1 Retinal Age as a Predictive Biomarker for Mortality Risk

2

3 **Running title:** Retinal age predicts mortality

4

5 Authors

6 Zhuoting Zhu, MD PhD¹

7 Danli Shi, MD²

8 Guankai Peng, BS³

9 Zachary Tan, MBBS, MMed, MMSc⁴

10 Xianwen Shang, PhD¹

11 Wenyi Hu, MBBS¹

12 Huan Liao, MD⁵

13 Xueli Zhang, PhD¹

14 Yu Huang, MD PhD¹

15 Honghua Yu, MD PhD¹

16 Wei Meng, BS³

17 Wei Wang, MD PhD²

18 Xiaohong Yang, MD PhD¹

19 Mingguang He, MD PhD^{1,2,4,6}

20

21 Affiliations

22 1. Department of Ophthalmology, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences,
23 Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangzhou, China.

24 2. State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun
25 Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.

26 3. Guangzhou Vision Tech Medical Technology Co., Ltd.

27 4. Centre for Eye Research Australia; Ophthalmology, University of Melbourne,
28 Melbourne, Australia.

29 5. Neural Regeneration Group, Institute of Reconstructive Neurobiology, University
30 of Bonn, Bonn, Germany.

31 6. Ophthalmology, Department of Surgery, University of Melbourne, Melbourne,
32 Australia

33

34 **Word count: Summary: 249; Research in context: 286; Whole paper: 2549.**

35 **Tables: 2; Figures: 5.**

36

37 **Corresponding author**

38 Mingguang He, MD PhD

39 Email: mingguang.he@unimelb.edu.au

40 Xiaohong Yang, MD PhD

41 Email: syyangxh@scut.edu.cn

42 Wei Wang, MD PhD

43 Email: zoc_wangwei@yahoo.com

44 **Summary**

45 **Background**

46 Ageing varies substantially, thus an accurate quantification of ageing is important. We
47 developed a deep learning (DL) model that predicted age from fundus images (retinal
48 age). We investigated the association between retinal age gap (retinal
49 age-chronological age) and mortality risk in a population-based sample of
50 middle-aged and elderly adults.

51 **Methods**

52 The DL model was trained, validated and tested on 46,834, 15,612 and 8,212 fundus
53 images respectively from participants of the UK Biobank study alive on 28th February
54 2018. Retinal age gap was calculated for participants in the test (n=8,212) and death
55 (n=1,117) datasets. Cox regression models were used to assess association between
56 retinal age gap and mortality risk. A restricted cubic spline analyses was conducted to
57 investigate possible non-linear association between retinal age gap and mortality risk.

58 **Findings**

59 The DL model achieved a strong correlation of 0.83 ($P<0.001$) between retinal age
60 and chronological age, and an overall mean absolute error of 3.50 years. Cox
61 regression models showed that each one-year increase in the retinal age gap was
62 associated with a 2% increase in mortality risk (hazard ratio=1.02, 95% confidence
63 interval:1.00-1.04, $P=0.021$). Restricted cubic spline analyses showed a non-linear
64 relationship between retinal age gap and mortality ($P_{\text{non-linear}}=0.001$). Higher retinal
65 age gaps were associated with substantially increased risks of mortality, but only if
66 the gap exceeded 3.71 years.

67 **Interpretation**

68 Our findings indicate that retinal age gap is a robust biomarker of ageing that is
69 closely related to risk of mortality.

70 **Funding**

71 National Health and Medical Research Council Investigator Grant, Science and
72 Technology Program of Guangzhou.

73

74 **Key words:** retinal age, mortality, prediction

75 **Research in context**

76 **Evidence before this study**

77 Ageing at an individual level is heterogeneous. An accurate quantification of the
78 biological ageing process is significant for risk stratification and delivery of tailored
79 interventions. To date, cell-, molecular-, and imaging-based biomarkers have been
80 developed, such as epigenetic clock, brain age and facial age. While the invasiveness
81 of cellular and molecular ageing biomarkers, high cost and time-consuming nature of
82 neuroimaging and facial ages, as well as ethical and privacy concerns of facial
83 imaging, have limited their utilities. The retina is considered a window to the whole
84 body, implying that the retina could provide clues for ageing.

