Socioeconomic inequalities associated with mortality for COVID-19 in Colombia: A cohort nation-wide study

Myriam Patricia Cifuentes¹, Laura A. Rodriguez-Villamizar², Maylen Liseth Rojas-Botero¹, Carlos Alvarez-Moreno³, Julián A. Fernández-Niño¹,

1 PhD, Direction of Epidemiology and Demography, Ministry of Health and Social Protection, Bogotá, Colombia

2 PhD, School of Medicine, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia

3. PhD, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Nacional de Colombia; Clínica Universitaria Colsanitas, Bogotá, Colombia

Corresponding author:

Laura A Rodriguez-Villamizar. Carrera 32 29-31 Of. 301, Bucaramanga, Colombia

Email: laurovi@uis.edu.co Telephone: +57-7-6344000 ext. 3145.

ABSTRACT

Background: After eight months of the COVID-19 pandemic, Latin American countries have some of the highest rates in COVID-19 mortality. Despite being one of the most unequal regions of the world, there is a scarce report of the effect of socioeconomic conditions on COVID-19 mortality in their countries. We aimed to identify the effect of some socioeconomic inequality-related factors on COVID-19 mortality in Colombia.

Methods: We conducted a survival analysis in a nation-wide retrospective cohort study of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Colombia from March 2nd to October 26th, 2020. We calculated the time to death or recovery for each confirmed case in the cohort. We used an extended multivariable time-dependent Cox regression model to estimate the hazard risk ratio (HR) by age groups, sex, ethnicity, type of health insurance, area of residence, and socioeconomic strata.

Results: There were 1 033 218 confirmed cases and 30 565 deaths for COVID-19 in Colombia between March 2nd and October 26th. The risk of dying for COVID-19 among confirmed cases was higher in males (HR=1.68 95% CI: 1.64-1.72), in people older than 60 years (HR=296.58 95% CI: 199.22-441.51), in indigenous people (HR=1.20 95% CI: 1.08-1.33), in people with subsidized health insurance regime (HR=1.89 95% CI: 1.83-1.96), and in people living in the very low socioeconomic strata (HR=1.44 95% CI: 1.24-1.68).

Conclusion: Our study provides evidence of socioeconomic inequalities in COVID-19 mortality in terms of age groups, sex, ethnicity, type of health insurance regime, and socioeconomic status.

Key words: COVID-19; Mortality; Social Inequalities; Cohort studies

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is the first pandemic caused by a human coronavirus, the SARS-CoV-2. The first cluster of patients with pneumonia of unknown origin was reported in Wuhan, China in January, 2020.[1] As of October 31st, 2020, there were more than 45.5 million confirmed cases and 1.1 million deaths affecting 188 countries around the world. The region of the Americas is the most affected region accounting for more than 20.3 million confirmed cases and 636 482 deaths.[2]

Recently it has been declared that the situation due to COVID-19 corresponds to a syndemic since there is a combination between the epidemic due to the infection by SARS-CoV-2 and the epidemic due to chronic non-communicable diseases that interact in a social context of poverty and inequity.[3] There are three crisis affecting economies and societies in the region: the slow economic growth, the environmental emergency, and the growing inequality.[4] The combination of these social crises with the endemic of non-communicable diseases and the current pandemic are disproportionately affecting the region. Latin America currently holds some of the highest COVID-19 death rates in the world and is facing a humanitarian crisis powered by the longstanding inequality of its countries.[5]

COVID-19 has been recognized by some governments and media as “the great equalizer” due to its capacity to affect people of different age groups, socioeconomic conditions, or prestige.[6] While this is probably true in terms of the biological risk of infection, it is not the case for the observed risk of COVID-19 infection, severity and mortality. There is evidence of racial and socioeconomic disparities in the United States in terms of the population infected by and dying from COVID-19. [7] However, socioeconomic characteristics are not routinely collected or described in most COVID-19 analyses. [8] Therefore, there is a need for collecting and analyzing data on socioeconomic determinants of health to monitor COVID-19 inequities, identify high-risk populations, and guide the development of public health interventions within countries.

During the first wave of the pandemic by SARS-CoV-2 infection/COVID-19 in Colombia, South America, the national public health surveillance system early adapted and prepared for this new threat, being able to detect and follow-up the ongoing cases and their demographic and socioeconomic characteristics. To identify the effect of some demographic and socioeconomic inequality-related factors on COVID-19 mortality during the first eight months of the epidemic in Colombia, we conducted a survival analysis (time to death for COVID-19) using individual data from a nation-wide cohort.

METHODS

Study population

Colombia is located in the north corner of South America. According to the National Administrative Department of Statistics (DANE, for its initials in Spanish), the total population is projected by 2020 in 50 372 424 inhabitants.[9] The country is divided into 33 departments and districts which groups 1122 municipalities. Half of the population are women (51.2%), 77.1% of people live in urban areas and 68.2% of Colombians are between 15 and 64 years old. The first case of infection for SARS-CoV-2 was confirmed on March 6th in Bogotá.

Study design and data sources

We conducted a survival analysis in a nation-wide retrospective cohort study of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Colombia from March 2nd to October 26th, 2020. The nation-wide cohort was ensembled using individual data obtained from the national public health surveillance system (SIVIGILA, for their initials in Spanish). The National Institute of Health (INS, for its initials in Spanish) compiles, verifies and adds laboratory data and other criteria for confirm or discard cases and publishes anonymized and de-identified registries as open data (www.ins.gov.co). The first day of symptoms' onset for the first confirmed case was February 26th and there were 245 days elapsed till the end of the follow-up period.

Symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 cases are confirmed in Colombia by using Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Starting on July 23rd, 2020, symptomatic cases can be also confirmed by using antigen-based validated tests. Deaths for COVID-19 are notified by health care services to SIVIGILA and DANE and then an individual analysis of the cases confirms, discards or keeps as suspected the reports of deaths due to COVID-19.

All procedures performed in this study followed the national and international ethical standards. Informed consent was not required due to the nature of the study and use of anonymized data from publicly available data sources.

