1 SARS-CoV-2 infection control implementation based on sources of infection

2 showing directions for three age groups in Japan

3 **Short title:** Recommendation of SARS-CoV-2 infection prevention measures according
4 to age group

5

6 Atsuko Hata^{a,b}*, Junko Kurita^c, Eri Muso^d, Toshiro Katayama^e, Takahide Hata^b,

7 Yasushi Ohkusa^f

8

9 ^aDepartment of Pediatrics, ^b Department of Infectious Diseases, Kitano Hospital,
10 The Tazuke Kofukai Medical Research Institute, Kita-ku, Osaka city, Osaka,
11 Japan

12 ^c Tokiwa University, Mito city, Ibaraki, Japan

13 ^d Kyoto Kacho University, Higashiyama-ku, Kyoto city, Kyoto, Japan

14 ^e Morinomiya University of Medical Sciences, Suminoe-ku, Osaka, Japan

15 ^f Infectious Disease Surveillance Center, National Institute of Infectious Diseases,
16 Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan

17 * ahata@kitano-hp.or.jp

18

19

20 **Abstract**

21

22 **Background**

23 Some aspects of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
24 transmission in children and adults remain unclear. This report describes different
25 SARS-CoV-2 transmission patterns by age group in Japan.

26 **Methods and findings**

27 This retrospective observational case series study analyzed transmission patterns of
28 real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections
29 found by local health authorities and commercial laboratories during January 14 through
30 July 31, 2020 in Japan. After ascertaining the infection source for every symptomatic
31 case as clusters at households, daycare facilities, schools, hospitals and workplaces etc.,
32 their associated transmission patterns were analyzed. Identified cases were divided into
33 three groups: underage, < 20; adults, 20–59; and elderly people 60 years old and older.
34 The reproductive number (R)s of respective transmission directions found for the
35 respective age groups were compared.
36 Of 26,986 total cases, 23,746 unknown cases were found, leaving 3,240 ascertained

37 sources of infection (12.0%) comprising 125 (3.9%) underage, 2350 (72.5%) adult, and
 38 765 (23.6%) elderly people. The respective R_s of underage infection sources directed to
 39 underage, adult, and elderly people were estimated respectively as 0.0415 (95% CI,
 40 0.0138–0.0691), 1.11 (95% CI, 0.9171–1.3226), and 0.2811 (95% CI, 0.2074–0.3687).
 41 The respective R_s of adult infection source directed to underage, adult, and elderly
 42 people were estimated respectively as 0.0140 (95% CI, 0.0120–0.0162), 0.5392 (95%
 43 CI, 0.5236–0.5550), and 0.1135 (95% CI, 0.1074–0.1197). The respective R_s of elderly
 44 infection source directed to underage, adult, and elderly people were estimated as 0.065
 45 (95% CI, 0.0039–0.0091), 0.3264 (95% CI, 0.3059–0.3474), and 0.3991 (95% CI,
 46 0.3757–0.4229).

47 **Conclusions**

48 The main sources of SARS-CoV-2 infection were adults and elderly people. The R of
 49 underage people directed to adults was greater than 1 because of close familial contact
 50 but they were unlikely to become carriers transmitting SARS-CoV-2 because they
 51 accounted for a minority for transmissions. Apparently, SARS-CoV-2 was transmitted
 52 among adults and elderly people, suggesting that infection control of SARS-CoV-2
 53 should be managed specifically by generation.

54

55 **Keywords:**

56 age-dependent difference, children, close contact, cluster, daycare, family, school

57 closure, transmission pattern

58

59

60 Introduction

61 At the beginning of the spread of the novel coronavirus infection, isolation and life
62 restrictions imposed on all age groups were necessary measures to prevent the spread of
63 novel viruses of unknown identity, to ascertain the pathogenicity, and to avoid difficult
64 conditions of medical care. However, the long-term negative socioeconomic effects of
65 these measures have also been considerable [1]. Adverse effects on education and
66 exercise restrictions, especially for minors, are unfathomable. Nationwide surveys
67 investigating SARS-CoV-2 transmission patterns among children, adolescents, adults,
68 and elderly people in Japan have probably brought about a new age-dependent isolation
69 strategy without the spread of viral infection.

