

Supplementary Information for : Epidemiology of developmental dyslexia: A comparison of DSM-5 and ICD-11 criteria

European Journal of Epidemiology

Cécile Di Folco^{1,2+}, Ava Guez¹⁺, Hugo Peyre^{1,3,4}, Franck Ramus^{1*}

¹Laboratoire de Sciences Cognitives et Psycholinguistique, Département d'Etudes Cognitives, Ecole Normale Supérieure, EHESS, CNRS, PSL University, 75005 Paris, France.

² AgroParisTech, Paris, France.

³INSERM UMR 1141, Paris Diderot University, Paris, France.

⁴Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Robert Debré Hospital, APHP, Paris, France.

+ Equal contributors.

* Corresponding author: franck.ramus@ens.psl.eu;

Table of contents

Supplementary Methods.....	3
Figure S1. Flowchart of Included and Excluded Participants	3
Table S1. Descriptive statistics for excluded and included participants. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.....	4
Measures – examples of test items	5
Reading comprehension	5
Phonological awareness	5
Mathematics	5
Weighted least squares	6
Statistical analyses	6
Non-response propensity weights.....	6
Supplementary results	7
Table S2. Descriptive statistics in the working sample, computed with non-response propensity weights. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.....	7
Table S3. Sex ratio (boys/girls) as a function of diagnostic criteria. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.	9
Handedness and dyslexia	10
Table S4. Prevalence of non-right-handedness as a function of diagnostic criteria. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.....	10

Supplementary Methods

Figure S1. Flowchart of Included and Excluded Participants




Table S1. Descriptive statistics for excluded and included participants. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.

Outcome variable	Excluded		Included		Difference	
	N=9,945		N=25,041		(Excluded-Included)	
	<i>M</i> (or %)	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i> (or %)	<i>SD</i>	<i>d or</i> <i>Odds</i> <i>Ratio</i>	<i>p</i>
<i>Reading Scores</i>						
Reading comprehension (15 items)	8.72	3.66	9.63	3.08	-0.27	<.0001
Grammar (20 items)	7.69	4.64	8.85	4.31	-0.26	<.0001
Phonology (10 items)	6.29	2.46	6.83	2.16	-0.23	<.0001
Mathematics (48 items)	23.94	10.02	26.63	8.77	-0.29	<.0001
Intelligence score (non-verbal) (30 items)	13.12	7.64	15.75	5.94	-0.38	<.0001
National assessment score (out of 100)	51.58	19.78	60.47	17.11	-0.48	<.0001
<i>Perceived self-efficacy</i>						
Self-regulation (z-score)	-0.05	1.03	0.04	0.97	-0.09	<.0001
Social self-efficacy (z-score)	-0.05	1.05	0.02	0.97	-0.07	<.0001
Academic self-efficacy (z-score)	-0.08	1.06	0.02	0.98	-0.10	<.0001
<i>Motivation</i>						
Intrinsic motivation (z-score)	0.00	0.93	-0.01	0.92	0.01	0.5299
Extrinsic motivation (z-score)	0.00	0.84	-0.01	0.82	0.02	0.2618
Amotivation (z-score)	0.05	0.94	-0.02	0.87	0.07	<.0001
Socio-economic index (z-score)	-0.14	1.07	0.05	0.97	-0.18	<.0001
Priority Education (% , OR)	19.9		13.4		1.61	<.0001
Boys (% , OR)	52.9		50.4		1.11	<.0001
Left-handed (% , OR)	11.0		11.8		0.99	0.7404
Repeater (% , OR)	25.5		15.7		4.52	<.0001

Measures – examples of test items

Reading comprehension

Example: D'après le texte, combien de garçons escaladent le mur ?...

(According to the text, how many boys are climbing on the wall?....)

Phonological awareness

Example: fer, aimer, verre, amer, hiver (\fɛʁ , \e.me , \vɛʁ , \a.mɛʁ , \i.vɛʁ)

Grammar

Example: « Septembre ! C'est le mois.....choisit l'hirondelle pour partir vers le sud du Sahara.....elle peut passer l'hiver au chaud ». Correct answers : « que », « où ».

(“September! This is the month.....the swallow chooses to fly towards the South of Sahara....it can spend its winter warm”). Correct answers: “that”, “where”.

Mathematics

Examples:

- $27 \times 20 = \dots$

- Zoé est plus petite que Joëlle, et elle est plus grande que Cécile. La fille la plus grande s'appelle : 1) Cécile 2) Zoé 3) Joëlle (Zoé is shorter than Joëlle, and she is taller than Cécile. The tallest girl is called: 1) Cécile 2) Zoé 3) Joëlle)

Weighted least squares

For the ICD-11 definition, we estimated the variance of the residuals of reading comprehension on non-verbal intelligence score as a function of non-verbal intelligence scores. We first regressed the squared residuals of the OLS regression on intelligence score. The fitted values from this regression constitute our estimate of residual variance. We then ran weighted least squares using the inverse of this estimate as weights in order to produce efficient regression parameters.