Outcome and predictors assessment

The outcome event of interest for the study was COVID-19 death among confirmed cases. For deceased symptomatic cases, we computed the ‘time to event’ as the difference between the dates of symptoms onset and the date of death. For deceased asymptomatic cases, ‘time to event’ was calculated as the difference between the date of first medical appointment and the date of death. The follow-up time of symptomatic recovered cases was the difference between the date of symptoms’ onset and date of recovering (registered as laboratory or clinical recovery). For asymptomatic recovered cases, the follow-up time was calculated as the difference between the date of the first medical appointment and the registered date of recovery. We defined censored cases as active cases for which no event (death or recovery) was confirmed by the last date of observation (October 26th). For symptomatic and asymptomatic censored cases, we used the same calculation of the follow-up time described above taking into account the date of symptoms’ onset or the date of the first medical appointment date, respectively (See Figure S1 in Supplementary material).

The exposure predictor variables of the model included the following individual demographic and socioeconomic variables that usually leads to health inequalities: age, sex, ethnicity, type of health insurance, area of residence, and socioeconomic strata. In Colombia, ethnicity minorities include “indigenous”, “African-Colombian descent”, a special group of “Raizales” which refers to descendants of the original enslaved Africans, and “Gipsy-Romany”. The type of health insurance is a proxy variable for health access. The “Contributory” type refers to job-related health insurance, the “Subsidized” type covers poor people without formal jobs which hold a subsidy paid by the government, the “Special” health regime covers few unionized workers, and the “exception” regime groups the army-related members. We included age grouped into six life-course categories: infants (0-5y), children and school-age (5-11y), adolescents (12-26y), young adults (27-45y), adults (46-59y), and seniors (60 or more years). The socioeconomic strata is a classification based on the stratification of residential properties used by DANE according to the socioeconomic resources of a census block that received public services. This classification divides houses into class levels, which range from one (very low) to six (high) being one the strata with higher socioeconomic deprivation.[10] The socioeconomic strata is used as a proxy of socioeconomic status (SES) in this study and was obtained as a self-reported variable in SIVIGILA.

Statistical analysis

All confirmed COVID-19 cases were included in the analysis by using the national cohort’s time to death and recovery. Exploratory customary descriptions for distributions of continuous time-to-death variable and all categorical predictors, for the different outcomes (dead, recovered and censored) included means, medians, frequencies and percentages. The modeling process included testing of proportional hazards assumption for all the predictors using hypothesis tests (p-values of terms addressing time dependent factors) and graphs (Log minus log plots and partial residuals plots from models with no interaction terms). As all predictors but sex were dependent on time, we used an extended multi-predictor time-dependent Cox regression model.[11] By using this extended model it is possible to jointly evaluate the effect of multiple time-dependent variables and their role as potential confounders or effect modifiers. We included simple product interactions between these variables and the time to event to estimate an extended Cox regression model that allows non-proportional hazards. Survival functions were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. As our objective was to obtain an explanatory model, we ran the multi-predictor regression models by the *Enter* method, therefore, the resulting equation included all variables. We assessed the coefficient signs and significance by the Wald statistic, and associations expressed as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All tests with $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. We performed the statistical analysis using SPSS software® version 26.

RESULTS

There were 1 033 218 confirmed cases and 30 565 deaths for COVID-19 in Colombia from the first day of notification, March 2nd, to October 26th. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the cohort of COVID-19 confirmed cases. Most confirmed COVID-19 cases were male, older than 60 years old, living in urban areas, with the contributory regime of health insurance, and living in residences that belong to the two lower levels of socioeconomic strata. Seven (0.02%) out of the 30 565 cases that end up in deaths were asymptomatic. From all 914 882 confirmed cases that end up in recovery, 11.2% were asymptomatic. The 12.1% of the 87 874 confirmed cases censored at the end of the follow-up time were asymptomatic (See Tables S1-S3 in Supplementary material).

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of COVID-19 confirmed cases and deaths in Colombia up to and including October 26th, 2020

Characteristic	Total confirmed cases alive (N= 1 002 653) (n, % for rows)	Total confirmed deaths (N= 30 565) (n, % for rows)	Total confirmed cases (N= 1 033 218) (n, % for columns)
Sex			
Male	500 820 (96.23)	19 613 (3.77)	520 433 (50.37)
Female	501 833 (97.86)	10 952 (2.14)	512 785 (49.63)
Age groups			
Infants	20 892 (99.82)	38 (0.18)	20 930 (2.03)
Children and school-age	23 391 (99.95)	12 (0.05)	23 403 (2.27)
Adolescents	203 475 (99.54)	231 (0.11)	203 706 (19.72)
Young adults	430 375 (99.54)	2000 (0.46)	432 375 (41.85)
Adults	193 142 (97.48)	5000 (2.52)	198 142 (19.18)
Seniors	131 378 (84.95)	23 284 (15.05)	154 662 (14.97)
Ethnicity			
White, mestizo, other	942 712 (97.08)	28 366 (2.92)	971 078 (93.99)
African-Colombian	37 883 (96.38)	1421 (3.62)	39 304 (3.80)
Indigenous	22 011 (96.59)	776 (3.41)	22 787 (2.21)
Gipsy-Roman	34 (94.44)	2 (5.56)	36 (0.00)
Raizal	13 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	13 (0.00)
Area of residence			
Urban	861 071 (96.87)	27 844 (3.13)	888 915 (86.03)
Semirural (village)	44 586 (97.18)	1296 (2.82)	45 882 (4.44)
Sparse rural	25 002 (96.19)	991 (3.81)	25 993 (2.52)
Unknown area	71 994 (99.40)	434 (0.60)	72 428 (7.01)
Type of health insurance regime			
Contributory	646 670 (97.97)	13 415 (2.03)	660 085 (63.89)
Subsidized	146 290 (92.86)	11 250 (7.14)	157 540 (15.25)
Special	16 306 (97.24)	463 (2.76)	16 769 (1.62)
Exception	47 490 (97.44)	1247 (2.56)	48 737 (4.72)
Uninsured	18 447 (97.49)	474 (2.51)	18 921 (1.83)
Unknown or pending insurance	10 115 (97.41)	269 (2.59)	10 384 (1.01)
Non-registered insurance	117 335 (91.15)	3447 (2.85)	120 782 (11.69)
Socioeconomic strata			
1 Very low	183 857 (95.51)	8 644 (4.49)	192 501 (18.63)
2 Low	366 446 (96.80)	12 113 (3.20)	378 559 (36.64)
3 Middle-low	200 213 (97.43)	5 276 (2.57)	205 489 (19.89)
4 Middle	35 339 (97.47)	918 (2.53)	36 257 (3.51)
5 Middle-high	11 857 (97.61)	290 (2.39)	12 147 (1.18)
6 High	6126 (96.73)	207 (3.27)	6333 (0.61)
Non-registered strata	198 815 (98.46)	3117 (1.54)	201 932 (19.54)