70 In Japan, since the first person infected with the novel coronavirus was identified on
71 January 15, 2020, 4,314,979 confirmed cases of the novel coronavirus disease
72 (COVID-19) had been recorded by December 27, 2020. The death toll had risen to
73 3,212 in Japan by December 27, 2020. Of 202,128 infection cases, 12,209 (6.0%) were
74 of young people aged 10–19; 4,743 (2.3%) were of people younger than 10 years old on
75 December 23, 2020 [2]. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous countries
76 have implemented public health and social measures (PHSM), including school closure
77 [3]. The Japanese government asked all schools and kindergartens to close from March

78 2, 2020 to prevent the spread of the coronavirus. Until the end of May, infection
79 continued to spread mainly among adults and elderly people. This generation-specific
80 interview survey of available nationwide data was conducted to ascertain infection
81 sources and transmission in Japan, particularly to elucidate the actual circumstances of
82 intergenerational infection and Japan's strategic approach to respond to COVID-19.

83

84 Methods

85 To conduct a retrospective observational case series study analyzing publicly
86 available anonymous reports, we accessed information from local health authorities'
87 reports released for January 15, 2020 through July 31, 2020 in Japan. Cases were
88 confirmed as SARS CoV-2 positive using RT-PCR testing by local health authorities, or
89 using RT-PCR testing or other molecular testing with processing by commercial
90 laboratories. Health center staff in each prefecture investigated the status of contact with
91 each SARS CoV-2 infected person, identified the infection source, and reported it to
92 their respective health authorities. The results are posted on the website of each
93 prefecture and are accessible by anyone. To identify asymptomatic persons, it is
94 necessary to examine all the members in clusters accurately. Invariably only a part of
95 them can be acquired. Therefore, asymptomatic persons were excluded.

After excluding asymptomatic persons, we ascertained whether each symptomatic SARS-CoV-2-positive-confirmed person was a source of infection in a cluster at homes, schools, and daycare facilities during January 15, 2020 – July 31, 2020. Different distributions of sources of infection were analyzed by generation. Statistical analyses were applied using software (R ver.5). Using available information related to January 15, 2020 – April 24, 2020, we retrospectively identified cases in which a child transmitted the virus to other children in clusters at daycare facilities. Because this is a case series study and because information to use was available from the websites of all prefectures in Japan, no approval by an ethical committee was necessary.

For this study, x_{ij} was defined as the number of patients in i age group who were infected from patients of the j age group. Particularly, $i(j) = 1$ represents an age class younger than 20 years old, 2 denotes the age group of patients who were 20 years old or elder than 20 years old and younger than 60 years old, and 3 stands for an age group of people older than 60 years old. Additionally, $i=4$ represents patients whose source of infection was unidentified.

The source of infection of most patients was unidentified. Therefore, we assumed that the age distribution of source of infection ($x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}$ and $x_{i,3}$) was the same among patients whose source of infection was not identified ($x_{i,4}$). Then, we arranged the Table

114 as $y_{i,j} = x_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^4 x_{i,k} / \sum_{k=1}^3 x_{i,k}$ for $i(j)=1,2$, and 3.

115 For this study, $R_{i,j}$ which represents the effective reproduction number of the j age
116 group infection to the i age group was defined as $y_{i,j} / \sum_{k=1}^3 y_{j,k}$. As one might expect, it
117 was correct when i and j were not the same. However, if i and j were the same, patients
118 were also probably the source of infection to patients of the same age group. Therefore,
119 double counting occurred in the infected patients and source of infection. $R_{i,i}$ cannot be
120 defined correctly using this procedure.

