HealthCall: Smartphone Enhancement of Brief Interventions to Improve Medication Adherence Among Patients in HIV care

Deborah Hasin^{1,2,3}, Efrat Aharonovich^{1,2}, Barry Zingman⁴, Malka Stohl², Claire Walsh², Jennifer C. Elliott^{1,2}, David Fink², Justin Knox², Sean Durant⁴, Raquel Menchaca⁴ and Anjali Sharma⁴

Affiliations

1. Columbia University Irvine Medical Center, NY, NY;
2. New York State Psychiatric Institute, NY, NY;
3. Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, NY, NY;
4. Montefiore Medical Center and Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY

Abstract

Background: Heavy drinking among People Living With HIV (PLWH) reduces antiretroviral adherence and worsens health outcomes. Lengthy interventions to reduce drinking and improve adherence are not feasible in most HIV primary care settings, and patients seldom follow referrals to outside treatment. Utilizing visual and video features of smartphone technology, we developed and tested HealthCall as an electronic (smartphone) means of increasing patient involvement in brief intervention to reduce drinking and improve medication adherence without making unfeasible demands on providers.

Methods: Alcohol-dependent patients at a large urban HIV clinic were randomized to one of three groups: (1) Motivational Interviewing (MI) plus HealthCall (n=39), (2) NIAAA Clinician's Guide (CG) plus HealthCall (n=38), or (3) CG-only (n=37). Baseline interventions targeting drinking reduction and medication adherence were ~25 minutes, with brief (10-15 min) booster sessions at 30 and 60 days. HealthCall involved daily use of the smartphone for 3-5 min/day, covering drinking, medication adherence, and other aspects of the prior 24 hours. Our outcome, assessed at 30 and 60 days, and 3, 6 and 12 months, was ART adherence (using unannounced phone pill-count method; possible adherence scores: 0%-100%). Analysis: generalized linear mixed models with pre-planned contrasts.

Results: Study retention was excellent (85%-94% across timepoints) and unrelated to treatment arm or patient characteristics. ART adherence was generally high throughout follow-up, with some decline by 12 months. Although both CG+HealthCall and MI+HealthCall evidenced benefits early in follow-up, by 6 months, ART adherence was 11% better among patients in CG+HealthCall than in CG-only ($p=0.03$) and 9% better than among patients in MI+HealthCall ($p=0.07$). Efficacy differed slightly by gender ($p=.09$).

Conclusion: HealthCall paired with CG resulted in better ART adherence than CG alone. MI+HealthCall's early benefits diminished over time. Given the importance of ART adherence and drinking reduction among PLWH, and the low costs and time required for HealthCall, pairing HealthCall with brief interventions within HIV clinics merits widespread consideration.

Keywords: ART adherence, Drinking, HIV, Behavioral technology-based intervention

Introduction

Despite the death and disability caused by HIV over the last several decades, current antiretroviral therapy (ART) medication regimens can help patients achieve and maintain undetectable viral loads, protecting themselves from disease and preventing transmission of HIV infection to others. The finding that “Undetectable = Untransmittable” or “U = U”^{1,2} provides enormous potential to allow People Living With HIV (PLWH) to live full lives while also controlling spread of HIV infection. However, the success of U=U, Treatment As Prevention, and HIV medication in general relies on sustained high levels of patient adherence to ART regimes to maintain viral suppression². Behaviors such as heavy drinking pose risks to achieving and maintaining the medication adherence that is needed for viral suppression.

Among PLWH, heavy drinking has been shown to hasten disease progression³, interfere with the full spectrum of engagement in HIV care^{4,5}, and in particular, to interfere with HIV medication adherence⁵⁻⁸. Further, beyond unintentional nonadherence, some patients engage in intentional non-adherence due to fears of harmful effects from combining alcohol with ART⁹⁻¹¹. High levels of problem drinking have been documented in PLWH treated in HIV primary care^{12,13}. Among such heavily-drinking PLWH, drinking and medication non-adherence appear to be closely linked concerns that should be addressed together.

Many attempts have been made to design interventions to improve HIV medication adherence. An earlier (2013) review¹⁴ showed that only one of 21 adherence interventions had a strong indication of success across both adherence and clinical (i.e. CD4/viral load) outcomes. Importantly, this one study¹⁵ addressed alcohol and adherence together among alcohol dependent patients, suggesting potential benefit of addressing heavy drinking and poor ART adherence together. Two 2020 reviews^{16,17} both showed more promising results for ART adherence interventions than the earlier review, but also called for interventions that are cost-effective and scalable, and for more rigorously conducted trials. Many newer ART adherence interventions now incorporate mHealth technology, extending the reach of intervention, although the success of such interventions remains uncertain¹⁸.

