Knowledge, attitudes and practices of COVID 19 among Medical Laboratory Professionals in Zambia

Adon Chawe¹, Ruth L. Mfuné², Paul Siyapila², Sharon D. Zimba³, Pipina Vlahakis², Samson Mwale⁴, Kapambwe Mwape², Memory Kalolekesha², Misheck Chileshe⁸, Joseph Mutale⁵
Tobela Mudenda⁶ Grace Manda⁷ Victor Daka²

Affiliation: ¹St. Francis Mission Hospital, Private Bag 11, Katete, Zambia
²Copperbelt University, Michael Chilufya School of Medicine, P.O Box 71769, Ndola, Zambia
³Chikankata College of Biomedical Sciences, Private Bag S2, Chikankata, Zambia
⁴Tropical Diseases Research Centre, Ndola, Zambia
⁵Kabompo District Hospital, P.O Box 140046, Kabompo, Zambia
⁶Ndola Teaching Hospital, P.O Box 250163, Ndola, Zambia
⁷Kalomo District Hospital, P.O Box 620085, Kalomo, Zambia
⁸Mary Begg Health Services, 56 Chintu Avenue, Northrise, P.O Box 72221, Ndola

Abstract

Background: COVID-19 is a novel disease that has spread to nearly every country worldwide. Medical laboratory professionals are key in the fight against COVID-19 as they provide confirmatory diagnosis which is the main basis upon which cases are identified and clinical management instituted. Lack of knowledge, poor attitude and unsafe laboratory practices could have negative implications towards the control of COVID-19. We conducted a quick online questionnaire to investigate the knowledge, attitudes and practices of medical laboratory personnel regarding COVID-19 in Zambia.

Methods: We conducted a cross sectional study among medical laboratory professionals in Zambia from 10th to 29th June, 2020. Data were collected using google forms and exported to SPSS version 23 for statistical analysis. Independent predictors of COVID-19 knowledge and practices were determined. Adjusted odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals are reported.

Results: A total of 208 medical laboratory professionals participated in the study. There were more males (58.2%) than females. The majority of respondents had good knowledge (84.1%) and practice (75%) regarding COVID-19. Less than half (n=97, 46.6%) reported willingness to participate in a vaccination program. Predictors of good knowledge included; having a Bachelors degree (AOR: 5.0, CI: 1.15-23.9) and having prior COVID-19 related training (AOR: 8.83, CI: 2.03-38.4). Predictors of good practice included; having a masters or PhD qualification (AOR: 5.23, CI: 1.15-23.9) and having prior COVID-19 related training (AOR: 14.01, CI: 6.47-30.4).

Conclusion: Our findings revealed that medical laboratory professionals in Zambia have good knowledge and positive attitude towards COVID-19. However poor practices were observed. There is need for continuous professional development (CPD) to ensure that medical laboratory professionals are well informed and aware of best practices to aid in curbing the pandemic.

Key words: COVID-19, medical laboratory professions, knowledge, attitude, practice

Introduction

Corona virus Disease also referred to as COVID-19 is a respiratory tract disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus [1]. Coronaviruses have been known to affect humans, infecting the respiratory tract and infections ranging from mild to severe [2]. In the past, coronaviruses have caused severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and middle east respiratory syndrome (MERS), caused by SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, respectively. Research has shown that COVID-19 is more contagious compared to the previous outbreaks, but less lethal [3]. Coughing and sneezing facilitates viral excretion and thus transmission. Inhaling these droplets or contact with infected surfaces then increases the risk of infection. There is no known vaccine or documented specific treatment for COVID 19 disease [4]. Drugs that show potential to treat critically ill patients, are still being investigated for Safety and efficacy [4–6]. Prevention and controlling the spread of COVID-19 is done by social distancing, wearing face masks to protect one from inhaling infectious droplets as well as effective hand hygiene by regularly washing hands or use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers [7,8].

The first cases of COVID-19 were reported in December 2019 in Wuhan, Hubei province, Central China. Since then, the disease has spread to nearly every country worldwide leading to the World Health Organization declaring COVID-19 a pandemic on 11th March, 2020 [9]. As of 17th September, 2020 there were 29 611 395 confirmed cases and 935 767 deaths reported globally [10]. In Zambia 13 887 confirmed cases with 326 deaths were reported as at 17th September, 2020 [11].