85

86 **Added value of this study**

87 We developed a deep learning (DL) model that can detect footprints of aging in
88 fundus images and predict age with high accuracy for the UK population between 40
89 and 69 years old. Further, we have been the first to demonstrate that each one-year
90 increase in retinal age gap (retinal age-chronological age) was significantly associated
91 with a 2% increase in mortality risk. Evidence of a non-linear association between
92 retinal age gap and mortality risk was observed. Higher retinal age gaps were
93 associated with substantially increased risks of mortality, but only if the retinal age
94 gap exceeded 3.71 years.

95

96 **Implications of all the available evidence**

97 This is the first study to link the retinal age gap and mortality risk, implying that
98 retinal age is a clinically significant biomarker of ageing. Our findings show the
99 potential of retinal images as a screening tool for risk stratification and delivery of
100 tailored interventions. Further, the capability to use fundus imaging in predicting
101 ageing may improve the potential health benefits of eye disease screening, beyond the
102 detection of sight-threatening eye diseases.

103 **Introduction**

104 Globally, the population aged 60 and over is estimated to reach 2.1 billion in 2050.¹
 105 Ageing populations place tremendous pressure on health-care systems.² The rate of
 106 ageing at an individual level is heterogeneous. An accurate quantification of the
 107 biological ageing process is significant for risk stratification and the delivery of
 108 tailored interventions.³

109

110 To date, several tissue-, cell-, molecular-, and imaging-based biomarkers have been
 111 developed, such as DNA-methylation status, brain age and three dimensional (3D)
 112 facial age.⁴⁻⁷ While the invasiveness of cellular and molecular ageing biomarkers,
 113 high cost and time-consuming nature of neuroimaging and facial ages, and ethical and
 114 privacy concerns of facial imaging, have limited their utilities.

115

116 The retina is considered a window to the whole body.⁸⁻¹² In addition, the retina is
 117 amenable to rapid, non-invasive, and cost-effective assessments. The advent of deep
 118 learning (DL) has greatly improved the accuracy of image classification and
 119 processing. Recent studies have demonstrated successful applications of DL models
 120 in the prediction of age using clinical images.^{5,6,13} Taken together, this raises the
 121 potential that biological age can be predicted by applying DL to retinal images. For
 122 optimal utility, viable biomarkers of ageing must also relate to the risk of age-related
 123 morbidity and mortality.

124

125 We therefore developed a DL model that can predict age from fundus images, known
 126 as retinal age. Using a large population-based sample of middle-aged and elderly
 127 adults, we investigated the association between retinal age gap, defined as the
 128 difference between retinal age and chronological age, and all-cause mortality.

129

130 **Methods**

131 **Study population**

132 The UK Biobank is a large-scale, population-based cohort of more than 500,000 UK
133 residents aged 40-69 years. Participants were recruited between 2006 and 2010, with
134 all participants completing comprehensive health-care questionnaires, detailed
135 physical measurements, and biological sample collections. Health-related events were
136 ascertained via data linkage to hospital admission records and mortality registry.
137 Ophthalmic examinations were introduced in 2009. The overall study protocol and
138 protocols for each test have been described in extensive details elsewhere.¹⁴

139

140 The National Information Governance Board for Health and Social Care and the NHS
141 North West Multicenter Research Ethics Committee approved the UK Biobank study
142 (11/NW/0382) in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, with
143 all participants providing informed consent. The present analysis operates under UK
144 Biobank application 62525.

145

146 **Fundus photography**

147 Ophthalmic measurements including LogMAR visual acuity, autorefractometry and
148 keratometry (Tomey RC5000, Tomey GmbH, Nuremberg, Germany), intraocular
149 pressure (IOP, Ocular Response Analyzer, Reichert, New York, USA), and paired
150 retinal fundus and optical coherence tomography imaging (OCT, Topcon 3D OCT
151 1000 Mk2, Topcon Corp, Tokyo, Japan) were collected. A 45-degree non-mydratic
152 and non-stereo fundus image centered to include both the optic disc and macula was
153 taken for each eye. A total of 131,238 images from 66,500 participants were obtained
154 from the UK Biobank study, among which 80,170 images from 46,970 participants
155 passed the image quality check.

