Novel Oral Anticoagulants versus Vitamin K Antagonists for Patients with Left Ventricular Thrombus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Runzhen Chen ^a, MD, Jinying Zhou ^a, MD, Chen Liu, MD ^a, Peng Zhou, MD ^a, Jiannan Li ^a, MD, Ying Wang ^a, MD, Xiaoxiao Zhao ^a, MD, Yi Chen ^a, MD, Li Song, MD ^a, Hanjun Zhao ^{a,b}, MD, Hongbing Yan ^{a,b,#}, MD, PhD.

^a Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China.

^b Fuwai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Shenzhen, China.

Total word count: 2745 words

Funding: This study was supported by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (2016-I2M-1-009) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (81970308).

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Corresponding author contacts information: Hongbing Yan, MD, PhD.

Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, 167 Beilishi Rd, Xicheng District, Beijing 100037, China.

Email: hbyanfuwai2018@163.com, hbyanfuwai@aliyun.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Background: Although vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) are recommended as first-line anticoagulants for patients with left ventricular thrombus (LVT), accumulating evidence suggests novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) could be safe alternatives for VKAs. Efficacy and safety of NOACs should be assessed to justify their usage for LVT patients.

Design: We performed a meta-analysis of observational studies to evaluate the efficacy and safety of NOACs as compared to VKAs in LVT patients.

Methods: PubMed and EMBASE databases were searched for articles published until November 12, 2020. Two reviewers independently extracted relevant information from articles and assessed the study quality. Pooled effects were estimated using Mantel–Haenssel method and presented as risk ratios (RR) using fixed-effect model. Reporting followed the Meta-analyses Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guideline.

Results: A total of 2467 LVT patients from 13 studies were included. Compared with VKAs, NOACs showed similar efficacy in prevention of stroke or systemic embolism (RR: 0.96, 95% confidence interval [CI]:0.80-1.16, $P = 0.68$) and thrombus resolution (RR: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.72-1.09, $P = 0.20$), but significantly reduced the risk of stroke (RR: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.47-1.00, $P < 0.05$). For safety outcomes, NOACs users showed similar risk of any bleedings (RR: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.67-1.31, $P = 0.70$), but lower risk of clinically relevant bleedings (RR: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.13-0.92, $P = 0.03$) compared with VKAs users.

Conclusions: Compared with VKAs, NOACs acquired similar efficacy and safety profile for patients with LVT, but could reduce the risk of strokes and clinically relevant bleedings. (248 words).

Key words: left ventricular thrombus, novel oral anticoagulants, vitamin K antagonists.

Left ventricular thrombus (LVT) is a rare complication associated with acute myocardial infarction, heart failure and various cardiomyopathy^{1,2}. Due to the increased risk of embolic events, oral anticoagulation is required to prevent stroke or systemic embolism (SSE). Current guidelines recommend vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) for LVT patients based on limited evidence from observational studies¹⁻⁴. However, off-label use of novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) for LVT is gaining interest, as they provide more constant anticoagulant effects and do not require continuous monitor of international normalized ratio (INR)^{1,5-9}. Numerous trials and analysis have already proved NOACs acquire similar efficacy in SSE prevention and lower bleeding risk in other clinical scenarios (e.g. atrial fibrillation, heart failure) as compared with VKAs¹⁰⁻¹², and there have been successful cases using NOACs to achieve complete LVT resolution and long-term favorable outcomes^{13,14}. Still, evidence is lacking for the use of NOACs in LVT patients. Therefore, this study aimed to summarize the up-to-date clinical findings comparing the efficacy and safety of NOACs and VKAs in LVT patients, in order to offer more insights for clinical practice and future randomized clinical trials (RCT) for anticoagulation of LVT.

Methods

Strategies for literature search

We performed a comprehensive literature search in Pubmed and EMBASE using the following search term: (“ventricular thrombi” or “ventricular thrombus”) and (“novel oral anticoagulants” or “direct oral anticoagulants” or “dabigatran” or “rivaroxaban” or “apixaban” or “edoxaban”) and (“vitamin k antagonists” or “warfarin” or “dicoumarol” or “phenindione” or “phenprocoumon” or “acenocoumarol” or “ethyl biscoumacetate” or “fluindione” or “clorindione” or “diphenadione” or “tiocloamarol”). The literature search and data extraction process were completed by two researchers

independently (R. Chen and J. Zhou), who are both physicians specializing in cardiology. The final search was completed on November 12, 2020.