Few publications and national situation reports exist that detail the number of Healthcare workers including medical laboratory personnel around the world infected with COVID-19 [12]. In China where the Disease first started 2055 healthcare workers were infected as of 24th March 2020 [13]. In the United states of America, 9282 health care workers were infected as of 14th April 2020 [14]. The World Health Organisation estimates that over 1000 health workers in Africa had been infected with COVID-19 by 23rd July, 2020 [15]. Information on the source of infection among healthcare workers in countries that have recorded the disease remains limited.

Medical laboratory professionals are key personnel in the diagnosis of COVID 19 in Zambia. Although they are not in the frontline which precludes them from prominence, their role in providing confirmatory diagnosis is the main basis upon which cases are identified and clinical

management instituted. The work areas of Biomedical laboratory professionals are very hazardous due to both suspected and unsuspected infectious agents. Lack of knowledge, good attitude and poor laboratory practices can have a twofold effect- a wrong diagnosis leading to wrong patient management with severe consequences or safety incidents which could be deleterious to both the concerned staff and their immediate environment which includes coworkers, families and patients or laboratory clients [16].

We conducted a study to understand the knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) of medical laboratory professionals in Zambia.

91 **Methods**

92 **Ethical consideration**

93 Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the Tropical Diseases Research Centre
94 institutional review board (IRB registration number: 00002911). The questionnaire contained an
95 information sheet regarding the study and an informed consent statement for participants to agree
96 to participate or not. All participants who declined to take part in the study were immediately
97 withdrawn and could not proceed to respond to the questionnaire.

98 **Study design**

99 This cross sectional survey was conducted among 208 medical laboratory professionals in
100 Zambia. Due to COVID 19 related restrictions imposed in this period, it was not feasible to
101 conduct face to face interviews and therefore we administered an online questionnaire using
102 google forms. To ensure that only target respondents participated in the survey we distributed the
103 link to the survey through the email database and WhatsApp group facilitated by the Biomedical
104 Society of Zambia.

105 **Data collection**

106 Pretesting of the questionnaire was done by administering the questionnaire to faculty at the
107 Copperbelt University to ensure consistency and reliability of the questions and expected
108 responses. The results from the pilot study were not included in the final analysis. The
109 questionnaire had two main components: demographics and KAP. The demographic section had
110 8 questions while the KAP section was divided into the following subsections; knowledge (10
111 questions), attitude (5 questions) and practices (13 questions).

112 **Data management and statistical analysis**

113 Collected data were downloaded and cleaned in Microsoft excel and exported to SPSS version
114 23 for statistical analysis. The statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. To ensure the internal
115 consistency and reliability of the data we used Cronbach alpha coefficient according to methods
116 described [17]. To identify factors of good knowledge and practice against COVID-19, bivariate
117 analysis was performed. All factors that were statistically significant in bivariate logistic

regression were included in forward stepwise multivariate logistic regression model to identify factors that were independently associated with good knowledge and practice.

Results

Demographic characteristics

A total of 208 medical laboratory professionals from seven provinces of Zambia took part in this study. There were more males (n=121, 58.2%) compared to females. Half of the participants were aged 20-29 years and had a Diploma in Biomedical Science qualification. Most of the respondents were from a hospital laboratory (n=134, 64.4%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants

Factor	Number of participants (n)	Percentage (%)
Sex		
Female	87	41.8
Male	121	58.2
Age (years)		
20 to 29	104	50.0
30 to 39	79	38.0
≥ 40	25	12.0
Current qualification		
Certificate in Biomedical Sciences	2	1.0
Diploma in Biomedical Sciences	155	74.5
BSc Biomedical Sciences	40	19.2
MSc Biomedical Sciences	9	4.3
PhD	2	1.0
Work experience		
Below 5 years	106	51.0
5 to 10 years	60	28.8
More than 10 years	42	20.2
Facility type		
Clinic Laboratory	14	6.7
Health Centre Laboratory	26	12.5
Hospital Laboratory	134	64.4
Private/ NGO	20	9.6
Others	14	6.7
Participant's province		
Central	12	5.8
Copperbelt	61	29.3
Eastern	17	8.2
Lusaka	40	19.2
North western	18	8.7