Figure 1 shows the survival functions for each predictor in the model obtained from the multiple Cox Regression without time-dependent factors (See Table S4 in Supplementary material for model details). As the assumption of proportional hazards did not hold for all predictors but sex (see Figure S2-S12 in Supplementary material), we fit the multi-predictor Cox Regression for time dependent variables including the same predictors. This model was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). Table 2 presents the results of our final multi-predictor time-dependent Cox regression model (See Tables S5-S6 in supplementary materials for details). The instantaneous risk of dying for COVID-19 among confirmed cases is 59% higher in males compared to females, 27% higher in indigenous people compared to whites/mestizos, and 97% higher in people with subsidized health insurance regime compared to contributory. There was evidence of a dose-response pattern by life-course age groups and SES levels. The risk of dying for COVID-19 among confirmed cases for people over 60 years is extremely higher than the risk for infants. The instantaneous risk of death for people with confirmed diagnosis of

COVID-19 living in the very low SES increases by 73% compared to the risk of people living in the high SES (HR=1.73 95% CI: 1.48-2.04). In contrast, living in a sparse rural area decreased the risk of mortality for COVID-19 (HR=0.83 95% CI: 0.76-0.91). Interactions terms between the time to the death and all the variables in the model were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2. Risks of death for COVID-19 by some socioeconomic conditions in Colombia up to and including October 26th, 2020

Socioeconomic condition	HR (95% CI)	P value
Sex (female as reference)	1.59 (1.53 – 1.65)	<0.001
Age groups (Infants as reference)		
Children and school-age	0.42 (0.22-0.81)	0.009
Adolescents	1.16 (0.81-1.67)	0.414
Young adults	5.67 (4.00-8.04)	<0.001
Adults	33.70 (23.59-48.14)	<0.001
Seniors	214.31 (148.64-309.01)	<0.001
Ethnicity (White/mestizo as reference)		
Indigenous	1.27 (1.13-1.43)	<0.001
Gipsy-Roman	1.56 (0.39-6.25)	0.530
Raizal	0.00 (0.00-3.44)	0.913
African-Colombian	1.01 (0.96-1.08)	0.613
Area of residence (urban as reference)		
Semirural (village)	0.88 (0.82-0.93)	<0.001
Sparse rural	0.83 (0.76-0.91)	<0.001
Unknown area	0.14 (0.12-0.16)	<0.001
Type of health insurance regime (contributory regime as reference)		
Subsidized	1.97 (1.89-2.04)	<0.001
Special	1.29 (1.17-1.41)	<0.001
Exception	1.37 (1.29-1.45)	<0.001
Uninsured	1.34 (1.21-1.48)	<0.001
Unknown or pending insurance	1.22 (1.07-1.39)	0.002
Non-registered insurance	2.57 (2.41-2.73)	<0.001
Socioeconomic strata (high SES as reference)		
Very low	1.73 (1.48-2.04)	<0.001
Low	1.61 (1.38-1.87)	<0.001
Middle-low	1.34 (1.16-1.56)	<0.001
Middle	1.16 (0.99-1.36)	0.059
Middle-high	0.94 (0.79-1.13)	0.531
Unknown	1.54 (1.30-1.83)	<0.001

HR: Hazard Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Figure 1. Survival curves for COVID-19 by socioeconomic conditions. A). Sex; B) Age groups; C) Ethnicity; D) Area of residence; E) Health insurance regime; F) Socioeconomic status

DISCUSSION

Our results provide evidence of socioeconomic and demographic inequalities in COVID-19 mortality in Colombia. In addition to the well documented differential risk of mortality related to older age groups and male sex, this study provides evidence of socioeconomic and ethnicity inequalities in COVID-19 mortality. We identified higher mortality risks for indigenous people, people in the subsidized health regime, and those living in areas classified as very low and low SES. The risks of mortality for age groups and SES levels followed a consistent dose-response pattern.

Our findings of association between COVID-19 mortality and older age (60 year or more) and male sex are consistent with previous reports.[12] The most plausible explanation for this finding is the age-related response to sepsis in older adults with decline in the immune cell function, reduced humoral immune function, and uncontrolled production of inflammatory cytokines.[13] Our study also found an increased risk of death in men which is consistent with previous results. [14] Sex differences in COVID-19 mortality are probably explained by the increased expression in men of the angiotensin converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2), a key factor involved in the pathogenesis of COVID-19.[15]

Ethnicity disparities have been also reported in a variety of contexts. African American and Hispanic in the United States (US) are more vulnerable to COVID-19 mortality than other ethnic groups.[7] In Brazil, after age, Pardo ethnicity was the second most important risk factor for death and probable explanations are differential access to health care or susceptibility to COVID-19 infection.[16] Our study found an increased risk of COVID-19 mortality among indigenous people. Leticia, the capital of the Amazonas department with a live frontier with Brazil, holds the highest COVID-19 mortality rate across departments in Colombia. It is estimated that at least 163 indigenous communities have been infected for the SARS-CoV-2 in Latin America. Poor living and sanitary conditions combined with the burden of previous infectious diseases and malnutrition impose a higher risk to the health of individuals and entire communities.[17]