121 The confidence interval (CI) of $R_{i,j}$ was estimated using the following method.
122 Because we do not know the probability that a patient infected one patient, the
123 probability that a patient infected two patients, or more patients, we presumed that these
124 were p, p^2, p^3 , and so on. Then $R_{i,j} = p + 2p^2 + 3p^3 + \dots = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p^k = p / (1-p)^2$. Therefore, we
125 can convert $R_{i,j}$ to p uniquely. We denoted $p_{i,j}$ as converted from $R_{i,j}$. We estimated its CI
126 through bootstrapping. We first draw $M = \sum_{k=1}^3 y_{j,k}$ random numbers of the uniform
127 distribution on (0,1) from the generator. We designate it as $z_m (m=1,2,\dots,\sum_{k=1}^3 y_{j,k})$. If z_m
128 $< p_{i,j}$, then the m -th patients infected more than one patient. Similarly, if $z_m < p_{i,j}^2$, then the
129 m -th patient infected more than two patients, and so on. Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k 1[z_m < p_{i,j}^k]$
130 was the estimated number of people infected by the m -th patient, where the function of
131 $1[]$ was one if $[]$ was true and zero otherwise. Similarly, $\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k 1[z_m < p_{i,j}^k]$ was

one of the bootstrapped $R_{i,j}$. We repeated this procedure one million times. Then we obtained the one million estimator of $R_{i,j}$. We sorted these variables. The duration from the first 25 thousandth $R_{i,j}$ to the last 25 thousandth $R_{i,j}$ should be CI of $R_{i,j}$.

Results

The status of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks up to November 17, 2020 is presented in Figure 1. The period of school closures from March 2 to the end of May 2020 and that of the state of emergency when the Japanese government was asking people in Japan to stay home as much as possible to prevent the spread of the coronavirus is shown in Figure 2. Symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2-positive-confirmed persons are also shown in Figure 2, which shows a small number of people with symptoms and most people as asymptomatic and under investigation. The SARS-CoV-2 confirmed cases comprised those of three patient age groups: underage people under 20 years old; adults 20–59 years old; and elderly people 60 years old and older. Of the 26,986 cases of SARS-CoV-2 confirmed from January 14 through July 31, 2020, 3,240 were ascertained as sources of infection. Of them, 125 (3.9%) were of underage people, 2,350 (72.5%) were of adults, and 765 (23.6%) were of elderly people (Table 1). It was impossible to ascertain if the remaining 23,746 of the 26,986 cases were sources of

infection or not. The overwhelming majority of SARS-CoV-2 infections therefore

occurred among adults and elderly people.

Figure 1. SARS-COV-2 outbreak during Jan. 14 – Nov. 17, 2020 in Japan.

Figure 2. Dynamics of SARS-COV-2 infected people including various administrative

measures from Jan. 14 – Oct. 27, 2020 in Japan.

Table 1.

Age-dependent difference in distribution of SARS-CoV-2 infection sources among age groups

Table 1a.

		Infection source					
	age	--19	20--59	60--	subtotal	unknown	total
Infected case	--19	4	72	11	87	239	326
	20--59	103	1931	326	2360	18601	20961
	60--	17	321	417	755	4724	5479
	unknown	1	26	11	38	182	220
	計	125	2350	765	3240	23746	26986

Table 1b.