Northern	11	5.3
Southern	30	14.4
Others	19	9.1
Total	208	100

127

128 *Factors associated to COVID-19 knowledge*

129 A high proportion of respondents were knowledgeable on COVID-19 (n=175, 84.1%). Bivariate
130 logistic regression analysis showed that current qualification (Crude OR:4.68, CI:1.07-20.44)
131 and COVID-19 training (Crude OR:8.72, CI: 2.02-37.65) among participants were significantly
132 associated with COVID-19 knowledge. Therefore, participants with higher academic
133 qualifications and COVID-19 training were 4.68 and 8.72 times likely to have good COVID-19
134 knowledge respectively (Table 2).

135

136

137 Table 2. COVID-19 knowledge of participants and associated factors

Factors	Knowledge on COVID-19		Crude OR	95% CI
	Yes (%)*	No (%)*		
Gender				
Male	104(86.0)	17(14.0)	1	
Female	71(81.6)	16(18.4)	0.73	0.34-1.53
Age (years)				
20-29	88(84.6)	16(15.4)	1	
30-39	65(82.3)	14(17.7)	0.84	0.39-1.85
≥ 40	22(88.0)	3(12.0)	1.33	0.36-4.99
Current Qualification				
Certificate or Diploma	126(80.30)	31(19.7)	1	
Bachelors	38(95.0)	2(5.0)	4.68	1.07-20.44
Master or PhD	11(100.0)	0(0.0)	316182818.76	0.0
Facility				
Clinic & health center laboratories	32(80.0)	8(20.0)	1	
Hospital laboratories	111(82.8)	23(17.2)	1.21	0.49-2.95
Others	32(94.1)	2(5.9)	4.00	0.79-20.32
Participant's province				
Northern	8(72.7)	3(27.3)	1	
Copperbelt	55(90.2)	6(9.8)	3.44	0.71-16.55
Eastern	13(76.5)	4(23.5)	1.22	0.22-6.92
Lusaka	32(80.0)	8(20.0)	1.50	0.32-6.97
North western	15(83.3)	3(16.7)	1.88	0.31-11.52
Central	10(83.3)	2(16.7)	1.88	0.25-14.08
Southern	27(90.0)	3(10.0)	3.3	0.57-20.10
Others	15(78.9)	4(21.1)	1.4	0.25-7.90
Trained in covid-19				
No	112(78.3)	31(21.7)	1	
Yes	63(96.9)	2(3.1)	8.72	2.02-37.65
Total	175(84.1)	33(15.9)		

138 * indicate that these are row percent

139 **Others (Private laboratories, research laboratories)

140

141

Practice towards COVID-19 by medical laboratory personnel

Poor practices towards COVID-19 were recorded among three quarters of the participants. Current qualification (Crude OR:4.51, CI:1.30-15.70), type of laboratory facility (Crude OR:3.09, CI:1.01-9.45) and COVID-19 training (Crude OR:12.97, CI:6.19-27.18) were significantly associated with good COVID-19 practices. Participants with higher academic qualifications and those with prior COVID-19 training were 4.51 and 12.97 times likely to have good COVID-19 practices (Table 3).