There is evidence of historical socioeconomic inequalities in previous pandemics. During the 1918 Spanish influenza pandemic there were reports that showed that mortality rates in some countries of South America was 20 times higher compared to countries in Europe.[18] The case fatality rates across countries early in the current COVID-19 pandemic showed negative correlation with countries' Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Human Development Index. [19,20] In the US, the COVID-19 pandemic is accelerating the health inequities by disproportionately affecting people from the most disadvantaged groups such as immigrants, people with disabilities and people in prisons and jails.[21] In Brazil, income and education inequalities were positively associated with COVID-19 incidence and mortality rates.[22,23] Our results showed that living in areas of very low or low SES is associated with higher COVID-19 mortality risk with a consistent dose-response effect pattern. These results are consistent with a previous report of inequalities in mortality by SES levels among COVID-19 confirmed cases in Bogotá.[24] These findings are also consistent with results of a nation-wide ecologic study that showed increased risk of COVID-19 mortality associated with the municipalities' multidimensional poverty index. [25] Colombia has one of the largest income gaps in Latin America and income inequalities within the country differ widely by geographic region in relation to land property, work market, and the effect of violence and armed conflict.[26] These baseline socioeconomic inequalities are translated into higher risk of exposure to and severity of COVID-19 affecting disproportionately to people in lower socioeconomic conditions in Colombia.

Social disruption stress producing pro-inflammatory gene expression has been described as a potential pathological mechanism to explain higher adverse health outcomes in populations with disadvantaged socioeconomic conditions.[27] However, the most possible explanation for the inequalities in COVID-19 mortality are the historical inequalities in terms of living and working conditions, and the unequal access to health care services. Inequalities in working conditions might explain an important part of the inequalities of COVID-19 infection and mortality. In our study, people in the subsidized health insurance regime represent people with unstable or informal work, or unemployed people who need subsidy from the government to get access to health services. Thus, the higher mortality risk observed in this group compared to the contributory health regime might be representing the social inequality related to working conditions in Colombia. People in the more disadvantaged working groups have lower-paid work and are more likely to work in key basic services (food, cleaning, delivery or public services) that require them to work in person and commute across the cities.[28] In contrast, people with higher-paid work are more likely to work from home with lower exposure to COVID-19 infection.[29]

Despite having an almost universal health insurance coverage, the Colombian health system is characterized by a strong fragmentation in the provision of health care services, an incipient primary health care, and differences in quality of health care services across regimes.[30] Therefore, differences between contributory and subsidized groups might be explained not only by underlying working conditions but also for chronic inequalities in access to high quality health care services. Limited health care services are provided in semi-rural and sparse rural areas. Our findings, however, found a potential protector effect for COVID-19 mortality for people living in those areas compared to people living in urban areas. The direction of this association might be explained by the SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics that favors contagion among close contacts in crowded places which is more common in urban areas.

The COVID-19 pandemic is occurring in presence of a non-communicable diseases (NCDs) epidemic and within a context of historical inequalities in the social determinants of health, which is recognized as a syndemic.[29] There are complex connections among NCDs, COVID-19 transmission dynamics and living conditions that shape disparities with higher adverse effects for disadvantaged people. People from minority ethnic groups, people living in areas with higher socioeconomic deprivation, generally have a greater number of or more severe or uncontrolled coexisting NCDs.[31] These inequalities in chronic conditions are deepened by the way people live and work which make them also more exposed to COVID-19 infection and mortality. Therefore, there is a need to measure, analyze and report demographic and socioeconomic inequities for identifying groups at higher risk for COVID-19 mortality in order to guide tailored public health interventions in countries.[8]

Our study provides strong evidence of socioeconomic inequalities in COVID-19 mortality in Colombia by using data from a nation-wide cohort of confirmed cases during the first eight months of the epidemic. However, conclusions should be carefully interpreted considering the limitations of the study. This study relies on data reported to SIVIGILA and it is possible that despite its national coverage, some degree of underreporting might be present. The probability of underreporting is higher in the sparse rural areas where most disadvantaged people live and therefore underreporting, if present, would have an attenuating effect of the effect measures. Colombia does not conduct COVID-19 mass testing and underreporting of cases and deaths might be influencing the confirmed cases captured by SIVIGILA and our results. Finally, our results are not controlled for the presence of chronic morbidities in confirmed cases so the effect of specific chronic diseases on COVID-19 mortality was not estimated and socioeconomic variables are not controlled for them.

In conclusion, our study provides evidence of demographic and socioeconomic inequalities in COVID-19 mortality in terms of age groups, sex, ethnicity, type of health insurance regime, and socioeconomic strata. Confirmed COVID-19 cases who are male, over 60 years old, indigenous, holding a government subsidized health insurance, and those living in areas classified in the lower socioeconomic strata have a higher risk of dying faster from COVID-19. Public health interventions for prevention and early detection of COVID-19 cases should be prioritized for more vulnerable groups according to the unequal mortality risks.