		Infection source %					
	age	--19	20--59	60--	%	total	
Infected case	--19	0.12	2.22	0.34	2.69	2.69	
	20--59	3.18	59.60	10.06	72.84	72.84	
	60--	0.52	9.91	12.87	23.30	23.30	
	unknown	0.03	0.80	0.34	1.17	1.17	
	計	3.86	72.53	23.61	100.00	100.00	

164

165 Reproductive numbers (R) of sources of SARS-Co-V2 infection were estimated for

166 three age groups (Table 2). The respective R numbers of underage sources of infection

167 showing the direction of transmission to underage people, adult people, and elderly

168 people, estimated as 0.0415 (95% CI, 0.0138–0.0691), 1.11 (95% CI, 0.9171–1.3226),

169 and 0.2811 (95% CI, 0.2074–0.3687). The respective R numbers of adult source of

170 infection showing the direction of transmission to underage people, adult people, and

171 elderly people were estimated as 0.0140 (95% CI, 0.0120–0.0162), 0.5392 (95% CI,

172 0.5236–0.5550), and 0.1135 (95% CI, 0.1074–0.1197). The respective R numbers of

173 elderly sources of infection showing the direction of transmission to underage people,

174 adult people, and elderly people were estimated as 0.065 (95% CI, 0.0039–0.0091),

175 0.3264 (95% CI, 0.3059–0.3474), and 0.3991 (95% CI, 0.3757–0.4229). The respective

176 numbers (percentages) of presumed areas of transmission were 1,109 (4.0) of families,

177 778 (2.8) of hospitals and clinics, 337 (1.2) of nursing homes, 24 (0.1) of schools

178 excluding vocational schools and universities including kindergartens, 19 (0.1) of

179 daycare facilities, 45 (0.2) of vocational schools and universities, 151 (0.5) of

180 restaurants, 47 (0.2) of night entertainment, 37 (0.1) of karaoke, 68 (0.2) live theaters,

181 12 (0.04) of sports facilities, 538 (1.93) of companies and offices, 5,411 (19.41) of

182 others, and 19,303 (69.24) of unknown places. Excluding the unclassified areas and

183 unknown areas, family, hospitals and clinics, nursing homes, schools excluding
184 vocational schools and universities including kindergartens, daycare facilities,
185 vocational schools and universities, restaurants, night entertainment, karaoke, live
186 theater, sports facilities, company/office were accounted 35, 25, 11, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 17, 0,
187 and 2%, respectively (Fig. 3b). Actually, 69.2% of all infected people were unable to
188 identify the location of the infection. Of 11.35% of the identified sources of infection,
189 homes, hospitals and nursing facilities, other activity places including schools, and
190 workplaces accounted for 1/3 each of those identified locations (Fig. 3).

191 Table 2

192 Age-dependent difference in R number among three age groups

193

194 Table 2

195 Reproductive numbers of source of SARS-Co-V2 infection in 3 different Age groups

196

197 2a. Infection source: <20 years old

age group of infected cases	R	Confidence interval
--19	0.0415	[0.0138, 0.0691]
20--59	1.1106	[0.9171, 1.3226]
60--	0.2811	[0.2074, 0.3687]

198

199 2b. Infection source: 20-59 years old

age group of infected cases	R	Confidence interval
--19	0.0140	[0.0120, 0.0162]
20--59	0.5392	[0.5236, 0.5550]
60--	0.1135	[0.1074, 0.1197]

200

201 2c. Infection source: >59 years old

age group of infected cases	R	Confidence interval
--19	0.0065	[0.0039, 0.0091]
20--59	0.3264	[0.3059, 0.3474]
60--	0.3991	[0.3757, 0.4229]

202

203

204 **Figure 3a. Estimated infection locations of SARS-COV-2 infected persons who**
 205 **became the infection source.**

206 **Figure 3b. Rate of estimated infection locations as specified by SARS-COV-2**
 207 **infected persons who became the infection source.**

208

209

210 Discussion

211 We investigated details of SARS-CoV-2 transmission modes in Japan. Data in this
 212 paper constitute a compilation of information of symptomatic SARS CoV-2 infected
 213 persons published by prefectures. No other summary regarding infection sources has
 214 been reported in Japan.