Table 3. COVID-19 practices of participants and associated factors

Factors	Practice towards COVID-19		Crude OR	95% CI
	Poor (%)*	Good (%)*		
Gender				
Male	92 (76.0)	29 (24.0)	1	
Female	64 (73.6)	23 (26.4)	1.14	0.61-2.15
Age (years)				
20-29	78 (75.0)	26 (25.0)	1	
30-39	62 (78.5)	17 (21.5)	0.82	0.41-1.65
≥ 40	16 (64.0)	9 (36.0)	1.69	0.67-4.28
Current Qualification				
Certificate or Diploma	124 (79.0)	33 (21.0)	1	
Bachelors	27 (67.5)	13 (32.5)	1.81	0.84-3.89
Master or PhD	5 (45.5)	6 (54.5)	4.51	1.30-15.70
Facility				
Clinic & health center laboratories	34 (85.0)	6 (15.0)	1	
Hospital laboratories	100 (74.6)	34 (25.4)	1.93	0.74-4.99
**Others	22 (64.7)	12 (35.3)	3.09	1.01-9.45
Participant's province				
Central	11 (91.7)	1 (8.3)	1	
Copperbelt	46 (75.4)	15 (24.6)	3.59	0.43-30.14
Eastern	10 (58.8)	7 (41.2)	7.70	0.80-74.05
Lusaka	23 (57.5)	17 (42.5)	8.13	0.96-69.17
North western	16 (88.9)	2 (11.1)	1.38	0.11-17.09
Northern	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)	1.10	0.06-20.01
Southern	25 (83.3)	5 (16.7)	2.20	0.23-21.11
**Others	15 (78.9)	4 (21.1)	2.93	0.29-30.01
Trained in COVID-19				
No	129 (90.2)	14 (9.8)	1	
Yes	27 (41.5)	38 (58.5)	12.97	6.19-27.18
Total	156 (75%)	52 (25%)		

* indicate that these are row percent

**Others (Private laboratories, research laboratories)

Attitude towards COVID-19 among medical laboratory personnel

About 93.8% reported that they would accept isolation from the community if diagnosed with COVID-19. A few (46.6%) would accept to be vaccinated against COVID-19 if a vaccine was available. On the other hand, many participants (97.6%) were ready to take part in anti-epidemic community activities. Our study revealed that 77.9% were confident that Zambia could win the battle against the COVID-19 virus (Table 4).

Table 4. Attitude of Biomedical professionals towards COVID-19

Variables	Response n (%)
Would accept isolation from the community if you were diagnosed with COVID-19]	
Yes	195 (93.8)
No	8 (3.8)
Don't know	5 (2.4)
Ready to participate in anti-epidemic in the community	
Yes	203 (97.6)
No	2 (1.0)
Don't know	3 (1.4)
Agree that COVID-19 will finally be successfully controlled	
Yes	183 (88.0)
No	10 (4.8)
Don't know	15 (7.2)
Would accept to be vaccinated against COVID-19 if a vaccine was available	
Yes	97 (46.6)
No	75 (36.1)
I don't know	36 (17.3)
Have confidence that Zambia can win the battle against the COVID-19 virus	
Yes	162 (77.9)
No	24 (11.5)
Don't know	22 (10.6)
Total	208 (100)

Factors independently associated with good knowledge on COVID-19

After controlling for possible confounding, current qualification and COVID-19 training were independently associated with good COVID-19 knowledge among Biomedical professions in Zambia (Table 5).

Table 5. Factors independently associated with good COVID-19 knowledge

Independent factors	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% CI
<i>Current Qualification</i>		
Certificate or Diploma	1	
Bachelors	5	1.13-22.19
Master or PhD	316182818.8	0
<i>Trained in covid-19</i>		
No	1	
Yes	8.83	2.03-38.44

Factors independently associated with good COVID-19 practice

After controlling for possible confounding however, only current qualification and COVID-19 training were independently were associated with good COVID-19 practice among Biomedical professions in Zambia (Table 6)

Table 6. factors independently associated with good COVID-19 practice

Independent factors	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% CI
<i>Current Qualification</i>		
Certificate or Diploma	1	
Bachelors	2.35	0.93-5.95
Master or PhD	5.23	1.15-23.87
<i>Trained in covid-19</i>		
No	1	
Yes	14.01	6.47-30.36

