Kouyos RD, Hasse B, Calmy A, Cavassini M, Furrer H, Stöckle M, et al. Increases in
517 Condomless Sex in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2015;2.
518 doi:10.1093/ofid/ofv077
- 519 11. Workowski KA, Bolan GA. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2015.
520 *MMWR Recomm Rep Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Recomm Rep.* 2015;64: 1–137.
- 521 12. Population data, 1950-2018. Federal statistical office, Switzerland; 2019. Available:
522 [https://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/en/home/statistics/population.assetdetail.9466635.ht](https://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/en/home/statistics/population.assetdetail.9466635.html)
523 [ml](https://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/en/home/statistics/population.assetdetail.9466635.html)
- 524 13. Schmidt AJ, Altpeter E. The Denominator problem: estimating the size of local
525 populations of men-who-have-sex-with-men and rates of HIV and other STIs in

Switzerland. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2019 [cited 15 Jun 2020]. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2017-053363

14. Kohler P, Schmidt AJ, Cavassini M, Furrer H, Calmy A, Battegay M, et al. The HIV care cascade in Switzerland: reaching the UNAIDS/WHO targets for patients diagnosed with HIV. *AIDS Lond Engl.* 2015;29: 2509–2515. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000000878

15. Swiss HIV Cohort Study, Schoeni-Affolter F, Ledergerber B, Rickenbach M, Rudin C, Günthard HF, et al. Cohort profile: the Swiss HIV Cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2010;39: 1179–1189. doi:10.1093/ije/dyp321

16. Locicero S, Bize R. Les comportements face au VIH/Sida des hommes qui ont des rapports sexuels avec des hommes. *Enquête Gaysurvey 2014.* Lausanne, Institut universitaire de médecine sociale et préventive, 2015 (Raisons de santé 253).

17. R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.

18. Soetaert K, Petzoldt T. Inverse Modelling, Sensitivity and Monte Carlo Analysis in R Using Package FME. *J Stat Softw.* 2010;33: 1–28. doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i03

19. EMIS-2017 – The European Men-Who-Have-Sex-With-Men Internet Survey. In: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control [Internet]. 29 Aug 2019 [cited 15 Jun 2020]. Available: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/emis-2017-european-men-who-have-sex-men-internet-survey>

20. Ficarra G, Carlos R. Syphilis: The Renaissance of an Old Disease with Oral Implications. *Head Neck Pathol.* 2009;3: 195–206. doi:10.1007/s12105-009-0127-0

21. Beymer MR, Weiss RE, Bolan RK, Rudy ET, Bourque LB, Rodriguez JP, et al. Sex on demand: geosocial networking phone apps and risk of sexually transmitted infections among a cross-sectional sample of men who have sex with men in Los Angeles County. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2014;90: 567–572. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051494

22. Eaton LA, Maksut JL, Gamarel KE, Siembida EJ, Driffin DD, Baldwin R. Online Sex Partner Meeting Venues as a Risk Factor for Testing HIV Positive Among a Community-Based Sample of Black Men Who Have Sex With Men. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2016;43: 360–364. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000454

23. Chan PA, Crowley C, Rose JS, Kershaw T, Tributino A, Montgomery MC, et al. A Network Analysis of Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Online Hookup Sites Among Men Who Have Sex With Men. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2018;45: 462–468. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000784

24. Hoenigl M, Little SJ, Grelotti D, Skaathun B, Wagner GA, Weibel N, et al. Grindr™ Users Take more Risks, but are more Open to HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis: Could this Dating App Provide Platform for HIV Prevention Outreach? *Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am.* 2019. doi:10.1093/cid/ciz1093

- 563 25. Hicks BC, Clement M. Syphilis: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, and clinical
564 manifestations in HIV-uninfected patients. Available:
565 [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/syphilis-epidemiology-pathophysiology-and-](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/syphilis-epidemiology-pathophysiology-and-clinical-manifestations-in-hiv-uninfected-patients)
566 [clinical-manifestations-in-hiv-uninfected-patients](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/syphilis-epidemiology-pathophysiology-and-clinical-manifestations-in-hiv-uninfected-patients)
- 567 26. Ryom L, Cotter A, Miguel RD, Béguelin C, Podlekareva D, Arribas JR, et al. 2019 update
568 of the European AIDS Clinical Society Guidelines for treatment of people living with HIV
569 version 10.0. HIV Med. 2020;21: 617–624. doi:10.1111/hiv.12878
- 570 27. Gray RT, Hoare A, Prestage GP, Donovan B, Kaldor JM, Wilson DP. Frequent testing of
571 highly sexually active gay men is required to control syphilis. Sex Transm Dis. 2010;37:
572 298–305. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181ca3c0a
- 573 28. Tuite AR, Fisman DN, Mishra S. Screen more or screen more often? Using mathematical
574 models to inform syphilis control strategies. BMC Public Health. 2013.
575 doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-606
- 576 29. Saad-Roy CM, Shuai Z, van den Driessche P. A mathematical model of syphilis
577 transmission in an MSM population. Math Biosci. 2016;277: 59–70.
578 doi:10.1016/j.mbs.2016.03.017
- 579