## **Appendix 1: Heart rate data interpolation**

To select the best interpolation method for missing data segments of up to three days, we chose a participant with no missing data segments longer than two hours (P1), found their true heart rate cycles, and then arbitrarily removed up to 20% of the raw data (Supplementary Figure 1a). Following data removal, three different interpolation methods were applied to missing segments (Supplementary Figure 1b), cycles were detected using the Morlet wavelet and resolved cycles across interpolation methods were compared to the true cycles.

Three interpolation methods were applied to the missing data segments: ‘average time of day’ method, ‘straight line’ method and ‘copy’ method. The ‘average time of day’ method interpolated each data point with the time-matched average heart rate (e.g., a missing data point at 9AM was replaced with the average heart rate at 9AM across the whole dataset). The ‘straight line’ method interpolated each data point with the average heart rate found across the whole dataset. The ‘copy’ method interpolated each data point with the time-matched most recent heart rate values (e.g., a missing data segment from 9AM-3PM on January 1, 2020 was replaced with the data from 9AM-3PM on December 31, 2019).

To compare the interpolation methods, the Morlet wavelet was used to determine significant cycles (wavelet spectrum peaks) in the data. Cycles were compared among interpolation methods to the true cycles (original data peaks detected in the Morlet wavelet spectrum). After 1000 runs, 92.1%, 93.5% and 95.9% of true cycles were resolved and 0.40, 0.49 and 0.86 extra peaks were detected using the ‘average time of day’, ‘straight line’ and ‘copy’ methods, respectively. The ‘straight line’ method was chosen for its simplicity and overall performance while minimising erroneous cycle detections.