Study selection

Eligible studies must fulfill the following inclusion criteria: (a) patients were diagnosed with LVT by imaging evidence (e.g. transthoracic/transesophageal echocardiography, cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging); (b) an RCT or observational study design; (c) comparing the outcomes of patients using NOACs vs VKAs. Studies regarding LVT secondary to ventricular device implantation, case reports, cases series, unpublished studies and studies not published in English were excluded in the current analysis. In case of missing data, authors of the original studies were contacted. A study would not be included in the pooled outcome analysis if the data for that specific outcome was unclear, not provided or failed to be acquired from contacting the original authors.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The following data was extracted from the included study: surname of the first author, regions, study design, mean/median age or range of age, proportion of male sex, numbers of NOACs and VKAs users, numbers of outcomes, follow-up duration, primary causes of LVT and concomitant antiplatelet medications. Outcomes of interest included SSE, strokes, failures of thrombus resolution, any bleeding events and clinically relevant bleeding events (i.e. life-threatening bleedings, and bleedings requiring hospitalization or medical interventions). The quality of included studies was assessed by two independent authors (R. Chen and L. Song) using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Should there be any discrepancies regarding data extraction and quality evaluation, a third author (J. Zhou) was consulted to reach a final consensus. Reporting followed the Meta-analyses Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guideline.

Statistical analysis

RevMan 5.3 (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, England) and Stata 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) were used to perform this study. Pooled effects were estimated using Mantel–Haenssel method and presented as risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Heterogeneity across studies were examined using I^2 statistics and chi-square Cochran Q test. An $I^2 > 50\%$ or $P < 0.1$ for Cochran Q test signifies significant heterogeneity. A fixed-effect model was applied if no significant heterogeneity was observed; otherwise, a random-effect model was used. Funnel plots were used to detect potential publication bias. Begg's rank correlation and Egger's linear regression tests were performed when an outcome analysis included 10 or more studies. Trim-and-fill method was used to impute for missing studies and correct the publication bias with the *metatrim* command in Stata¹⁵. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Studies characteristics and quality assessment

In the initial literature search, a total of 216 relevant records were identified after removing duplicates (**Figure 1**). After screening for titles and abstracts, 126 articles were excluded due to irrelevance. For the 90 articles entering eligibility assessment, 77 studies were further eliminated due to publication types or study design. Finally, thirteen articles were included in the synthetic analysis^{5-9,16-25}, two of which being prospective study. The average NOS scores was 6.2 (**Supplementary table 1**), showing that included studies were of median quality. A total of 2467 patients with LVT were included. The patients' mean age ranged from 51.5 to 63.5 years, and the proportion of male patients was generally over 70%. The most common cause for LVT was ischemic heart diseases. The average follow-up duration ranged from 3 months to 3 years. Among users of NOACs, apixaban

(50.0%) was most frequently prescribed, followed by rivaroxaban (40.8%), dabigatran (8.8%) and edoxaban (0.4%), while warfarin (98.4%) was predominantly prescribed to VKA users. Concomitant antiplatelet medication was prescribed in over half of the patients, but double antiplatelet treatment was less frequently used.

Clinical outcomes

Stroke and embolic events

Ten studies reported the occurrence of SSE^{5-9,20-25}. The NOACs group and VKAs group did not show a significant difference in the risk of SSE (RR:0.96, 95% CI: 0.80-1.16, P = 0.69; I² = 0%, **Figure 2A**). Publication bias was observed in funnel plots (**Supplementary figure 1A**) and statistical tests (Egger's test, P = 0.029; Begg's test, P = 0.733). After using trim-and-fill methods, the pooled effects remained similar (RR: 0.99, 95% CI: 0.83-1.20, P = 0.993), with no extra studies imputed (**Supplementary figure 2**). Notably, the study by Robinson et al reported treatment switches between NOACs and warfarin in 15.2% of patients (**Supplementary table 2**). Exclusion of this study led to similar results that NOACs acquired equivalent efficacy for SSE prevention as VKAs (RR: 0.95, 95% CI: 0.78-1.15, P = 0.59, I² = 0). Meta-regression against age did not show significant impact on efficacy of NOACs and VKAs (RR: 1.06, 95% CI: 0.96-1.17, P = 0.225, **Supplementary figure 3A**). Subgroup analysis for follow-up duration (P_{interaction} = 0.07), sample size (P_{interaction} = 0.09), concomitant antiplatelet medication (P_{interaction} = 0.48) and primary causes of LVT (P_{interaction} = 0.09) showed a consistently similar effect of NOACs and VKAs (**Table 2**). Eight studies reported the outcome of strokes^{6,9,19-22,24,25}. NOACs users showed lower risk of stroke compared with VKAs users (RR: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.68-1.00, P < 0.05, **Figure 2B**). Funnel plots showed no evidence of publication bias (**Supplementary figure 1B**). Meta-regression did not show

significant impacts of age (RR: 1.01, 95% CI: 0.82-1.24, $P = 0.899$, **Supplementary figure 3B**). Subgroup analysis (**Table 2**) also showed consistent results across follow-up duration ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.79$), sample size ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.49$), concomitant usage of antiplatelet agents ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.88$) and primary etiologies for LVT ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.76$)