Discussion

Very few studies worldwide have documented KAPs among HCWs towards COVID-19 due to the novel nature of the disease [18]. Our study findings showed that majority of medical laboratory professionals in Zambia had good knowledge towards COVID-19. This is comparable to findings in Vietnam and Uganda [18,19]. The majority of medical laboratory professionals had a positive attitude towards COVID-19 which is similar to findings in Vietnam [19] but contrary to other findings among healthcare workers [18,20]. The level of knowledge on COVID-19 among participants was similar irrespective of their gender, age and laboratory facility. These finding are encouraging as they indicate that laboratory professionals are knowledgeable on the transmission dynamics of the disease especially as they work with highly infectious agents. Majority of participants exhibited poor practices towards COVID-19 contrary to findings from Uganda and Nepal [18,21]. Most participants with poor practices were those who had certificate qualifications, those without prior COVID-19 training and those from clinic and health center laboratories. This could be attributed to limited resources, health information and laboratory materials in most clinic and health center laboratories found in rural areas. Poor practices can lead to delayed and/or wrong laboratory diagnosis leading to poor patient management or safety incidents that could harm the personnel and their immediate coworkers, families and patients or laboratory clients [22].

Current qualification and COVID-19 training among participants were significantly associated with good COVID-19 knowledge, a finding similar to that obtained by a study in Vietnam [19], but contrary to Bhagavathula and others [20]. Our findings show that participants with higher academic qualifications and COVID-19 training were 4.68 and 8.72 times respectively to have good COVID-19 knowledge. Current qualification was also significantly associated with good COVID-19 practices which agrees with study findings from Uganda [18]. On the other hand, type of laboratory facility and COVID-19 training were significantly associated with good COVID-19 practices. This shows that participants who had received COVID-19 training were 12.97 times likely to have good COVID-19 practices and general infection prevention. This is in agreement with a similar study in Nepal and Ethiopia [21,23].

Limitations

The study may be susceptible to self- presentation bias as it was based on an online administered questionnaire.

Conclusion

Our study found that medical laboratory professionals in Zambia have good knowledge and positive attitude towards COVID-19. In contrast, poor practices towards COVID-19 was found. Current qualification and COVID-19 training were independently associated with COVID-19 knowledge and practice. As the cases of COVID-19 continue to be recorded in the country, there is need for continuous professional development (CPD) among medical laboratory personnel as a key intervention in improving their contribution to COVID-19 control efforts.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to their families for their endurance and support during this research work.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships that may have influenced the writing of this paper.

Authors Contributions

AC, RLM, GM and VD conceptualized the study, AC, SDZ, PV, SM, KM, MK, JM, TM developed the data collection tools. AC, PS, MC and VD performed the formal analysis and interpretation, AC, RLM, PV, KM and VD wrote the first draft manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Source of support

This research was not supported by any external funding.

242 **Data availability**

243 The data analysed in this study can be made available on request

244 **Disclaimer**

245 The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely of the authors and do not reflect the
246 official policy or position of any affiliated organization of the authors.

247

248

References

1. Modi PD, Nair G, Uppe A, Modi J, Tuppekar B, Gharpure AS, et al. COVID-19 Awareness Among Healthcare Students and Professionals in Mumbai Metropolitan Region: A Questionnaire-Based Survey. *Cureus* [Internet]. Cureus, Inc.; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13];12. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7198075/?report=abstract](#)
2. Madjid M, Safavi-Naeini P, Solomon SD, Vardeny O. Potential Effects of Coronaviruses on the Cardiovascular System: A Review [Internet]. *JAMA Cardiol. American Medical Association*; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13]. p. 831–40. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
3. Zhong BL, Luo W, Li HM, Zhang QQ, Liu XG, Li WT, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: A quick online cross-sectional survey. *Int J Biol Sci. Ivyspring International Publisher*; 2020;16:1745–52.
4. Jean SS, Lee PI, Hsueh PR. Treatment options for COVID-19: The reality and challenges [Internet]. *J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. Elsevier Ltd*; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13]. p. 436–43. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7129535/?report=abstract](#)
5. Li L, Li R, Wu Z, Yang X, Zhao M, Liu J, et al. Therapeutic strategies for critically ill patients with COVID-19 [Internet]. *Ann. Intensive Care. Springer*; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 14]. p. 45. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7167303/?report=abstract](#)
6. Wu R, Wang L, Kuo HCD, Shannar A, Peter R, Chou PJ, et al. An Update on Current Therapeutic Drugs Treating COVID-19 [Internet]. *Curr. Pharmacol. Reports. Springer*; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 14]. p. 56–70. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7211915/?report=abstract](#)
7. Peng Y, Pei C, Zheng Y, Wang J, Zhang K, Zheng Z, et al. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Associated with COVID-19 among University Students: a Cross-Sectional Survey in China. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13]; Available from: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-21185/v1>
8. Güner R, Hasanoğlu İ, Aktaş F. Covid-19: Prevention and control measures in community [Internet]. *Turkish J. Med. Sci. Türkiye Klinikleri*; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 14]. p. 571–7. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7195988/?report=abstract](#)
9. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic [Internet]. *Acta Biomed. Mattioli 1885*; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13]. p. 157–60. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32191675/>
10. WHO. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Reports [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 17]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>
11. MOH. Zambia (COVID-19) General Dashboard [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 17]. Available from: <https://rtc-planning.maps.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/3b3a01c1d8444932ba075fb44b119b63>
12. WHO. Situation Report-82 HIGHLIGHTS [Internet]. Geneva; 2020. Available from: <http://weekly.chinacdc.cn/en/article/id/e53946e2-c6c4-41e9-9a9b-fea8db1a8f51>

