Age-seroprevalence curves for the multi-strain structure of influenza A virus

Dao Nguyen Vinh¹, Nguyen Thi Duy Nhat^{1,2,3}, Erwin de Bruin⁴, Nguyen Ha Thao Vy¹, Tran Thi Nhu Thao¹, Huynh Thi Phuong¹, Pham Hong Anh¹, Stacy Todd^{1,5,6}, Tran Minh Quan¹, Nguyen Thi Le Thanh¹, Nguyen Thi Nam Lien⁷, Nguyen Thi Hong Ha⁸, Tran Thi Kim Hong⁹, Pham Quang Thai¹⁰, Marc Choisy^{1,2}, Tran Dang Nguyen³, Cameron P Simmons¹¹, Guy E Thwaites^{1,2}, Hannah E Clapham^{1,2,12}, Nguyen Van Vinh Chau¹³, Marion Koopmans⁴, Maciej F Boni^{1,2,3}

¹ Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Wellcome Trust Major Overseas Programme, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

² Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

³ Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA

⁴ Department of Viroscience, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, Netherlands

⁵ Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom

⁶ Tropical and Infectious Disease Unit, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool

⁷ Hue Provincial Hospital, Thua Thien Hue province, Vietnam

⁸ Khanh Hoa Provincial Hospital, Nha Trang, Vietnam

⁹ Dak Lak General Hospital, Buon Ma Thuot, Vietnam

¹⁰ National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Hanoi, Vietnam

¹¹ Institute of Vector Borne Disease, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

¹² Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore

¹³ Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Key Words: influenza, seroepidemiology, age-seroprevalence, dimensionality reduction, multi-strain, tropics

Date of this Draft: January 3, 2021

Word Count: 4250

Correspondence

Maciej F Boni

Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics

Department of Biology

Pennsylvania State University

University Park, PA, 16802, USA

Tel + 1 814 867 4651

Abstract

The relationship between age and seroprevalence provides the simplest and least expensive approach to computing the annual attack rate of an infectious disease. However, many pathogens circulate as multiple serologically distinct strains, with no single assay able to determine seropositivity or seronegativity to an entire clade or family of co-circulating pathogens. An approach is needed to describe population exposure to an antigenically variable group of pathogens without focusing on any particular strain or serotype in the group. In this study, we focus on the two-subtype multi-strain taxonomy of human influenza A virus. We describe a data set of 24,402 general-population serum samples collected in central and southern Vietnam between 2009 to 2015, and assayed for influenza HA1 antibodies to eleven different strains of human influenza A (both H3 and H1 subtypes). We find that a principal components decomposition of the data results in the first principal component PC1 being an appropriate surrogate for seroprevalence (or composite antibody titer) which can be further decomposed for H1 and H3 contribution to the serological profile. Using this approach, we are able to provide the first ELISA-based standardized measurements of serology to reconstruct population exposure history, which correlates well with known influenza epidemiology. Annual attack rates in Vietnam are estimated at 25.6% (95% CI: 24.1% – 27.1%) for H3 and 16.0% (95% CI: 14.7% – 17.3%) for H1, with some variation in location-specific attack rates. The remaining principal components act as descriptors of influenza history and sort the population by birth year. The novel contributions of this analysis are (1) the introduction of dimensionality reduction on human antibody profiles to construct an age-seroprevalence relationship for an antigenically variable pathogen, (2) an analysis of >24,000 individuals, using >260,000 serological data points in total, allowing us to construct age-seroprevalence relationships with the precision of modern big data studies, and (3) a description of long-term attack rates in a non-vaccinated setting showing the natural history of influenza A virus in a densely-populated tropical country.

1 Introduction

The age-seroprevalence relationship is a basic epidemiological tool for understanding annual incidence and age-specific susceptibility of an infectious disease. There are two basic serological approaches for assessing the relationship between age and seroprevalence. Using long-term field studies, one can measure age-specific annual attack rates of a pathogen and infer what the resulting stable age-seroprevalence relationship should be based on the population's demographic parameters. Alternatively, using a single population cross-section, an age-seroprevalence curve can be inferred directly from the individuals' serological status, classified on a binary, discrete, or continuous scale. With both of these approaches, it is necessary to assume that exposure to the pathogen is constant in either time or age^{1,2}.

Multi-strain pathogens, however, present a challenge for the inference of age-seroprevalence relationships as infection with one strain typically triggers antibodies that cross-react against other strains. Strain-specific antibodies, like those binding to the host cell receptor binding domain of the influenza A virus particle, wane over time³⁻⁵, potentially leading to underestimates of exposure when the estimates are based on assays that measure recent strain-specific antibodies. As a result, none of the single-strain age-seroprevalence curves presents an accurate history of pathogen circulation in a given population. For human influenza A virus, the existence of cross-reactions among different influenza strains or variants is well understood, as within-subtype cross-reactions among different strains are carefully characterized whenever a new strain emerges. An individual infected with an influenza strain in the year 2000 will have an antibody response that partially binds to or partially neutralizes (depending on the serological assay) influenza viruses circulating in 1995 or 2005; the strength of the cross-reaction wanes with increasing temporal distance between the strains, and it is known that antibodies to strains isolated closer together in time will cross-react more strongly than antibodies to strains isolated further apart in time⁶⁻⁹. A second important feature of influenza epidemiology and evolution that makes it challenging to understand age-seroprevalence relationships is that individuals of different ages will have been exposed to a different set of influenza strains. Older individuals will have been exposed to more strains than younger individuals,

and some of these strains will have gone extinct before some of the younger individuals were born. Again, using a single influenza strain to generate an age-seroprevalence curve is not a solution to this problem, as only certain age-bands of individuals will have been exposed to any particular strain. Indeed, age-seroprevalence relationships reported for influenza virus typically yield insight into the age-specific and time-specific patterns of infection of different strains and subtypes, but they do not have a monotonically increasing, saturating shape and cannot be used to estimate annual influenza seroincidence¹⁰⁻¹⁴.