References

- 1 Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, *et al.* A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**382**:727–33. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2001017
- 2 World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. 2020.<https://covid19.who.int> (accessed 17 Nov 2020).
- 3 Horton R. Offline: COVID-19 is not a pandemic. *Lancet* 2020;**396**:874. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32000-6
- 4 Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC). Building a New Future: Transformative Recovery with Equality and Sustainability. Santiago: 2020.
- 5 The Lancet. COVID-19 in Latin America: a humanitarian crisis. *Lancet* 2020;**396**:1463. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32328-X
- 6 Mein SA. COVID-19 and Health Disparities: the Reality of “the Great Equalizer”. *J Gen Intern Med* 2020;**35**:2439–40. doi:10.1007/s11606-020-05880-5
- 7 Abedi V, Olulana O, Avula V, *et al.* Racial, Economic, and Health Inequality and COVID-19 Infection in the United States. *J Racial Ethn Heal Disparities* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1007/s40615-020-00833-4
- 8 Khalatbari-Soltani S, Cumming RC, Delpierre C, *et al.* Importance of collecting data on socioeconomic determinants from the early stage of the COVID-19 outbreak onwards. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2020;**74**:620–3. doi:10.1136/jech-2020-214297
- 9 Departamento Nacional de Estadística. Proyecciones de población. 2020.
- 10 Departamento Nacional de Estadística. Estratificación socioeconómica. 2019.<https://www.dane.gov.co/index.php/sistema-estadistico-nacional-sen/69-espanol/geoestadistica/estratificacion/468-estratificacion-socioeconomica> (accessed 26 Oct 2020).
- 11 Vittinghoff E, Glidden D V, Shiboski SC, *et al.* *Regression Methods in Biostatistics. Linear, Logistic, Survival, and Repeated Measures Models*. Second. New York: : Springer Science Business Media 2012. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-1353-0
- 12 Mehraeen E, Karimi A, Barzegary A, *et al.* Predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19- a systematic review. *Eur J Integr Med* 2020;**40**:101226. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2020.101226>
- 13 Opal SM, Girard TD, Ely EW. The immunopathogenesis of sepsis in elderly patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;**41**. doi:10.1086/432007
- 14 Nasiri MJ, Haddadi S, Tahvildari A, *et al.* COVID-19 Clinical Characteristics, and Sex-Specific Risk of Mortality: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Med* 2020;**7**:1–10. doi:10.3389/fmed.2020.00459
- 15 Maleki Dana P, Sadoughi F, Hallajzadeh J, *et al.* An Insight into the Sex Differences in COVID-19 Patients: What are the Possible Causes? *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2020;**35**:438–41. doi:10.1017/S1049023X20000837
- 16 Baqui P, Bica I, Marra V, *et al.* Ethnic and regional variations in hospital mortality from COVID-19 in Brazil: a cross-sectional observational study. *Lancet Glob Heal* 2020;**8**:e1018–26. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30285-0
- 17 Fondo para el Desarrollo de los Pueblos Indígenas de América Latina y el Caribe. Los Pueblos Indígenas ante la pandemia del COVID-19. La Paz, Bolivia: 2020. https://indigenascovid19.red/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/FILAC_FIAY_primer-informe-PI_COVID19.pdf
- 18 Murray CJL, Lopez AD, Chin B, *et al.* Estimation of potential global pandemic influenza mortality on the basis of vital registry data from the 1918–20 pandemic: a quantitative analysis. *Lancet* 2006;**368**:2211–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69895-4
- 19 Shahbazi F, Khazaei S. Socio-economic inequality in global incidence and mortality rates from coronavirus disease 2019: an ecological study. *New Microbes New Infect* 2020;**38**:100762. doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100762
- 20 Asfahan S, Shahul A, Chawla G, *et al.* Early trends of socio-economic and health indicators influencing case fatality rate of COVID-19 pandemic. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 2020;**9**:451–7. doi:10.4081/MONALDI.2020.1388
- 21 Okonkwo NE, Aguwa UT, Jang M, *et al.* COVID-19 and the US response: accelerating health inequities. *BMJ Evidence-Based Med* 2020;**0**:bmjebm-2020-111426. doi:10.1136/bmjebm-2020-111426
- 22 Demenech LM, Dumith S de C, Vieira MECD, *et al.* Desigualdade econômica e risco de infecção e morte por COVID-19 no Brasil. *Rev Bras Epidemiol* 2020;**23**:e200095. doi:10.1590/1980-549720200095
- 23 Wollenstein-Betech S, Silva AAB, Fleck JL, *et al.* Physiological and socioeconomic characteristics predict COVID-19 mortality and resource utilization in Brazil. *PLoS One* 2020;**15**:1–15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0240346
- 24 Grupo de Investigación en Macroeconomía de la Facultad de Economía U de los A. El patrón socioeconómico del COVID. El caso de Bogotá. 2020.https://economia.uniandes.edu.co/components/com_booklibrary/ebooks/BM_23.pdf (accessed 27 Oct 2020).

- 2020).
- 25 Rodriguez-Villamizar LA, Belalcazar-Ceron LC, Fernandez-Nino JA, *et al.* Air pollution, sociodemographic and health conditions effects on COVID-19 mortality in Colombia: an ecological study. *Sci Total Environ* Published Online First: November 2020. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144020>
- 26 Sanchez R. Desigualdad del ingreso en Colombia: un estudio por departamentos. *Cuad Econ* 2017;**36**:139–78. doi:10.15446/cuad.econ.v36n72.65880.Este
- 27 Health I, Mattos R. Isolation , social stress , low socioeconomic status and its relationship to immune response in Covid-19 pandemic context. *Brain , Behav , Immun - Heal* 2020;**7**:100103. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100103>
- 28 Ribeiro F, Ribeiro F, Leist A. Who is going to pay the price of Covid-19? Reflections about an unequal Brazil. *Int J Equity Health* 2020;**19**:19–21. doi:10.1186/s12939-020-01207-2
- 29 Bambra C, Riordan R, Ford J, *et al.* The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2020;**74**:964–8. doi:10.1136/jech-2020-214401
- 30 Giovanella L, Vega R, Tejerina-Silva H, *et al.* ¿Es la atención primaria de salud integral parte de la respuesta a la pandemia de Covid-19 en Latinoamérica? *Trab Educ e Saúde* 2021;**19**. doi:10.1590/1981-7746-sol00310
- 31 Di Cesare M, Khang YH, Asaria P, *et al.* Inequalities in non-communicable diseases and effective responses. *Lancet* 2013;**381**:585–97. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61851-0

Contributor's statement

MPC: methodology design, verification of the underlying data, data analysis, data interpretation, writing -original draft

LAR-V: literature research, data analysis, data interpretation, writing -original draft

MLR-B: verification of the underlying data, data analysis, data interpretation, writing – review and editing

CA-M: data interpretation, writing – review and editing

JAF-N: conceptualization, methodology design, data analysis, data interpretation, writing – review and editing

Funding

This study did have a specific funding grant. Study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, and writing the report were conducted as part of the work of the Direction of Epidemiology and Demography of the Ministry of Health and Social Protection of Colombia.

Competing interest

None declared.

Data Availability Statement

Data used for the current study are publicly available as open data on the government website

(<https://www.datos.gov.co/en/Salud-y-Protecci-n-Social/Casos-positivos-de-COVID-19-en-Colombia/gt2j-8ykr>)

Supplemental Figures and Tables

Figure S1. Approaches to compute days of time to event in the cohort of COVID-19 confirmed cases, Colombia

Table S1. Descriptive statistics and distributions of the six times to death, to recovery and censored cases, each for symptomatic and asymptomatic cases.