156

157 **Deep learning model for age prediction**

158 To build the DL model for age prediction, participants from the UK Biobank study
159 alive on 28th February 2018 ($N_{\text{subj}}=46,970$) were randomly split into three datasets –
160 training ($N_{\text{subj}}=27,424$, 60% of participants), validation ($N_{\text{subj}}=9,142$, 20%), and test
161 ($N_{\text{subj}}=9,142$, 20%). For the training and validation datasets, fundus images from both

eyes (if available) were used to maximise the volume of data available ($N_{\text{img}}=46,834$ and 15,612 respectively). For the test dataset, fundus images from right eyes were selected for primary analyses ($N_{\text{subj}}=8,212$), while fundus images from left eyes were selected for sensitivity analyses.

The development and validation of the DL model for age prediction are outlined in Figure 1. Briefly, all fundus images were preprocessed by subtracting average color,¹⁵ resized to a resolution of 299*299 pixels, and pixel values rescaled to 0~1. After preprocessing, images were fed into a DL model using a Xception architecture. During training, data augmentation was performed using random horizontal or vertical flips and the algorithm optimised using stochastic gradient descent. To prevent overfitting, we implemented a dropout of 0.5, and carried out early stopping when validation performance did not improve for 10 epochs. The selection of DL models was based on performance in the validation set. The performance of the DL model, including mean absolute error (MAE) and correlation between predicted retinal age and chronological age, was calculated. We then retrieved attention maps from the DL models using guided Grad-CAM,¹⁶ which highlights pixels in the input image based on their contributions to the final evaluation.

Retinal age gap definition

The difference between retinal age predicted by the DL model and chronological age was defined as the retinal age gap. A positive retinal age gap indicated an ‘older’ appearing retina, while a negative retinal age gap indicated a ‘younger’ appearing retina.

Mortality ascertainment

Mortality status and date of death were ascertained via data linkage to the National Health Service central mortality registry. Participants who had died from all causes during the follow-up period ($N_{\text{subj}}=1,117$) were included in the death dataset. Duration of follow-up for each participant (person-year) was calculated as the length of time

192 between baseline age and date of death, loss to follow-up, or complete follow-up (28th
193 February 2018), whichever came first.

194

195 **Covariates**

196 Factors previously known to be associated with mortality¹⁷ were included as potential
197 confounders in the present analyses. These variables included baseline age, sex,
198 ethnicity (recorded as white and non-white), Townsend deprivation indices (an
199 area-based proxy measure for socioeconomic status), education attainment (recorded
200 as college or university degree, and others), smoking status (recorded as
201 current/previous and never), physical activity level (recorded as above
202 moderate/vigorous/walking recommendation and not), general health status (recorded
203 as excellent/good and fair/poor), and comorbidities (obesity, diabetes mellitus,
204 hypertension, history of heart diseases, and history of stroke).

205

206 Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by
207 height squared. Obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m². Diabetes mellitus was
208 defined as self-reported or doctor-diagnosed diabetes mellitus, the use of
209 anti-hyperglycaemic medications or insulin, or a glycosylated haemoglobin level
210 of $\geq 6.5\%$. Hypertension was defined as self-reported, or doctor-diagnosed
211 hypertension, the use of antihypertensive drugs, an average systolic blood pressure of
212 at least 130mmHg or an average diastolic blood pressure of at least 80mmHg.
213 Self-reported history of angina and heart attack was used to classify history of heart
214 diseases.

215

216 **Statistical analyses**

217 Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations (SDs), numbers and
218 percentages, were used to report baseline characteristics of study participants. The
219 retinal age gap was calculated for participants in the test and death datasets, and
220 further used to explore the association between retinal age gap and mortality risk. Cox
221 proportional hazards regression models considering retinal age gap as a continuous

linear term were fitted to estimate the effect of a one-year increase in retinal age gap on mortality risk. We then investigated associations between retinal age gaps at different quantiles with mortality. In addition, a restricted cubic spline analyses of possible non-linear associations between retinal age gap and mortality status was performed, with 5 knots placed at equal percentiles of the retinal age gap, and retinal age gap of zero years used as the reference value. We adjusted Cox models for the following covariates – baseline age, sex, ethnicity, and Townsend deprivation indices (model I); additional educational level, obesity, smoking status, physical activity level, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, general health status, history of heart diseases, and history of stroke (model II).

