

Supplemental Content

e-Table 1: Hospital Characteristics

	Public or Private	Safety Net Hospital	Academic or Community	Trains medical students, residents or fellows	Religious Affiliation	Clinical Trials Available for Patients with COVID-19	Location of hospital or hospital system
Hospital 1	Private	No	Community	Yes	Yes	No	Urban, Suburban
Hospital 2	Public	Yes	Academic and Community	Yes	Yes	Yes	Urban
Hospital 3	Private	Yes	Academic and Community	Yes	Yes	Yes	Urban, Suburban, Rural
Hospital 4	Private	No	Academic	Yes	No	Yes	Urban, Suburban
Hospital 5	Private	No	Academic	Yes	No	Yes	Urban
Hospital 6	Private	No	Academic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Suburban
Hospital 7	Private	No	Academic	Yes	No	Yes	Urban
Hospital 8	Public	Yes	Academic	Yes	No	Yes	Urban

e-Table 2: Adult Exclusion Criteria

Hospital 1	None
Hospital 2	None
Hospital 3	Any code status order as determined by the patient and treating physician that would prohibit treatment involving the scarce resource, Intractable hypotension despite maximum dosage of two or more vasopressors, Cardiac arrests, Persistent coma or vegetative state, Acute severe neurological event with minimal chance of functional neurological recovery, Severe burns with less than 10% chance of survival,
Hospital 4	None
Hospital 5	1) pediatric priority over adults, 2) adults with irreversible/advantaged neurologic condition, unwitnessed cardiac arrest with poor neurologic prognosis per AAN guidelines, severe burn with <10% survival, cardiac arrest unresponsive to CPR, any other condition resulting in near immediate death even with maximally aggressive therapy
Hospital 6	Recurrent cardiac arrest, Active DNI orders, severe burns where predicted survival <10%, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage Hunt Hess Grade 5, ICH with ICH score 5 or higher, major hemispheric ischemic stroke with secondary brainstem injury and not eligible for decompressive hemicraniectomy, any other conditions with predicted immediate or new-immediate mortality even with aggressive therapy
Hospital 7	None
Hospital 8	None

e-Table 3: Priority Groups for Pregnancy, Health Care Workers, and Essential Worker

	Pregnancy	How Priority Occurs (Pregnancy)	Health Care Workers	How Priority Occurs (Health Care Workers)	Essential Workers	How Priority Occurs (Essential Workers)
Hospital 1	Yes	Automatically in highest priority category (age of fetus not mentioned)	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	Yes	If woman is greater than 26 weeks pregnant with viable fetus, she will have one point subtracted from her SOFA score	Yes	Priority in setting of a tie	No	N/A
Hospital 4	Yes	Considered separate from main scoring system	Yes	Subtract one point from scoring system	Yes	Subtract one point from scoring system
Hospital 5	Yes	Pregnant women given priority in setting of a tie	Yes	Priority in setting of a tie	Yes	Priority in setting of a tie
Hospital 6	Yes	Pregnant women with viable fetus given priority in setting of a tie	Yes	Priority in setting of a tie	No	N/A
Hospital 7	No	N/A	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 8	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Not discussed	No	N/A

e-Table 4: Priority Groups for Families of Essential Workers, Pediatric Patients

	Families of Essential Workers	How are families of essential workers given priority	Pediatric Patients	How are pediatric patients given priority
Hospital 1	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 2	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 3	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 4	Yes	Subtract one point from scoring system	No	N/A
Hospital 5	No	N/A	Yes	Given priority over adult patients
Hospital 6	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 7	No	N/A	No	N/A
Hospital 8	No	N/A	No	N/A