	Time to event	Time to death symptomatic	Time to death, asymptomatic	Time to recovery, symptomatic	Time to recovery, asymptomatic	Symptomatic censored cases	Asymptomatic censored cases
N	1 033 218	30 558	7	812 437	120 445	59 105	10 666
Mean	25.56	15.97	16.43	25.92	25.64	28.00	11.56
Std. Error of Mean	.01	.07	4.61	.01	.02	.12	.12
Median	24.00	14.00	11.00	25.00	25.00	14.00	10.00
Mode	21	10	8	21	25	14	13
Std. Deviation	11.81	11.88	12.20	9.37	8.53	29.89	12.81
Variance	139.62	141.04	148.95	87.74	72.69	893.26	164.19
Range	216	158	34	211	196	215	213
Minimum	0	0	8	0	2	1	2
Maximum	216	158	42	211	198	216	215
Sum	26 411.767	488 051	115	21 056 824	3 088 341	1 655 125	123.311
Percentiles							
25	20	8	8	21	20	10	7
50	24	14	11	25	25	14	10
75	29	22	20	29	29	35	12
IQR	9	14	12	8	9	15	5

Table S2. Frequencies of predictors' categories by type of time-to-event outcome¹

Predictors / categories	Time to event outcomes					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Sex						
Male	19 608	5	405 391	59 694	30 547	5 188
Female	10 950	2	407 046	60 751	28 558	5 478
Socioeconomic status SES						
Unknown SES	3 115	2	148 867	33 125	11 229	5 594
Very low SES 1	8 644	0	158 065	13 029	12 236	527
Low SES 2	12 110	3	303 406	40 542	20 541	1 957
Middle-low SES 3	5 275	1	160 508	26 072	11 741	1 892
Middle SES 4	917	1	27 691	5 004	2 200	444
Middle-high SES 5	290	0	9 289	1 672	732	164
High SES 6	207	0	4 611	1 001	426	88
Life course age group						
Infants 0-5y	38	0	16 068	3 096	1 504	224
Children and school-age (6-11y)	12	0	18 147	3 989	985	270
Adolescents (12-26y)	230	1	164 869	26 568	9 821	2 217
Young adults (27-45y)	2 000	0	355 500	49 830	20 775	4 270
Adults (46-59y)	5 000	0	156 162	2 2847	12 094	2 039
Seniors (60y and more)	23 278	6	101 691	14 115	13 926	1 646
Ethnicity						
Indigenous	776	0	19 502	1 851	621	37
Romany	2	0	30	3	1	0
Raizal	0	0	5	8	0	0
Palenquero	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African-colombian	1 421	0	34 901	2 339	637	6
Other: white, mestizo	28 359	7	757999	116 244	57 846	10 623
Area						
City or town (Urban)	27 837	7	708 770	98 609	48 541	5 151
Village (Semirural)	1 296	0	39 335	1 946	3226	79
Sparse rural	991	0	21 322	673	2972	35
Unknown area	434	0	43 010	19 217	4366	5 401
Health Insurance						
Contributory-job related insurance	13 411	4	527 518	80 960	33700	4 492
Special Insurance	463	0	14 274	1 739	275	18
Exception health regime	1245	2	40 636	3 533	3089	232
Gov. subsidized insurance	11 249	1	124 099	8 988	12837	366
Uninsured	474	0	13 485	3 671	1214	77
Unknown or pending insurance	269	0	8 821	778	505	11
Non-registered insurance	3 447	0	83 604	20 776	7485	5 470
TOTAL	30 558	7	812 437	120 445	59105	10 666

¹Time to event outcome 1 refers to time to death of symptomatic cases, and outcome 2 to time to death of asymptomatic ones. Outcome 3 and 4 refer to the frequencies of time to recovery on patients with or without symptoms respectively, and outcome 5 and 6 refer to frequencies of censored cases, also with or without symptoms, respectively.

Table S3. Proportional distribution of predictors' categories by type of time-to-event outcome¹

Predictors	Categories	Time to event outcomes					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
		Column %	Column %	Column %	Column %	Column %	Column %
Sex	Male	64.2	71.4	49.9	49.6	51.7	48.6
	Female	35.8	28.6	50.1	50.4	48.3	51.4
Socioeconomic status SES	Unknown SES	10.2	28.6	18.3	27.5	19.0	52.4
	Very low SES 1	28.3	0.0	19.5	10.8	20.7	4.9
	Low SES 2	39.6	42.9	37.3	33.7	34.8	18.3
	Middle-low SES 3	17.3	14.3	19.8	21.6	19.9	17.7
	Middle SES 4	3.0	14.3	3.4	4.2	3.7	4.2
	Middle-high SES 5	0.9	0.0	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.5
	High SES 6	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8
Life course age group	Infants 0-5y	0.1	0.0	2.0	2.6	2.5	2.1
	Children and school-age (6-11y)	0.0	0.0	2.2	3.3	1.7	2.5
	Adolescents (12-26y)	0.8	14.3	20.3	22.1	16.6	20.8
	Young adults (27-45y)	6.5	0.0	43.8	41.4	35.1	40.0
	Adults (46-59y)	16.4	0.0	19.2	19.0	20.5	19.1
	Seniors (60y and more)	76.2	85.7	12.5	11.7	23.6	15.4
Ethnicity	Indigenous	2.5	0.0	2.4	1.5	1.1	0.3
	Romany	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Raizal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Palenquero	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Black, African-colombian	4.7	0.0	4.3	1.9	1.1	0.1
	Other: white, mestizo						
Area	City or town (Urban)				81.9	82.1	48.3
	Village (Semirural)	4.2	0.0	4.8	1.6	5.5	0.7
	Sparse rural	3.2	0.0	2.6	0.6	5.0	0.3
	Unknown area	1.4	0.0	5.3	16.0	7.4	50.6
Health Insurance	Contributory-job related insurance	43.9	57.1	64.9	67.2	57.0	42.1
	Special Insurance	1.5	0.0	1.8	1.4	0.5	0.2
	Exception health regime	4.1	28.6	5.0	2.9	5.2	2.2
	Gov. subsidized insurance	36.8	14.3	15.3	7.5	21.7	3.4
	Uninsured	1.6	0.0	1.7	3.0	2.1	0.7
	Unknown or pending insurance	0.9	0.0	1.1	0.6	0.9	0.1
	Non-registered insurance	11.3	0.0	10.3	17.2	12.7	51.3

¹Time to event outcome 1 refers to time to death of symptomatic cases, and outcome 2 to time to death of asymptomatic ones. Outcome 3 and 4 refer to the frequencies of time to recovery on patients with or without symptoms respectively, and outcome 5 and 6 refer to frequencies of censored cases, also with or without symptoms, respectively.