The proportional hazards assumption for each variable included in the Cox proportional hazards regression models were graphically assessed. All variables were found to meet the assumption. A two-sided p value of < 0.05 indicated statistical significance. Analyses were performed using R (version 3.3.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, www.R-project.org, Vienna, Austria) and Stata (version 13, StataCorp, Texas, USA).

Role of the funding source

The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analyses, data interpretation, preparation of the manuscript, and decision to publish. The corresponding author had full access to all data and final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Study sample

The study population characteristics are described in Table 1. The DL model was trained and validated on subsets of participants with mean ages of 55.6 ± 8.21 and 55.7 ± 8.19 years; and with 55.9% and 55.2% female, respectively. For the test and

251 death datasets, participants had mean ages of 55.5 ± 8.22 and 61.0 ± 6.67 years; and
252 were 55.1% and 42.2% female, respectively.

253

254 **Deep learning model performance for age prediction**

255 Figure 2A shows the performance of the DL model on the test dataset. The trained DL
256 model was able to achieve a strong correlation of 0.83 ($P < 0.001$) between predicted
257 retinal age and chronological age, with an overall MAE of 3.50 years. Two
258 representative examples of fundus images with corresponding attention maps for age
259 prediction are shown in Figure 3. Regions around retinal vessels are highlighted by
260 the DL model for age prediction.

261

262 **Retinal age gap**

263 The distribution of the retinal age gap followed a nearly normal distribution (Figure
264 2B). The mean (SD) and median (interquartile range) of the retinal age gap were -0.16
265 (4.54) and -0.19 (-2.99, 2.60). The proportions of fast agers with retinal age gaps more
266 than 3, 5 and 10 years were 22.0%, 12.0% and 1.67%, respectively.

267

268 **Retinal age gap and mortality**

269 Considering linear effects only and following adjustment for all confounding factors,
270 each one-year increase in retinal age gap was associated with a 2% increase in
271 mortality risk (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.02, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.00-1.04, $P =$
272 0.021; Table 2). Compared to participants with retinal age gaps in the lowest quantile,
273 mortality risk was comparable for those in the second and the third quantiles (HR =
274 1.05, 95% CI: 0.88-1.24, $P = 0.602$; HR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.73-1.09, $P = 0.261$,
275 respectively). Mortality risk was significantly increased for participants with retinal
276 age gaps in the fourth quantile (HR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.06-1.67, $P = 0.012$; Table 2).

277

278 Allowing for non-linearity, Figure 5 illustrates the estimated association between
279 retinal age gap and mortality risk. Evidence of an overall and non-linear association
280 between retinal age gap and mortality risk was observed ($P_{\text{overall}} < 0.001$; $P_{\text{non-linear}} =$

0.001). Higher retinal age gaps were associated with substantially increased risks of mortality, but only if the retinal age gap exceeded 3.71 years.

Sensitivity analyses

In order to verify the robustness of our findings, fundus images from left eyes were chosen for the statistical analyses. Similar results were observed for left eyes (data not shown).

Discussion

Using a large population-based sample of middle-aged and elderly adults, we developed a DL model that could predict age from fundus images with high accuracy. Further, we found that the retinal age gap, defined as the difference between predicted retinal age and chronological age, independently predicted the risk of mortality. Our findings have demonstrated that retinal age is a robust biomarker of ageing that can predict all-cause mortality.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that has proposed retinal age as a biomarker of ageing. Our trained DL model achieved excellent performance with a MAE of 3.5, outperforming most existing biomarkers in the prediction of age. Previous studies have demonstrated MAEs of 3.3-5.2 years for DNA methylation clock,^{18,19} 5.5-5.9 years MAEs for blood profiles,^{20,21} and 6.2-7.8 years MAEs for the transcriptome ageing clock.^{22,23} Neuroimaging and 3D facial imaging have achieved accurate performances in age prediction with MAEs between 4.3 and 7.3,^{7,24} and 2.8 and 6.4 years,^{6,25} respectively. Despite these reasonable accuracies, the invasiveness of cellular and molecular ageing biomarkers, high cost and time-consuming nature of neuroimaging and 3D facial ages, and ethical and privacy concerns of facial imaging, have limited their utilities. In addition to excellent performance in age prediction, determining retinal age using fundus images is fast, safe, cost-effective and user-friendly, thus offering great potential for use in a large number of people.

