

Table S1. COVID-19 Death Risk Assessment for South Dakota in August 2020. The risk of infection for a 25-year-old resulting from a decision to not wear a mask during interactions with 100 people is equivalent to driving for about one month (868 miles) while intoxicated.

(a)	active cases	1,358	active cases as of 8/16/2020 (1)
(b)	population	903,027	-
(c)	% population confirmed cases	0.15%	(a) / (b)
(d)	% population infected	1.09%	(c) x 7.22 (ratio of infections to cases) (2)
(e)	# interactions	100	user-defined parameter
(f)	number of expected exposures	1	calculated from (d) & (e)
(g)	p(infection exposure)	4.70%	for low-risk contacts (3)
(h)	Mask reduction in risk	85%	aOR = 0.15 (4)
(i)	p(death infection) for a 25-year-old	0.004%	IFR (5)
(j)	p(death infection) for a 75-year-old	8.50%	IFR (5)

	Risk-weighted cost of infection (mortality risk)	Risk-equivalent drunk driving distance (mi)
For a 25-year-old	0.0002%	868 (6)
For a 75-year-old	0.37%	1,844,966 (6)

Table S2. COVID-19 Death Risk Assessment for South Dakota in November 2020. The risk of infection for a 25-year-old resulting from a decision to not wear a mask during interactions with 100 people is equivalent to driving about one year (12,000 miles) while intoxicated.

(a)	active cases	19,240	active cases as of 8/16/2020 (1)
(b)	population	903,027	-
(c)	% population confirmed cases	2.13%	(a) / (b)
(d)	% population infected	15.50%	(c) x 7.22 (ratio of infections to cases) (2)
(e)	# interactions	100	user-defined parameter
(f)	number of expected exposures	15	calculated from (d) & (e)
(g)	p(infection exposure)	4.70%	for low-risk contacts (3)
(h)	Mask reduction in risk	85%	aOR = 0.15
(i)	p(death infection) for a 25-year-old	0.004%	IFR (5)
(j)	p(death infection) for a 75-year-old	8.50%	IFR (5)

	Risk-weighted cost of infection (mortality risk)	Risk-equivalent drunk driving distance (mi)
For a 25-year-old	0.0025%	12,301 (6)
For a 75-year-old	5.26%	26,139,277 (6)




Figure S1: The marginal cost of noncompliance is highest as complete suppression is approached. Millions of additional yearly US cases per 1% reduction in compliance, as a function of the fraction of noncompliant individuals in the population for an intervention that is (A) 50% effective, (B) 70% effective, (C) 90% effective, or (D) 95% effective.




Figure S2: Attainability of complete suppression depends on R_0 and effectiveness of the intervention. Yearly US cases as a function of compliance for hypothetical scenarios in which $R_0 = 2, 3, 4$ or 5.7 .





Figure S3: Duration of natural immunity impacts yearly disease burden but not the compliance threshold for complete suppression. Yearly predicted US cases at steady-state under a range of assumptions about the duration of natural immunity.



Pearsons R	0.36
p-value	0.042983

Figure S4: Association between loose-tight score and COVID-19 cases per capita (7). There is a weak association with loose-tight cultures and total case count observed based on reported case counts as of October.




Figure S5. Complement to Figure 2, with simulated 6-month duration of natural immunity. Panels A and D represent the fraction of the population, including both compliant and noncompliant individuals, that is susceptible, exposed, infectious, and recovered populations over time after a return to pre-pandemic conditions under (A-C) 95% compliance or (D-F) 50% compliance with a 50% effective intervention. Panels B and E demonstrate the fraction of compliant and noncompliant individuals who are infected over time. Panels C and F demonstrate the cumulative hazard ratio for infection in noncompliant versus compliant individuals.




Figure S6. Complement to Figure 3, with simulated 6-month duration of natural immunity. Total US COVID-19 infections in the next year under interventions with varying efficacy and compliance. Black box on panel A shows region expanded in panel B. Panel B is displayed on a log scale.