215 This report is the first of a study elucidating age-dependent distribution differences
 216 of infection sources for SARS-CoV-2 transmission in Japan. We assessed detailed
 217 descriptions in reports of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 confirmed cases in schools,

218 kindergartens and daycare facilities, companies and offices, etc. through July 31, 2020.
 219 The respective R_s of transmission from adults and elderly people were less than 1. The
 220 R from the underage group for adult patients confirmed to be SARS-CoV-2 positive
 221 was 1.11. Only this combination was found to be greater than 1. The R numbers in the
 222 transmission clusters for which we were able to identify the infection source were
 223 almost all less than 1.

224 The basic reproduction number R_0 of SARS-CoV-2 was first estimated as around
 225 2.2 (90% high density interval: 1.4–3.8) in China [4]. The R_0 was estimated as 2.56 in
 226 Japan, with 95% CI of [2.51, 2.96] before school closure [5]. In the case of outbreak of
 227 COVID-19 in the Princess Cruise ship, an estimate of the mean reproduction number in
 228 the confined setting reached values as high as approximately 11 [6]. The highest
 229 reproductive number was reported for the Diamond Princess Cruise Ship in Japan
 230 (14.8); the estimated summary reproductive number was 2.87 (95% CI, 2.39–3.44 [7].

231 It is noteworthy that the R numbers of underage people as infection sources from
 232 the COVID-19 patients in all age groups were lower than those of adults and elderly
 233 people. Rarity of underage people of SARS-CoV-2 transmission was found. When we
 234 identified patients as sources of SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitals, clinics and day care
 235 facilities, family members, and companies each accounted for approximately one-third

236 of the infected area. Results show that the age distribution of SARS-CoV-2 infection
237 sources reflects different social age-related activities. It became very difficult to
238 ascertain the infection source and the age group of infected persons because of the
239 dramatic increase in infection in adults. It remained in the very early stages of the
240 pandemic. Unfortunately, it was not possible to ascertain the infection source and the
241 age group of the infected person by type of labor during this pandemic. It might be
242 possible to do it after the end of the pandemic in the future, but it can not be done in
243 time as a countermeasure for the ongoing epidemic. Therefore, the purpose for this
244 study was to reach a certain conclusion for an analyzable research period.

245 The Japan Pediatric Society reported the Current Status of Medical Findings on
246 Novel Coronavirus Infections in Children on May 7, 2020. Findings up to the present
247 day for COVID-19 indicate that it is unlikely to spread in clusters at schools and at
248 group care facilities, as it does for influenza which is a severe disease that mainly
249 affects children [8]. In our study, only four probands under the age of 20 were the
250 source of infection for persons under the age of 20 with confirmed SARS-CoV-2
251 positivity (Table 1a). Although data for positive cases in schools are limited, they have
252 not demonstrated a remarkable degree of transmission. In one pediatric case, a child
253 visited three schools while symptomatic, but did not transmit the virus to any of 112

254 people who had close contact with him, suggesting that children might not be an
255 important source of virus transmission [9]. Gradually, it has been clarified that children
256 can escape SARS COV-2 infection well [10, 11].

257 Children mainly transmitted SARS-CoV-2 to family members. In fact, from a study
258 using epidemiologic data, 95.59% (65 of 68) of infected children were found to have
259 been infected through household contact with adults [12]. A study of the dynamics of
260 SARS-CoV-2 transmission within families has indicated that children are less likely to
261 show SARS-CoV-2 positive results than coresident adults are [13]. In addition to this
262 evidence, our study of cases and their infected and uninfected close contacts provide
263 key insights supporting epidemiologic studies of SARS-CoV-2. Household contacts
264 were the main transmission mode including children, but children rarely spread the
265 virus to other children and teachers at schools, kindergartens, and nursery schools. The
266 main source of infection in society is not children, but adults or senior patients with
267 SARS-CoV-2 infection. Illness and mortality rate data vary from country to country.
268 Therefore, policies can also be expected to vary. However, because this infection is
269 mainly an adult disease, infection control of this virus should be conducted specifically
270 by generation. SARS-CoV-2 infection among children is apparently controlled
271 sufficiently for school settings. Additional studies should be conducted to ascertain if

272 school life can be undertaken safely as schools open gradually. Although schools in
273 Japan have opened in earnest, household transmission was identified as a dominant
274 route of students [14]. We hope that policies will be chosen based on carefully analyzed
275 data from epidemiological surveys.