Beyond age prediction, our study has extended the application of retinal age to the prediction of survival. Our novel findings have determined that the retinal age gap is an independent predictor of increased mortality risk, further suggesting that retinal age is a clinically significant biomarker of ageing. The relevance of retinal age for general health is intuitive, given that the retina is the only organ that is amenable to *in vivo* visualisation of the microvasculature and neural tissue. The retina offers a unique, accessible ‘window’ to evaluate underlying pathological processes of systemic vascular and neurological diseases that are associated with increased risks of mortality. This hypothesis is supported by previous studies which have suggested that retinal imaging contains information about cardiovascular risk factors,²⁶ chronic kidney diseases²⁷ and systemic biomarkers.²⁸ In addition, this hypothesis is also consistent with previously reported qualitative and quantitative studies that have found that ocular imaging measures (e.g. retinal-vessel calibre) and retinal diseases (e.g. glaucoma) are significantly associated with mortality.^{29,30} This body of work supports the hypothesis that the retina plays an important role in the ageing process and is sensitive to the cumulative damages of ageing which increase the mortality risk.

Our findings have several important clinical implications. Firstly, the fast, non-invasive, and cost-effective nature of fundus imaging enables it to be an accessible screening tool to identify individuals at an increased risk of mortality. This risk stratification will assist tailored health-care decision-making, as well as targeting and monitoring of interventions. Given the rising burden of non-communicable diseases and population ageing globally, the early identification and delivery of personalised health-care may have tremendous public health benefits. Further, the recent development of smartphone-based retinal cameras, together with the integration of DL algorithms, may in the future provide point-of-care assessments of ageing and improve accessibility to tailored risk assessments. Secondly, the capability to use fundus images in predicting ageing may improve potential health benefits of eye disease screening, beyond the diagnosis of sight-threatening eye diseases. This may improve the health economic cost-effectiveness of programs such as diabetic

341 retinopathy screening, thus increasing the impact and access to eye disease screening
342 programs.

343

344 The large-scale sample size, long-term follow-up, standardised protocol in capturing
345 fundus images, validity of mortality data, and adjustment for a wide range of
346 confounding factors in the statistical models of this study support the robustness of
347 our findings. Despite these promising results, our study has several limitations. Firstly,
348 these current analyses are limited by retinal images that were captured at a particular
349 cross-section in time, with trajectories in retinal ageing potentially being a better
350 indicator of mortality. Secondly, participants involved in the UK Biobank study were
351 volunteers, who might not be representative of the population from which they were
352 drawn. Of note, the potential healthy effect might underestimate effects of retinal age
353 gap on mortality, as individuals with extremely poor health were less likely to
354 participate in this study. Thirdly, the lack of external datasets might limit the
355 generalisability of our DL algorithms and findings. Lastly, we were unable to fully
356 exclude the possibility of residual confounders between retinal age gap and mortality.

357

358 **Conclusion**

359 In summary, we have developed a DL algorithm that can detect footprints of ageing in
360 fundus images and predict age with high accuracy. Further, we have been the first to
361 demonstrate that the retinal age gap is significantly associated with an increased risk
362 of mortality. Our findings suggest that retinal age is a robust biomarker of ageing.
363 Lastly, our work calls for future research into applications of the retinal age gap, and
364 whether retinal age can be used to better understand processes underpinning ageing.

365

366 **Contributors**

367 ZZ and SD conceptualised and designed the study with WW, HM, and YX. ZZ and
368 SD did the literature search and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SD, PG and
369 MW did the deep learning modelling, ZZ, SX and WW did the statistical analysis.