e-Table 5: Adult Withdrawal of Mechanical Ventilation

	Protocol discusses withdrawing mechanical ventilation from one patient to another	Protocol for considering withdrawal of mechanical ventilation	Who leads discussion about withdrawal of mechanical ventilation with family	How to talk with family about withdrawal of mechanical ventilation discussed
Hospital 1	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed
Hospital 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	Yes
Hospital 4	Yes	Reallocation based on SOFA scores and additional assessment of survivability made by triage team and intensivist attending	Triage committee	Not discussed
Hospital 5	Yes	Withdraw occurs if the new arriving patient has a SOFA score at least 3 points lower than a currently ventilated patient	Not discussed	Yes
Hospital 6	Yes	Triage chair identifies a patient with SOFA >16, notified attending intensivist, decision made to withdraw mechanical ventilation, no appeals process	Triage chair	Not discussed
Hospital 7	Yes	After 48 hours, if patient in lower priority category (yellow or orange), can have ventilator removed if patient in highest priority category (red) in need of a ventilator. Lower priority categories include patients with high priority score and no improvement in SOFA score in past 48 hours.	Primary attending for patient	Yes
Hospital 8	No	No	No	No

e-Table 6: Hospitals with Unique Criteria for Pediatric Patients

	Age of Pediatric Patients	Exclusion Criteria	What are the pediatric exclusion criteria?	What scoring system is used to triage pediatric patients?	Is withdrawal of mechanical ventilation discussed for pediatric patients?	How is pediatric ventilator withdrawal explained to occur (i.e. what is the actual mechanism for withdrawal, how it is discussed with family)?	Are pediatric patients triaged with adults?
Hospital 3	Less than 18	Yes	NICU patients are excluded	pSOFA	Yes	Education provided via simulation on how to have this discussion. Talking points in the protocol.	No
Hospital 5	Less than 18	No	N/A	No scoring system, automatically get priority over adults	No	Not discussed	No
Hospital 6	Less than 18	Yes	Recurrent cardiac arrest, active DNI orders, severe burns where predicted survival <10%, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage Hunt Hess Grade 5, ICH with ICH score 5 or higher, major hemispheric ischemic stroke with secondary brainstem injury	Clinical judgment	No	Not discussed	Yes

			and not eligible for decompressive hemicraniectomy, any other conditions with predicted immediate or near-immediate mortality even with aggressive therapy				
Hospital 7	Not mentioned	No	N/A	Clinical judgment:	No	Not discussed	Yes

pSOFA-pediatric sequential organ failure assessment

e-Table 7: Adult Tiebreakers:

	1st tiebreaker	2nd tiebreaker	3rd tiebreaker	4th tiebreaker
Hospital 1	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed
Hospital 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	Greatest likelihood of positive clinical outcome	Priority to healthcare/frontline workers	Random allocation	N/A
Hospital 4	Interventional COVID-19 research subjects	Hospital system front line workers	Priority to younger patients	Random allocation
Hospital 5	Essential workers	Pregnant women	Priority to younger patients	Random allocation
Hospital 6	Lottery	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 7	Priority to younger patients	Raw priority score	Random allocation	N/A
Hospital 8	Committee evaluation	N/A	N/A	N/A

e-Table 8: Creation of the Ventilator Allocation Policy

	Who were involved in creation the protocol (i.e. hospital administrators, ethics, critical care physicians, chaplains...)?	Did you trial use of this protocol at your hospital?	Did you need to use the protocol at your hospital due to an internal ventilator shortage?
Hospital 1	Ethics, critical care physicians, nurses, hospital administrators, system director of diversity & inclusion	No	No
Hospital 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	System resource allocation team physician leader, supply chain, ethics, critical care physician, nursing leader, emergency medicine physician, infectious disease physician, diversity and inclusion representative, legal, palliative care clinician, pharmacy, care management, spiritual care.	Yes	No
Hospital 4	A 15 member system wide team of administration, physicians, nurses, chaplains, ethics	No	No
Hospital 5	Broad committee including ethics, critical care, palliative care, infectious disease, hospital leadership	Yes	No
Hospital 6	Physicians, ethicists, administrators, clinical leadership, critical care committee, and med exec committee, legal	No	No
Hospital 7	Hospital administrators, ethics, critical care physicians, geriatric physician, legal	No	No
Hospital 8	Representatives from critical care, emergency medicine, trauma, ethics	No	No