276 This study presents several important limitations. The most important limitation of
277 this paper is that it analyzes only symptomatic individuals. This is true because, to study
278 asymptomatic persons around the infected person, it is necessary to examine all the
279 contact persons of the infected person, so that only a part of the relation is invariably
280 acquired. As a result, the proportion of infected individuals is significantly lower than
281 that of symptomatic individuals. A simple sum of the two does not make sense.
282 However, it has been suggested that SARS Co-V2 is highly infectious even among
283 asymptomatic individuals. It cannot be ruled out that the asymptomatic epidemic might
284 spread in schools or nurseries if the proportion of asymptomatic children is high and
285 their infectivity is high. To confirm this point, continuous inspection of all children and
286 children at school or nursery school is necessary. No such design findings in the future
287 have been published at this time. Apparently, future consideration is necessary.
288 Second, the data we used were based solely on information obtained through interviews
289 by health center staff. Necessarily, not all information was disclosed. Analyses were

290 conducted as well as possible given the limited data which were obtained. However,
 291 there were 506 unknown cases other than the 341 determined cases as sources of
 292 infection. Moreover, we did not measure viral loads in COVID-19 patients in these
 293 transmission clusters. Further studies should be conducted based on data accumulated
 294 during a longer period until the end of the second or third wave of imported COVID-19
 295 cases in Japan. Third, because of widespread school closures during this study, most
 296 opportunities for infection among children were avoided in the first place, which might
 297 have contributed to the results. Of course, it cannot be denied that the chances of
 298 infection among children might have decreased because of school closures and closures
 299 of kindergartens. However, contact opportunities at home do not change because of
 300 these closures, or by refraining from going out. Rather, the frequency of contact over
 301 the ages would probably increase. In addition, contact opportunities outside of schools,
 302 kindergartens, and nursery schools were not eliminated completely. It is noteworthy that
 303 the examination in this paper under such circumstances is an interpretation. Therefore,
 304 the conclusions in this paper will not actually hold true when schools or nurseries are
 305 reopened. However, the virus is expected to be spread mainly among adults.

306 Although the only R higher than 1 was found to be that of underage people showing
 307 the direction of transmission to adults, underage people constituted only a minority of

308 infected cases. Adults and elderly people were widely infected with SARS-CoV-2. The
 309 main source of SARS-CoV-2 infection is not children, but rather adults and elderly
 310 people infected with SARS-CoV-2. Establishment of new age-dependent isolation
 311 strategies to avoid spreading the viral infection are highly anticipated. Because adults
 312 transmit the disease easily to humans, if an adult infected person is found, an adult close
 313 contact who is likely to become severely infected should be examined. If infected, an
 314 isolation policy is taken. However, children are less likely to become severely ill and are
 315 less likely to transmit the virus to similarly underaged people and elderly people. For
 316 that reason, their isolation policy warrants some consideration. Only children with close
 317 contact to an infected underage person with SARS-CoV-2 should be isolated and
 318 inspected. Further studies are now being conducted based on data from COVID-19
 319 cases accumulated over a longer period of time in Japan.

320

321 **Acknowledgments**

322 We are grateful to all patients who contributed to this study and to their families, as well
323 as staff of public health centers nationwide, who helped collect patient information.

324

325 **Conflict of Interest Disclosure (includes financial disclosure):** No author has any
326 conflict of interest to disclose.

327

328 **Funding/Support:** This research benefited from no specific grant from any funding
329 agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

330

331

332

333 **References**

334

335 1. Commissioners TWUL. After COVID-19, a future for the world's children? *Lancet*. 2020;396(10247):

336 298-300. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31481-1. pmid: 32622373.