370 WW, HM and YX had full access to all of the data. All authors commented on the
371 manuscript.

372

373 **Declaration of interests**

374 We declare no competing interests.

375

376 **Acknowledgments**

377 This present work was supported by the NHMRC Investigator Grant (APP1175405),
378 Fundamental Research Funds of the State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology,
379 National Natural Science Foundation of China (82000901), Project of Investigation
380 on Health Status of Employees in Financial Industry in Guangzhou, China
381 (Z012014075), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou, China
382 (202002020049). Professor Mingguang He receives support from the University of
383 Melbourne through its Research Accelerator Program and the CERA Foundation. The
384 Centre for Eye Research Australia (CERA) receives Operational Infrastructure
385 Support from the Victorian State Government.

386

387 **References:**

- 388 1. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division
389 (2017). World Population Ageing 2017 Available at:
390 [https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WPA20](https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WPA2017_Highlights.pdf)
391 [17_Highlights.pdf](https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WPA2017_Highlights.pdf) [Accessed November 28, 2020].
- 392 2. MacNee W, Rabinovich RA, Choudhury G. Ageing and the border between
393 health and disease. *Eur Respir J* 2014; **44**(5): 1332-52.
- 394 3. Lopez-Otin C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The hallmarks of
395 aging. *Cell* 2013; **153**(6): 1194-217.
- 396 4. Horvath S, Raj K. DNA methylation-based biomarkers and the epigenetic clock
397 theory of ageing. *Nat Rev Genet* 2018; **19**(6): 371-84.
- 398 5. Wang J, Knol MJ, Tiulpin A, et al. Gray Matter Age Prediction as a Biomarker
399 for Risk of Dementia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019; **116**(42): 21213-8.
- 400 6. Xia X, Chen X, Wu G, et al. Three-dimensional facial-image analysis to predict
401 heterogeneity of the human ageing rate and the impact of lifestyle. *Nat Metab* 2020;
402 **2**(9): 946-57.
- 403 7. Cole JH, Ritchie SJ, Bastin ME, et al. Brain age predicts mortality. *Mol*
404 *Psychiatry* 2018; **23**(5): 1385-92.

- 405 8. Flammer J, Konieczka K, Bruno RM, Virdis A, Flammer AJ, Taddei S. The eye
406 and the heart. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**(17): 1270-8.
- 407 9. Wong CW, Wong TY, Cheng CY, Sabanayagam C. Kidney and eye diseases:
408 common risk factors, etiological mechanisms, and pathways. *Kidney Int* 2014; **85**(6):
409 1290-302.
- 410 10. London A, Benhar I, Schwartz M. The retina as a window to the brain-from eye
411 research to CNS disorders. *Nature reviews Neurology* 2013; **9**(1): 44-53.
- 412 11. Pavkov ME, Harding JL, Chou CF, Saaddine JB. Prevalence of Diabetic
413 Retinopathy and Associated Mortality Among Diabetic Adults With and Without
414 Chronic Kidney Disease. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2019; **198**: 200-8.
- 415 12. Kawasaki R, Xie J, Cheung N, et al. Retinal microvascular signs and risk of
416 stroke: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Stroke* 2012; **43**(12):
417 3245-51.
- 418 13. Cole JH, Franke K. Predicting Age Using Neuroimaging: Innovative Brain
419 Ageing Biomarkers. *Trends Neurosci* 2017; **40**(12): 681-90.
- 420 14. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for
421 identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age.
422 *PLoS Med* 2015; **12**(3): e1001779.
- 423 15. Ebner M. Color constancy based on local space average color. *Machine Vision*
424 *and Applications* 2008; **20**(5): 283-301.
- 425 16. Xu K, Ba J, Kiros R, et al. Show, attend and tell: neural image caption generation
426 with visual attention. arXiv. 2016; (published online April 19.) (preprint)
427 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03044> [Accessed November 28, 2020].
- 428 17. Ganna A, Ingelsson E. 5 year mortality predictors in 498,103 UK Biobank
429 participants: a prospective population-based study. *Lancet* 2015; **386**(9993): 533-40.
- 430 18. Weidner CI, Lin Q, Koch CM, et al. Aging of blood can be tracked by DNA
431 methylation changes at just three CpG sites. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**(2): R24.
- 432 19. Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, et al. Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal
433 quantitative views of human aging rates. *Mol Cell* 2013; **49**(2): 359-67.
- 434 20. Putin E, Mamoshina P, Aliper A, et al. Deep biomarkers of human aging:
435 Application of deep neural networks to biomarker development. *Aging (Albany NY)*
436 2016; **8**(5): 1021-33.
- 437 21. Mamoshina P, Kochetov K, Putin E, et al. Population Specific Biomarkers of
438 Human Aging: A Big Data Study Using South Korean, Canadian, and Eastern
439 European Patient Populations. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2018; **73**(11): 1482-90.
- 440 22. Peters MJ, Joehanes R, Pilling LC, et al. The transcriptional landscape of age in
441 human peripheral blood. *Nat Commun* 2015; **6**: 8570.
- 442 23. Fleischer JG, Schulte R, Tsai HH, et al. Predicting age from the transcriptome of
443 human dermal fibroblasts. *Genome Biol* 2018; **19**(1): 221.
- 444 24. Liem F, Varoquaux G, Kynast J, et al. Predicting brain-age from multimodal
445 imaging data captures cognitive impairment. *Neuroimage* 2017; **148**: 179-88.
- 446 25. Chen W, Qian W, Wu G, et al. Three-dimensional human facial morphologies as
447 robust aging markers. *Cell Res* 2015; **25**(5): 574-87.

