The dichotomous and incomplete adaptive immunity in COVID-19

Leiqiong Gao^{1,8}, Jing Zhou^{1,8}, Sen Yang^{7,8}, Xiangyu Chen¹, Yang Yang¹, Ren Li^{2,3}, Zhiwei Pan¹, Jing Zhao⁶, Zhirong Li¹, Qizhao Huang⁴, Jianfang Tang¹, Li Hu¹, Pinghuang Liu⁵, Guozhong Zhang^{6,*}, Yaokai Chen^{7,*} & Lilin Ye^{1,*}

¹Institute of Immunology, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing 400038, China.

²State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Biotechnology, Harbin Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, Heilongjiang 150001, China.

³College of Veterinary Medicine, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin Heilongjiang, 150030, China.

⁴Cancer Center, The General Hospital of Western Theater Command, Chengdu, Sichuan 610083, China.

⁵Comparative Immunology Research Center, College of Veterinary Medicine, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China.

⁶Key Laboratory of Animal Epidemiology of the Ministry of Agriculture, College of Veterinary Medicine, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China.

⁷Chongqing Public Health Medical Center, Chongqing 400038, China.

⁸These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors: Guozhong Zhang (zhanggz@cau.edu.cn), Yaokai Chen (yaokaichen@hotmail.com) and Lilin Ye (yelilinlcmv@tmmu.edu.cn).

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Summary

The adaptive immunity that protects patients from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is not well characterized. In particular, the asymptomatic patients have been found to induce weak and transient SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses, but the underlying mechanisms remain unknown; meanwhile, the protective immunity that guide the recovery of these asymptomatic patients is also not well studied. Here, we characterized SARS-CoV-2-specific B-cell and T-cell responses in 10 asymptomatic patients and 49 patients with other disease severity (mild, n=10, moderate, n=32, severe, n=7) and found that asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients failed to mount virus-specific germinal center (GC) B cell responses that result in robust and long-term humoral immunity, assessed by GC response indicators including follicular helper T (T_{FH}) cell and memory B cell responses as well as serum CXCL13 levels. Alternatively, these patients mounted potent virus-specific T_H1 and $CD8^+$ T cell responses. In sharp contrast, patients of moderate or severe disease induced vigorous virus-specific GC B cell responses and associated T_{FH} responses; however, the virus-specific T_H1 and $CD8^+$ T cells were minimally induced in these patients. These results therefore uncovered the protective immunity in COVID-19 patients and revealed the strikingly dichotomous and incomplete adaptive immunity in COVID-19 patients with different disease severity, providing important insights into rational design of COVID-19 vaccines.

Keywords: COVID-19 patients, SARS-CoV-2, adaptive immunity, SARS-CoV-2-specific B cells, SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells

Introduction

As of July 19, 2020, the ongoing pandemic of COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection, has led to over 14 million confirmed cases and 597 thousand deaths, according to WHO issued COVID-19 Situation Report-181(WHO, 2020). Thus far, no vaccines has been approved to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection, albeit several types of vaccine candidates reported at different clinical trial stages (Amanat and Krammer, 2020; Thanh Le et al., 2020).

The SARS-CoV-2 infected patients generally manifest diverse clinical symptoms, ranging from no symptoms to critical illness, which can be further categorized into four groups, including asymptomatic, mild, moderate and severe(2020; Mizumoto et al., 2020; Raoult et al., 2020). The adaptive immunity, encompassing humoral and cellular immune responses, is a key to clear a wide variety of viral infections, rendering patients recovered from viral diseases(Aoshi et al., 2011). In SARS-CoV-2 infection, both virus-specific B-cell mediated humor immunity and T-cell mediated cellular immunity have been implicated in recovered COVID-19 patients(Cao et al., 2020; Grifoni et al., 2020; Le Bert et al., 2020; Ni et al., 2020; Woodruff et al., 2020). Notably, in asymptomatic patients, SARS-CoV-2-specific IgGs were minimally produced and poorly maintained (Ibarrondo FJ, 2020), in contrast, patients of severe disease mounted potent virus-specific IgG responses(Lee et al., 2020; Long et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). However, the immune mechanisms underlying the dichotomous virus-specific IgG immune responses between asymptomatic or severe symptomatic patients are not well understood. Thus far, it also remains unknown whether virus-specific T cell immune responses are effectively induced to protect asymptomatic patients from progressing to severe disease. To characterize virus-specific B- and T- cell immune responses in recovered COVID-19 patients with different degrees of clinical symptoms will provide important insights into understanding the protective immunity for COVID-19, which will lay the foundation for rationally designing effective vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Results

subjects

To explore the adaptive immune responses in recovered COVID-19 patients with different clinical symptoms, we organized a cohort of 59 adult patients (29 males and 30 females), including asymptomatic (n=10), mild (n=10), moderate (n=32), severe (n=7) symptoms, admitted to Chongqing Public Health Center, China (Table S1&S2). All the patients were positive with SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid testing. The clinical and pathological characteristics were summarized in Table S2. The disease severity was stratified into asymptomatic, mild, moderate and severe based on the national diagnosis and treatment guideline of COVID-19 (7th edition) in China(2020) (Table S1). The admission date was from 02/06/2020 to 04/24/2020 and the average duration of hospitalization was 19 (7-51) days. The peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and sera were collected at 10 to 17-day post-discharge for all the patients. For asymptomatic patients, their PBMCs were harvested twice during the hospitalization.

Failure of SARS-CoV-2-specific GC B cell reaction in asymptomatic COVID-19 patients

By flow cytometry analysis, we found comparable proportions of CD4⁺, CD8⁺ T cells and B cells among PBMCs between patients with asymptomatic, mild illness and healthy controls. However, moderate, and severe symptomatic patients exhibited a significant reduction in proportions of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells but a marked increase in frequencies of B-cells compared to healthy controls (Figure. S1A-S1C), consistent with the observations in recent studies(Wen et al., 2020; Woodruff et al., 2020).