Thrombus resolution

Eleven studies investigated the outcome of failure in thrombus resolution^{5-9,16-19,21,22,24,25}, and the resolution rate was similar in two groups (RR: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.72-1.09, $P = 0.20$, **Figure 2C**), with an low statistical heterogeneity ($I^2 = 26\%$, $P = 0.20$) and no significant publication bias based on the funnel plot (**Supplementary figure 1C**) and statistical tests (Begg's test, $P = 0.484$; Egger's test, $P = 0.191$). Age did not show substantial impacts on thrombus resolution according to meta-regression (RR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.95-1.22, $P = 0.215$, **Supplementary figure 3C**). Efficacy for thrombus resolution was consistent despite follow-up duration ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.94$), sample size ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.26$) and anti-platelet medication ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.43$) in subgroup analysis (**Table 2**). However, significant interactions were observed for primary causes for LVT (myocardial infarction [MI], RR: 0.57, 95% CI: 0.38-0.84, $I^2 = 0\%$, $P = 0.005$; mixed etiologies, RR: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.85-1.41, $I^2 = 0\%$, $P = 0.47$; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.006$)

Bleeding events

Nine studies reported bleeding events^{6,9,16,19-22,24,25}, the risk of any bleedings was similar for NOACs and VKAs users (RR: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.67-1.31, $P = 0.70$; $I^2 = 24\%$, **Figure 2D**), without significant bias for publication as shown in the funnel plot (**Supplementary figure 1D**). Meta-regression showed age did not differentiate the safety of NOACs or VKAs (RR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.81-1.31, $P = 0.764$, **Supplementary figure 3D**). Subgroup analysis (**Table 2**) showed bleeding risk was similar

despite sample size ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.21$) and etiologies ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.14$). However, significant interactions were observed for follow-up duration ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.02$) and antiplatelet medications ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.006$).

In six studies reporting clinically relevant bleedings^{6,9,16,22,24,25}, NOACs users showed more favorable outcomes (RR: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.13-0.92, $P = 0.03$; $I^2 = 0$, **Figure 2E**), and no significant publication bias was detected by the funnel plot (**Supplementary figure 1E**). Age did not affect the difference in clinically relevant bleeding risk between NOACs and VKAs (RR: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.41-1.69, $P = 0.516$, **Supplementary figure 3E**). Subgroup analysis showed no significant interactions with follow-up duration ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.09$), sample size ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.83$), antiplatelet strategies ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.2$) and etiologies ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.23$) for risk for clinically relevant bleedings of using NOACs or VKAs (**Table 2**).

Discussions

The major findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis are as follow: (1) only observational studies have been conducted regarding anticoagulation treatment for LVT, and most of them are retrospective, (2) NOACs users showed similar risk of SSE, failure of LVT resolution, but lower risk of strokes compared with VKAs users, and (3) NOACs users acquired similar risk of any bleedings but lower risk of clinically relevant bleedings as compared with VKAs users.

Efficacy of NOACs and VKAs

According to the current guidelines, warfarin is still recommended as the first-line treatment for LVT, although with no evidence from RCTs^{1,3,4}. However, NOACs is gaining interest for LVT treatments, as it acquires more consistent anticoagulant effects while reducing bleeding risks^{1,26}.

Growing numbers of cases and clinical studies also suggest a satisfactory outcome for LVT patients

1 using NOACs ⁶⁻⁹. Although the current analysis suggested no difference between NOACs and
2 VKAs for their efficacy in SSE prevention, as reported by other recently published meta-analysis
3 ^{21,27}, it was definitely of great clinical importance as it showed a 32% risk reduction for strokes alone
4 in NOACs users. Subgroup analysis also showed a highly homogenous reduction in the risk of stroke
5 across various confounders. A recently published pooled-analysis by Zhou et al shows no difference
6 in stroke (odds ratio: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.50-1.23) for NOACs and VKAs users ²⁷, but the current studies
7 included three newly published research in this year ^{21,24,25}, which enlarged the sample size and
8 provided greater power to test the difference between two medications. Although the interpretation
9 was challenging, one of the main reasons could be the fluctuation of the INR. Ali et al report that
10 71% of stroke patients receiving warfarin have suboptimal control INR ²⁵. In the study by Jones et al
11 ⁹, nearly half of warfarin users could not sustain an ideal INR for over 65% of time during the
12 coagulation treatment, of whom 75% were below the target value, while all the thromboembolic
13 events occurred in those patients with sub-optimally controlled INR. Therefore, physicians should
14 consider initiating NOACs treatment to provide long-term consistent anticoagulation for LVT
15 patients, especially when there are difficulties in monitoring or sustaining INR in an ideal range.
16 Additionally, it should be noticed that there are some discrepancies among included studies, like the
17 study by Robinson et al reports a substantial increase in risk of SSE in NOACs (HR: 2.67, 95% CI:
18 1.31-5.57) users in their original article, which is quite the opposite to other included studies ²³.
19 However, up to 15% of their patients switched anticoagulants during the follow-up, making it hard to
20 estimate the true risk difference between NOACs and warfarin. In two recent studies on the same
21 topic, impacts from this issue are less discussed ^{21,27}. In the current analysis taking an intention-to-
22 treat approach, the inclusion and exclusion of this study did not bring much variation to the pooled