Table S4. Multi-predictor Cox Regression model without terms to address time-dependent factors

	Variables in the Equation						95.0% CI for	
	B	SE	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	Exp(B)	
							Lower	Upper
Sex (Ref. Female)	.53	.01	1 968.10	1	.000	1.70	1.66	1.74
Socioeconomic status SES (Ref. High SES 6)			147.55	6	.000			
Unknown SES	.01	.07	.02	1	.899	1.01	.88	1.16
Very low SES 1	.19	.07	7.43	1	.006	1.21	1.06	1.39
Low SES 2	.18	.07	6.92	1	.009	1.20	1.05	1.38
Middle-low SES 3	.07	.07	1.05	1	.305	1.08	.94	1.24
Middle SES 4	-.01	.08	.00	1	.946	.99	.86	1.16
Middle-high SES 5	-.14	.09	2.38	1	.123	.87	.73	1.04
Life course age (Infants 0-5y)			32 895.07	5	.000			
Children and school-age (6-11y)	-1.19	.33	13.02	1	.000	.30	.16	.58
Adolescents (12-26y)	-.35	.18	4.06	1	.044	.70	.50	.99
Young adults (27-45y)	1.07	.16	42.99	1	.000	2.93	2.12	4.03
Adults (46-59y)	2.71	.16	276.42	1	.000	14.99	10.89	20.63
Seniors (60y and more)	4.40	.16	735.60	1	.000	81.74	59.46	112.37
Ethnicity (Ref. Other. white. mestizo)			2.85	4	.582			
Indigenous	.05	.04	1.51	1	.219	1.05	.97	1.12
Romany	.33	.71	.21	1	.645	1.39	.35	5.54
Raizal	-6.22	57.11	.01	1	.913	.00	.00	8.09E+45
African-Colombian	-.03	.03	1.01	1	.315	.97	.92	1.03
Area (ref. City or town (Urban))			1 109.95	3	.000			
Village (Semirural)	-.08	.03	7.52	1	.006	.92	.87	.98
Sparse rural	-.07	.03	4.82	1	.028	.93	.87	.99
Unknown area	-1.82	.05	1 104.14	1	.000	.16	.15	.18
Health Insurance (ref. job related insurance)			2 274.11	6	.000			
Special Insurance	.23	.05	23.06	1	.000	1.26	1.14	1.38
Exception health regime	.24	.03	65.57	1	.000	1.27	1.20	1.35
Gov. subsidized insurance	.57	.01	1 622.47	1	.000	1.78	1.73	1.83
Uninsured	.16	.05	11.07	1	.001	1.17	1.07	1.28
Unknown or pending insurance	.04	.06	.34	1	.562	1.04	.92	1.17
Non-registered insurance	.74	.02	1 327.97	1	.000	2.10	2.02	2.19

Testing of Assumptions

Additional to overall model statistics, and to systematically explore quantitative criteria of time dependent variables in the model (see interactions between predictors and time in the final Cox Regression with time dependent variables), we used graphical criteria to assess if proportional hazards hold. The following figures present plots between log minus log transformation of survival estimates and time to event, and scatter plots between partial residuals and time to event.

Sex

Figure S2. Graphic test of proportional hazard assumption for Sex.
Left, $\text{Ln}(-\ln)$ transformation of survival estimate vs Time to event plot.
Right, Partial residual vs time to event plot.

Despite the Log minus log plot show almost parallel lines for male and female categories, the partial residual plot shows a positive trend. The interaction between Sex and time to event has a significant p-value close to zero.

Socioeconomic status

Figure S3. $\text{Ln}(-\ln)$ transformation of survival estimates for SES categories vs Time to event plot

Figure S4. Partial residual vs time to event plots for SES categories.

Curves of Ln(-ln) transformation of survival estimates for SES categories do not overlap or cross. However, partial residual plots for all categories but Middle-high SES, have clear trends. Additionally, as interaction between SES and time to event had a p-value close to zero, we conclude SES violates the proportional hazards assumption.

Life Course age groups

Figure S5. Ln(-ln) transformation of survival estimates for Life course age groups vs Time to event plot

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Children and school-age (6-11y) by Time to event

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Adolescents (12-26y) by Time to event

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Young adults (27-45y) by Time to event

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Adults (46-59y) by Time to event

Figure S6. Partial residual vs time to event plots for Life course age groups.

Similar to the former variables, the log minus log curves for categories in Life course just converge in the origin, with no further crossings. However, partial residual plots have clear trends for categories of young adults, adults and seniors, and for the interaction between Life course age variable and time to event has an overall p-value is close to zero, which confirms proportional hazards assumption do not hold.

Ethnicity

Figure S7. Ln(-ln) transformation of survival estimates for Ethnicity vs Time to event plot

Figure S8. Partial residual vs time to event plots for Ethnicity.

The shape of log minus log curves for categories in the ethnicity variable was parallel with no evident crossings. However, partial residual plots for Indigenous, Raizal and Black or African-Colombian groups, had a negative trend, and the p-value of the interaction between ethnicity and time to event close to zero, showed significant deviations from the expected assumption of proportional hazards.

Area of residence

Figure S9. Ln(-ln) transformation of survival estimates for Area vs Time to event plot

Figure S10. Partial residual vs time to event plots for Area.

Almost parallel log minus log curves and almost flat partial residual plots contrast with the close to zero p-value of the interaction term between Area and time to event. By prioritizing the quantitative criterion, we opted to include this interaction in the final model.