26. Poplin R, Varadarajan AV, Blumer K, et al. Prediction of cardiovascular risk factors from retinal fundus photographs via deep learning. *Nat Biomed Eng* 2018; **2**(3): 158-64.
27. Sabanayagam C, Xu D, Ting DSW, et al. A deep learning algorithm to detect chronic kidney disease from retinal photographs in community-based populations. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2020; **2**(6): e295-e302.
28. Rim TH, Lee G, Kim Y, et al. Prediction of systemic biomarkers from retinal photographs: development and validation of deep-learning algorithms. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2020; **2**(10): e526-e36.
29. Wang JJ, Liew G, Klein R, et al. Retinal vessel diameter and cardiovascular mortality: pooled data analysis from two older populations. *Eur Heart J* 2007; **28**(16): 1984-92.
30. Khanna RC, Murthy GVS, Giridhar P, et al. Glaucoma-associated long-term mortality in a rural cohort from India: the Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2018; **102**(11): 1477-82.

Figure 1. Overview of the study workflow

Figure legend: Figures showing the study workflow used to calculate retinal age gaps from fundus images. Fundus images were preprocessed and fed into the DL model. (A) The Xception architecture was used to train fundus images, with chronological age as the outcome variable; (B) The selection of DL models was based on performance in the validation set, where predicted retinal and chronological ages were compared; (C) The selected trained DL model was then applied to make retinal age predictions from

471 fundus images for participants in the test and death datasets; (D) The difference
472 between predicted retinal age and chronological age was defined as the retinal age gap.
473 A positive retinal age gap indicated an 'older' appearing retina, while a negative
474 retinal age gap indicated a 'younger' appearing retina. This figure was created with
475 BioRender.com.

476
477 **Figure 2. Performance of the deep learning model on the test dataset**
478 **Figure legend:** (A) Scatterplot depicting correlation of predicted age (y-axis) with
479 chronological age (x-axis); (B) Histogram showing the nearly normal distribution of
480 the retinal age gap.
481

482

483 **Figure 3. Attention maps for age prediction**

484 **Figure legend:** Figures showing representative examples of fundus images with
485 corresponding attention maps for age prediction. Regions highlighted with a brighter
486 colour indicate areas that are used by the DL model for age prediction. Regions
487 around the retinal vessels are highlighted.

488

489

490 **Figure 4. Adjusted survival curves for mortality risk by retinal age gap quantiles**

491 **Figure legend:** Mortality risk is shown over time for participants in different retinal

492 age gap quantiles. Lower quantiles corresponded to participants who had

493 chronological ages greater than predicted retinal age, whereas higher quantiles

494 corresponded to those with chronological ages lower than predicted retinal age. Plots

495 were based on Cox proportional hazards regression models, adjusted for age, sex,

496 ethnicity, Townsend deprivation indices, educational level, obesity, smoking status,

497 physical activity level, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, general health status, history

498 of heart diseases, and history of stroke. Compared to participants with retinal age gaps

499 in the lowest quantile, mortality risk was comparable for those in the second and the

500 third quantiles (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.05, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.88-1.24, P

501 = 0.602; HR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.73-1.09, P = 0.261, respectively). Mortality risk was

502 significantly increased for participants with retinal age gaps in the fourth quantile (HR

503 = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.06-1.67, P=0.012).