effect and heterogeneity, which affirmed the neutral results in pooled analysis. Taken together, NOACs did not increase the risk of SSE for LVT patients, and effectively reduced the risk of stroke as compared with VKAs, possibly due to its more constant anticoagulation effects. Moreover, the current study showed thrombus resolution rate was similar for NOACs and VKAs users, which was consistent with previous reports^{21,27}. Interestingly, MI patients receiving NOACs showed significantly higher rates of thrombus resolution compared to those using VKAs. These discrepancies could be due to the increased thrombotic burden after MI. For MI patients, LVT is dynamically formed within a few days after the initial cardiac damage^{28,29}. Moreover, the exposure of subendothelial contents due to myocardial necrosis intensified the prothrombotic states, which could sustain as long as six months^{1,30}. Therefore, it is reasonable to start on effective and constant anticoagulation as early as possible to limit the progress of thrombus formation. However, effects of VKAs are expected to peak after 72 to 96 hours after initial dosage before the existing clotting factors are depleted^{31,32}, while suboptimal control of INR is frequently reported in users of warfarin^{9,25}. Such disadvantages could have constituted a less pro-resolutive environment for MI patients challenged by actually greater thrombotic burden compared to LVT patients with other etiologies. In sum, NOACs and VKAs generally offered similar efficacy of thrombus resolution, while NOACs could be a more suitable choice for choice for patients with MI.

Safety of NOACs and VKAs

The current analysis showed that NOACs did not reduce the risk of any bleedings of LVT patients, but significantly lowered the risk of clinically relevant bleedings as compared with VKAs. These findings were generally consistent with previous studies regarding patients with atrial fibrillation and heart failure^{10,11}. Notably, NOACs showed homogenous reduction across various subgroups for

clinically relevant bleedings, which could be very important for LVT patients. Although the life-threatening bleeding rate is quite low for various anticoagulant agents⁵⁻⁹, there is still increasing need for greater reduction of major bleedings, as oral anticoagulants are frequently prescribed to LVT patients on top of antiplatelet agents due to complex etiologies and background diseases^{1,3,4}, which inevitably and substantially increases the actual bleeding risk. For any bleedings, despite the neutral pooled effects, NOACs still achieved lower bleeding rates for longer follow-up and patients taking antiplatelet medications, which is beneficial for improving life quality and adherence of patients in need of long-term anticoagulation or antiplatelet treatments^{33,34}. Taken together, NOACs still possessed a better safety profile than VKAs, as it effectively reduced clinically important bleeding events, and showed a potential to reduce overall bleeding rates in patients taking longer and more intensified antithrombotic treatment.

Limitations

The general limitations of this meta-analysis are as follow. Firstly, the included studies were all observational. Although baseline characteristics were generally consistent in NOACs and VKAs group in most of the studies, confounding effects could be present due to lack of adjustment from individual data. Secondly, the NOACs regime and dosage were different in each study. Thirdly, bleeding outcomes were not reported according to standard definitions (like BARC classification). Future well-designed RCTs could be safely initiated to assess efficacy of NOACs and VKAs for LVT patients based on the evidence from current analysis³⁵.

Conclusions

For patients with LVT, the efficacy and safety profile were similar between NOACs and VKAs. However, NOACs effectively lowered the risk of stroke and clinically relevant bleedings as

compared with VKAs. Therefore, NOACs could be safely initiated in patients with LVT as primary oral anticoagulants.

Author contributions

RC and HY contributed to the conception or design of the work. RC, JZ, CL, PZ, JL, XZ, YW, LS and HZ contributed to the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work. RC, JZ and LS drafted the manuscript. RC, HZ, HY critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thanked Dr Raviteja R. Guddeti (Creighton University School of Medicine) for helping us to accomplish the current analysis by providing necessary outcomes data, and Dr Weida Liu (Medical Research & Biometrics Center, Fuwai Hospital) for his professional advice in statistical analysis.

Funding

This study was supported by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (2016-I2M-1-009) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (81970308).