The rationale for constructing a general (i.e. not strain-specific) age-seroprevalence curve for influenza A virus is to infer long-run average attack rates, rather than the season-specific attack rates typically measured in cohort studies¹³⁻¹⁶ and placebo arms in vaccine trials¹⁷⁻²². Serological studies performing inference on attack rates may also be limited by measurement errors²³, an inability to distinguish vaccinees from recently infected individuals, and an inability to distinguish individuals infected within the last year from those infected more than a year ago. Currently, the best methods for computing long-term attack rates of seasonal influenza are from large multi-strain serological analyses with inference on antibody responses, boosting, and waning^{24,25}, or exceptional data sets that present >10 years of surveillance^{26,27}.

Finally, in this study, we focus on influenza age-seroprevalence relationship in the tropics, as seasonal influenza attack rates are generally not known for tropical countries. One reason for the lack of measurement is an inability to identify a tropical influenza season²⁸⁻³⁵ if one exists. Our study location is central and southern Vietnam. As annual influenza vaccine coverage in Vietnam is below 0.8% for our study period³⁶, the age-seroprevalence relationships presented here are the naturally accumulated age-antibody profiles in a population continually exposed to influenza virus with nearly no influenza vaccination.

2 Methods

Data. Serum samples used for this analysis come from a serum bank established in 2009 in southern Vietnam and maintained for the purposes of measuring exposure and seroincidence to a range of pathogens³⁶⁻⁴². Every two months or every four months (depending on the site), 200 residual serum samples

are collected from the haematology or biochemistry departments of ten major public hospitals: the Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City, Hue Central Hospital in the city of Hue, Khanh Hoa General Hospital in Nha Trang, Dak Lak Provincial Hospital in Buon Ma Thuot city, Soc Trang General Hospital, Dong Thap General Hospital, Kien Giang General Hospital, Binh Dinh General Hospital, Quang Ngai General Hospital, An Giang General Hospital (Figure 1). Approximately 7800 samples are collected each year and the samples are believed to represent the hospital-going population or general population in their respective provinces. For further details on sample collection see Nhat et al³⁶. A total of 35,688 serum samples collected between 2009 and 2015 were selected for this analysis. The study was approved by the Scientific and Ethical Committee of the Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee at the University of Oxford.

Samples were tested for IgG antibodies to the HA1 region of the influenza virus haemagglutinin protein for eleven different human influenza A viruses by a protein microarray^{40,43,44}, five strains of the H1 subtype and six strains of the H3 subtype (Table 1). Samples from individuals younger than six months of age were excluded from the analysis to avoid assigning maternal antibody profiles to infants. The data set uses decimal ages based on information reported at the hospital; e.g. a 12-year old is coded as 12.5 and a 6-week-old is coded as 0.12. After excluding individuals younger than six months and samples that did not have computable titers for all eleven antigens (Nhat et al³⁶, supplement, section 1.1), 11,286 samples were excluded for a total of 24,402 samples to be used in this analysis. The collection times of the samples are shown in Figure S1.

Clustering. Clustering of serological profiles was performed with a principal components analysis (PCA) (Matlab, R2019b, Mathworks, USA). PCA was performed on a 24402×11 log-titer matrix with the Matlab function “pca”, which derives the principal component basis vectors through singular value decomposition. Titer values are all measured on the same scale thus rescaling titer values was unnecessary. The first principal component was interpreted as a surrogate of seroprevalence (see *Results* section). To determine if the magnitudes of the principal components corresponded to infection history, we sorted

individuals by their largest magnitude (i.e. absolute value) principal component, looking at positive and negative components separately.

Likelihood Inference. To estimate attack rates, we performed separate PCAs for three different locations (Ho Chi Minh City, Hue, Khanh Hoa) and the two human influenza subtypes, H3N2 and H1N1. Taking the first principal component (PC1) as a surrogate for weighted exposure to H3N2 or H1N1 strains, we fit the PC1 value as a function of age to estimate the annual location-specific attack rates of subtypes H3N2 and H1N1 using the relationship

$$y(a) = H - Ke^{-\lambda a} \quad (1)$$

where $y(a)$ is seroprevalence as a function of age, and H , K , and λ are fitted parameters using a simple exponential distribution with an individual's PC1 value counting as one data point. The H and K parameters are necessary to infer the minimum and saturating maximum of the PC1 curve as PC1 does not span the range zero to one as seroprevalence does. Optimization was done with a Nelder-Mead routine. The annual attack rate can be computed as follows

$$AR = 1 - e^{-\lambda} \quad (2)$$

and 95% confidence intervals were obtained through likelihood profiling. All analyses were conducted in Matlab. Attack rate analysis focused on three sites that had a sufficient number of samples from children under five: Ho Chi Minh City ($n=1301$ samples from children <5), Khanh Hoa ($n=358$), and Hue ($n=383$).

Validation. To validate that PC1 is an appropriate surrogate for seroprevalence, an individual-based epidemic simulation was developed in C++ ([Supplementary Materials Section 1](#)). The simulation mimics the non-seasonal patterns of influenza A/H3N2 cases in Vietnam over a 10-year period^{30,45}, and uses influenza susceptibility data, antibody response data, and antibody waning data (measured with the same protein microarray) from a concurrently run clinical study run in Ho Chi Minh City from 2013 to 2015^{46,47}. Simulations of 500,000 individuals are run with fixed attack rates ranging from 5% to 30%, and 6700 samples are taken at the exact sampling times (from 2010 to 2015) as in the serum collections for Ho

Chi Minh City. A PCA is done on each simulated data set, and attack rate inference using equation (1) is done on the age and PC1 vectors.

