

Supplementary material

Effect of listening difficulties on speech cortical activation and connectivity in children

Abbreviated title: Cortical speech networks and listening

Hannah J. Stewart ^{1,2}, Erin K. Cash ², Thomas Maloney ³, Jennifer Vannest ^{2,4} and David R. Moore ^{2,5,6}

1. Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London, London, UK
2. Communication Sciences Research Center, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
3. Pediatric Neuroimaging Research Consortium, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
4. Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Ohio, USA
5. Department of Otolaryngology, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
6. Manchester Centre for Audiology and Deafness, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

Correspondence: Hannah J. Stewart
Division of Psychology and Language Sciences
University College London
London UK
h.stewart@ucl.ac.uk

Table 1: Regions of interest from the fMRI task used in the rs-fMRI ROI-to-ROI analysis.
Threshold = 4.0, MCC .95.

Contrast	Max. intensity MNI coordinates				Brain Regions (Harvard-Oxford atlas)	Cluster size (voxels)	
	BA	x	y	z			
Phonology rotated > rotated+vocoded	1	21	66	-18	-10	R middle temporal gyrus	317
	2	22	-60	-42	6	L supramarginal gyrus, post	23
	3	38	52	8	-16	R temporal pole	1
	4	21	66	-4	-6	R superior temporal gyrus	351
	5	21	-60	-16	-10	L middle temporal gyrus, post	192
	6	22	60	-28	10	R planum temporale	5
	7	21	52	-4	-10	R superior temporal gyrus, ant	17
	8	21	-48	-2	-14	L planum polare	319
	9	21	52	4	-14	R superior temporal gyrus, ant	60
	10	21	-54	0	-10	L superior temporal gyrus, ant	153

11	21	-48	4	-22	L temporal pole	25
12	41	-40	-34	6	L planum temporale	19
13	21	-60	-28	-6	L middle temporal gyrus, post	330
14	21	60	0	-16	R middle temporal gyrus, ant	356
15	21	-68	-28	2	L superior temporal gyrus, post	400
16	21	-48	0	-24	L middle temporal gyrus, ant	149
17	21	60	-22	-6	R middle temporal gyrus, post	131

Intelligibility
normal > rotated

1	21	60	-20	-6	R middle temporal gyrus, post	11
2	21	-60	-46	2	L middle temporal gyrus, temporooccipital part	131
3	21	50	8	-30	R temporal pole	210
4	20	-46	12	-34	L temporal pole	82
5	21	66	-4	-6	R superior temporal gyrus, ant	37
6	20	-52	-18	-14	L middle temporal gyrus, post	208
7	47	-46	24	-6	L frontal orbital cortex	2
8	20	44	6	-26	R temporal pole	25

9	21	-46	-4	-22	L superior temporal gyrus, ant	255
10	38	58	12	-18	R temporal pole	64
11	21	-52	0	-10	L superior temporal gyrus, ant	264
12	20	-42	12	-28	L temporal pole	223
13	21	-44	-40	6	L supramarginal gyrus, post	9
14	48	-50	18	18	L inferior frontal gyrus, pars opercularis (Broca's)	6
15	21	-44	-48	-2	L inferior temporal gyrus	28
16	21	-50	-34	-6	L middle temporal gyrus, post	635
17	21	52	0	-26	R middle temporal gyrus, ant	576
18	21	-68	-28	2	L superior temporal gyrus, post	116
19	20	-48	-4	-26	L middle temporal gyrus, ant	573
20	38	-50	24	-12	L frontal orbital cortex	24
21	20	-50	-2	-28	L middle temporal gyrus, ant	3
22	20	50	-20	-16	R middle temporal gyrus, post	180
23	20	-50	-14	-20	L MTG, post	1

Semantics
normal >
rotated+vocoded

1	21	68	-20	-10	R MTG, post	301
2	21	-52	-50	2	L middle temporal gyrus, temporoccipital part	363