The increase of total B cell compartment in recovered COVID-19 patients of moderate and severe illness likely indicated elevated SARS-CoV2-specific B cell responses. To test this hypothesis, we investigated B cells specifically recognizing SARS-CoV-2 spike protein subunits, S1 and S2, both of which represent dominant antigens of SARS-CoV-2 to induce virus-specific B-cell responses(Chen et al., 2020; Ho, 2020). As expected, we noted remarkably increased S1/S2-specific B cells in recovered patients

with moderate or severe symptoms compared to those in recovered patients of asymptomatic or mild illness (Figure. 1A, 1C). Coincident with these results, we also observed a substantial enhanced S1/S2-specific memory B cell population ($\text{IgD}^{\text{low}}\text{CD19}^+\text{S1}^+/\text{S2}^+\text{CD27}^{\text{hi}}$) in patients with moderate (about 10% of S1/S2-specific B cells) or severe disease (about 25% of S1/S2-specific B cells), while memory B-cell fractions were scarcely shown in patients of asymptomatic or mild illness (Figure. 1B, 1D). In line with these results, we found S1/S2-specific IgG titers highest in sera of patients with moderate or severe disease, while lowest in sera of patients with asymptomatic or mild disease, consistent with the results observed in recent studies (Long et al., 2020) (Figure. 1E, 1F).

Germinal center (GC) reaction in B-cell follicles within secondary lymphoid tissues gives rise to long-lived memory B cells and bone-marrow resident plasma cells capable of constitutively secreting antigen-specific IgGs, which strictly depends on the help provided by cognate follicular helper T (T_{FH}) cells (Huang et al., 2019; Pedros et al., 2016). Since lymphoid tissues are inaccessible from recovered COVID-19 patients, we took advantage of measuring circulating T_{FH} (cT_{FH}) cell and chemokine CXCL13 to reliably indicate the magnitude of ongoing GC responses (Brenna et al., 2020; McGuire et al., 2019). In support of aforementioned results, we noted a $\text{PD1}^{\text{hi}}\text{CXCR5}^{\text{hi}}$ cT_{FH} population accounting for about 15% of total CD4^+ T cells in COVID-19 patients recovered from severe disease, about 5% in patients of moderate disease, while such population was almost unappreciable in counterparts with asymptomatic or mild disease (Figure. 1G, 1H). Likewise, we observed the minimal CXCL13 concentration in sera from recovered patients with asymptomatic or mild illness; In stark contrast, copious CXCL13 was detected in sera from patients recovered from moderate (average 415 pg/ml) or severe disease (average 850 pg/ml) (Figure. 1I). Further correlation analysis revealed the high relevance between S1/S2-specific IgG antibodies and total B-cell frequencies, CXCL13 concentration and frequencies of cT_{FH} (Figure. S2A-S2F). We also observed the high correlation between CXCL13 concentration and frequencies of cT_{FH} (Figure. S2G).

Transient SARS-CoV-2-specific B cell response in asymptomatic individuals

Given the failure of inducing prolonged SARS-CoV-2-specific B-cell responses by asymptomatic or mild patients, we wonder whether these patients have mounted transient virus-specific B-cell responses during their hospitalization. To this end, we first analyzed S1- or S2-specific B cell frequencies in their PBMCs at different time points during hospitalization. We found that the peak of S1/S2-specific B cell responses was induced at early stage (day 0-3) of hospitalization, which rapidly waned at the middle and convalescent stages (Figure. 2A, 2C). Concomitantly, we observed the similar dynamics of plasmablasts (CD19⁺CD20⁻CD27^{hi}CD38^{hi}) (Figure. 2B, 2D), which generally reflect the extrafollicular antibody responses during primary viral infection (Carter et al., 2017; Fink, 2012; Woodruff et al., 2020). In keeping with these observations, we also noticed the relatively higher S1- and S2- specific IgG titers at the middle stage (5-10 day post hospitalization) of hospitalization than those at both early (0-3 day post hospitalization) and convalescent stages (more than 10 days after COVID-19 nucleic acid test shown negative) (Figure. 2E). Furthermore, we found nearly no cT_{FH} population induced and CXCL13 secreted from early phase of hospitalization to convalescent stages (Figure. 2F-2H). These data collectively revealed that SARS-CoV-2-specific B cell responses were only transiently induced in asymptomatic patients and sustained GC responses that give rise to long-term memory B cells and IgG-secreting plasma cells were likely absent in these patients, explaining the weak and short-term SARS-CoV-2 specific IgGs in these patients reported most recently (Long et al., 2020). Taken together, our results demonstrate that compared to potent and sustained SARS-CoV-2-specific GC B cell responses mounted in COVID-19 patients recovered from moderate or severe symptoms, asymptomatic or mild symptomatic COVID-19 patients only induced weak and transient SARS-CoV-2-specific B cell responses.

Potent SARS-CoV-2 specific cellular immune responses in COVID-19 patients with asymptomatic disease

In addition to B-cell associated humoral immunity, the cellular immunity mediated by

T_H1 and cytotoxic $CD8^+$ T lymphocytes also plays a critical role in the control of viral infection (Aoshi et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2005; Janice Oh et al., 2012; Mahallawi et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2004; Yoo et al., 2013). The failure in the induction of a protective humoral immunity by COVID-19 patients recovered from asymptomatic or mild disease may indicate an alternative strong cellular immunity that protected these patients from developing severe disease. To test this hypothesis, we sought to examine SARS-CoV-2-specific cellular immunity in recovered COVID-19 patients of different disease severity. To define the SARS-CoV2-specific $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells, we stimulated total PBMCs with SARS-CoV-2 dominant antigen (S1, S2 and nucleoprotein, N) cocktails for 48 hours (Ni et al., 2020). After antigen stimulation, we observed the background levels of IFN- γ producing $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells in PBMCs of healthy controls; in contrast, in asymptomatic patients, the proportions of IFN- γ producing $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells were substantially enhanced (Figure. 3A, 3B, 3E, 3F), indicative of the specificity of our strategy in analyzing SARS-CoV-2-specific $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells. Next, we compared the proportions of T_H1 cells that secrete hallmark cytokine IFN- γ in PBMCs among patients of different disease severity. Strikingly, we found the remarkably upregulated abundances of virus-specific IFN- γ -secreting T_H1 cells in asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients relative to healthy controls; however, in patients with moderate or severe symptoms, they only exhibited background levels of virus-specific IFN- γ -producing T_H1 cells post antigen stimulation as healthy control (Figure. 3A, 3B). Moreover, among those IFN- γ -producing T_H1 cells, around 10% of them were found to express cytolytic molecules granzyme B (GZMB) and perforin in patients of asymptomatic or mild illness, both of which have been found to be critical for the control of respiratory viral infection (Takeuchi and Saito, 2017), while only around 2.5% of GZMB and perforin-producing T_H1 cells in patients with moderate or severe disease (Figure. 3C, 3D). These results together indicated that virus-specific $CD4^+$ T cell responses was much biased toward to T_H1 over T_{FH} cells in asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients, while vice versa in patients with moderate or severe disease. The cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying disease severity-dependent virus-specific $CD4^+$ T-cell differentiation in COVID-19 patients warrants

further investigations.