Several interventions to reduce unsafe drinking in PLWH have been developed^{19,20}. However, few are brief, effective, and utilize technology to extend intervention. Further, for heavily-drinking PLWH, such interventions should also address ART adherence. Therefore, utilizing the visual and video features of smartphone technology, we developed and tested “HealthCall,” an electronic (smartphone) intervention designed to enhance the efficacy of brief interventions (e.g., Motivational Interviewing (MI) and the NIAAA Clinician’s Guide [CG]) to reduce drinking and improve ART adherence among PLWH without making unfeasible demands on providers. We have already shown that HealthCall is an effective adjunct to these brief interventions in reducing heavy drinking²¹. However, the efficacy of HealthCall to improve ART medication adherence among heavy drinkers has not yet been assessed.

To test the efficacy of HealthCall to improve ART adherence in HIV-infected heavy drinkers when paired with CG or MI, we conducted a 1:1:1 randomized trial among alcohol dependent patients receiving care in an urban, HIV primary care clinic. Arm 1 received CG-only, Arm 2 received CG and HealthCall, and Arm 3 received MI and HealthCall. The primary outcome is ART adherence as indicated by a well-validated unannounced telephone pill-count method.

Method

Participants

Participants were recruited from and enrolled at a large urban infectious disease primary care clinic in New York City. Inclusion criteria included confirmed HIV infection, age ≥ 18 years old, meeting DSM-IV criteria for current alcohol dependence²², drinking >4 drinks on at least one occasion in the past 30 days, and English- or Spanish speaking. Exclusion criteria included previous participation in a HealthCall study, active psychosis, active violent, homicidal, or suicidal thoughts, active alcohol withdrawal, gross cognitive impairment, hearing or vision impairment that precluded smartphone use, and plans to leave New York during the study period. Participants provided written informed consent. Institutional review boards at the New York State Psychiatric Institute and Montefiore Hospital, Bronx, New York approved all procedures.

Procedures

Potentially eligible patients were informed about the study by IRB approved flyers in the clinic waiting room and/or by their providers, who referred them to meet with a study coordinator for written informed consent and assessment of eligibility. Of 180 individuals screened in-person with the Psychiatric Research Interview for Substance and Mental Disorders for DSM-IV (PRISM-IV-Computerized Version)²³, 114 met eligibility, completed baseline assessments, and were randomized (see Hasin et al., 2020 MedRxiv for further detail on study enrollment)²¹. In a parallel three-arm 1:1:1 randomized design, participants were assigned to one of three conditions: CG-only (n=37), CG +HealthCall (n=38), or MI+HealthCall (n=39). Randomization was stratified on drug use severity, depression, and unstable housing using urn randomization²⁴. All baseline assessments were completed prior to randomization. After each study visit, participants were compensated via ClinCards for their completed assessments. All participants also received a study smartphone or the equivalent value in gift cards at their 60-day visit, the end of treatment.

Interventions

Study counselors, part of the regular clinic staff, delivered the interventions after receiving training in their delivery. A clinic health educator delivered the MI. An RN or a physician-fellow delivered CG. The baseline MI and CG sessions took equivalent amounts of time (~25 minutes). While delivering CG in the CG-only and CG+HealthCall arms, the counselors were blinded to participants' assignment to HealthCall; about 20 minutes into the CG session, a study coordinator texted the counselor to inform them if the patient was randomly assigned to receive HealthCall. If assigned, the counselor then introduced the HealthCall app to the patient. This two-step randomization process kept counselors blinded to the HealthCall assignment until after the CG was delivered to avoid knowledge of the assignment influencing the way counselors delivered CG. Intervention sessions were audiotaped and reviewed for quality assurance. Participants returned at 30 and 60 days after the baseline intervention for assessments and a brief booster session (10-15 minutes). Total duration of the treatment period was 60 days across all three arms. Further face-to-face follow-up assessments were conducted at 3, 6, and 12 months after baseline.

Baseline Clinician's Guide (CG)

The evidence-based CG²⁵⁻²⁷ was designed and recommended by the National Institute on Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse (NIAAA) for use by providers such as medical personnel²⁸, including those in HIV care settings.²⁹ Consisting of a flow-chart to guide a set of recommended drinking-reduction techniques³⁰, CG requires little training and staff time.³¹ The CG was adapted for this study to address slightly lower drinking reduction goals due to HIV infection, and also to address ART adherence. The ART adherence components were structured based on the IAPAC Guidelines Regimen Information Program (GRIP), an evidence-based approach³². This approach uses a screener for ART adherence in the prior 30 days^{33,34}, and step-by-step guidance based on common³⁵, evidence-based³⁶ tools and recommendations of clinic resources^{35,36}. Because the CG and GRIP approaches were so similar, the GRIP was readily integrated into the Clinician's Guide. Using this adapted version of the CG, patient ART adherence was queried, associated consequences and barriers discussed, and patients were encouraged to track and work on improving their ART adherence.

