

Supplementary Methods

Structure of the model - Infection dynamics

A new case is infected at some **exposure time**, equal to zero if the case is an index case and otherwise drawn from the **generation time distribution** of its parent case (see below). If not asymptomatic, the case develops symptoms at some **onset time** drawn from an **incubation time distribution**. Asymptomatic cases do not develop symptoms, but are still assigned an onset time for the purpose of determining their generation-time distribution (see below).

The number of child cases infected by the case is drawn from a negative binomial distribution, with mean equal to the appropriate reproduction number (see below) and heterogeneity determined by the overdispersion parameter k . The exposure times of these child cases are drawn from a skewed-normal **generation time distribution** centered on the symptom onset of their parent⁴⁰, with an SD parameter (ω) of 2 and a skew parameter (α) chosen to give a pre-specified probability of pre-symptomatic transmission (for a symptomatic parent) (Table S1 & Fig. S38). The generation time distribution for an asymptomatic parent is centered on its “effective” onset time (see above). The shape of the generation-time distribution is the same for all cases.

The expected number of children produced by a case depends on its symptomatic status, and is determined by the overall R_0 value, the proportion of asymptomatic carriers p_{asym} , and the relative infectiousness x_{asym} of asymptomatic carriers (expressed as a fraction of R_0). Given a reproduction number for asymptomatics of $R_{asym} = R_0 \cdot x_{asym}$, the reproduction number of symptomatic cases that produces the desired overall R_0 is given by $R_{sym} = R_{asym} \cdot \frac{p_{asym}}{1-p_{asym}}$.

Structure of the model - Infection control

Once symptoms develop, a case is **identified** by public health authorities with probability p_{isol} , with the delay from onset to identification drawn from a **delay distribution**. Identified cases are instructed to isolate, and each case complies with that order with probability p_{comply} . Cases that comply with isolation generate no further child cases after their time of identification; cases that do not are unaffected. Asymptomatic cases cannot be identified from symptoms, but may be identified via contact tracing from other cases (see below); once identified, they are instructed to isolate as above. Tracing can also cause symptomatic cases to be isolated earlier than they would be from symptoms alone.

An identified case is **tested**, which takes time drawn from a test time distribution and returns a positive result with probability equal to the sensitivity of the test (since the model does not consider uninfected individuals, the specificity of the test is also not considered). For asymptomatic cases, or symptomatic cases identified prior to symptom onset, a positive test result is required to initiate contact tracing; symptomatic cases that have already developed symptoms can either be traced immediately upon identification, or require a positive test result prior to tracing, depending on model settings.

Whether before or after a test result is obtained, the contacts of an identified case can also be **traced**. Tracing can only proceed outward from a case if they share their contact history with a manual contact tracer (see below). Tracing can identify the children of the traced case (forward tracing) or its parent (reverse/backward tracing). The speed and success probability of tracing depends on several factors:

- If the contact between the trace originator and the tracee occurred environmentally (determined with probability p_{env}), tracing cannot take place.
- If transmission was not environmental, the contact can be traced manually if:
 - The trace originator shares their contact history with a manual contact tracer (determined independently for each individual case with probability p_{share_manual});
 - The time between contact (as above) and the identification time or symptom onset of the trace initiator (whichever came first) is less than the **contact-tracing window** of the manual tracing system;
 - The tracee is successfully traced by the contact tracer (determined independently for each individual case with probability p_{trace_manual}).
- Otherwise, then the trace fails and the tracee is not traced.

Cases that are successfully traced are identified at a time equal to the **trace initiation time** of the trace originator plus a delay time drawn from the appropriate **trace delay distribution**. Identified contacts are quarantined, with an effect identical to isolation and governed by the same compliance variable (i.e. a case either complies with both quarantine and isolation, or neither). Quarantined cases identified through tracing can then be isolated, tested, and traced as described above. If a case is isolated through tracing earlier than they would have been otherwise, child cases whose exposure time would be later than their parent's new isolation time are eliminated, as are their descendents.

Run initiation and termination

A simulation of an outbreak under the branching-process model is initialised with a given number of index cases (by default 20, in order to reduce the probability of stochastic elimination) and proceeds generation by generation until either no further child cases are generated (extinction) or the run exceeds one of:

1. A **cumulative case limit** of 10,000 cases, reached if the total number of cases ever exceeded that number, or
2. A **time limit** of 52 weeks, reached if the latest exposure time across all cases ever exceeded that number.

In practice, virtually all runs either went extinct or reached the cumulative case limit; across all scenarios tested for all datasets used in Figures 2-4, the overall percentage of runs that terminated as a result of exceeding the time limit was less than 0.02%, and the highest percentage observed for any single scenario was 1.3%. The cumulative case limit, meanwhile, was selected to minimise the chance of a run that would otherwise go extinct being terminated prematurely while preserving computational tractability; in

test runs with a cumulative case limit of 100,000 cases, fewer than 2% of extinct runs in any scenario had a cumulative case count of over 10,000.

A terminated run was deemed “controlled” if it reached extinction, and uncontrolled otherwise. The control rate for a scenario was computed as the proportion of runs for that scenario that were controlled. 95% credible intervals on the control rate were computed by beta-binomial conjugacy under a $Beta(1, 1)$ uniform prior, as the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the beta distribution $Beta(1 + k, 1 + n - k)$, where n is the total number of runs for that scenario and k is the number of controlled runs. Effective reproduction numbers were computed as the mean number of child cases produced across all cases in a run, averaged across all runs in the scenario. For main figures, 1000 runs were performed per scenario; for figures, either 500 or 1000 runs were performed, as specified in the figure captions.