3 Results

Principal Components Structure of Antibody Profiles. A principal components decomposition of 11 antibody titers measured for 24,402 individuals resulted in the first principal component (PC1) explaining 60.4% of the variance in the data and the second principal component (PC2) explaining an additional 16.5% of the variance. Figure 2A shows the eleven unit vectors $\vec{e}_i$ corresponding to the eleven antigens in the assay projected onto PC1-PC2 space (all loadings shown in Figure S6). When the unit vectors $\vec{e}_i$ in the original (re-centered) 11-dimensional titer space are mapped to the basis vectors $\vec{v}_i$ of the transformed PC-space, the first coordinate (first principal component) of the $\vec{v}_i$ is always positive, with a maximum 1.2-fold difference in magnitude among the eleven antigens, a consequence of a larger variance and range in H3 titers than in H1 titers. This also indicates that the first principal component is a positive-weighted sum of titers to all antigens, suggesting that it can be used as a general measure of exposure and immunogenicity across all strains. We interpret PC1 as an indicator of seroprevalence in this analysis and note that as a continuous indicator it is more aptly viewed as a relative probability of exposure (or recent exposure) rather than a binary indicator of having been exposed or not. Although any positive-weighted sum of titer values can be assigned the meaning seroprevalence in a multi-strain epidemiological setting, the derivation of PC1 in a PCA accounts for the fact that some antigens generate higher antibody titers than others, either because this is a property of the assay or because the viruses were truly more immunogenic in natural infections. The second coordinates (second principal component) of the basis vectors $\vec{v}_i$ are positive exactly when $\vec{e}_i$ corresponds to an H1N1 subtype, and negative otherwise, indicating that the second principal component can be used to distinguish relative exposure to subtypes H1N1 and H3N2.

A serological age-progression of the Vietnamese general population is shown in Figure 3 on the first two principal component axes. The graphs are broken up into 1-year age bands through age 12 and broader age categories thereafter, shown as density plots with darker colors indicating a higher density of

individuals in a particular region of PC1-PC2 space. The PC1 axis corresponds to general exposure to influenza virus; note that PC1 values can be negative because all titer values are recentered around zero during principal components decomposition. The PC2 axis shows relative exposure to H1N1 strains (positive values) or H3N2 strains (negative values). The left-most points in the principal-components space correspond to naïve individuals (no H3 or H1 infection history) and the right-most points correspond to individuals that have maximum titers for all strains. The most striking feature of [Figure 3](#) is the consistent change observed in individuals in the early age classes, which shows influenza antibody acquisition in PC1-PC2 space for individuals aged six months to 12 years, even though this last age group may have lived through the circulation of three different H1 strains and five different H3 strains. In the early years of infection, individuals with H1 exposure only or H3 exposure only can be identified on the left edges of the diamond shape that makes up PC1-PC2 space (shown as a scatterplot in [Figure S11](#)).

The first principal component allows for a generalized way to describe current average antibody level to influenza A virus, without having to specify a particular strain or subtype ([Figure 2D](#)). The largest change in PC1 can be seen in the first ten years of life as children acquire their first influenza infection and generate a serological response. The value of PC1 appears to decline after age 10 (possibly an effect of original antigenic sin⁴⁸⁻⁵¹) and again for older individuals (>50) which may be a consequence of weaker immune/antibody responses in older individuals^{52,53}. Differentiation in PC2 reveals some of the history of influenza pandemics in the twentieth century ([Figure 2E](#)). Individuals born before 1957 ($n = 4054$) have the strongest titer responses to subtype H1 influenza, as do individuals born in 2009 or later ($n = 1580$), after the 2009 H1N1 pandemic virus emerged. Individuals born in the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s generally have antibody titers that are more specific to H3N2 viruses ([Figure 2E](#)), as these individuals were children when H3N2 subtypes were more prevalent. The higher H3N2 responses in this group probably reflect both (i) the generally higher antibody titers associated with H3N2 infections and (ii) original antigenic sin resulting from H3N2 infection in early childhood. Individuals born in the 1950s and 1960s are the most interesting with respect to order of subtype exposure as some would have experienced their early influenza exposures during the 1957-1967 gap when only H2N2 subtype viruses were circulating globally. None of

the principal components distinguish this birth cohort particularly well; with no expected signal of original antigenic sin, these individuals' serological profiles likely represent a combined history of H1, H2, and H3 influenza infections.

The third principal component (PC3) breaks the H3N2 and H1N1 subtypes into further subgroups (Figures 2B,2C), which is also reflected in the age-related breakdown showing a strong contribution of H3 in the signal for those born between 1965 and 2009 (Figure 2F), and the reverse during earlier and later time periods. For H3N2, the main qualitative feature of this structure is that responses to the 1968 H3N2 virus are unique – an expected outcome as these would only be seen strongly in individuals infected from 1968 to the early 1970s and should be independent from the occurrence of infection during the years 2003 to 2011. Subtype H3N2 viruses from 2007 to 2011 cluster together antigenically, and H3N2 viruses from 2003 and 2005 cluster together in a separate group. The third principal component also divides H1N1 viruses into two groups: one group that is antigenically similar to the 1918 Spanish influenza virus (H1-1918, H1-2009, and H1-1977) and a second group that represents the inter-pandemic circulation of H1N1 viruses in the 1990s and 2000s (Figure 2B). The H1-1977 virus is the obvious outlier in the first group, and the fourth principal component distinguishes it from the 1918 and 2009 H1N1 strains (Figure 2C).