Health insurance

Figure S11. Ln(-ln) transformation of survival estimates for Health insurance vs Time to event plot

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Special Insurance by Time to event

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Exception health regime by Time to event

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Gov. subsidized insurance by Time to event

Simple Scatter with Fit Line of Partial residual for Uninsured by Time to event

Figure S12. Partial residual vs time to event plots for Health insurance.

Almost parallel log minus log curves for health insurance categories, which just show convergence at the origin, contrast with marked trends on partial residual plots for Subsidized insurance and the group of people with no registries of the type of health insurance. Exception regime had a slight positive trend and special regime had a slight negative trend. The overall p-value of the interaction between health insurance and time to event was also close to zero.

Multi-predictor Cox Regression model with terms to address time-dependent factors

Numbers at risk

To complement the numbers at risk on figures of Survival plots for each predictor in the model, we present the number of the two types of censored cases for 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180 and 200 days of follow-up.

Table S5. Censored symptomatic cases by follow-up time

	0 days	20 days	40 days	60 days	80 days	100 days	120 days	140 days	160 days	180 days	200 days	Total
Sex												
Male	20 277	2 355	1 975	2 522	2513	619	145	73	46	17	5	30 547
Female	20 733	1 845	1 534	2 026	1805	404	104	66	27	12	2	28 558
Socioeconomic status												
SES												
Unknown SES	9 385	488	321	464	409	108	26	13	10	4	1	11 229
Very low SES 1	7 174	1 088	958	1 362	1 168	299	86	55	33	12	1	12 236
Low SES 2	13 344	1 688	1 458	1 720	1 761	390	94	53	18	10	5	20 541
Middle-low SES 3	8 519	764	632	826	785	166	29	10	7	3	0	11 741
Middle SES 4	1 715	108	95	106	124	36	8	5	3	0	0	2 200
Middle-high SES 5	588	36	23	30	36	13	4	2	0	0	0	732
High SES 6	285	28	22	40	35	11	2	1	2	0	0	426
Life course age group												
Infants 0-5y	738	191	203	200	128	31	2	5	4	2	0	1 504
Children and school-age (6-11y)	795	44	46	58	37	3	2	0	0	0	0	985
Adolescents (12-26y)	8 434	377	287	373	272	54	9	6	5	3	1	9 821
Young adults (27-45y)	16 975	880	732	1 067	910	160	19	17	7	6	2	20 775
Adults (46-59y)	7 898	901	840	1 016	1 114	252	36	20	13	3	1	12 094
Seniors (60y and more)	6 170	1807	1401	1834	1857	523	181	91	44	15	3	13 926
Ethnicity												
Indigenous	164	63	85	137	123	21	12	7	5	4	0	621
Romany	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Raizal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Palenquero	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African-colombian	216	48	34	45	170	72	22	21	6	3	0	637
Other: white, mestizo	40 630	4 089	3 390	4 365	4 025	930	215	111	62	22	7	57 846
Area												
City or town (Urban)	32 904	3 421	3 007	3 987	3 847	928	222	130	64	26	5	48 541
Village (Semirural)	2 198	270	224	271	204	37	13	5	2	0	2	3 226
Sparse rural	1 903	339	231	234	201	45	8	3	6	2	0	2 972
Unknown area	4005	170	47	56	66	13	6	1	1	1	0	4 366
Health Insurance												
Contributory-job related insurance	25 793	1 789	1 547	1 928	1 941	506	109	51	22	12	2	33 700
Special Insurance	132	13	10	28	59	26	4	2	0	1	0	275
Exception health regime	2 318	235	173	194	139	24	2	2	1	0	1	3 089
Gov. subsidized insurance	6 475	1 501	1 349	1 517	1 383	356	119	72	47	14	4	12 837
Uninsured	782	108	92	92	99	30	2	7	1	1	0	1 214
Unknown or pending insurance	344	36	26	36	46	13	2	1	1	0	0	505
Non-registered insurance	5 166	518	312	753	651	68	11	4	1	1	0	7485
TOTAL	41 010	4 200	3 509	4 548	4 318	1 023	249	139	73	29	7	59 105

Table S6. Censored asymptomatic cases by follow-up time

	0 days	20 days	40 days	60 days	80 days	100 days	120 days	140 days	160 days	180 days	200 days	Total
Sex												
Male	4 887	104	67	73	27	19	6	0	3	1	1	5 188
Female	5 279	73	47	46	11	9	8	3	1	1	0	5 478
Socioeconomic status												
SES												
Unknown SES	5 531	26	13	14	4	1	3	1	0	0	1	5 594
Very low SES 1	469	21	11	13	3	2	4	0	3	1	0	527
Low SES 2	1 754	63	50	49	21	13	4	2	1	0	0	1 957
Middle-low SES 3	1 764	41	32	35	7	10	2	0	0	1	0	1 892
Middle SES 4	418	12	4	6	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	444
Middle-high SES 5	148	10	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	164
High SES 6	82	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	88
Life course age group												
Infants 0-5y	211	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	224
Children and school-age (6-11y)	270	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	270
Adolescents (12-26y)	2 186	15	4	7	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	2 217
Young adults (27-45y)	4 180	31	23	26	4	1	1	0	2	2	0	4 270
Adults (46-59y)	1 916	43	32	30	8	8	2	0	0	0	0	2 039
Seniors (60y and more)	1 403	80	52	55	22	19	11	3	0	0	1	1 646
Ethnicity												
Indigenous	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	37
Romany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Raizal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Palenquero	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African-colombian	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
Other: white, mestizo	10 128	176	114	118	38	27	13	2	4	2	1	10 623
Area												
City or town (Urban)	4 709	153	100	107	33	27	14	2	3	2	1	5 151
Village (Semirural)	72	0	3	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	79
Sparse rural	32	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	35
Unknown area	5 353	24	10	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 401
Health Insurance												
Contributory-job related insurance	4 141	121	87	94	18	23	6	1	0	0	1	4 492
Special Insurance	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	18
Exception health regime	213	7	3	3	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	232
Gov. subsidized insurance	312	15	10	8	7	4	6	1	2	1	0	366
Uninsured	71	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	77
Unknown or pending insurance	9	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Non-registered insurance	5 406	32	11	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	5 470
TOTAL	10 166	177	114	119	38	28	14	3	4	2	1	10 666