Baseline Motivational interviewing (MI)

The brief MI session followed standard MI techniques³⁷, e.g., exploring ambivalence about drinking reduction, health consequences of drinking and medication nonadherence, and setting a drinking goal for the next 30 days. Patients were also queried about ART adherence and barriers to improvement, and MI techniques were used to encourage improving ART adherence.

HealthCall

Patients randomized to HealthCall arms received an Android smartphone on which the HealthCall application and a pre-paid calling and data plan were installed. Study counselors introduced patients to HealthCall by explaining its purpose, instructed them in its use and that this took ~3-5 min each day, and asked them to try it for the first time on-site. Patients were instructed to use HealthCall daily for the next 30 days (and at 30-day booster, were asked to use HealthCall for another 30 days). HealthCall is proposed to work through two features: self-monitoring and personalized feedback. **Self-Monitoring:** The HealthCall script includes self-monitoring questions in English or Spanish (patient's preference) about the previous 24 hours³⁸. The interactive queries asked about 'yesterday' (morning, afternoon, evening) to ensure consistent reporting periods regardless of the time patients accessed HealthCall. HealthCall queried several aspects of health,

including drinking quantities, reasons for drinking/abstaining, and whether they took their ART medication that day. **Personalized feedback:** Patient's daily HealthCall data were automatically transmitted to a secure server, compiled, and used to produce personalized graphs representing the number of standard drinks patients drank each reported day relative to their drinking-reduction goal, as well as the days missed medication (which can be aligned with drinking graph to determine drinking/adherence links). The 30 and 60-day booster sessions included a review and discussion of these graphs.

Brief booster sessions at 30- and 60-days

Following baseline CG or MI, patients in CG+HealthCall and MI+HealthCall used the HealthCall app daily. At 30- and 60-day visits, patients in all three arms met with the clinic staff member again for a booster session to review drinking and medication adherence over the prior 30 days, and reinforce any improvement and continued efforts. In the CG-only arm, discussion was based on patients' recall. In the CG+HealthCall and MI+HealthCall arms, discussion was based on a graphed report of daily drinking and ART adherence generated from the HealthCall app data. At the end of the 30-day booster visit, any revised drinking goals were entered into the app by the counselor. Patients were then asked to continue using HealthCall for another 30 days. At the end of the 60-day session (end of treatment), options for continued alcohol care were discussed, and referrals given to patients who wished to receive them.

Measures

At baseline, 30 and 60 days, prior to receiving study interventions, participants completed a 60-minute computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) that was administered by a trained study assessor who was not involved in administering the interventions. The CAPI was also completed at 3, 6 and 12 months after baseline. The CAPI covered demographic characteristics, DSM-IV substance and psychiatric disorders, and other medical and HIV-related health variables. To identify any patients with detoxification needs alcohol withdrawal was assessed at baseline, 30- and 60-days visits with the Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment of Alcohol revised (CIWA-Ar)³⁹.

All participants taking ART medication were assessed for medication adherence eight times after the baseline. This assessment consisted of the unannounced pill count phone survey method⁴⁰⁻⁴², administered by a trained study interviewer. During these pill count surveys, patients counted the number of pills they had of each HIV medication. The calls were completed when patients were at home, and not scheduled in advance. This established method for assessing ART medication adherence has good reliability and validity⁴⁰⁻⁴², predicts viral suppression⁴⁰, and avoids the overestimates of adherence⁴³ from using ACTG-like measures.

To prepare for these pillcount assessments at baseline, study staff trained patients on how to count their ART medication using a pill count tray we provided, and established the patient's most convenient time of day for the subsequent pillcount calls. The first of these calls occurred a few days after the baseline visit. The next three calls occurred around the 30-day, 60-day and 3-month points after baseline, and were 28-35 days apart. The next four calls occurred around 5 months, 6 months, 11 months, and 12 months after the baseline visit. If the participant was not available/home at the time of the initial call, the interviewer called again at additional times. For the purpose of the current study, we used 30-day, 60-day, 3 month, 6 month, and 12 month data, to parallel the timeframes analyzed in our primary drinking analysis²¹.

Outcomes

ART adherence is calculated using a formula accounting for all pills scheduled compared to pills on hand since last count. Adherence (range, 0.0-1.0) is a function of prescribed doses relative to pill count. Given the 2016 WHO "Treat All" recommendations^{44,45}, adherence is recorded as 0.0 for those not taking ART due to lack of a prescription. Adherence levels are defined as high (81%-100%), medium (41%-80%), and low (0-40%). These cutoffs are consistent with scientific guidelines, as those with <40% adherence are most at risk for drug resistance⁴⁶, whereas ~82% adherence has been deemed necessary to attain viral suppression in most cases⁴⁷.