In general, the principal components neatly sort individuals into birth cohorts (Figure S8), something that is not achieved by looking at antibody titers in isolation (Figure S7). Figure 4 shows histograms of maximum antibody titer by birth year (Figure 4A), largest magnitude positive principal component by birth year (Figure 4B), and largest magnitude negative principal component by birth year (Figure 4C). PC1 is excluded from these analyses as it only corresponds to exposure without discriminating among antigens. If maximum magnitude is in PC2, this shows that an individual's antibody profile is dominated either by H1N1 exposures (if the component is positive and has largest absolute value, as in Figure 4B) or H3N2 exposures (if the component is negative and has largest absolute value, as in Figure 4C). As expected, individuals born after 2009 are likely to have an antibody profile dominated by a large and positive PC2, and individuals born between 1968 and 2009 are likely to have an antibody profile dominated by a large and negative PC2. Antibody profiles of individuals born in the 1980s and 1990s are

dominated by a positive PC3, which corresponds to antibody specificity to H1-1999 and H1-2007. Individuals born after 2009 will also have a large and positive PC4 and a large and negative PC5; these correspond to exposure to H1-2009 and to H3-2009/H3-2011, respectively. Dominant antigen groupings are also readily visible in [Figure 4A](#), but the interpretations are susceptible to (i) the misreading of cross-reactions, e.g. individuals born after 2009 showing reactions to H1-1918, and (ii) confusing high antigen reactivity with infection history (e.g. individuals born in the 1970s and 1980s being dominated by an antibody response to H3-2003).

Annual Attack Rates. The clearest signal of infection history in our data comes in the form of PC1 correlating to presence or absence of past influenza infection, allowing us to treat PC1 (a positive-weighted sum of antibody titers) as a proxy for seroprevalence. In general, for a titer vector $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ of n co-circulating and non-cross-reacting pathogens, the first principal component will be proportional to the “total number of pathogen exposures by age a ” in a graph of PC1 against age. For a titer vector $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ of n completely cross-reacting pathogens, PC1 will be proportional to the “probability of having been exposed to any of the n pathogens.” These are the two boundary situations, but for intermediate cross-reactivity there is no easily analogous interpretation. In our data, the average cross-reactivity among neighboring H3-strains (strains that are two years apart) can be described by a standard Pearson correlation of $\sigma = 0.88$, which shows that within a subtype neighboring strains can be viewed as highly cross-reacting.

To assess the accuracy of using PC1 as a proxy for seroprevalence, we built an individual-based simulation of influenza infection, antibody acquisition, antibody waning, and back boosting⁵⁴ ([Supplementary Materials, Section 1](#)). Comparing simulated attack rates to median PCA-inferred attack rates shows that the inferred attack rates are within 5% of their simulated values ([Figures S2 and S3](#)); however, the stochastic nature of the simulation and the large variance in simulation outcomes does not allow us to affirm that our PCA-based estimator is unbiased. Crucially, there is no serologically measured long-term attack rate for influenza in Vietnam against which to validate our approach.

Separating the titer data by subtype to avoid having to account for low or intermediate cross-reactions between subtypes, we carry out two separate PCAs for H1 and H3, and infer the attack rates for

each subtype separately (Figure S9). Optimizing the likelihood in equation (1), maximum-likelihood estimates for the annual attack rate are $AR_{H1} = 16.0\%$ (95% CI: 14.7% – 17.3%) and $AR_{H3} = 25.6\%$ (95% CI: 24.1% – 27.1%). The location-specific annual attack rates (Figure 5) did not vary much from these estimates except for the attack rate of H1N1 in Hue which was estimated at 21.6% (95% CI: 18.4% – 26.1%). There were no reports of Hue experiencing a larger pandemic wave³⁰ (or subsequent H1N1 epidemics) than other locations in Vietnam. However, variation in reporting patterns and asymptomatic/sub-clinical infection may occur⁵⁵, thus we cannot exclude the possibility that Hue experienced a higher rate of H1N1 infections than Khanh Hoa or Ho Chi Minh City.

4 Discussion

An important challenge in serological analyses of antigenically variable pathogen families is constructing a surrogate measure for seroprevalence that takes antibody cross-reactions and differential immunogenicity into account. The approach we propose here is dimensionality reduction across strains. By taking the first principal component of a data set of n individuals whose antibodies have been measured to m different antigens, we reduce an m -dimensional data set to a single dimension (PC1) which we use as a proxy for seroprevalence. With sufficient and representative age sampling in the younger age classes, the first principal component of such a data set should be a positive-weighted sum of an individual's antibody titers, with the weights adjusting for the higher titers or immunogenicities of some antigens when compared to others. The main challenge with this approach is validation of PC1 as a quantity that is in fact proportional to cumulative probability of exposure. In principle, this could be done with a cohort study run across enough influenza seasons to allow a long-term average attack rates to be measured. In practice, cohort studies like this are not common, and the task would be impossible for a data set of the size we present here. Despite this lack of direct validation with a known attack rate in the same locations and age groups, we can show that the long-term exposure patterns inferred using a PCA approach are consistent with known descriptions of influenza epidemiology and influenza attack rates^{15,24–26,56–58}.