337 2. Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare. The novel coronavirus infection – Domestic outbreak situation

338 2020 [cited 2020 31 July 2020]. Available from:

339 https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000164708_00001.html#kokunai2hassei.

340 3. Viner RM, Russell SJ, Croker H, Packer J, Ward J, Stansfield C, et al. School closure and management

341 practices during coronavirus outbreaks including COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *Lancet Child*

342 *Adolesc Health*. 2020;4(5): 397-404. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30095-X. pmid: 32272089.

343 4. Riou J, Althaus CL. Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019 novel coronavirus

344 (2019-nCoV), December 2019 to January 2020. *Euro Surveill*. 2020;25(4). doi:

345 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.4.2000058. pmid: 32019669.

346 5. Kurita J, Sugawara T, Ohkusa Y. Estimated effectiveness of school closure and voluntary event

347 cancellation as COVID-19 countermeasures in Japan. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy: Official*

348 *Journal of the Japan Society of Chemotherapy*. 2021;27(1): 62-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jiac.2020.08.012.

349 pmid: 32896479.

350 6. Mizumoto K, Chowell G. Transmission potential of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) onboard the

351 Diamond Princess Cruise Ship, 2020. Infect Dis Model. 2020;5: 264-270. doi:
352 10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.003. pmid: 32190785.

353 7. Billah MA, Miah MM, Khan MN. Reproductive number of coronavirus: A systematic review and
354 meta-analysis based on global level evidence. PLoS One. 2020;15(11): e0242128. Epub 2020/11/12.
355 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0242128. PubMed pmid: 33175914.

356 8. Japan Pediatric Society VAIDCC. Current status of medical findings on novel coronavirus infections
357 in children 2020 [updated 20 May 2020; cited 2020 22 June 2020]. Available from:
358 http://www.jpeds.or.jp/uploads/files/20200520corona_igakutekikenchi.pdf.

359 9. Danis K, Epaulard O, Benet T, Gaymard A, Campoy S, Botelho-Nevers E, et al. Cluster of
360 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the French Alps, February 2020. Clin Infect Dis.
361 2020;71(15): 825-832. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa424. pmid: 32277759.

362 10. Nogrady B. How kids' immune systems can evade COVID. Nature. 2020;588(7838): 382. doi:
363 10.1038/d41586-020-03496-7. pmid: 33303982.

364 11. Weisberg SP, Connors TJ, Zhu Y, Baldwin MR, Lin WH, Wontakal S, et al. Distinct antibody
365 responses to SARS-CoV-2 in children and adults across the COVID-19 clinical spectrum. Nat
366 Immunol. 2021;22(1): 25-31. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00826-9. pmid: 33154590.

367 12. Wu Q, Xing Y, Shi L, Li W, Gao Y, Pan S, et al. Coinfection and Other Clinical Characteristics of
368 COVID-19 in Children. Pediatrics. 2020;146(1): e20200961. doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-0961. pmid:

369 32376725.

370 13. Somekh E, Gleyzer A, Heller E, Lopian M, Kashani-Ligumski L, Czeiger S, et al. The Role of
371 Children in the Dynamics of Intra Family Coronavirus 2019 Spread in Densely Populated Area.
372 *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2020;39(8): e202-e4. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002783. pmid: 32496407.

373 14. Wada K, Okabe N, Shobugawa Y. Infection and transmission of COVID-19 among students and
374 teachers in schools in Japan after the reopening in June 2020. *BMJ Paediatrics Open.* 2020;4(1):
375 e000854. doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2020-000854.

376

377

Figure 3a. Presumed areas of transmission %

Figure 3b. Presumed areas of transmission: Excluding unknown cases

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive cases (day)

Figure 2. Status of SARS-COV-2 outbreaks
15 Jan - 27 Oct 2020