Next, we assessed SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8⁺ T cell responses in COVID-19 recovered patients. Similar to the results of virus-specific T_H1 responses, we found substantially induced virus-specific IFN-γ-producing CD8⁺ T cells in asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients as compared to healthy controls, whereas very limited IFN-γ-producing CD8⁺ T cells in patients of moderate or severe symptoms (Figure. 3E, 3F). To further assess the cytolytic functionality of virus-specific CD8⁺ T cells in COVID-19 patients, we first gated on virus-specific IFN-γ⁺ CD8⁺ T cells, followed by measuring the frequencies of cells capable of producing GZMB and perforin. We found that in asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients, approximate 60% of IFN-γ⁺ CD8⁺ T cells simultaneously produced GZMB and perforin, whereas only 12% or 3% of IFN-γ⁺ CD8⁺ T cells were able to make both cytolytic molecules in moderate or severe patients (Figure. 3G, 3H). These data therefore revealed that albeit the failure in mounting SARS-CoV-2-specific humoral immunity, asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients were able to induce a profound virus-specific cellular (T_H1 and CD8⁺ T cell) immunity. In sharp contrast, patients of moderate or severe disease were able to generate potent SARS-CoV-2-specific humoral immunity, but they failed to induce effective virus-specific cellular immunity. The mechanisms underlying disease severity-associated dichotomous humoral and cellular immunity in COVID-19 patients need to be investigated in the future.

Early induced SARS-CoV-2 specific cellular immune responses in asymptomatic or mild individuals

Given the vigorous cellular responses detected in recovered COVID-19 patients of asymptomatic or mild disease, we wonder whether these patients have induced strong good virus-specific T-cell responses at the early phase during their hospitalization. After SARS-CoV-2 antigen stimulation, we found that IFN-γ producing CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells was increased at early stage (day 0-3) of hospitalization in these asymptomatic patients, which were then well maintained at the middle (day 5-10) of hospitalization

and convalescent stages (Figure. 4A, 4B, 4E, 4F). Concomitantly, we observed the similar dynamics of frequencies of T cells capable of producing GZMB and perforin in these asymptomatic patients (Figure. 4C, 4D, 4G, 4H). These data collectively revealed that SARS-CoV-2-specific T_H1 and $CD8^+$ T cell responses were rapidly induced and sustained in asymptomatic patients.

Discussion

Similar to most recent reports (Ibarrondo FJ, 2020; Long et al., 2020), our study found that anti-SARS-CoV-2-antibodies were rapidly decay in asymptomatic or mild patients. We further found SARS-CoV-2-specific B cell responses were only transiently induced in early infection phase in asymptomatic or mild patients. In keeping with these observations, sustained GC responses that give rise to long-term memory B cells and IgG-secreting plasma cells were almost absent in these patients. These results therefore explained the phenomenon that asymptomatic patients failed to generate and maintain a long-term SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG response.

In contrast to humoral immunity, we found that the virus-specific T_H1 and $CD8^+$ T cell immune responses were rapidly induced and sustained in asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients as compared to patients with moderate or severe disease, which presumably protect them from progressing to severe COVID-19. We also envision that the rapid and robust virus-specific T_H1 and $CD8^+$ T cell responses may effectively curtail the SARS-CoV-2 replication, which results in the inefficient viral antigen production and limits the GC reaction that requires sufficient antigen stimulation. Memory T cells induced by previous pathogens can protect the individual from re-infecting the similar pathogens with common epitopes and shape the clinical severity of subsequent infections (Welsh and Selin, 2002). Besides SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV, there are another four virus, which are endemically transmitted and cause the common cold (OC43, HKU1, 229E and NL63) (Cui et al., 2019). Recently studies found there exists cross-reactive T cell recognition between circulating ‘common cold’ coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2 (Grifoni et al., 2020; Le Bert et al., 2020). It is therefore of great interest to examine whether the history of “common cold” coronavirus

infection with pre-existing SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive T cells could determine the clinically asymptomatic state in COVID-19 patients.

In summary, we revealed a striking dichotomous pattern of humoral and cellular immunity induced in patients of asymptomatic/mild or moderate/severe disease. The highly induced virus-specific T_H1 and CD8⁺ T cell immune responses in asymptomatic or mild symptomatic patients may protect them from progressing to severe COVID-19 in the absence of humoral immunity, while potent virus-specific B cell responses likely account for the recovery of patients of moderate or severe COVID-19. These results supported the notion that SARS-CoV-2 infection generally induced paralyzed adaptive immunity, either humoral or cellular, suggesting that the induction of both optimal humoral and cellular immunity may be crucial for an effective prophylactic vaccine to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by grants from the National Science and Technology Major Project (No. 2017ZX10202102-006-002 to L.Y.), the National Natural Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 31825011 to L.Y.), the National Key Research Development Plan (No.2016YFA0502202 to L. Ye) and the Chongqing Special Research Project for Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Prevention and Control (No. cstc2020jscx-2 to L.Y.; No. cstc2020jscx-fyzz0074 to Y.C.; ocstc2020jscx-fyzz0135 to Y.C.).