13. Wang H, Liu Y, Hu K, Zhang M, Du M, Huang H, et al. Healthcare workers' stress when caring for COVID-19 patients: An altruistic perspective. *Nurs Ethics* [Internet]. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13];096973302093414. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0969733020934146>
14. Burrer SL, de Perio MA, Hughes MM, Kuhar DT, Luckhaupt SE, McDaniel CJ, et al. Characteristics of Health Care Personnel with COVID-19 — United States, February 12–April 9, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control MMWR Office; 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 13];69:477–81. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6915e6.htm?s_cid=mm6915e6_w
15. WHO. Over 10 000 health workers in Africa infected with COVID-19 | WHO | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 17]. Available from: <https://www.afro.who.int/news/over-10-000-health-workers-africa-infected-covid-19>
16. Tait FN, Mburu C, Gikunju J. Occupational safety and health status of medical laboratories in Kajiado county, Kenya. *Pan Afr Med J* [Internet]. African Field Epidemiology Network; 2018 [cited 2020 Sep 17];29. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30598711/>
17. Azlan AA, Hamzah MR, Sern TJ, Ayub SH, Mohamad E. Public knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Malaysia. *Tu W-J, editor. PLoS One* [Internet]. Public Library of Science; 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 29];15:e0233668. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233668>
18. Olum R, Chekwech G, Wekha G, Nassozi DR, Bongomin F. Coronavirus Disease-2019: Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Health Care Workers at Makerere University Teaching Hospitals, Uganda. *Front Public Heal* [Internet]. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 23];8:181. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00181/full>
19. Giao H, Le An P, Thi Ngoc Han N, Van Khanh T, Kim Ngan V, Van Tam V. Knowledge and attitude toward COVID-19 among healthcare workers at District 2 Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 23];13:6–11. Available from: <http://www.apjtm.org>
20. Bhagavathula AS, Aldhaleei WA, Rahmani J, Mahabadi MA, Bandari DK. Knowledge and Perceptions of COVID-19 Among Health Care Workers: Cross-Sectional Study. *JMIR Public Heal Surveill*. JMIR Publications Inc.; 2020;6:e19160.
21. Nepal R, Sapkota K, Adhikari K, Paudel P, Adhikari B, Paudyal N, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Chitwan, Nepal. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 14]; Available from: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-26774/v1>
22. Nakhleh RE, Nosé V, Colasacco C, Fatheree LA, Lillemoe TJ, McCrory DC, et al. Interpretive diagnostic error reduction in surgical pathology and cytology: Guideline from the college of American Pathologists pathology and laboratory quality center and the association of directors of anatomic and surgical pathology. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* College of American Pathologists; 2016. p. 29–40.
23. Geberemariam BS, Donka GM, Wordofa B. Assessment of knowledge and practices of healthcare workers towards infection prevention and associated factors in healthcare facilities of West Arsi District, Southeast Ethiopia: A facility-based cross-sectional study. *Arch Public Heal*

329 [Internet]. BioMed Central Ltd.; 2018 [cited 2020 Sep 17];76. Available from:
330 /pmc/articles/PMC6231270/?report=abstract
331