Statistical analyses

Non-response propensity weights

In order to account for differences in the likelihood to respond to the survey, we computed non-response propensity weights, which we combined with the initial weights from the exhaustive baseline survey. Non-response propensity scores were first computed with a logistic regression, and inverted in order to obtain the non-response propensity weights. Both the baseline weights and the non-response propensity weights were then scaled; the product of these two scaled weights constitutes our final weights. The R code can be found below:

Supplementary results

Table S2. Descriptive statistics in the working sample, computed with non-response propensity weights. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.

Variables	N	Mean or %	SD	Min	Max
<i>Reading Scores</i>					
Reading comprehension	25041	9.47	3.12	0.00	15.00
Grammar	25041	8.61	4.32	9.00	20.00
Phonology	25041	6.74	2.19	0.00	10.00
Mathematics	25041	25.98	8.82	0.00	48.00
National assessment average score	25041	59.33	17.35	0.00	98.50
Intelligence score (non-verbal)	25041	100.00	15.00	62.80	136.41
<i>Perceived self-efficacy</i>					
Self-regulation	23826	0.01	0.99	-2.24	0.88
Social self-efficacy	22644	0.00	0.99	-6.11	1.66
Academic self-efficacy	21709	0.00	0.99	-5.30	2.12
<i>Motivation</i>					
Intrinsic motivation	23291	-0.01	0.92	-3.02	1.38
Extrinsic motivation	23291	-0.01	0.83	-2.14	1.72

Amotivation	23291	0.00	0.88	-0.79	4.43
Socio-economic index	23536	0.00	1.00	-5.92	4.31
<hr/>					
Priority Education (%)	25041	15.6			
Boys (%)	25041	51.0			
Left-handed (%)	23727	11.9			
Repeater (%)	25041	17.1			
<hr/>					

Table S3. Sex ratio (boys/girls) as a function of diagnostic criteria. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.

Severity threshold	Entire popu- lation	Reading < threshold	Reading < threshold + one exclusion criterion				Reading < threshold + all exclusion criteria	Reading < threshold + Academic achievement < -0.5 SD	All preceding criteria (DSM-5)	All preceding criteria + reading-IQ discrepanc y (ICD-11)
			Serious illness	Language proficiency	Psychosocial adversity	IQ<70				
-1 SD	1.04	1.47	1.46	1.47	1.47	1.42	1.41	1.49	1.41	1.53
-1.25 SD		1.58	1.54	1.57	1.56	1.51	1.5	1.68	1.51	1.57
-1.5 SD		1.61	1.61	1.61	1.61	1.54	1.54	1.65	1.58	1.83
-1.75 SD		1.76	1.75	1.78	1.77	1.69	1.7	1.78	1.73	2.11
-2 SD		1.76	1.75	1.78	1.77	1.69	1.7	1.78	1.73	2.22

Handedness and dyslexia

Table S4. Prevalence of non-right-handedness as a function of diagnostic criteria. Source : MENESR DEPP, Panel 2007.

Severity threshold	Entire popu- lation	Reading < threshold	Reading < threshold + one exclusion criterion				Reading < threshold + all exclusion criteria	Reading < threshold + Academic achievement < -0.5 SD	All preceding criteria (DSM-5)	All preceding criteria + reading-IQ discrepancy (ICD-11)
			Serious illness	Language proficiency	Psychosocial adversity	IQ<70				
-1 SD	13,8	15,0 ^a	15,0	15,3	15,0	15,1	15,3	15,0	15,3	15.2 n.s.
-1.25 SD		15,2	15,3	15,5	15,3	15,2	15,6	15.0 n.s.	15,4	15.4 n.s.
-1.5 SD		15,7	15,8	16,0	15,8	15,9	16,5	15,5	16,4	14.9 n.s.
-1.75 SD		16,5	16,7	17,0	16,6	16,8	17,9	15.4 n.s.	16,7	14.7 n.s.
-2 SD		16,5	16,7	17,0	16,6	16,8	17,9	15.3 n.s.	16,7	13.4 n.s.

^a All prevalence rates in columns 2-10 are significantly different from that in the entire population (Column 1) at $p < 0.05$, except where indicated as n.s..

Logistic regression with dyslexia as dependent variable and non-righthandedness, sex and IQ as independent variables:

With DSM-5 definition:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	2,924	0,304	9,631	0,000
sex	-0,879	0,387	-2,273	0,023
IQ	-0,062	0,003	-18,745	0,000
handedness	0,539	0,775	0,695	0,487
sex:IQ	0,014	0,004	3,308	0,001
sex:handedness	0,148	0,998	0,149	0,882
IQ:handedness	-0,002	0,009	-0,219	0,827
sex:IQ:handedness	-0,007	0,011	-0,596	0,551

With ICD-11 definition:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-0.62	0.43	-1.46	0.14
sex	-0.47	0.53	-0.88	0.38
IQ	-0.03	<0.01	-7.25	<0.01
handedness	0.42	1.07	0.39	0.7
sex:IQ	0.01	0.01	1.98	0.05
sex:handedness	-0.25	1.39	-0.18	0.86
IQ:handedness	<0.01	0.01	-0.08	0.94
sex:IQ:handedness	<0.01	0.01	-0.27	0.79