References

1. McCarthy CP, Vaduganathan M, McCarthy KJ, Januzzi JL, Bhatt DL, McEvoy JW. Left ventricular thrombus after acute myocardial infarction: Screening, prevention, and treatment. *JAMA Cardiology*. 2018;3(7):642-649.
2. Lattuca B, Bouziri N, Kerneis M, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy for Patients With Left

Ventricular Mural Thrombus. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75(14):1676-1685.

3. Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2014;45(7):2160-2236.

4. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(2):119-177.

5. Robinson AA, Trankle CR, Eubanks G, et al. Off-label Use of Direct Oral Anticoagulants Compared With Warfarin for Left Ventricular Thrombi. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020;5(6):685-692.

6. Guddeti RR, Anwar M, Walters RW, et al. Treatment of Left Ventricular Thrombus With Direct Oral Anticoagulants: A Retrospective Observational Study. *Am J Med*. 2020.

7. Daher J, Da Costa A, Hilaire C, et al. Management of Left Ventricular Thrombi with Direct Oral Anticoagulants: Retrospective Comparative Study with Vitamin K Antagonists. *Clin Drug Invest*. 2020;40(4):343-353.

8. Iqbal H, Straw S, Craven TP, Stirling K, Wheatcroft SB, Witte KK. Direct oral anticoagulants compared to vitamin K antagonist for the management of left ventricular thrombus. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2020.

9. Jones DA, Wright P, Alizadeh MA, et al. The Use of Novel Oral Anti-Coagulant's (NOAC) compared to Vitamin K Antagonists (Warfarin) in patients with Left Ventricular thrombus after Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI). *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. 2020.

10. López-López JA, Sterne JAC, Thom HHZ, et al. Oral anticoagulants for prevention of stroke

in atrial fibrillation: systematic review, network meta-analysis, and cost effectiveness analysis.
BMJ. 2017.

11. Xiong Q, Lau YC, Senoo K, Lane DA, Hong K, Lip GYH. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) in patients with concomitant atrial fibrillation and heart failure: a systemic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2015;17(11):1192-1200.

12. Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9921):955-962.

13. Huang LY, Chang TH, Wu CH, Tsai TN. Warfarin-resistant left ventricular thrombus completely dissolved by rivaroxaban. *Br J Hosp Med*. 2018;79(11):648-649.

14. Kao PH, Chou PY, Hsu PC, Huang TC. Resolution of left ventricular thrombus by edoxaban after failed treatment with warfarin overdose: A case report. *Medicine*. 2019;98(2):e14065.

15. Shi L, Lin L. The trim-and-fill method for publication bias: practical guidelines and recommendations based on a large database of meta-analyses. *Medicine*. 2019;98(23):e15987.

16. Alizadeh M, Antoniou S, Fhadil S, et al. The use of direct oral anti-coagulations (DOACs) compared to vitamin k antagonist in patients with left ventricular thrombus after acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:4026.

17. Durrer-Ariyakuddy K, Moccetti F, Stämpfli SF, et al. Direct oral anticoagulans versus vitamin K-antagonists for treatment of left ventricular thrombus-Insights from multicenter registry. *Kardiovaskulare Medizin*. 2019;22(3).

18. Lim CW, Mamat RM, Hishammudin IA, et al. Left Ventricular Thrombus: Patient

- Characteristics and Treatment from a Single Tertiary Centre's Experience. *Int J Cardiol.* 2019;297:20-21.
19. Yunis A, Seese L, Stearns B, Genuardi M, Thoma F, Kilic A. Direct oral anticoagulants are effective therapy in treating left ventricular thrombi. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75(11):948.
20. Bass M, Page RL, Kiser TH, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of Direct Oral Anticoagulants and Warfarin for the Treatment of Left Ventricular Thrombus. *J Card Fail.* 2019;25(8):S26-S27.
21. Cochran JM, Jia X, Kaczmarek J, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in the Treatment of Left Ventricular Thrombus: A Retrospective, Multicenter Study and Meta-Analysis of Existing Data. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther.* 2020:1074248420967644.
22. Iqbal H, Straw S, Craven TP, Stirling K, Wheatcroft SB, Witte KK. Direct oral anticoagulants compared to vitamin K antagonist for the management of left ventricular thrombus. *ESC Heart Fail.* 2020;7(5):2032-2041.
23. Robinson AA, Trankle CR, Eubanks G, et al. Off-label Use of Direct Oral Anticoagulants Compared With Warfarin for Left Ventricular Thrombi. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5(6):685-692.
24. Willeford A, Zhu W, Stevens C, Thomas IC. Direct Oral Anticoagulants Versus Warfarin in the Treatment of Left Ventricular Thrombus. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2020:1060028020975111.
25. Ali Z, Isom N, Dalia T, et al. Direct oral anticoagulant use in left ventricular thrombus. *Thromb J.* 2020;18:29.
26. Levy JH, Spyropoulos AC, Samama CM, Douketis J. Direct oral anticoagulants: new drugs and new concepts. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7(12):1333-1351.
27. Zhou K, Zhang X, Xiao Y, Li D, Song G. Effectiveness and safety of direct-acting oral

anticoagulants compared to vitamin K antagonists in patients with left ventricular thrombus: A meta-analysis. *Thromb Res.* 2020;197:185-191.