The estimates presented in this paper show that the average annual attack rate of influenza in Vietnam ranges from 13.4% to 21.6% for H1N1 and from 25.0% to 27.5% for H3N2. These ranges are consistent in their relative magnitudes, with H3N2 having a higher annual attack rate than H1N1¹³, and in the implied age of first infection (ages 3-6) observed in cross-sectional data analyzed with traditional serology⁵⁹. A second point of consistency is that peak PC1-value (i.e. peak weighted antibody titer) in the Vietnamese data occurs for individuals around age 10, approximately the age group predicted to have highest antibody titers resulting from original antigenic sin (OAS)⁵¹. Our analysis was not designed to detect the effects of OAS, and the appearance of OAS in the PCA lends further credence to PC1 as an appropriate measure of composite titer or seroprevalence for influenza A virus.

Despite the concordance with field data, further progress is needed on the theoretical justification and *in silico* validation of using PC1 as a marker of seroprevalence. The key theoretical question revolves around the interpretation of PC1 as it relates to the immune profile of an individual. Certainly, the neighbor-to-neighbor inter-strain cross-reactivity parameter σ is influential in this interpretation as it affects whether an antibody profile represents a small number of past infections (high σ) or a large number of past infections (low σ). The next analytical step in this principal components approach will likely require creating a σ -adjusted PC1 so that it more accurately captures the presence or number of past infections. An *in silico* validation approach could be used to test whether PC1 or a related construct is unbiased as an estimator of seroprevalence, but this simulation approach would itself need to be validated against field data. Specifically, the age distribution of influenza cases (symptomatic) is well known but the age-distribution of infections (symptomatic and asymptomatic combined) is reported much less frequently. In addition, in a simulation, one needs to know how an antibody profile affects a person's likelihood of becoming an influenza infection, but clinical studies most commonly measure the effect of an antibody titer on becoming an influenza case. Simulation approaches would then need to focus on titer profiles, protection from infection, and an accounting of all influenza infections across age groups.

As with any surrogate for seroprevalence, PC1 is limited in that it only provides distinguishing information for the younger age groups (here, <10). The teenage and adult age groups have similar values

of PC1, indicating that everyone older than ten is likely to have been exposed to influenza virus. In fact, the bottom six panels of [Figure 3](#) show that individuals' antibody profiles do not change much after an individual is likely to have been exposed to both H3N2 and H1N1 viruses; certain age groups will have high titers to viruses they were exposed to in childhood, but these exposure profiles are not qualitatively distinguishable from each other using PC1 alone. The utility of performing a PCA analysis on this general-population serological data set from central and southern Vietnam lies in its location (tropical) and vaccination history (nearly none); reconstructing the natural age-seroprevalence relationship allows us to measure influenza A attack rates in a part of the world where influenza persists year-round and does not cause regular or predictable epidemics^{28-30,60}.

Moving beyond the first principal component, the remaining components give us an indication of serological/antigenic relatedness among strains ([Figure 2A-C](#)). Although this relatedness is straightforward to characterize with sequencing and phylogenetic methods, a serological relatedness signal (more labor-intensive in its construction^{6,8}) is more appropriately founded on the virus's phenotype rather than its genotype. As expected, these serological relatedness signals show that the 2003 and 2005 H3N2 strains cluster together; that the 2007, 2009, and 2011 H3N2 variants are related; and that the 1968 H3N2 is serologically distinct from H3N2 strains circulating after 2003. For the H1N1 subtypes, the 1999 H1N1 and 2007 H1N1 (both 1977 lineage) cluster with each other, while there are no obvious serological relationships among the 2009 H1N1 pandemic strain, the 1977 Russian flu, and the 1918 Spanish flu (these viruses cluster with each other in the first three principal components and are separated by the fourth). For the population, the primary utility of the higher principal components is the sorting of serological responses by birth cohort, which has already been found to influence vaccine efficacy⁶¹⁻⁶³, subsequent symptomatic infection⁶³⁻⁶⁵, back boosting⁶⁶, and hospitalization and mortality⁶⁴.

The promise of large-scale serology is that certain traditional epidemiological variables – attack rate, age-specific susceptibility, cross-reactivity – will be able to be measured with higher precision. As large-scale multi-antigen serological approaches like this one become more common, it will become important to include field study components that allow for validation of results obtained from cross-

sectional data alone. The present approach taken for influenza virus should be expanded to other well-characterized multi-strain pathogens such as dengue^{67,68}, norovirus^{69–71}, and pneumococcus^{72,73} to share lessons on which inferential methods and study designs are most appropriate for the precise and robust estimation of a broad range of epidemiological quantities.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust Grant 089276/B/09/7 (DNV, NTDT, PHA, GET), a Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale Fellowship and Enhancement Award (MFB, NHTV, TTNT, HTP, PHA, NTLT, HEC), Wellcome Trust Grant 097465/B/11/Z (ST), by a British Medical Association HC Roscoe Award (2011–2014). CPS is funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia. MK and EdB are funded by Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture, and Innovation, Castellum Project. Thanks to Theo Pepler for valuable comments.

Data Availability

Data and code are posted publicly at <https://github.com/bonilab/seroepi-02FL-influenza-vietnam-PCA>.

References

1. Boni, M. F., Mølbak, K. & Krogfelt, K. A. Inferring the time of infection from serological data. in *The Handbook of Infectious Disease Data Analysis* (eds. Held, L., Hens, N., O'Neill, P. & Wallinga, J.) Taylor & Francis, 2020.
2. Ferguson, N. M., Donnelly, C. A. & Anderson, R. M. Transmission dynamics and epidemiology of dengue: insights from age-stratified sero-prevalence surveys. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London B* **354**, 757–768 (1999).
3. Hsu, J. P. *et al.* Rate of decline of antibody titers to pandemic influenza A (H1N1-2009) by hemagglutination inhibition and virus microneutralization assays in a cohort of seroconverting adults in Singapore. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **14**, 414 (2014).
4. Zhao, X., Ning, Y., Chen, M. I.-C. & Cook, A. R. Individual and population trajectories of influenza antibody titers over multiple seasons in a tropical country. *Am. J. Epid.* **187**, 143–143 (2017).