Statistical analysis

Baseline demographic characteristics were examined descriptively among the whole sample and by treatment group. Differences in characteristics by treatment group were tested using non-parametric Wilcoxon tests for continuous variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables.

Change in medication adherence was analyzed using unannounced pill count data from assessments during treatment (30 and 60 days) and from the follow-up assessments (3, 6, and 12 months). A generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) was used to model the effects of time, treatment, and the interaction of time x treatment on adherence using SAS PROC GLIMMIX⁴⁸. Models used a normal distribution to model the outcomes. Pre-planned contrasts were used to compare treatment groups (CG+HealthCall vs. CG-only; MI+HealthCall vs. CG-only; MI+HealthCall vs. CG+HealthCall). Tests were two-sided with $p < 0.05$ indicating significance, also noting trends toward significance at $p < 0.10$. Participants were all analyzed in their originally assigned treatment group. Estimated mean differences were used to represent effect sizes. A potential interaction with gender was assessed by including the three-way interaction term of time x treatment x gender and associations within each gender were then explored separately.

Results

Sample characteristics

As described elsewhere²¹, of the 114 enrolled patients, 58% were male, 75% were African American, 28% were Hispanic, and 62% had less than a high school education. The mean age was 47.5 years (SD = 10 years) and the mean number of years of HIV infection was 18.6 (SD = 7.6). Thirty-seven patients were randomized to CG-Only, thirty-eight to CG+HealthCall, and thirty-nine to MI+HealthCall. One patient randomized to CG-Only did not keep an appointment for the baseline intervention and thus was not exposed to any protocol intervention; this participant was not included in the analyses. None of the baseline demographic characteristics differed significantly by treatment condition.

Study retention

Overall, study retention was high across the treatment conditions. Of the 114 participants, 98 (86%) completed the study ($n=31$ in CG-Only, $n=36$ in CG+HealthCall, and $n=31$ in MI+HealthCall). Retention did not differ significantly by treatment condition or any baseline characteristic.

Participants reported high utilization of HealthCall. Among patients in MI+HealthCall, the median HealthCall call rate was 89.17%. Among participants in CG+HealthCall, the median HealthCall call rate was 87.50%.

The overall response rate for completion of all possible pill count surveys was 97.6% (799 out of 819 that could have been completed). The response rate ranged from 100% just after baseline to 97% at 12 months.

ART adherence

More than 70% of the patients reported high levels of adherence (81-100%) at 30 day, 60 day, 3 month, and 6 month follow-ups, with fewer (63.2%) at this level at the 12 month follow-up (Table 1). Few participants (4-6%) reported low adherence (0%-40%) at most timepoints, with the exception of the 6 month follow-up, when 12.4% reported low adherence.

Overall, ART adherence declined slightly over time (see Figure 1a). Groups evidenced no difference in adherence at the 30-day follow-up (Table 2). At 60 days, CG+HealthCall evidenced a 10% greater adherence than those in CG-only, $p < 0.05$, and MI+HealthCall evidenced a marginally (9%) greater adherence than the CG-only group, $p = 0.07$. At 3 months, there was a marginal benefit of CG+HealthCall over CG-only (9%; $p = 0.09$). However, by 6 months, ART adherence was better in CG+HealthCall than it was in both CG-only (11%; $p = 0.03$) and in MI+HealthCall (9%; $p = 0.07$). No group differences remained at 12 months.

Interaction by gender

There was a marginal interaction of condition by time by gender on ART adherence, $F(8, 368) = 1.72$, $p = 0.09$. Males and females were thus examined separately. **Males.** Among men, at 3 months, those in CG+HealthCall had marginally better adherence than in those in CG-Only (13%; $p = 0.07$). At 6 months, those in CG+HealthCall

reported better adherence than those in MI+HealthCall (16%; $p=0.02$). **Females.** Among women, adherence was marginally better among participants in CG+HealthCall than in MI+HealthCall at 30 days (13%; $p=0.09$). Although groups were similar at 60 days and 3 months, by 6 months, participants in both CG+HealthCall (18%; $p=0.02$) and MI+HealthCall (15%; $p=0.04$) outperformed CG-only.

Discussion

This study indicates that brief intervention (specifically the NIAAA Clinician's Guide [CG]) can be supplemented with the HealthCall smartphone app in order to improve adherence to ART medication. For men, CG+HealthCall was superior; for women, both interventions paired with HealthCall provided benefit compared to CG-only 6 months later. The use of a smartphone app can thus extend the reach of a brief drinking and adherence intervention, providing continued care without further demands on providers' time, which can be costly and in high demand.