28. Bhatnagar SK, Al Yusuf AR. Left ventricular thrombi after acute myocardial infarction. *Postgrad Med J.* 1983;59(694):495-499.

29. Asinger RW, Mikell FL, Elsperger J, Hodges M. Incidence of left-ventricular thrombosis after acute transmural myocardial infarction. Serial evaluation by two-dimensional echocardiography. *N Engl J Med.* 1981;305(6):297-302.

30. Merlini PA, Bauer KA, Oltrona L, et al. Persistent activation of coagulation mechanism in unstable angina and myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 1994;90(1):61-68.

31. Wessler S, Gitel SN. Pharmacology of heparin and warfarin. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1986;8(6 Suppl B):10b-20b.

32. Harvey R. Lippincott's Illustrated Reviews: pharmacology 5e IE.

33. Keita I, Aubin-Augier I, Lalanne C, et al. Assessment of quality of life, satisfaction with anticoagulation therapy, and adherence to treatment in patients receiving long-course vitamin K antagonists or direct oral anticoagulants for venous thromboembolism. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2017;11:1625-1634.

34. Pham Nguyen TP, Chen Y, Thibault D, Leonard CE, Hennessy S, Willis A. Impact of Hospitalization and Medication Switching on Post-discharge Adherence to Oral Anticoagulants in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2020;40(10):1022-1035.

35. He J, Ge H, Dong JX, et al. Rationale and design of a prospective multi-center randomized trial of EARLY treatment by rivaroxaban versus warfarin in ST-segment elevation MYOcardial infarction with Left Ventricular Thrombus (EARLY-MYO-LVT trial). *Ann Transl Med.*

1 2020;8(6):392.

2

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1.** PRISMA flow diagram of study selection.

3 **Figure 2.** Forest plots showing pooled risk ratio for users of novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) versus
 4 vitamin K antagonists (VKA) regarding outcomes of stroke or systemic embolism (A), stroke (B),
 5 failure of thrombus resolution (C), any bleedings (D), and clinically relevant bleedings (E).

Author (Year)	Region	Study design	Sample size	Age (years)	Male sex	Follow-up period	Primary causes of LVT	Numbers of NOACs vs VKAs users	Types of NOACs	Types of VKAs	Antiplatelet treatment	NOS Score
Ali (2020)	USA	Retrospective	92	59.0 ±14.0	75 (80.6)	1 year	Ischemic cardiomyopathy: 58%; Non-ischemic cardiomyopathy: 23%; Acute myocardial infarction: 15%; Takotsubu cardiomyopathy: 3%	NOACs: 32 (34.8) vs VKAs: (65.2)	Rivaroxaban: 18 (51.4); Apixaban: 13 (40.6); Dabigatran: 1 (3.1)	Warfarin: 60 (100)	Aspirin: 60 (65.5); P2Y12i: 16 (17.4)	7
Alizadeh (2019)	UK	Prospective	98	NA	NA	1.8 years (median)	Acute myocardial infarction: 98 (100)	NOACs: 38 (38.8) vs VKAs: 60 (61.2)	Rivaroxaban: 22 (57.9) Apixaban: 14 (36.8) Edoxaban: 2 (5.3)	Warfarin: 60 (100)	NA	4
Ariyakuddy (2019)	Switzerla nd	Retrospective	53	63	39 (61.9)	20 (6-35) months	Recent myocardial infarction: 25 (47); Ischemic heart disease: 7 (13); Non-ischemic cardiomyopathy: 21 (40); (62.3)	NOACs: 20 (37.7) vs VKAs: 33	NA	NA	SAPT: 28 (52.8); DAPT: 9 (17.0)	5
Bass (2019)	USA	Retrospective	949	63.5	670 (70.6)	≥ 90 days	Comorbidities: Atrial fibrillation: 463 (48.8); Thrombo-embolic stroke: 189 (19.9); Myocardial infarction: 520 (54.8); Chronic kidney disease: 321 (33.8); Heart failure: 696 (73.3)	NOACs: 180 (19.0) vs VKAs: 769 (81.0)	Rivaroxaban: 77 (41.6) Apixaban: 79 (42.7) Dabigatran: 29 (15.7)	Warfarin: 769 (100)	Antiplatelet agents: 512 (54.0)	5