5. Ranjeva, S. *et al.* Age-specific differences in the dynamics of protective immunity to influenza. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1660 (2019).
6. Bedford, T. *et al.* Integrating influenza antigenic dynamics with molecular evolution. *eLife* **3**, e01914 (2014).
7. Hirst, G. K. Studies of antigenic differences among strains of influenza A by means of red cell agglutination. *J. Exp. Med.* **78**, 407–23 (1943).
8. Smith, D. J. *et al.* Mapping the antigenic and genetic evolution of influenza virus. *Science* **305**, 371–376 (2004).
9. Hay, A. J., Gregory, V., Douglas, A. R. & Lin, Y. P. The evolution of human influenza viruses. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London B* **356**, 1861–1870 (2001).
10. Sam, I.-C. *et al.* Seroprevalence of seasonal and pandemic influenza a in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia in 2008–2010. *J. Med. Virol.* **85**, 1420–5 (2013).
11. Kishida, N. *et al.* Seroprevalence of a novel influenza A(H3N2) variant virus in the Japanese population. *Japan J. Infect. Dis.* **66**, 549–551 (2013).
12. Waalen, K., Kilander, A., Dudman, S. G., Ramos-Ocao, R. & Hungnes, O. Age-dependent prevalence of antibodies cross-reactive to the influenza a(H3N2) variant virus in sera collected in Norway in 2011. *Eurosurv.* **17**, pii=20170 (2012).
13. Monto, A. S., Koopman, J. S. & Longini, I. M. Tecumseh study of illness. XIII. Influenza infection and disease, 1976–1981. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **121**, 811–822 (1985).
14. Longini, I. M., Koopman, J. S., Haber, M. & Cotsonis, G. A. Statistical inference for infectious diseases. Risk-specific household and community transmission parameters. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **128**, 845–859 (1988).
15. Horby, P. *et al.* The epidemiology of interpandemic and pandemic influenza in Vietnam, 2007–2010: The Ha Nam Household Cohort Study I. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **175**, 1062–1074 (2012).
16. Hayward, A. C. *et al.* Comparative community burden and severity of seasonal and pandemic influenza: results of the Flu Watch cohort study. *Lancet Resp. Med.* **2600**, 16–19 (2014).
17. Edwards, K. M. *et al.* A randomized controlled trial of cold-adapted and inactivated vaccines for the prevention of influenza A disease. *J. Infect. Dis.* **169**, 68–76 (1994).
18. Foy, H. M. Single-dose monovalent A2/Hong Kong influenza vaccine. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **217**, 1067 (1971).
19. Keitel, W. A., Cate, T. R., Couch, R. B., Huggins, L. L. & Hess, K. R. Efficacy of repeated annual immunization with inactivated influenza virus vaccines over a five year period. *Vaccine* **15**, 1114–1122 (1997).
20. Longini, I. M. *et al.* Estimation of the efficacy of live, attenuated influenza vaccine from a two-year, multi-center vaccine trial: Implications for influenza epidemic control. *Vaccine* **18**, 1902–1909 (2000).
21. Slepishkin, A. N. *et al.* Comparison of live attenuated and inactivated influenza vaccines in schoolchildren in Russia: I. Safety and efficacy in two Moscow schools, 1987/88. *Vaccine* **11**, 323–328 (1993).
22. Stiver, H. G., Graves, P., Eickhoff, T. C. & Meiklejohn, G. Efficacy of Hong Kong vaccine in preventing England variant influenza a in 1972. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **289**, 1267–1271 (1973).
23. Cauchemez, S. *et al.* Influenza infection rates, measurement errors and the interpretation of paired serology. *PLoS Pathog.* **8**, (2012).
24. Kucharski, A. J. *et al.* Estimating the life course of influenza A(H3N2) antibody responses from cross-sectional data. *PLoS Biol.* **13**, e1002082 (2015).
25. Kucharski, A. J., Lessler, J., Cummings, D. A. T. & Riley, S. Timescales of influenza A/H3N2 antibody dynamics. *PLoS Biol.* **16**, e2004974 (2018).
26. Neuzil, K. M. *et al.* Burden of interpandemic influenza in children younger than 5 years: a 25-year prospective study. *J. Infect. Dis.* **185**, 147–152 (2002).
27. Yang, W., Cowling, B. J., Lau, E. H. Y. & Shaman, J. Forecasting Influenza Epidemics in Hong Kong. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004383 (2015).
28. Lam, H. M. *et al.* Nonannual seasonality of influenza-like illness in a tropical urban setting. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses* **12**, 742–754 (2018).
29. Nguyen, H. T. *et al.* National influenza surveillance in Vietnam 2006–2007. *Vaccine* **28**, 398–402 (2009).