Although many interventions have been developed to address drinking^{19,20} and ART adherence^{14,16-18} among PLWH, many require substantial provider time or show limited efficacy. Given the well-documented relationship between heavy drinking and poor ART adherence⁵⁻⁸, our intervention addresses these two concerns together among alcohol dependent PLWH. The current intervention utilizes smartphone technology to provide an extended intervention without taxing providers' time, thus providing a feasible intervention method in HIV primary care.

Study limitations are noted. Recruitment took place at one urban clinic in the northeast, with primarily Black, male participants, limiting generalizability to other groups. The population was alcohol dependent, and the intervention had a substantial focus on drinking reduction, so the study does not provide information on whether HealthCall is a useful tool for improving medication adherence among non-drinkers; further research would be required to determine this. Also, we did not include an MI-only arm in the current study because reviewers of the grant proposal for this study felt that its inferiority to MI+HealthCall using an earlier, non-smartphone version of HealthCall had already been demonstrated⁴⁹. However, this clinical trial as well as the HealthCall intervention have several important strengths. Our study design tested HealthCall as an adjunct to two brief interventions, allowing us to assess comparability of a more disseminable/scalable intervention (CG) than one that requires more training, supervision, and clinical skill (MI). Our study evidenced high retention through follow-up, with high participation in pill count phone surveys. The unannounced pill count phone survey method we used has demonstrated reliability and validity, and minimizes overestimation in comparison with memory-based self-report. The HealthCall app itself also has many strengths, as it improves efficacy and requires minimal provider involvement, harnessing technology to extend the reach of brief provider contact.

In sum, this research provides evidence that the HealthCall administered via smartphone can extend the reach of brief intervention in decreasing drinking²¹ and increasing medication adherence among alcohol-dependent PLWH, providing a valuable tool that can enhance several aspects of health together among alcohol dependent PLWH. Technology has become increasingly useful and well-recognized in managing health. In particular, the importance of telehealth has become acutely clear since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, as it can supplement HIV and other care when on-site care is unavailable, infeasible, or risky⁵⁰. Telehealth has grown in popularity during COVID-19, and its demonstrated utility and ease is likely to lead to continued widespread use. HealthCall similarly uses technology to enhance patient care, thus serving as a useful add-on to in-person (or perhaps even online) counseling to improve health outcomes among alcohol dependent PLWH.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

Table 1. Adherence levels at each time point: Unannounced pill count data.

Mean Adherence score level (% of ART they took) ^a	% of patients in each category				
	30-day N=105	60-day N=100	3-month N=101	6-month N=97	12-month N=95
Low 0% – 40%	5.7	4.0	5.9	12.4	5.3
Medium 41% - 80%	23.8	21.0	21.8	17.5	31.6
High 81% – 100%	70.5	75.0	72.3	70.1	63.2
Range	28% - 100%	13% - 100%	29% - 100%	7% - 100%	21% - 100%
^a Perfect adherence = 100%					

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

Table 2. Differences in ART adherence (measured by unannounced pill count) by treatment group.

		Contrasts					
		CG+HealthCall		MI+HealthCall		MI+HealthCall	
		vs. CG Only		vs. CG Only		vs. CG+HealthCall	
		Estimated Mean Difference	p-value	Estimated Mean Difference	p-value	Estimated Mean Difference	p-value
% Medication Adherence							
	30 days	0.05	0.30	-0.010	0.85	-0.06	0.22
	60 days	0.10	0.048	0.09	0.065	-0.007	0.89
	3 months	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.27	-0.03	0.54
	6 months	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.72	-0.09	0.07
	12 months	0.06	0.23	0.06	0.26	-0.004	0.94

Note. Model was run as a linear mixed model .

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

Table 3. Differences in ART adherence (measured by unannounced pill count) by treatment group and by gender.

MALES		Contrasts					
		CG+HealthCall vs. CG Only		MI+HealthCall vs. CG Only		MI+HealthCall vs. CG+HealthCall	
		Estimated Mean Difference	p-value	Estimated Mean Difference	p-value	Estimated Mean Difference	p-value
% Medication Adherence							
	30 days	0.06	0.35	0.05	0.50	-0.016	0.81
	60 days	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.21	-0.017	0.79
	3 months	0.13	0.07	0.05	0.49	-0.077	0.25
	6 months	0.065	0.36	-0.09	0.22	-0.16	0.02
	12 months	0.033	0.66	0.08	0.28	0.048	0.48
FEMALES		Contrasts					
		CG+HealthCall vs. CG Only		MI+HealthCall vs. CG Only		MI+HealthCall vs. CG+HealthCall	
		Estimated Mean Difference	p-value	Estimated Mean Difference	p-value	Estimated Mean Difference	p-value
% Medication Adherence							
	30 days	0.051	0.48	-0.07	0.28	-0.13	0.09
	60 days	0.10	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.004	0.96
	3 months	0.04	0.60	0.07	0.30	0.03	0.64
	6 months	0.18	0.02	0.15	0.036	-0.03	0.71
	12 months	0.12	0.11	0.03	0.71	-0.088	0.25

Figure 1a. Mean medication adherence by treatment group.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

Figure 1b. Mean medication adherence by treatment group among males only.