Author contributions

L.G., J.Z., S.Y., X.C., Y.Y., R.L., Z.P., J.Z., Z.L., Q.H., J.T., and L.H. performed the experiments. L.Y. designed the study, analyzed the data and wrote the paper with L.G., J.Z., S.Y., P.L., G.Z. and Y.C.; and L.Y., G.Z. and Y.C. supervised the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Reference

- (2020). COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines, 7th edition (National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, 2020).
- Amanat, F., and Krammer, F. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines: Status Report. *Immunity* 52, 583-589.
- Aoshi, T., Koyama, S., Kobiyama, K., Akira, S., and Ishii, K.J. (2011). Innate and adaptive immune responses to viral infection and vaccination. *Current opinion in virology* 1, 226-232.
- Brenna, E., Davydov, A.N., Ladell, K., McLaren, J.E., Bonaiuti, P., Metsger, M., Ramsden, J.D., Gilbert, S.C., Lambe, T., Price, D.A., *et al.* (2020). CD4(+) T Follicular Helper Cells in Human Tonsils and Blood Are Clonally Convergent but Divergent from Non-Tfh CD4(+) Cells. *Cell reports* 30, 137-152.e135.
- Cao, Y., Su, B., Guo, X., Sun, W., Deng, Y., Bao, L., Zhu, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Geng, C., *et al.* (2020). Potent Neutralizing Antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 Identified by High-Throughput Single-Cell Sequencing of Convalescent Patients' B Cells. *Cell* 182, 73-84.e16.
- Carter, M.J., Mitchell, R.M., Meyer Sauter, P.M., Kelly, D.F., and Trück, J. (2017). The Antibody-Secreting Cell Response to Infection: Kinetics and Clinical Applications. *Frontiers in immunology* 8, 630.
- Chen, H., Hou, J., Jiang, X., Ma, S., Meng, M., Wang, B., Zhang, M., Zhang, M., Tang, X., Zhang, F., *et al.* (2005). Response of memory CD8⁺ T cells to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus in recovered SARS patients and healthy individuals. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950)* 175, 591-598.
- Chen, X., Li, R., Pan, Z., Qian, C., Yang, Y., You, R., Zhao, J., Liu, P., Gao, L., Li, Z., *et al.* (2020). Human monoclonal antibodies block the binding of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to angiotensin converting enzyme 2 receptor. *Cell Mol Immunol* 17, 647-649.
- Cui, J., Li, F., and Shi, Z.L. (2019). Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *17*, 181-192.
- Fink, K. (2012). Origin and Function of Circulating Plasmablasts during Acute Viral Infections. *Frontiers in immunology* 3, 78.
- Grifoni, A., Weiskopf, D., Ramirez, S.I., Mateus, J., Dan, J.M., Moderbacher, C.R., Rawlings, S.A., Sutherland, A., Premkumar, L., Jia, R.S., *et al.* (2020). Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell* 181, 1489-1501.e1415.
- Ho, M. (2020). Perspectives on the development of neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. *Antibody therapeutics* 3, 109-114.
- Huang, Q., Xu, L., and Ye, L. (2019). T cell immune response within B-cell follicles. *Advances in immunology* 144, 155-171.
- Ibarrondo FJ, F.J., Goodman-Meza D, Elliott J, Hofmann C, Hausner MA, Ferbas KG, Tobin NH, Aldrovandi GM, Yang OO (2020). Rapid Decay of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Persons with Mild Covid-19. *The New England journal of medicine*.
- Janice Oh, H.L., Ken-En Gan, S., Bertoletti, A., and Tan, Y.J. (2012). Understanding the T cell immune response in SARS coronavirus infection. *Emerging microbes & infections* 1, e23.
- Le Bert, N., Tan, A.T., Kunasegaran, K., Tham, C.Y.L., Hafezi, M., Chia, A., Chng, M.H.Y., Lin, M., Tan, N., Linster, M., *et al.* (2020). SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls. *Nature*.
- Lee, Y.L., Liao, C.H., Liu, P.Y., Cheng, C.Y., Chung, M.Y., Liu, C.E., Chang, S.Y., and Hsueh, P.R. (2020). Dynamics of anti-SARS-Cov-2 IgM and IgG antibodies among COVID-19 patients. *The*

Journal of infection.

Long, Q.X., Tang, X.J., Shi, Q.L., Li, Q., and Deng, H.J. (2020). Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Nature medicine*.

Mahallawi, W.H., Khabour, O.F., Zhang, Q., Makhdoum, H.M., and Suliman, B.A. (2018). MERS-CoV infection in humans is associated with a pro-inflammatory Th1 and Th17 cytokine profile. *Cytokine* 104, 8-13.

McGuire, H.M., Bothwell, M., Vagefi, P.A., Scully, E., Tomaras, G.D., Davis, M.M., Poignard, P., Ahmed, R., Walker, B.D., Pulendran, B., *et al.* (2019). CXCL13 as a Biomarker of Immune Activation During Early and Chronic HIV Infection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 10, 289.

Mizumoto, K., Kagaya, K., Zarebski, A., and Chowell, G. (2020). Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020. *Euro surveillance : bulletin Européen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin* 25.

Ni, L., Ye, F., Cheng, M.L., Feng, Y., Deng, Y.Q., Zhao, H., Wei, P., Ge, J., Gou, M., Li, X., *et al.* (2020). Detection of SARS-CoV-2-Specific Humoral and Cellular Immunity in COVID-19 Convalescent Individuals. *Immunity* 52, 971-977.e973.

Pedros, C., Zhang, Y., Hu, J.K., Choi, Y.S., Canonigo-Balancio, A.J., and Yates, J.R., 3rd (2016). A TRAF-like motif of the inducible costimulator ICOS controls development of germinal center TFH cells via the kinase TBK1. *Nature Immunology* 17, 825-833.

Raoult, D., Zumla, A., Locatelli, F., Ippolito, G., and Kroemer, G. (2020). Coronavirus infections: Epidemiological, clinical and immunological features and hypotheses. *Cell stress* 4, 66-75.

Takeuchi, A., and Saito, T. (2017). CD4 CTL, a Cytotoxic Subset of CD4(+) T Cells, Their Differentiation and Function. *Frontiers in immunology* 8, 194.

Thanh Le, T., Andreadakis, Z., Kumar, A., Gómez Román, R., Tollefsen, S., Saville, M., and Mayhew, S. (2020). The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. *Nature reviews. Drug discovery* 19, 305-306.