504

505

506 **Figure 5. Association between retina age gap and mortality risk, allowing for**
 507 **non-linear effects**

508 **Figure legend:** The reference retinal age gap for this plot (with hazard ratio [HR]
 509 fixed as 1.0) was 0 years. The model was fitted with a restricted cubic spline for
 510 retinal age gap (knots placed at equal percentiles of retina age gap), adjusted for age,
 511 sex, ethnicity, Townsend deprivation indices, educational level, obesity, smoking
 512 status, physical activity level, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, general health status,
 513 history of heart diseases, and history of stroke. Evidence of an overall and non-linear
 514 association between retinal age gap and all-cause mortality was observed ($P_{\text{overall}} <$
 515 0.001 ; $P_{\text{non-linear}} = 0.001$). Higher retinal age gaps were associated with substantially
 516 increased risks of mortality, but only if the retinal age gap exceeded 3.71 years.

517

518 Table 1. Characteristics of datasets derived from the UK Biobank study

	Training ^a	Validation ^a	Test	Death
N _{subj}	27424	9142	8212	1117
N _{img}	46834	15612	8212	1117
Mean age (mean±SD, yrs) ^b	55·6±8·21	55·7±8·19	55·5±8·22	61·0±6·67
Female, N (%) ^b	15,341 (55·9)	5,045 (55·2)	4,526 (55·1)	471 (42·2)
White ethnicity, N (%) ^b	25,400 (92·6)	8,468 (92·6)	7,618 (92·8)	1,035 (92·7)
Townsend index (mean±SD) ^b	-1·09±2·94	-1·10±2·96	-1·08±2·95	-0·73±3·12
College or university degree, N (%) ^b	9,981 (36·4)	3,344 (36·6)	3,035 (37·0)	299 (26·8)
Current/previous smoker, N (%) ^b	11,671 (42·8)	3,905 (43·0)	3,532 (43·1)	659 (59·4)
Above physical activity recommendation, N (%) ^b	18,746 (82·7)	6,219 (82·4)	5,682 (83·4)	681 (77·4)
Excellent/good health status, N (%) ^b	20,290 (74·5)	6,738 (74·0)	6,149 (75·2)	618 (55·8)
Obesity, N (%) ^b	6,239 (22·9)	2,112 (23·2)	1,840 (22·5)	312 (28·1)
Diabetes mellitus, N (%) ^b	1,332 (4·86)	443 (4·85)	428 (5·21)	133 (11·9)
Hypertension, N (%) ^b	19,632 (71·6)	6633 (72·6)	5,956 (72·5)	928 (83·1)
History of heart diseases, N (%) ^b	848 (3·09)	296 (3·24)	250 (3·04)	94 (8·42)
History of stroke, N (%) ^b	309 (1·13)	83 (0·91)	103 (1·25)	35 (3·13)

N_{subj} = number of subjects; N_{img} = number of images; yrs = years; SD = standard deviation.

^a Selection of images of both eyes if available.

^b Values are based on N_{subj}.

Table 2. Association between retinal age gap with mortality using Cox proportional hazards regression models

Retinal age gap	N	Mean±SD (yrs)	Model I	Model II		
			HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value
Retinal age gap, per one age (yrs)	9,329	-0.31±4.59	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	<0.001	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.021
Retinal age gap						
Quantile 1	2,333	-5.98±2.69	Reference	-	Reference	-
Quantile 2	2,332	-1.72±0.82	1.11 (0.96-1.29)	0.168	1.05 (0.88-1.24)	0.602
Quantile 3	2,332	1.01±0.81	0.96 (0.80-1.15)	0.666	0.89 (0.73-1.09)	0.261
Quantile 4	2,332	5.43±2.59	1.46 (1.20-1.78)	<0.001	1.33 (1.06-1.67)	0.012

HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval.

Model I adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, and Townsend deprivation indices.

Model II adjusted for covariates in Model I + educational level, obesity, smoking status, physical activity level, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, general health status, history of heart diseases, and history of stroke.