3	20	46	8	-32	R temporal pole	344
4	20	-44	6	-34	L temporal pole	185
5	21	66	-4	-6	R superior temporal gyrus, ant.	420
6	20	-52	-18	-16	L middle temporal gyrus, post	309
7	47	-46	26	-6	L frontal orbital cortex	1
8	20	44	0	-26	R STG, ant	108
9	20	-46	-8	-22	L MTG, post	593
10	37	-38	-40	-22	L temporal fusiform cortex, post	4
11	21	48	8	-18	R temporal pole	210
12	21	-52	0	-10	L superior temporal gyrus, ant	368
13	20	-42	8	-30	L temporal pole	314
14	21	-42	-32	2	L planum temporale	86
15	20	54	-14	-20	R middle temporal gyrus, post	1
16	30	22	-30	-24	R parahippocampal gyrus, post	1
17	21	-50	-48	2	L middle temporal gyrus, temporooccipital part	128

18	38	40	8	-24	R temporal pole	1
19	21	-50	-34	-8	L MTG, post	899
20	21	54	-6	-26	R middle temporal gyrus, ant	792
21	21	-68	-28	2	L superior temporal gyrus, post	419
22	20	-48	-6	-26	L middle temporal gyrus, ant	634
23	38	-52	26	-10	L inferior frontal gyrus, pars traingularis	24
24	20	-48	-2	-30	L middle temporal gyrus, ant	12
25	48	-46	-12	6	L Heschl's gyrus	2
26	48	48	-12	2	R planum polare	2
27	20	52	-18	-16	R middle temporal gyrus, post	625
28	20	52	-6	-26	R middle temporal gyrus, ant	6
29	20	-44	-6	-26	L inferior frontal gyrus, ant	10
30	20	-36	-10	-46	L temporal fusiform cortex	33

31 37 -36 -36 -20 L temporal fusiform cortex, post 3

Table 2: Coordinates for maximum intensity voxels from the fMRI speech listening task contrasts. Cortical activation is across all 85 participants as groups were not significantly different after correcting for multiple comparisons. All three contrasts showed large areas of activation covering the auditory cortex. With activation extending out towards language (Wernicke's), memory (parahippocampal) and decision making (frontal orbital cortex) areas as the contrasts progressed from simpler stages of speech listening (phonology) to more advanced (semantics). Threshold = 4.0, MCC .95. See Figure 2.

Contrast	Max. intensity MNI coordinates				Brain Regions (Harvard-Oxford atlas)	Cluster size (voxels)
	BA	x	y	z		
Phonology rotated > rotated+vocoded	21	-48	0	-24	L middle temporal gyrus	1603
	21	60	0	-16	R middle temporal gyrus, ant	1238
	41	-40	-34	6	L planum temporale	7
Intelligibility clear > rotated	20	-46	12	-34	L temporal pole	2567
	21	50	8	-30	R temporal pole	1114
	48	-50	18	18	L inferior frontal gyrus	6
Semantics normal > rotated+vocoded	20	-44	6	-34	L frontal pole	4351
	20	46	8	-32	R temporal pole	2810
	20	-36	-10	-46	L temporal fusiform cortex, post	33
	38	-52	26	-10	L frontal orbital cortex	25
	37	-38	-40	-22	L temporal fusiform cortex, post	7
	30	22	-30	-24	R parahippocampal gyrus, post	1

Table 3: Mild to moderate correlations were found between temporal connectivity and behavioural outcome measures (auditory processing skills, verbal vocabulary, selective attention, switching attention and episodic memory). Note that only connections with significant group differences (Table 4 and Figure 4) are shown here and in the summary Figure 5.

Network	ROI # – ROI #	Behavioral outcome	r (77)	p
Intelligibility	14-23	NIH verbal vocab.	-.24	.039
		NIH switching attention	-.41	< .001
		NIH episodic memory	-.24	.035
Speech	16-1	SCAN auditory figure ground	-.34	.003
		SCAN complete words	-.25	.03
		NIH switching attention	-.24	.038
	16-5	NIH switching attention	-.35	.002
		NIH selective attention	-.23	.046
	16-9	NIH switching attention	-.37	< .001
		SCAN auditory figure ground	-.29	.014
	16-19	NIH switching attention	-.24	.037
		SCAN complete words	.27	.02
	10-8	SCAN complete sentences	.28	.016
NIH verbal vocab		.31	.006	
SCAN complete words		.30	.01	




Figure 1: The data from Figure 5’s heat map plotted. Scatter plots of brain and behavioral scores demonstrate the correlated patterns of connectomic and behavioural features. Coloured dots in each panel indicate the participant group (LiD: green, TD: grey). The solid black line marks significant correlations (see Supplementary Material Table 3).