Figure S7. Complement to Figure 4, with simulated 6-month duration of natural immunity. Cumulative infections per individual under a 50% or 90% effective intervention. Three scenarios are simulated: full noncompliance, full compliance, and 70% compliance (with outcomes for compliant and noncompliant individuals shown).




Figure S8. Complement to Figure 5, with simulated 6-month duration of natural immunity. Yearly US cases at steady-state under interventions with varying degrees of efficacy and compliance.




Figure S9. Complement to Figure S2, with simulated 6-month duration of natural immunity. Yearly US cases as a function of compliance for hypothetical scenarios in which $R_0 = 2, 3, 4$ or 5.7 .




Figure S10. Complement to Figure 2, with simulated 36-month duration of natural immunity. Panels A and D represent the fraction of the population, including both compliant and noncompliant individuals, that is susceptible, exposed, infectious, and recovered populations over time after a return to pre-pandemic conditions under (A-C) 95% compliance or (D-F) 50% compliance with a 50% effective intervention. Panels B and E demonstrate the fraction of compliant and noncompliant individuals who are infected over time. Panels C and F demonstrate the cumulative hazard ratio for infection in noncompliant versus compliant individuals.




Figure S11. Complement to Figure 3, with simulated 36-month duration of natural immunity. Total US COVID-19 infections in the next year under interventions with varying efficacy and compliance. Black box on panel A shows region expanded in panel B. Panel B is displayed on a log scale.




Figure S12. Complement to Figure 4, with simulated 36-month duration of natural immunity. Cumulative infections per individual under a 50% or 90% effective intervention. Three scenarios are simulated: full noncompliance, full compliance, and 70% compliance (with outcomes for compliant and noncompliant individuals shown).




Figure S13. Complement to Figure 5, with simulated 36-month duration of natural immunity. Yearly US cases at steady-state under interventions with varying degrees of efficacy and compliance.




Figure S14. Complement to Figure S2, with simulated 36-month duration of natural immunity. Yearly US cases as a function of compliance for hypothetical scenarios in which $R_0 = 2, 3, 4$ or 5.7 .

References

1. South Dakota Coronavirus: 76,142 Cases and 849 Deaths (COVID-19) - Worldometer, (available at <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/usa/south-dakota/>).

2. South Dakota Population 2020 (Demographics, Maps, Graphs), (available at <https://worldpopulationreview.com/states/south-dakota-population>).
3. Epidemiology and transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in two Indian states | Science, (available at <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/370/6517/691>).
4. D. K. Chu, E. A. Akl, S. Duda, K. Solo, S. Yaacoub, H. J. Schünemann, Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. **395**, 1973–1987 (2020).
5. Assessing the Age Specificity of Infection Fatality Rates for COVID-19: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Public Policy Implications | medRxiv, (available at <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.23.20160895v7>).
6. NHTSA, 2018 Data: Alcohol-Impaired Driving, (available at <https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812864>).
7. M. J. Gelfand, J. L. Raver, L. Nishii, L. M. Leslie, J. Lun, B. C. Lim, L. Duan, A. Almaliach, S. Ang, J. Arnadottir, Z. Aycan, K. Boehnke, P. Boski, R. Cabecinhas, D. Chan, J. Chhokar, A. D’Amato, M. Ferrer, I. C. Fischlmayr, R. Fischer, M. Fülöp, J. Georgas, E. S. Kashima, Y. Kashima, K. Kim, A. Lempereur, P. Marquez, R. Othman, B. Overlaet, P. Panagiotopoulou, K. Peltzer, L. R. Perez-Florizno, L. Ponomarenko, A. Realo, V. Schei, M. Schmitt, P. B. Smith, N. Soomro, E. Szabo, N. Taveesin, M. Toyama, E. Van de Vliert, N. Vohra, C. Ward, S. Yamaguchi, Differences between tight and loose cultures: a 33-nation study. *Science*. **332**, 1100–1104 (2011).