Welsh, R.M., and Selin, L.K. (2002). No one is naive: the significance of heterologous T-cell immunity. *Nature reviews. Immunology* 2, 417-426.

Wen, W., Su, W., Tang, H., Le, W., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Liu, X., Xie, L., Li, J., Ye, J., *et al.* (2020). Immune cell profiling of COVID-19 patients in the recovery stage by single-cell sequencing. *Cell discovery* 6, 31.

WHO (2020). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Situation Report –181.

Wong, C.K., Lam, C.W., Wu, A.K., Ip, W.K., Lee, N.L., Chan, I.H., Lit, L.C., Hui, D.S., Chan, M.H., Chung, S.S., and Sung, J.J. (2004). Plasma inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Clinical and experimental immunology* 136, 95-103.

Woodruff, M., Ramonell, R., Cashman, K., Nguyen, D., Ley, A., Kyu, S., Saini, A., Haddad, N., Chen, W., Howell, J.C., *et al.* (2020). Critically ill SARS-CoV-2 patients display lupus-like hallmarks of extrafollicular B cell activation. *medRxiv : the preprint server for health sciences*.

Yoo, J.K., Kim, T.S., Hufford, M.M., and Braciale, T.J. (2013). Viral infection of the lung: host response and sequelae. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 132, 1263-1276; quiz 1277.

Zhang, Z., Xiao, T., Wang, Y., Yuan, J., Ye, H., Wei, L., Wang, H., Liao, X., Qian, S., Wang, Z., and Liu, L. (2020). Early viral clearance and antibody kinetics of COVID-19 among asymptomatic carriers. *medRxiv : the preprint server for health sciences*.

Figures and figure legends

Figure1

Figure.1 Virus-specific B cell responses to SARS-CoV2 in COVID-19 patients with different severity.

Samples of **A**, **B** and **G** were from Healthy (#5), Asymptomatic (#7), Mild (#3), Moderate (#22), Severe (#4).

A, FACS plot examples of SARS-CoV2 S1- or S2- specific B cells (S1⁺/S2⁺CD19⁺) of live lymphocytes in PBMCs.

B, FACS plot examples of SARS-CoV2 S1- or S2- specific memory B cells (CD27^{hi}IgD^{low}), gated on S1 or S2 specific B cells (S1⁺/S2⁺CD19⁺) shown in **A**.

C & D, Percentages of S1 or S2 specific B cells (**C**), summarized from (**A**); and S1 or S2 specific memory B cells (**D**), summarized from (**B**), in COVID-19 recovered patients with different disease severity.

E & F, ELISA analysis of S1- and S2- specific IgG levels in convalescent-phase COVID-19 patients' sera with various groups. Dilution of 1:100 was used for serum samples.

G, FACS plot examples of cT_{FH} (PD1^{hi}CXCR5^{hi}), gated on live CD4⁺ T cells.

H, The summarization of percentages of cT_{FH} from (**G**).

I, CXCL13 protein level in convalescent-phase COVID-19 patients' serum with various groups, measured by ELISA.

Bars represent the mean ± SEM. *P* values were calculated based on Bonferroni of one-way analysis. ***, *p*<0.0001, **, *p*<0.001, and *, *p*<0.05.

454 Figure 2

Figure.2 Kinetic virus-specific B cell responses to SARS-CoV2 in COVID-19 Asymptomatic patients

Sample of **A**, **B** and **F** was from Asymptomatic (#6).

A & B, FACS plot examples of SARS-CoV2 S1- or S2- specific B cells and plasmablasts (CD19⁺CD20⁻CD27^{hi}CD38^{hi}) cells' percentage in early phase (0-3 day post hospitalization), acute phase (5-10 day post hospitalization), convalescent-phase, (more than 10 days after COVID-19 nucleic acid test shown negative) in the asymptomatic cohort.

C & D, Percentages of S1- or S2- specific B cells (**C**), summarized from (**B**), and plasmablasts cells (**D**), summarized from (**B**), in the asymptomatic cohort.

E, Kinetics of S1- and S2- specific IgG levels in asymptomatic patients' serum, measured by ELISA.

F, FACS plot examples of cT_{FH} cells' percentage of asymptomatic patients.

G, Percentages of cT_{FH}, summarized from (**O**).

H, Kinetics of CXCL13 level in asymptomatic patients' serum, measured by ELISA.

Bars represent the mean $\pm$ SEM. *P* values were calculated based on Bonferroni of one-way analysis. ***, *p*<0.0001, **, *p*<0.001, and *, *p*<0.05.

500 **Figure 3**

Figure.3 SARS-CoV2 specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell responses in COVID-19 convalescent patients.

PBMCs of healthy control (n=8) and recovered COVID-19 patients (n=59) were stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 dominant antigen (S1, S2 and nucleoprotein, N) cocktails for 44 hours, Golgi-Plug containing Golgi-stop and DNAase were added into cell

culture for another 4 hours. Samples of **A**, **C**, **E** and **G** were from Healthy (#3), Asymptomatic (#4), Mild (#8), Moderate (#13), severe (#6).

A, FACS plot examples of IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total live CD4⁺ T cells, gated on total live CD4⁺ T cells.

B, Bar graph shows frequency of IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total CD4⁺ T cells after stimulation.

C, FACS plot examples of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells, gated on total live IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells.

D, Frequency of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells, summarized form (**C**).

E, FACS plot examples of IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total live CD8⁺ T cells, gated on total live CD8⁺ T cells.

F, Bar graph shows frequency of IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total CD8⁺ T cells after stimulation.

G, FACS plot examples of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells, gated on total live IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells.

H, Frequency of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells, summarized form (**G**).

Bars represent the mean $\pm$ SEM. *P* values were calculated based on Bonferroni of one-way analysis. ***, *p*<0.0001, **, *p*<0.001, and *, *p*<0.05.

551 **Figure 4**

552

Figure.4 Kinetic SARS-CoV2 specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell responses in COVID-19 asymptomatic patients.

PBMCs of healthy control (n=8) and asymptomatic COVID-19 patients (n=10) were stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 dominant antigen (S1, S2 and nucleoprotein, N) cocktails for 44 hours, Golgi-Plug containing Golgi-stop and DNAase were added into cell culture for another 4 hours. Representative samples were from Healthy (#3), Asymptomatic (#3).