e-Table 9: Community Involvement with Creation of Ventilator Allocation Policy

	Were community representatives involved in the formation of the protocol?	Which community members were involved?	How were community members involved?	How did your institution plan to explain the triage mechanism for mechanical ventilation to the public?	Are any of your institution's policies on allocation of scarce resources publicly available?
Hospital 1	No	N/A	N/A	Not discussed	No
Hospital 3	No	N/A	N/A	Had explanatory document ready but not provided to public as ventilator shortage did not occur	No
Hospital 4	No	N/A	N/A	No plan in place	No
Hospital 5	Yes	An existing community advisory council created to advise the hospital	The community advisory council reviewed the protocol framework and provided critical feedback	No plan in place	No
Hospital 6	No	N/A	N/A	Planned to discuss with individual admitted patients if shortage occurred	No
Hospital 7	No	N/A	N/A	Had explanatory document for ready but not provided to public as ventilator shortage did not occur	No
Hospital 8	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	No

e-Table 10: Triage Committee Composition

	Triage Committee Created	Critical Care Physician on Committee	Ethicist on Committee	Nurse on Committee	Others Included on Committee
Hospital 1	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed
Hospital 2	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	system resource allocation team physician, supply chain, emergency medicine physician, infectious disease physician, diversity and inclusion representative, legal, palliative care clinician, pharmacy, care management, spiritual care, chief medical officer/delegate, director of nursing/delegate, respiratory therapy lead/delegate, clinical risk
Hospital 4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Non-intensivist physician, hospital representative
Hospital 5	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A
Hospital 6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Hospital 7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	infectious disease physician, hospital administration representative
Hospital 8	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

e-Table 11: Triage Committee Guidelines

	Who is restricted from being on triage committee	Information provided to triage committee	Information blinded from triage committee	How is information provided to triage committee
Hospital 1	Not discussed	MSOFA score, major comorbidities	Not discussed	Primary medical team
Hospital 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	Bedside clinician	MRN, SOFA score, pregnancy status, and severe comorbid conditions with death likely within one year	Yes (blinded information not identified)	Risk managers important information to a spreadsheet.
Hospital 4	Bedside clinician	SOFA score and other information that is used to calculate priority score. Discussion with primary intensivist attending if reallocation of ventilators needed.	Not discussed	Spreadsheet generated that triage team can review chart and contact primary medical team for necessary data
Hospital 5	Bedside clinician	Full access to the electronic medical record	No	Triage committee has full access to medical record
Hospital 6	Not discussed	Age, SOFA score, and priority conditions (pregnancy and healthcare worker status)	Yes (patient name, bed location, medical service, race, ethnicity)	List in medical record
Hospital 7	Bedside clinician	Priority score, intubation status	Not discussed	Primary team to update priority score for their patients in the medical record which is provided to triage committee
Hospital 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

e-Table 12: Other Scarce Resource Protocols

	<p>Did your institution create protocols for allocation of scarce resources other than ventilators (i.e. Remdesivir, ECMO, blood products, dialysate solution)?</p>	<p>If yes, what protocols were created?</p>	<p>Which, if any, of these protocols were used in practice?</p>
Hospital 1	Yes	Remdesivir, protocols for allocation of other scarce drugs (not identified)	Not discussed
Hospital 2	No	N/A	N/A
Hospital 3	Yes	Remdesivir, ECMO, CRRT, Blood products, HiFlow/Bipap, testing	Remdesivir
Hospital 4	Yes	Remdesivir	Remdesivir
Hospital 5	Yes	Remdesivir, ECMO	ECMO
Hospital 6	No		Not discussed
Hospital 7	Yes	Remdesivir, ECMO	ECMO
Hospital 8	Yes	Dialysis	Not discussed

Aim 1 Survey: COVID-19 Related Protocols

Ventilator Allocation Protocols

1. Did your institution create a ventilator allocation protocol?

Yes

No

2. If your institution did not have a ventilator allocation protocol, how was ventilator allocation planned to occur?

3. Are there exclusion criteria? (Exclusion criteria are criteria designed to remove patients from consideration who have a very low chance to benefit from mechanical ventilation. Exclusion criteria can also include groups that are given a ventilator regardless of priority score, such as children at some hospitals. These exclusion criteria occur first prior to scoring patients for a ventilator.