Figure 1c. Mean medication adherence by treatment group among females only.

References

1. National Institutes of Health. *The science is clear: with HIV, undetectable equals untransmittable*. 2019. Accessed from: <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/science-clear-hiv-undetectable-equals-untransmittable>
2. Eisinger RW, Dieffenbach CW, Fauci AS. HIV Viral Load and Transmissibility of HIV Infection: Undetectable Equals Untransmittable. *JAMA*. 2019;321(5):451-452. 10.1001/jama.2018.21167
3. Williams EC, Hahn JA, Saitz R, Bryant K, Lira MC, Samet JH. Alcohol Use and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection: Current Knowledge, Implications, and Future Directions. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2016;40(10):2056-2072. 10.1111/acer.13204
4. Williams EC, McGinnis KA, Edelman EJ, Matson TE, Gordon AJ, Marshall BDL, Bryant KJ, Rubinsky AD, Lapham GT, Satre DD, Richards JE, Catz SL, Fiellin DA, Justice AC, Bradley KA. Level of Alcohol Use Associated with HIV Care Continuum Targets in a National U.S. Sample of Persons Living with HIV Receiving Healthcare. *AIDS Behav*. 2019;23(1):140-151. 10.1007/s10461-018-2210-6
5. Vagenas P, Azar MM, Copenhaver MM, Springer SA, Molina PE, Altice FL. The Impact of Alcohol Use and Related Disorders on the HIV Continuum of Care: a Systematic Review : Alcohol and the HIV Continuum of Care. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2015;12(4):421-436. 10.1007/s11904-015-0285-5
6. Hendershot CS, Stoner SA, Pantalone DW, Simoni JM. Alcohol use and antiretroviral adherence: review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;52(2):180-202. 10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181b18b6e
7. Azar MM, Springer SA, Meyer JP, Altice FL. A systematic review of the impact of alcohol use disorders on HIV treatment outcomes, adherence to antiretroviral therapy and health care utilization. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2010;112(3):178-193. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.06.014
8. Velloza J, Kemp CG, Aunon FM, Ramaiya MK, Creegan E, Simoni JM. Alcohol Use and Antiretroviral Therapy Non-Adherence Among Adults Living with HIV/AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *AIDS Behav*. 2020;24(6):1727-1742. 10.1007/s10461-019-02716-0
9. Kalichman S, Mathews C, Banas E, Kalichman M. Alcohol-related intentional nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV, Cape Town, South Africa. *AIDS Care*. 2019;31(8):951-957. 10.1080/09540121.2019.1587357
10. Kalichman SC, Grebler T, Amaral CM, McNerey M, White D, Kalichman MO, Cherry C, Eaton L. Intentional non-adherence to medications among HIV positive alcohol drinkers: prospective study of interactive toxicity beliefs. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28(3):399-405. 10.1007/s11606-012-2231-1
11. Kalichman SC, Katner H, Hill M, Kalichman MO, Hernandez D. Alcohol-Related Intentional Antiretroviral Nonadherence among People Living with HIV: Test of an Interactive Toxicity Beliefs Process Model. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2019;18:2325958219826612. 10.1177/2325958219826612
12. Hartzler B, Dombrowski JC, Crane HM, Eron JJ, Geng EH, Christopher Mathews W, Mayer KH, Moore RD, Mugavero MJ, Napravnik S, Rodriguez B, Donovan DM. Prevalence and Predictors of Substance Use Disorders Among HIV Care Enrollees in the United States. *AIDS Behav*. 2017;21(4):1138-1148. 10.1007/s10461-016-1584-6
13. Crane HM, McCaul ME, Chander G, Hutton H, Nance RM, Delaney JAC, Merrill JO, Lau B, Mayer KH, Mugavero MJ, Mimiaga M, Willig JH, Burkholder GA, Drozd DR, Fredericksen RJ, Cropsey K, Moore RD, Simoni JM, Christopher Mathews W, Eron JJ, Napravnik S, Christopoulos K, Geng E, Saag MS, Kitahata MM. Prevalence and Factors Associated with Hazardous Alcohol Use Among Persons Living with HIV Across the US in the Current Era of Antiretroviral Treatment. *AIDS Behav*. 2017;21(7):1914-1925. 10.1007/s10461-017-1740-7
14. Mathes T, Pieper D, Antoine SL, Eikermann M. Adherence-enhancing interventions for highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients - a systematic review. *HIV Med*. 2013;14(10):583-595. 10.1111/hiv.12051
15. Parsons JT, Golub SA, Rosof E, Holder C. Motivational interviewing and cognitive-behavioral intervention to improve HIV medication adherence among hazardous drinkers: a randomized controlled trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;46(4):443-450. 10.1097/qai.0b013e318158a461
16. Zuge SS, Paula CC, Padoin SMM. Effectiveness of interventions for adherence to antiretroviral therapy in adults with HIV: a systematic review. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2020;54:e03627. 10.1590/S1980-220X2019009803627