A, FACS plot examples of IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total live CD4⁺ T cells, gated on total live CD4⁺ T cells.

B, Bar graph shows frequency of IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total CD4⁺ T cells after stimulation.

C, FACS plot examples of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells, gated on total live IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells.

D, Frequency of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD4⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD4⁺ T cells, summarized form (C).

E, FACS plot examples of IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total live CD8⁺ T cells, gated on total live CD8⁺ T cells.

F, Bar graph shows frequency of IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total CD8⁺ T cells after stimulation.

G, FACS plot examples of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells, gated on total live IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells.

H, Frequency of GZMB⁺Perforin⁺CD8⁺ T cells in total IFN γ ⁺CD8⁺ T cells, summarized form (G).

Bars represent the mean $\pm$ SEM. *P* values were calculated based on Bonferroni of one-way analysis. There was no statistically significant difference among different stage of asymptomatic patients.

Material and Methods

Human subjects

Blood samples from 8 healthy adult donors were obtained by the Institute of Immunology of Army Medical University, which has no contact with SARS-CoV-2 and test negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA. These donors had no known history of any significant systemic diseases, including but not limited to, for example, autoimmune disease, kidney or liver disease, congestive heart failure, malignancy, coagulopathy, hepatitis B or C, or HIV infection.

The 59 COVID-19 convalescent donors enrolled in the study were provided written informed consent. The blood samples of COVID-19 patients were obtained from Chongqing Public Health Medical Center. The study received IRB approvals at Chongqing Public Health Medical Center (2020-023-01-KY).

SARS-CoV-2 S1, S2 ELISA

ELISA protocol generally followed that of precious study(Chen et al., 2020). Briefly, costar 96-well clear plates (Costar, 42592) were coated with 500ng/mL SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (Sino Biological, 40591-V08H) or SARS-CoV-2 S2 protein (Sino Biological, 40590-V08B) overnight at 4°C. The next day, plates were blocked with 100 µL blocking buffer (5% FBS and 0.1% Tween 20 in PBS) at room temperature for 2 hours. After washing with PBST buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS), 1:100 diluted serum was then added to the plates and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Serum was diluted in blocking buffer. Serum was heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 minutes before added to the plate. Then, these plates were washed 5 times with 0.05% PBS-Tween 20. Then these ELISA plates were incubated with anti-human IgG HRP antibody (Bioss Biotech, 0297D) at room temperature for 1 hour. Anti-human IgG antibody was used at a 1:3000 dilution. Then, these plates were washed 5 times with 0.05% PBS-Tween 20 and 100 µL TMB buffer (Beyotime, P0209) was added and reacted for 15 minutes at room temperature. These reactions were stopped with 1M H₂SO₄ stopping buffer. Plates were read on a Beckman Coulter Plate Reader at 450 nm, and ODs were background subtracted.

CXCL13 ELISA

The costar 96-well clear plates (Costar, 42592) were coated with 2µg/mL CXCL13 monoclonal antibody (Sino Biological, 70057-MM13) overnight at 4°C. The next day, plates were blocked with 100 µL blocking buffer (5% FBS and 0.1% Tween 20 in PBS) at room temperature for 2 hours. After washing with PBST buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS), heat-inactivation serum was then added to the plates and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. Then, these plates were washed 5 times with 0.05% PBS-Tween 20. Then these ELISA plates were incubated with 2µg/mL CXCL13 polyclonal antibody (Sino Biological, 70057-RP01) for 2 hours at room temperature. These plates were washed 5 times with 0.05% PBS-Tween 20. Then incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP antibody (Sigma) at room temperature for 1 hour. Anti-rabbit IgG antibody was used at a 1:3000 dilution. Then, these plates were washed 5 times with 0.05% PBS-Tween 20 and 100 µL TMB buffer (Beyotime, P0209) was added and reacted for 15 minutes at room temperature. These reactions were stopped with 1M H₂SO₄ stopping buffer. Plates were read on a Beckman Coulter Plate Reader at 450 nm, and ODs were background subtracted.

PBMC isolation and serum collection

Whole blood in micro-anticoagulant tube was centrifuged for 15 min at 2200 rpm at room temperature to separate the cellular fraction. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by density-gradient sedimentation using Ficoll-Paque (Haoyang Biological, Tianjin, China). Briefly speaking, blood 1:1 diluted with PBS, was gently layered over an equal volume of Ficoll in a 15 ml BD centrifuge tube and centrifuged for 25 minutes at 2200rpm without brake. There were four layers, the second layer contained PBMCs. These cells could be gently removed using a Pasteur pipette and added to PBS to wash off any remaining platelets. Isolated PBMCs were cryopreserved in cell recovery media (90% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum and 10% DMSO, Gibco) and stored in liquid nitrogen until used in the assays. The serum was carefully removed from blood without sodium citrate treatment and stored at -80°C.

651

652 **SARS-CoV2 recombinant protein S1/S2 biotinylation**

653 For biotinylation, SARS-CoV2 recombinant protein S1/S2 added to a final
654 concentration of 150 ng/μL, Biotin was added to 50 μM. After fully mixed, the reaction
655 was proceeded on ice for 2 h. Biotinylaed proteins were desalted using Zeba™ Spin
656 Desalting Columns 7K MWCO (Cat#89882, Thermo Fisher) according to the
657 manufacturer's instruction. Then we confirmed the biotinylation of S1/S2 using dot
658 blotting assay.

659

660 **Surface staining and Flow Cytometry**

661 Cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed and rested in 10 ml complete RPMI 1640 with 10%
662 human AB serum (Gemini Bioproducts) at 37 °C for 3 h. PBMCs were washed with
663 FACS buffer (PBS plus 2% FBS, Gibco), and then Fc blocking reagent (Meltanyi
664 Biotec) was added for 15 min at room temperature, followed by three time wash with
665 FACS buffer. Cells were then incubated for 30 min on ice with corresponding antibodies
666 or Biotinylaed S1/S2 proteins. Antibodies used in the T cell and B cells surface marker
667 staining are listed in Table S5 and S6. Then washed three times with FACs and acquired
668 by FACS Verse (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Data were analyzed by FlowJo
669 software (Version 10.0.8, Tree Star Inc., Ashland).