Yes

No

4. What are the exclusion criteria?

5. What initial scoring system is used (i.e. SOFA score, SOFA score plus evaluation of medical comorbidities)?

6. Does the protocol give priority to certain groups (i.e. healthcare workers, pregnant patients)?

Yes

No

7. If yes, what are these groups and how are they given priority?

8. How does the protocol handle tiebreakers?

9. Does the protocol involve the creation of a triage committee?

Yes

No

10. Are there any restrictions in who these triage committee members can be? (Restriction examples may include that triage committee members cannot care for patients at bedside, must be from certain specialties (i.e. critical care)...

11. Are triage committee members blinded to certain patient data (i.e. patient race, age)?

12. What information about patients is provided to committee members?

13. How is information about patient data provided to triage officers (i.e. by primary medical team, by IT uploaded of data from the medical record)?

14. Does the protocol discuss withdrawal of mechanical ventilation from one patient to provide to another at higher priority?

Yes

No

15. How is withdrawal of mechanical ventilation explained to occur (i.e. what is the actual mechanism for withdrawal, how it is discussed with family)?

Pediatric Ventilator Allocation Protocol

16. Does the protocol have unique criteria for pediatric patients?

Yes

No

17. What age is considered pediatric?

18. Do exclusion criteria exist for pediatric patients?

Yes

No

19. What are the pediatric exclusion criteria?

20. What scoring system is used to triage pediatric patients?

21. Is withdrawal of mechanical ventilation discussed for pediatric patients?

Yes

No

22. How is pediatric ventilator withdrawal explained to occur (i.e. what is the actual mechanism for withdrawal, how it is discussed with family)?

23. Are pediatric patients triaged with adults?

Yes

No

Creation and Use of Ventilator Allocation Protocol

24. Were community representatives involved in the formation of the protocol?

Yes

No

25. Which community members were involved?

26. How were community members involved?

27. How did your institution plan to explain the triage mechanism for mechanical ventilation to the public?

28. Are any of your institution's policies on allocation of scarce resources (i.e ventilator allocation, Remdesivir allocation...) publicly available?

Yes

No

29. Which policies are publicly available and by what means are they available?

30. Who were involved in creation the protocol (i.e. hospital administrators, ethics, critical care physicians, chaplains...)?

31. Did you trial use of this protocol at your hospital?

Yes

No

32. Did you need to use the protocol at your hospital due to an internal ventilator shortage?

Yes

No

Other Scarce Resource Protocols

33. Did your institution create protocols for allocation of scarce resources other than ventilators (i.e. No Remdesivir, ECMO, blood products, dialysate solution)?

Yes

No

34. If yes, what protocols were created?

35. Which, if any, of these protocols were used in practice?

36. If your institution does not have other scarce resource allocation protocols, how was/is allocation of these resources to occur?

Unilateral DNR Policy

37. Does your institution have a unilateral DNR policy?

Yes

No

38. Was your institution's unilateral DNR policy created or updated during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Yes

No

39. Does the policy limit unilateral DNR use to certain patients (i.e. patients with COVID-19, patients with an expected cardiac arrest with no reversible etiology)?

Yes

No

40. Is this policy active at all times, or only during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Active at all times

Only active during the COVID-19 pandemic

41. Which patients does the policy limit use of unilateral DNRs?

42. Does the policy require oversight for use of unilateral DNRs (such as two physicians to agree to the use of the unilateral DNR or require ethics committee approval)?

Yes

No

43. If yes, please explain the oversight required (such as if 2 physicians or ethics committee approval is needed).

44. Does the policy require documentation in the medical record about the use of the unilateral DNR?

Yes

No

45. Does your institution require placement of a DNR order or a unilateral DNR order?

Yes
No

46. Please describe what order is used in the medical record (i.e. a regular DNR order, or unilateral DNR order).

47. Does the policy require the physician discuss or attempt to discuss use of the unilateral DNR with the patient or alternate decision maker?

Yes
No

48. Is your unilateral DNR policy publicly available?

Yes
No

49. How is the policy public? (i.e. is it available on your institution's website, is it provided to patients and families on admission...)