30. Nguyen, Y. T. *et al.* National surveillance for influenza and influenza-like illness in Vietnam, 2006–2010. *Vaccine* **31**, 4368–4374 (2013).
31. Ng, S. & Gordon, A. Influenza burden and transmission in the tropics. *Curr. Epidemiol. Reports* (2015). doi:10.1007/s40471-015-0038-4
32. Lee, V. J. *et al.* Influenza excess mortality from 1950–2000 in tropical Singapore. *PLoS One* **4**, e8096 (2009).
33. Bloom-Feshbach, K. *et al.* Latitudinal variations in seasonal activity of influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV): a global comparative review. *PLoS One* **8**, e54445 (2013).
34. Tamerius, J. D. *et al.* Environmental predictors of seasonal influenza epidemics across temperate and tropical climates. *PLoS Pathog.* **9**, e1003194 (2013).
35. Deyle, E. R., Maher, M. C., Hernandez, R. D., Basu, S. & Sugihara, G. Global environmental drivers of influenza. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 201607747 (2016).
36. Nhat, N. T. D. *et al.* Structure of general-population antibody titer distributions to influenza A virus. *Nat. Sci. Rep.* **7**, 6060 (2017).
37. Thuy, D. B. *et al.* Tetanus in southern Vietnam: current situation. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **96**, 93–96 (2017).
38. Berto, A. *et al.* Hepatitis E in southern Vietnam: seroepidemiology in humans and molecular epidemiology in pigs. *Zoonoses and Public Health* **65**, 43–50 (2018).
39. Quan, T. M. *et al.* Evidence of previous but not current transmission of chikungunya virus in southern and central Vietnam: Results from a systematic review and a seroprevalence study in four locations. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **12**, e0006246 (2018).
40. Boni, M. F. *et al.* Population-level antibody estimates to novel influenza A/H7N9. *J. Infect. Dis.* **208**, 554–558 (2013).
41. Todd, S., de Bruin, E., Nhat, N. T. D., Koopmans, M. & Boni, M. F. Reply to Pawar *et al.* – immunity status against influenza A subtype H7N9 and other avian influenza viruses in a high-risk group and the general population in India. *J. Infect. Dis.* **210**, 161–163 (2014).
42. Lam, H. M. *et al.* Serological inference of past primary and secondary dengue infection: implications for vaccination. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **16**, 20190207 (2019).
43. Huijskens, E. G. W. *et al.* Profiling of humoral response to influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 infection and vaccination measured by a protein microarray in persons with and without history of seasonal vaccination. *PLoS One* **8**, (2013).
44. Koopmans, M. *et al.* Profiling of humoral immune responses to influenza viruses by using protein microarray. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **18**, 797–807 (2012).
45. Pham, Q. T. The epidemiology and control of human influenza in Vietnam. (The Open University, PhD Thesis, 2014). <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.21954/ou.ro.00009bc6>
46. Todd, S. *et al.* Primary care influenza-like illness surveillance in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam 2013–2015. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses* **12**, 623–631 (2018).
47. Todd, S. Influenza in Vietnam: cross immunity from prior infection and its effect on population-level estimates of infection. (University of Liverpool, PhD Thesis, 2016). <http://doi.org/10.17638/03003979>
48. Davenport, F. M., Hennessy, A. V & Francis Jr, T. Epidemiologic and immunologic significance of age distribution of antibody to antigenic variants of influenza virus. *J. Exp. Med.* **98**, 641 (1953).
49. Davenport, F. M. & Hennessy, A. V. A serologic recapitulation of past experiences with influenza A: antibody response to monovalent vaccine. *J. Exp. Med.* **104**, 85–97 (1956).
50. Thomas Francis, J. On the doctrine of original antigenic sin. *Proc. Am. Philos. Soc.* **104**, 572–578 (1960).
51. Lessler, J. *et al.* Evidence for antigenic seniority in influenza A(H3N2) antibody responses in southern China. *PLoS Pathog.* **8**, e1002802 (2012).
52. Goodwin, K., Viboud, C. & Simonsen, L. Antibody response to influenza vaccination in the elderly: A quantitative review. *Vaccine* **24**, 1159–1169 (2006).
53. Weinberger, B., Herndler-Brandstetter, D., Schwanninger, A., Weiskopf, D. & Grubeck-Loebenstien, B. Biology of immune responses to vaccines in elderly persons. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **46**, 1078–1084 (2008).
54. Fonville, J. M. *et al.* Antibody landscapes after influenza virus infection or vaccination. *Science* **346**, 996–1000 (2014).