670

671 **Intracellular cytokine staining and Flow Cytometry**

672 PBMCs in wells of a 96-well plate with 100 μL complete RPMI 1640 and 10% human
673 AB serum (Gemini Bioproducts) and Pen-Strep, were incubated 44 hours with 1uM of
674 recombinant proteins (S1, S2 and nucleoprotein, N). Golgi-Plug containing Golgi-stop
675 (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) and DNAase (Sigma, USA) with or without 1μg/mL
676 Ionomycin plus 50ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) were added another
677 4 hours into the culture. A stimulation with an equal amount of PBS was used as
678 negative control. PMA plus ionomycin were included as positive controls. Cells were
679 then washed with FACS buffer and surface stained for 30 minutes on ice, then fixed
680 and permeabilized with BD Cytofix/Cytoperm solution (BD, Cat#554722) for 30 min

at 4°C, followed by washing with perm/wash buffer (BD) and intracellular stained for 30 minutes on ice. Antibodies used in this assay were listed in Table S7. The gates applied for the identification of Perforin, GZMB and IFN γ positive cells were defined according the cells cultured with PBS for each sample. All samples were acquired on a BD FACSymphony cell sorter (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA).

Quantification and Statistical analysis

FlowJo 10 and GraphPad Prism 8.0.2 are used for data and statistical analyses. The statistical detail information of the experiments was provided in the respective Figure legends. Correlation analyses were performed using Spearman and Mann-Whitney tests were applied for unpaired comparisons. One-way analysis was performed for more than two-group analysis. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered to be significantly statistically.

Supplementary

Figure. S1.

Figure. S1, Frequency of B cells, CD4⁺ T cells and CD8⁺ T cells in total live PBMCs in COVID-19 patients with different severity.

Samples of **a** and **b** were from Healthy (#5), Asymptomatic (#7), Mild (#3), Moderate (#22), severe (#4).

a, b, Representative flow cytometry plots of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (**a**) and B cells (**b**) in total live PBMCs in convalescent-phase COVID-19 patients.

c, Percentages of CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells and B cells, summarized from (**a, b**), in COVID-19 recovered patients with different disease severity.

Bars represent the mean $\pm$ SEM. *P* values were calculated based on Bonferroni of one-way analysis. ***, *p* < 0.001, and **, *p* < 0.01.

732 **Figure. S2.**

733
734 **Figure. S2, Correlations between B cells frequency, serum CXCL13 concentration,**
735 **cT_{FH} cells frequency and S1- or S2- specific IgG levels.**

a, b, Correlations between B cells frequency and S1- (**a**) or S2- (**b**) specific IgG levels.
c, d, Correlations between serum CXCL13 concentration and S1- (**c**) or S2- (**d**) specific IgG levels.
e-f, Correlations between cT_{FH} cells frequency and S1- (**e**) or S2- (**f**) specific IgG levels.
g, Correlations between cT_{FH} cells frequency and serum CXCL13 concentration.
Statistical comparisons were performed using Spearman correlation analysis.

Table S1. Characteristics of patients in this study

	All (n=67)	Healthy (n=8)	Asymptomatic (n=10)	Mild (n=10)	Moderate (n=32)	Severe (n=7)
Age (years, median, IOQ)	67 (19-74)	30.5 (25-38)	21 (19-56)	30 (19-56)	39.5 (20-67)	65 (43-74)
Gender						
Female	34	4	4	6	17	3
Male	33	4	6	4	15	4
Onset of discharge to sample collection (days, median, IOQ)	14 (10-17)		13.5 (10-15)	13.5 (10-14)	13.5 (10-16)	14 (14-17)
Duration of hospitalization n	19 (7-51)		24 (12-51)	22 (12-31)	16.5 (7-29)	20 (13-24)

1. Continuous variables were shown as the median (interquartile range, IQR).
2. All of 59 COVID-19 patients were tested positive qPCR for SARS-CoV-2 virus RNA upon hospital admission. Patients were diagnosed as severe when meeting any one of the following conditions: 1) anhelation ($RR \geq 30/\text{min}$), 2) $SpO_2 \leq 93\%$, 3) $PaO_2/FiO_2 \leq 300 \text{ mmHg}$ and 4) imageological diagnosis of significant progress ($> 50\%$) in 24-48 hours. The moderate patients were diagnosed with respiratory symptoms, fever and imageological evidence of pneumonia. The mild patients were diagnosed with mild clinical symptoms and no imageological evidence of pneumonia. The asymptomatic patients were those without any clinical symptoms.

Table S2. Characteristics of individual patients in this study

	Donors	Age range	Gender	Duration of hospitalization (days)	Onset of discharge to sample collection (days)
Healthy	#1	In his 30s	Male		
	#2	In his 30s	Male		
	#3	In his 20s	Male		
	#4	In his 20s	Male		
	#5	In her 20s	Female		
	#6	In her 30s	Female		
	#7	In her 30s	Female		
	#8	In her 20s	Female		
Asymptomatic	#1	In his 10s	Male	27	11
	#2	In her 20s	Female	12	12
	#3	In his 20s	Male	20	14
	#4	In her 20s	Female	24	15
	#5	In his 10s	Male	39	10
	#6	In her 20s	Female	30	14
	#7	In his 20s	Male	24	14
	#8	In his 40s	Male	51	14
	#9	In her 20s	Female	23	13
	#10	In his 10s	Male	20	12
Mild	#1	In his 10s	Male	27	10
	#2	In her 20s	Female	26	11
	#3	In her 20s	Female	31	11
	#4	In her 20s	Female	16	13
	#5	In her 30s	Female	20	14
	#6	In her 50s	Female	28	14
	#7	In his 20s	Male	13	12
	#8	In his 30s	Male	12	14
	#9	In her 40s	Female	24	14
	#10	In his 30s	Male	18	14
Moderate	#1	In his 30s	Male	10	14
	#2	In her 50s	Female	9	14
	#3	In his 60s	Male	10	14
	#4	In his 30s	Male	12	14
	#5	In her 50s	Female	16	14
	#6	In her 40s	Female	21	10
	#7	In her 40s	Female	24	14