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

17. Jopling R, Nyamayaro P, Andersen LS, Kagee A, Haberer JE, Abas MA. A Cascade of Interventions to Promote Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy in African Countries. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2020;17(5):529-546. 10.1007/s11904-020-00511-4
18. Lee SB, Valerius J. mHealth Interventions to Promote Anti-Retroviral Adherence in HIV: Narrative Review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 2020;8(8):e14739. 10.2196/14739
19. Madhombiro M, Musekiwa A, January J, Chingono A, Abas M, Seedat S. Psychological interventions for alcohol use disorders in people living with HIV/AIDS: a systematic review. *Syst Rev.* 2019;8(1):244. 10.1186/s13643-019-1176-4
20. Brown JL, DeMartini KS, Sales JM, Swartzendruber AL, DiClemente RJ. Interventions to reduce alcohol use among HIV-infected individuals: a review and critique of the literature. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2013;10(4):356-370. 10.1007/s11904-013-0174-8
21. Hasin D, Aharonovich E, Zingman B, Stohl M, Walsh C, Elliott J, Fink D, Knox J, Durant S, Menchaca R, Sharma A. HealthCall: Smartphone Enhancement of Brief Interventions to Reduce Heavy Drinking In HIV Care. *MedRxiv Preprint.* 2020.
22. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed., text rev.)*. Washington DC2000.
23. Hasin D, Samet S, Nunes E, Meydan J, Matseoane K, Waxman R. Diagnosis of comorbid psychiatric disorders in substance users assessed with the Psychiatric Research Interview for Substance and Mental Disorders for DSM-IV. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2006;163(4):689-696. 10.1176/ajp.2006.163.4.689
24. Zhao W, Weng Y. Block urn design - a new randomization algorithm for sequential trials with two or more treatments and balanced or unbalanced allocation. *Contemp Clin Trials.* 2011;32(6):953-961. 10.1016/j.cct.2011.08.004
25. Miller WR, Baca C, Compton WM, Ernst D, Manuel JK, Pringle B, Schermer CR, Weiss RD, Willenbring ML, Zweben A. Addressing substance abuse in health care settings. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2006;30(2):292-302. 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2006.00027.x
26. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Helping patients who drink too much: a clinician's guide. In: Bethesda (MD): National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; 2007: Available from:<http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/Practitioner/CliniciansGuide2005/guide.pdf>.
27. Willenbring ML, Massey SH, Gardner MB. Helping patients who drink too much: an evidence-based guide for primary care clinicians. *Am Fam Physician.* 2009;80(1):44-50.
28. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Helping patients who drink too much: a clinician's guide*. Bethesda (MD): National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. 2007. Accessed from: <http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/Practitioner/CliniciansGuide2005/guide.pdf>
29. Chander G. Addressing alcohol use in HIV-infected persons. *Top Antivir Med.* 2011;19(4):143-147.
30. U.S. Preventive Service Task Force. Screening and Behavioral Counseling Interventions in Primary Care to Reduce Alcohol Misuse: Recommendation Statement. April 2004; Available from: <http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/3rduspstf/alcohol/alcomisrs.htm>.
31. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. NIAAA Clinician's Guide Online Training/Video Cases: Helping Patients Who Drink Too Much. <http://www.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/clinical-guides-and-manuals/niaaa-clinicians-guide-online-training>. Accessed Accessed: July 8, 2013.
32. Amico KR, Zuniga JM, Wilson IB, Gross R, Young B. Provider guidance for linking patients to antiretroviral therapy adherence interventions: recommendations from an IAPAC advisory committee on adherence monitoring and support. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care.* 2013;12(2):79-83. 10.1177/1545109712474844
33. Feldman BJ, Fredericksen RJ, Crane PK, Safren SA, Mugavero MJ, Willig JH, Simoni JM, Wilson IB, Saag MS, Kitahata MM, Crane HM. Evaluation of the Single-Item Self-Rating Adherence Scale for Use in Routine Clinical Care of People Living with HIV. *AIDS Behav.* 2012. 10.1007/s10461-012-0326-7
34. Feldman BJ, Fredericksen RJ, Crane PK, Safren SA, Mugavero MJ, Willig JH, Simoni JM, Wilson IB, Saag MS, Kitahata MM, Crane HM. Evaluation of the single-item self-rating adherence scale for use in routine clinical care of people living with HIV. *AIDS Behav.* 2013;17(1):307-318. 10.1007/s10461-012-0326-7
35. Amico KR. Standard of Care for Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence and Retention in Care from the Perspective of Care Providers Attending the 5th International Conference on HIV Treatment Adherence. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic).* 2011;10(5):291-296. 10.1177/1545109711406734

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#).