<p>medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.08.20246330; this version posted December 11, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.</p>												
Cochran (2020)	USA	Retrospective	73	VKAs:62.0 (34.0-84.0)	56 (76.7)	12 months	Comorbidities: Coronary artery disease: 44 (60.3); NOACs: Congestive heart failure: 58 (79.5); Arrhythmia: 13 (17.8); Chronic kidney disease: 27 (37.0); Type 2 diabetes: 30 (41.1).	NOACs: 59 (80.8)	NA	Warfarin: 13 (100)	NA	8
Dasher (2020)	France	Retrospective	59	62.0 ±14.0	49 (83.1)	3 months	Ischemic cardiomyopathy: 51 (86.5)	NOACs: 17 (28.8) vs VKA: 42 (71.2)	Rivaroxaban: 4 (23.5) Apixaban: 12 (70.6) Dabigatran: 1 (5.9)	Warfarin: 14 (33.3) Acenocoumarol: 12 (28.6)	Aspirin: 38 (64.4) P2Y12i: 28 (47.4)	5
Guddetil (2020)	USA	Retrospective	99	61.0 ± 12.3	70 (70.7)	10.4 ± 3.4 months	Ischemic cardiomyopathy: 58 (59.0) Others: 41 (41.4)	NOACs: 19 (19.2) vs VKAs: 80 (80.8)	Rivaroxaban: 2 (10.5) Apixaban: 15 (78.9) Dabigatran: 2 (10.5)	Warfarin: 80 (100)	Aspirin: 65 (65.6) P2Y12i: 15 (15.2)	5
Iqbal (2020)	UK	Retrospective	84	62.0 ± 14.0	75 (89.3)	3.0±1.4 years	Ischemic heart diseases: 73 (86.9); Dilated cardiomyopathy: 4 (4.8); Acute myocarditis: 3 (3.6); Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy:4 (6.0) Unknown: 2 (2.4)	NOACs: 22 (26.2) vs VKAs: 62 (73.8)	Rivaroxaban: 13 (59.1); Apixaban: 8 (36.4); Dabigatran: 1 (4.5)	Warfarin: 62 (100)	Aspirin: 48 (57.1) P2Y12i: 39 (46.4) SAPT: 55 (65.5) DAPT: 32 (38.1)	5
Jones (2020)	UK	Prospective	101	59.6 ± 14.1	84 (83.2)	2.2 years (median)	Acute myocardial infarction: 101 (100)	NOACs: 41 (40.6) vs VKAs: 60 (59.4)	Rivaroxaban: 24 (58.5) Apixaban: 15 (36.6) Edoxaban: 2 (4.9)	Warfarin: 60 (100)	SAPT: 23 (22.8) DAPT: 70 (69.3)	8
Lim (2019)	Malaysia	Retrospective	23	55.0 ± 9.6	17 (73.9)	≥ 3 months	Ischemic heart diseases: 20 (87.0); Thyroid cardiomyopathy: 2 (8.7);	NOACs: 5 (21.7) vs VKAs: 18	Rivaroxaban: 2 (40.0) Dabigatran: 3 (60.0)	Warfarin: 18 (100)	NA	5

Spontaneous coronary dissection: 1 (78.3)												
(4.3)												
Robinson (2020)	USA	Retrospective	421	57.8 ± 14.7	308 (73.2)	351	Ischemic heart diseases: 59.9%; NOACs: 135 *Rivaroxaban: 24.9% Warfarin: 300 (100) Aspirin: 191 (45.3) 7					
						(51-86)	non-ischemic cardiomyopathies: (38.1) vs VKAs: Apixaban: 76.2% P2Y12i: 88 (20.9)					
						days	25.3%; 286 (61.9) Dabigatran: 4.9% SAPT: 215 (51.1)					
							unknown: 7.8%; DAPT: 64 (15.2)					
							others: 7.1%;					
Willeford (2020)	USA	Retrospective	151	56 (49-65)	121 (80.1)	254	(98- Comorbidities: NOACs: 22 (14.6) Rivaroxaban: 18 (81.8); Warfarin: 129 (100) Aspirin: 75 (49.7); 9					
						343) days	Atrial fibrillation: 27 (17.9); vs VKAs: 129 Apixaban: 4 (18.2); P2Y12i: 39 (25.8);					
							Heart failure: 129 (85.4); (85.4) SAPT: 56 (37.1);					
							Stroke/TIA: 13 (8.6); DAPT: 29 (19.2)					
							Myocardial infarction: 39 (25.8);					
							Peripheral artery disease: 13 (8.6);					
							Coronary artery disease: 83 (55.0);					
							Chronic kidney disease: 21 (13.9)					
Yunis (2020)	USA	Retrospective	264	NA	NA	2 years	NA NOACs: 64 (24.2) NA Warfarin: 200 (100) NA 7					
							vs VKAs: 200 (75.8)					

1 * Authors report treatments switches between anticoagulants, and concrete number each types users is not reported and could not be calculated. DAPT = double antiplatelet therapy, LVT = left ventricular thrombus, NA = not available,

2 NOACs = novel oral anticoagulants, NOS = Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, P2Y12i = P2Y12 inhibitors, SAPT = single antiplatelet therapy, TIA = transient ischemic attack, VKAs = vitamin K antagonists.