50 Does the policy have other components not listed in the above questions? If yes, please explain.

51. Characteristics of your Institution (please check all that apply)

Public
Private
Safety net
Community
Academic
Trains medical students, residents, or fellows
Has a religious affiliation
Clinical trials for COVID-19 available to patients?
Urban
Suburban
Rural

52. In which COUNTY in Illinois is your hospital located?

Demographics of Person Completing Survey

53. Role at Hospital

Aim 2 Survey: Triage Officer Allocation of Mechanical Ventilation during the COVID-19 Pandemic

1. Were you notified by your hospital that you would be a triage officer or on a hospital resource allocation team to decide how to prioritize scarce resources?

Yes
No

2. To your knowledge, does your institution have a written protocol that describes how to allocate scarce resources such as mechanical ventilators?

Yes
No
Unsure

3. How familiar are you with this resource allocation document prior to receiving this survey?

Very familiar (received extensive training or helped create it)
Somewhat familiar (received some training)
Neutral (I know where to find the document or who to ask if needed)
Not familiar

4. Below is a list of 6 hypothetical patients. Please read each one and rank them according to the priority these patients would receive under your hospital's resource allocation document.

All 6 patients arrived within 5 minutes of each other, with patient 1 being the first to arrive followed by patient 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 in that order.

Please take into account anything that might be mentioned in your hospital's resource allocation document such as: exclusion criteria, priority scoring system used (i.e.SOFA), chronic conditions, age, pregnancy, reciprocity etc.

If one or more of the patients listed below would have been excluded from priority scoring at your institution, please rank them anyway. (Examples of patients meeting exclusion criteria may include patients who would receive a ventilator without needing a priority score such as pediatric patients at some hospitals, or patients who would not receive a ventilator regardless of priority score such as patients age >90 at some hospitals)

If you needed to use a random lottery as a tiebreaker, please complete the tiebreaker using a random number generator (such as <https://bit.ly/2V1jKXm>).

Assume that all SOFA scores were calculated at the same time interval.

Please rank all 6 patients below from 1 (highest priority for a ventilator) to 6 (lowest priority for a ventilator). Do the best that you can ranking these patients with the information provided.

A. 91-year-old retired doctor with a past medical history of coronary artery disease, history of stroke 10 years ago with no residual disease. SOFA: 6

B. 65-year-old retired day-care teacher with a past medical history of poorly controlled diabetes. SOFA: 7

C. 45-year-old ED nurse with active breast cancer (receiving chemotherapy with curative intent) and is currently immunocompromised. SOFA: 11

D. 35-year-old city bus driver with stage IV lung cancer, participating in clinical trial for lung cancer through the medical center where he receives care. SOFA: 12

E. 25-year-old graduate student with hypertension, 30 weeks pregnant. SOFA: 14

F. 12-year-old with a past medical history of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy resulting in severe cognitive disabilities and severe asthma. She does not speak, is wheelchair bound, and communicates with her family by smiling. Her mother is a physician. SOFA: 3, PELOD-2: 8, pSOFA: 5, estimated mortality < 5%

5. Do any of these patients meet exclusion criteria in your institution's protocol?

Examples of patients meeting exclusion criteria could include patients receiving a ventilator without needing a priority score (i.e. pediatric patients at some hospitals), or patients not receiving a ventilator regardless of priority score (i.e. patients age >90 at some hospitals)

Yes

No

6. Which patients met exclusion criteria and what criteria did they meet?

7. Did you use any tiebreakers when ranking the above patients?

Yes

No

8. Which tiebreakers did you need to use and which patients did you use it on?

9. Do you believe your institutions policy provides sufficient guidance to rank the above patients?

Yes

No

10. If no, what in your institution's guidelines needs to be added or changed to make the guideline sufficient to rank the above patients?

11. Do you believe your institution's policy disadvantages any group (such as racial minorities, elderly age...)?

Yes

No

12. If yes, which groups are disadvantaged in your opinion?

Racial/ethnic minority groups

People from low socioeconomic status

Elderly age

People with comorbidities

People with disabilities

Other

13. If other, please explain which groups you believe are disadvantaged

14. Are you in agreement with groups given priority for mechanical ventilation at your institution (i.e. healthcare workers, pregnant patients, pediatric patients)?

Yes

No

Our institution does not give priority to any group

15. If not, please explain which priority groups you do not agree with.

16. What is your profession (please select all that apply)?

Nurse

Physician

Hospital Administrator

Ethics

Other

17. If other, please describe your profession