36. Thompson MA, Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, Cargill VA, Chang LW, Gross R, Orrell C, Altice FL, Bangsberg DR, Bartlett JG, Beckwith CG, Dowshen N, Gordon CM, Horn T, Kumar P, Scott JD, Stirratt MJ, Remien RH, Simoni JM, Nachega JB. Guidelines for improving entry into and retention in care and antiretroviral adherence for persons with HIV: evidence-based recommendations from an International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care panel. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;156(11):817-833, W-284, W-285, W-286, W-287, W-288, W-289, W-290, W-291, W-292, W-293, W-294. 10.7326/0003-4819-156-11-201206050-00419
- 0003-4819-156-11-201206050-00419 [pii]
37. Miller W, Rollnick S. *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing People for Change, 2nd Edition*. The Guilford Press; 2002.
38. Hasin DS, Aharonovich E, Greenstein E. HealthCall for the smartphone: technology enhancement of brief intervention in HIV alcohol dependent patients. *Addict Sci Clin Pract*. 2014;9:5. 10.1186/1940-0640-9-5
39. Sullivan JT, Sykora K, Schneiderman J, Naranjo CA, Sellers EM. Assessment of alcohol withdrawal: the revised clinical institute withdrawal assessment for alcohol scale (CIWA-Ar). *Br J Addict*. 1989;84(11):1353-1357. 10.1111/j.1360-0443.1989.tb00737.x
40. Kalichman SC, Amaral CM, Stearns H, White D, Flanagan J, Pope H, Cherry C, Cain D, Eaton L, Kalichman MO. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy assessed by unannounced pill counts conducted by telephone. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22(7):1003-1006. 10.1007/s11606-007-0171-y
41. Kalichman SC, Amaral CM, Cherry C, Flanagan J, Pope H, Eaton L, Kalichman MO, Cain D, Detorio M, Caliendo A, Schinazi RF. Monitoring medication adherence by unannounced pill counts conducted by telephone: reliability and criterion-related validity. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(5):298-308. 10.1310/hct0905-298
42. Fredericksen R, Feldman BJ, Brown T, Schmidt S, Crane PK, Harrington RD, Dhanireddy S, McReynolds J, Lober WB, Bangsberg DR, Kitahata MM, Crane HM. Unannounced telephone-based pill counts: a valid and feasible method for monitoring adherence. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18(12):2265-2273. 10.1007/s10461-014-0916-7
43. Kalichman SC, Amaral CM, Swetzes C, Jones M, Macy R, Kalichman MO, Cherry C. A simple single-item rating scale to measure medication adherence: further evidence for convergent validity. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic)*. 2009;8(6):367-374. 10.1177/1545109709352884
44. Harper KN. WHO releases a 'treat-all' recommendation for HIV patients. *AIDS*. 2016;30(5):N7. 10.1097/QAD.0000000000001000
45. World Health Organization. "Treat all" to end AIDS. 2016. Accessed from: http://www.who.int/hiv/mediacentre/news/arv-2016-launch/en/?sm_au=iHVQN7WMrPZ47WJN
46. Rocheleau G, Brumme CJ, Shoveller J, Lima VD, Harrigan PR. Longitudinal trends of HIV drug resistance in a large Canadian cohort, 1996-2016. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2018;24(2):185-191. 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.06.014
47. Byrd KK, Hou JG, Hazen R, Kirkham H, Suzuki S, Clay PG, Bush T, Camp NM, Weidle PJ, Delpino A, Patient-Centered HIVCMT. Antiretroviral Adherence Level Necessary for HIV Viral Suppression Using Real-World Data. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82(3):245-251. 10.1097/QAI.0000000000002142
48. SAS Institute Inc. SAS [computer program]. Version 9.4. In. Cary, NC. 2014.
49. Hasin DS, Aharonovich E, O'Leary A, Greenstein E, Pavlicova M, Arunajadai S, Waxman R, Wainberg M, Helzer J, Johnston B. Reducing heavy drinking in HIV primary care: a randomized trial of brief intervention, with and without technological enhancement. *Addiction*. 2013;108(7):1230-1240. 10.1111/add.12127
50. Mgbako O, Miller EH, Santoro AF, Remien RH, Shalev N, Olender S, Gordon P, Sobieszczyk ME. COVID-19, Telemedicine, and Patient Empowerment in HIV Care and Research. *AIDS Behav*. 2020;24(7):1990-1993. 10.1007/s10461-020-02926-x