Severe	#8	In her 40s	Female	29	14 ⁷⁹⁷
	#9	In her 30s	Female	14	12
	#10	In her 60s	Female	18	12 ⁷⁹⁸
	#11	In his 60s	Male	19	11 ⁷⁹⁹
	#12	In her 60s	Female	17	13
	#13	In her 60s	Female	10	14 ⁸⁰⁰
	#14	In her 20s	Female	11	12 ⁸⁰¹
	#15	In his 40s	Male	8	10
	#16	In his 30s	Male	11	10 ⁸⁰²
	#17	In her 50s	Female	24	12 ⁸⁰³
	#18	In her 60s	Female	20	11
	#19	In his 30s	Male	13	12 ⁸⁰⁴
	#20	In her 30s	Female	25	14
	#21	In her 40s	Female	15	14 ⁸⁰⁵
	#22	In his 20s	Male	18	14
	#23	In his 20s	Male	9	14 ⁸⁰⁶
	#24	In her 20s	Female	27	14
	#25	In his 50s	Male	19	14 ⁸⁰⁷
	#26	In his 60s	Male	13	13
	#27	In his 30s	Male	7	10 ⁸⁰⁸
	#28	In his 30s	Male	18	11
	#29	In his 30s	Male	26	10 ⁸⁰⁹
	#30	In his 30s	Male	10	14
	#31	In her 30s	Female	27	13 ⁸¹⁰
	#32	In her 60s	Female	17	16
	#1	In his 70s	Male	29	17 ⁸¹¹
	#2	In her 60s	Female	34	16
	#3	In her 70s	Female	16	14 ⁸¹²
	#4	In his 60s	Male	27	14
	#5	In his 50s	Male	20	14 ⁸¹³
	#6	In his 60s	Male	13	14
	#7	In her 40s	Female	17	14 ⁸¹⁴

815

816

817

818

819

820

Table S3. Summary of *P* values in Figure 1-4

1. *P* values of Figure 1c

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	*	**	***	***
Asymptomatic	/	/	*	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	**	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	**

2. *P* values of Figure 1d

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	***	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	**

3. *P* values of Figure 1e

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (0.37)	***	***
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	**	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	**

4. *P* values of Figure 1f

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	**	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	***

5. *P* values of Figure 1h

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	**	***
Mild	/	/	/	**	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	***

6. *P* values of Figure 1i

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (0.28)	**	***
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (0.71)	**	***
Mild	/	/	/	*	**
Moderate	/	/	/	/	*

7. *P* values of Figure 2c

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	***	***	*
Early	/	/	ns (0.24)	*
Middle	/	/	/	ns (0.051)

8. P values of Figure 2d

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	***	***	ns (>0.99)
Early	/	/	ns (0.07)	**
Middle	/	/	/	*

9. P values of Figure 2e

S1

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	ns (0.41)	*	ns (>0.99)
Early	/	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (0.73)
Middle	/	/	/	*

S2

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	**	***	ns (>0.99)
Early	/	/	ns (0.07)	ns (0.052)
Middle	/	/	/	**

10. P values of Figure 2g

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	*	*	ns (>0.99)
Early	/	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (0.053)
Middle	/	/	/	ns (0.11)

11. P values of Figure 2h

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	*	*	ns (>0.99)
Early	/	/	ns (0.67)	ns (0.22)
Middle	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

12. P values of Figure 3b.

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	***	***	*	ns (0.57)
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	**	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

13. P values of Figure 3d.

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	***	***	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	***	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

14. P values of Figure 3f.

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	***	***	**	ns (0.74)
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	**	***
Mild	/	/	/	**	***

Moderate	/	/	/	/	ns (0.96)
----------	---	---	---	---	-----------

15. *P* values of Figure 3h.

	Healthy	Asymptomatic	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Healthy	/	***	***	*	ns (>0.99)
Asymptomatic	/	/	ns (>0.99)	***	***
Mild	/	/	/	***	***
Moderate	/	/	/	/	ns (0.65)

16. *P* values of Figure 4b.

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	***	***	***
Early	/	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)
Middle	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

17. *P* values of Figure 4d.

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	***	***	***
Early	/	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)
Middle	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

18. *P* values of Figure 4f.

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	***	***	***
Early	/	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)
Middle	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

19. *P* values of Figure 4h.

	Healthy	Early	Middle	Convalescence
Healthy	/	***	***	***
Early	/	/	ns (>0.99)	ns (>0.99)
Middle	/	/	/	ns (>0.99)

P values were calculated based on Bonferroni of one-way analysis. ***, $p < 0.0001$, **, $p < 0.001$, and *, $p < 0.05$.

853 **Table S4 Flow cytometry antibodies in this study**

Antigen	Fluorochrome	Clone	Manufacturer	Volume/test (μL)
CD8	FITC	RPA-T8	Biolegend	0.125
PD1	PE	EH12.2H7	Biolegend	0.5
CXCR5			BD	1
Biotin			BD	0.25
Avidin	PE-Cy7		Biolegend	0.125
CD45RA	APC	HI100	Biolegend	0.125
LIVE/DEAD	APC-Cy7		Thermo fisher	0.25
CD19	APC-Cy7	H1B19	Biolegend	0.125
CD4	BV510	OKT4	Biolegend	0.125
CD20	FITC	2H7	Biolegend	0.125
CD27	PE	M-T271	Biolegend	0.5
CD19	PerCP	H1B19	BD	1
S1+S2			Sino Biological	1
Avidin	PE-Cy7		Biolegend	0.125
LIVE/DEAD	APC-Cy7		Thermo fisher	0.25
IgD	BV510	IA6-2	Biolegend	0.125
TNFα	FITC	MAb11	Biolegend	0.5
Perforin	PE	B-D48	Biolegend	0.5
CD8	PerCP	RPA-T8	Biolegend	0.125
GZMB	APC	GB11	Biolegend	0.5
LIVE/DEAD	APC-Cy7		Thermo fisher	0.25
IFNγ	BV421	4S. B3	Biolegend	0.5
CD4	BV510	OKT4	Biolegend	0.125

854

855