Table 2. Subgroup analysis of various outcomes for users of NOACs and VKAs.

Subgroups	SSE					Stroke					Failure of thrombus resolution					Any bleedings					Clinically relevant bleedings				
	N	RR (95% CI)	I ²	P	P _{int}	N	RR (95% CI)	I ²	P	P _{int}	N	RR (95% CI)	I ²	P	P _{int}	N	RR (95% CI)	I ²	P	P _{int}	N	RR (95% CI)	I ²	P	P _{int}
All	10	0.96 (0.80-1.16)	0%	0.68	-	8	0.68 (0.47-1.00)	0%	0.05		11	0.88 (0.72-1.09)	26%	0.2	-	9	0.94 (0.67-1.31)	24%	0.7	-	6	0.35 (0.13-0.92)	0%	0.03	-
Follow-up duration																									
≥ 1 year	5	0.73 (0.52-1.05)	0%	0.09	0.07	5	0.72 (0.42-1.25)	0%	0.25	0.79	7	0.88 (0.69-1.13)	54%	0.32	0.94	6	0.59 (0.35-1.00)	16%	0.05	0.02	4	0.18 (0.04-0.77)	0%	0.02	0.09
< 1 year	5	1.08 (0.86-1.34)	0%	0.51		3	0.65 (0.39-1.10)	0%	0.92		4	0.90 (0.59-1.35)	0%	0.52		3	1.39 (0.88-2.19)	0%	0.16		2	1.11 (0.25-4.96)	0%	0.89	
Sample size																									
≥ 100	5	1.02 (0.84-1.23)	0%	0.85	0.09	4	0.72 (0.48-1.08)	0%	0.11	0.49	3	0.75 (0.52-1.07)	60%	0.12	0.26	4	1.04 (0.72-1.50)	61%	0.83	0.21	3	0.27 (0.07-1.05)	16%	0.06	0.83
< 100	5	0.46 (0.19-1.12)	0%	0.09		4	0.47 (0.15-1.46)	0%	0.19		8	0.97 (0.74-1.26)	0%	0.81		5	0.54 (0.21-1.40)	0%	0.21		3	0.48 (0.11-2.02)	0%	0.31	
Concomitant antiplatelet medication																									
Complete [#]	2	0.51 (0.09-3.04)	0%	0.46	0.48	2	0.59 (0.10-3.60)	0%	0.57	0.88	2	0.76 (0.50-1.17)	76%	0.22	0.43	2	0.37 (0.17-0.81)	0%	0.01	0.006	2	0.14 (0.02-1.00)	0%	0.05	0.2
Incomplete	8	0.97 (0.81-1.17)	0%	0.77		6	0.69 (0.47-1.01)	0%	0.06		9	0.93 (0.73-1.19)	9%	0.56		7	1.25 (0.85-1.85)	0%	0.26		4	0.61 (0.19-1.97)	0%	0.41	
Primary causes of LVT																									
MI	2	0.34 (0.10-1.25)	0%	0.11	0.09	1	0.49 (0.05-4.53)	-	0.63	0.76	2	0.57 (0.38-0.84)	0%	0.005	0.006	2	0.22 (0.03-1.67)	0%	0.14	0.14	2	0.14 (0.02-1.03)	0%	0.05	0.23
Mixed	8	1.00 (0.83-1.21)	0%	0.96		7	0.69 (0.47-1.01)	0%	0.96		9	1.09 (0.85-1.41)	0%	0.47		7	1.02 (0.72-1.44)	26%	0.91		4	0.57 (0.18-1.85)	0%	0.35	

[#] Complete medication indicates all patients of a study receive at least one antiplatelet agent along with anticoagulants; incomplete medication indicates studies with some of patients receiving no antiplatelet agents or not reporting data of antiplatelet medications. CI = confidence interval, LVT = left ventricular thrombus, N = number of studies in the subgroup, NOACs = novel oral anticoagulants, MI = myocardial infarction, P_{int} = P for interaction, RR = risk ratio, SSE = stroke or systemic embolism, VKAs = vitamin K antagonists.

(A) Stroke or systemic embolism **(B) Stroke** **(C) Failure of thrombus resolution** **(D) Any Bleedings** **(E) Clinically relevant bleedings**