55. Furuya-Kanamori, L. *et al.* Heterogeneous and dynamic prevalence of asymptomatic influenza virus infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **22**, 1052–1056 (2016).
56. Yang, W., Lipsitch, M. & Shaman, J. Inference of seasonal and pandemic influenza transmission dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 201415012 (2015).
57. Klick, B. *et al.* Transmissibility of seasonal and pandemic influenza in a cohort of households in Hong Kong in 2009. *Epidemiology* **22**, 793–796 (2011).
58. Longini, I. M., Koopman, J. S., Haber, M. & Cotsonis, G. A. Statistical inference for infectious diseases risk-specific household and community transmission parameters. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **128**, 845–859 (1988).
59. Bodewes, R. *et al.* Prevalence of antibodies against seasonal influenza A and B viruses in children in Netherlands. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **18**, 469–476 (2011).
60. Le, M. Q. *et al.* Migration and persistence of human influenza A viruses, Vietnam, 2001–2008. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **19**, 1756–1765 (2013).
61. Flannery, B. *et al.* Influence of birth cohort on effectiveness of 2015–2016 influenza vaccine against medically attended illness due to 2009 pandemic influenza A(H1N1) virus in the United States. *J. Infect. Dis.* **218**, 189–196 (2018).
62. Skowronski, D. M. *et al.* Beyond antigenic match: possible agent-host and immuno-epidemiological influences on influenza vaccine effectiveness during the 2015–2016 season in Canada. *J. Infect. Dis.* **216**, 1487–1500 (2017).
63. Arevalo, P., Mclean, H. Q., Belongia, E. A. & Cobey, S. Earliest infections predict the age distribution of seasonal influenza A cases. *eLife* **9**, e50060 (2020).
64. Budd, A. P. *et al.* Birth cohort effects in influenza surveillance data: evidence that first influenza infection affects later influenza-associated illness. *J. Infect. Dis.* **220**, 820–829 (2019).
65. Gostic, K. M. *et al.* Childhood immune imprinting to influenza A shapes birth year-specific risk during seasonal H1N1 and H3N2 epidemics. *PLoS Pathog.* **15**, e1008109 (2019).
66. Liu, F. *et al.* Influence of immune priming and egg adaptation in the vaccine on antibody responses to circulating A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses after influenza vaccination in adults. *J. Infect. Dis.* **218**, 1571–1581 (2018).
67. Cleton, N. *et al.* Spot the difference -development of a syndrome-based protein microarray for specific serological detection of multiple flavivirus infections in travelers. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **13**, e0003580 (2015).
68. Thao, T. T. N. *et al.* Using NS1 Flavivirus Protein Microarray to Infer Past Infecting Dengue Virus Serotype and Number of Past Dengue Virus Infections in Vietnamese Individuals. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1–9 (2020). doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa018
69. Di Martino, B. *et al.* Seroprevalence of norovirus genogroup IV antibodies among humans, Italy, 2010–2011. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **20**, 1828–32 (2014).
70. Gray, J. J., Jiang, X., Morgan-Capner, P., Desselberger, U. & Estes, M. K. Prevalence of antibodies to Norwalk virus in England: detection by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using baculovirus-expressed Norwalk virus capsid antigen. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **31**, 1022–1025 (1993).
71. Kirby, A. E. *et al.* Norovirus seroprevalence among adults in the United States: analysis of NHANES serum specimens from 1999–2000 and 2003–2004. *Viruses* **12**, (2020).
72. Cobey, S. & Lipsitch, M. Niche and neutral effects of acquired immunity permit coexistence of pneumococcal serotypes. *Science* **335**, 1376–1380 (2012).
73. Weinberger, D. M. *et al.* Epidemiologic evidence for serotype-specific acquired immunity to pneumococcal carriage. *J. Infect. Dis.* **197**, 1511–1518 (2008).

Tables

Table 1: HA1 antigens of 11 different human influenza strains used for the study

Antigen	Subtype	Abbreviation
A/South Carolina/1/1918	H1N1	H1-1918
A/USSR/92/1977	H1N1	H1-1977
A/New Caledonia/20/1999	H1N1	H1-1999
A/Brisbane/59/2007	H1N1	H1-2007
A/California/6/2009	H1N1	H1-2009
A/Aichi/2/1968	H3N2	H3-1968
A/Wyoming/3/2003	H3N2	H3-2003
A/Wisconsin/67/2005	H3N2	H3-2005
A/Brisbane/10/2007	H3N2	H3-2007
A/Victoria/210/2009	H3N2	H3-2009
A/Victoria/361/2011	H3N2	H3-2011

Figures

Figure 1. Serum collection sites at provincial hospitals in southern Vietnam that participated in this study. Number of samples collected in each province is shown.

Figure 2. Principal component (PC) loadings for the first four principal components (panels A-C) showing the PC coefficients of eleven influenza antigens. Only two consecutive components are shown in each panel. Panels D-F show the relationship between three first components and age (for PC1) or birth year (for PC2 and PC3). Small gray dots represent individuals, each with 11 titer measurements. The larger blue dots show the component mean for each one-year age band or birth-year band. The red line is a spline regression curve of all 24,402 data points (LOESS curve, spanning factor=0.5). The vertical lines show the time of introduction of new subtypes into the population.

Figure 3. Density plots of individuals in principal components space, broken down by age group (shown in upper right of each panel). Density is computed on a 256×256 grid spanning the minimum and maximum values of principal components one and two. Color is scaled in each panel from zero (“Min”, white) to the maximum number of individuals that appear in a pixel in that panel (“Max”, black). Individuals move from the far left to the center and right of the PC1-PC2 space during the first ten years of life, as a result of exposure to subtype H3N2 and H1N1 influenza viruses. The lower-left and upper-left boundaries of the diamond shape in PC1-PC2 space correspond to individuals who have only been exposed to one H1N1 strain (upper left) or those who have only been exposed to one H3N2 strain (lower left). Number of individuals (across all ages) shown here is $n = 24,402$.

Figure 4: Histograms showing how individuals are sorted by their (A) maximum antibody titer, (B) maximum positive principal component (excluding PC1), and (C) maximum negative principal component (excluding PC1). In each panel, each of the $n = 24,402$ individuals appears exactly once depending on their highest titer (panel A), or the maximum magnitude of their principal components (panels B and C). For example, most individuals born after 2009 have their maximum antibody titer to the 2011 H3N2 strain, the 2009 H1N1 pandemic virus, or the 1918 H1N1 influenza virus. These same individuals have either principal component 2 or 4 as their largest-magnitude component (among positive components). The vertical lines show the time of introduction of new subtypes into the population: H1N1 (orange), H3N2 (red), and H2N2 (green).

Figure 5 **H1N1**

Figure 5: Age-seroprevalence curves shown by location and by subtype. The four rows correspond to location, with the top row showing the age-seroprevalence relationship across Ho Chi Minh City, Hue, and Khanh Hoa combined ($n=16,220$). The two columns show age-seroprevalence separately for subtypes H1N1 and H3N2, performed with separate PCAs. One gray dot is an individual. Red line shows the maximum-likelihood estimate of a saturating curve (**Eq 1**) of PC1 as a function of age; gray bands show 95% confidence intervals.