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Abstract

Using paired molecular and antibody testing for SARS-CoV-2 infection, we determined point prevalence and seroprevalence in a municipality in Louisiana, USA during the second phase of reopening. Infections were highly variable by race, work environment, and ZIP code. Census-weighted seroprevalence and point prevalence were 3.6% and 3.0%, respectively.

Text

Previously we reported results from a seroprevalence study conducted in New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, hit hard early in the COVID-19 pandemic (1). Baton Rouge is a large metropolitan area roughly 80 miles northwest of New Orleans and at the time of this study was in the second phase of reopening following a stay-at-home order. While the seroprevalence in New Orleans (6.9%)(1) was similar to prevalence recorded in Spain (5%), Sao Paulo, Brazil (4.7%) and New York, USA (6.9%) (2,3,4), Baton Rouge had only 3,427 more cases as of August 2, 2020 (17,093 cases) than New Orleans did by May 16, 2020 (13,666 cases) (5). This study was designed to estimate SARS-CoV-2 infections in the greater Baton Rouge area (Ascension, East Baton Rouge, Livingston, and West Baton Rouge Parishes) with additional information on potential workplace exposures.

The protocol was approved by the Ochsner IRB and intended to enroll and test up to 2,500 participants at 13 sites throughout Baton Rouge between July 15-31. Recruitment targeted a representative sample in a method developed by Public Democracy (www.publicdemocracy.io) and described elsewhere (1,6). In contrast to the New Orleans study, where people tested were under a “stay-at-home” order, Baton Rouge was in phase two of reopening. A randomized subset of 500,000 Baton Rouge residents was targeted with digital ads for recruitment. From those, 3,687 volunteers were recruited and re-stratified by census designations: 2,309 were invited, 2,179 were enrolled and completed testing, and 2,138 were included in the final analysis. Thirty-eight subjects were excluded for living in ineligible ZIP codes and 3 withdrew consent (Supplemental Figure 1). All study materials were in
English, Spanish, and Vietnamese. Participants were offered free transportation. Research staff verbally consented subjects and electronically documented consent and survey responses. Subjects then had a blood draw and nasopharyngeal (NP) swab performed.

US Food and Drug Administration-Emergency Use Authorization approved tests were used. Real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests of NP swabs were performed on the Abbott m2000 RealTime system. Qualitative immunoglobulin G (IgG) blood tests were performed on the ARCHITECT i2000SR. The IgG test meets criteria described by the CDC to yield high positive predictive value, which was validated by Ochsner Health laboratory and by others (7,8). Study participants with either or both positive tests were assessed as having been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Point estimates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) for proportions of COVID-19 exposure (PCR+ and/or IgG+ tests), point prevalence (PCR+, IgG-), and seroprevalence (IgG+ tests regardless of PCR test) were estimated for the Baton Rouge area using raw and census-weighted counts. Unadjusted odds ratios with Firth correction were calculated for all variables.

The sample was 63.6% female, 66.9% white, average age of 48.7 years, and average household size of 2.84 people. The census-weighted SARS-CoV-2 infections in the sample is 6.6% (6.0%, raw) with 3.0% positive for active viral shedding without detectable antibody, which translates to 16,536 contagious individuals. By race, seroprevalence was highest (7.5%) in Black subjects which was higher than any other group: White non-Hispanic (1.8%), Asian non-Hispanic (1.7%), Hispanic of any race (1.6%) and Other (2.7%). Sample breakdown and population estimates for adults over 18 years old are provided in Table 1 along with raw and weighted exposure, weighted point prevalence, and weighted seroprevalence. The point prevalence and any SARS-CoV-2 infection are listed and mapped by ZIP codes across the greater Baton Rouge area (Supplemental Figure 2). Point prevalence and all infections were highly variable by ZIP.
Marital status was associated with prevalence ($p=0.0005$). Single individuals were the most infected (9.3%) compared with married or cohabitating subjects (5.0%) and were 1.9 times more likely to test positive (Figure 1). Work environment was also associated with prevalence ($p=0.01$) with the lowest prevalence in the group who worked from home (WFH) part time and went in to work part time (3.7%). Those who were mostly going into work had the highest prevalence (8.2%) and were 2.3 times more likely to test positive than those who WFH part time. Infections varied by type of job reported ($p=0.01$) with the lowest positivity in office workers (3.0%) and increased odds of testing positive in public, delivery, healthcare and other jobs. However, based on seroprevalence, which was also significantly different by job type ($p=0.03$), healthcare workers and public-facing workers bore the brunt of early infections shown by the higher odds of testing antibody-positive (Figure 1).

This study found the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Baton Rouge to be 6.6%, but was heavily concentrated on new, contagious infections (3.0%). There is a chance that those infected very early on no longer had antibodies. This differed from the New Orleans study performed after extensive lockdowns with 0.9% new infections (1). Some populations had higher rates of infection than others, including Black and Hispanic communities and public-facing workers or those who do not WFH.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Flow diagram of recruitment to enrollment and analysis.

Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmaps of the Greater Baton Rouge Area (Ascension, East Baton Rouge, West Baton Rouge, and Livingston parishes) showing (top) active infections and (bottom) any infections by ZIP code. Number of participants, positives and percentages are listed by ZIP on the right.
Table 1. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 past and present infections by race and ethnicity across Baton Rouge, LA after phased reopening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive, no./total no. (% of sample)</th>
<th>BR residents 18+, no. (% of population)</th>
<th>Raw any infection,‡ % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weighted, any infection,§ % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weighted point prevalence,¶ % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weighted seroprevalence,# % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>128/2138 (100%)</td>
<td>551,185 (100%)</td>
<td>6.0% (5.0,7.1)</td>
<td>6.6% (5.7,7.7)</td>
<td>3.0% (2.3,3.7)</td>
<td>3.6% (2.8,4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>55/1431 (66.9%)</td>
<td>332,445 (60.3%)</td>
<td>3.8% (2.9,4.9)</td>
<td>4.2% (3.2,5.2)</td>
<td>2.4% (1.6,3.2)</td>
<td>1.8% (1.1,2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone</td>
<td>57/516 (24.1%)</td>
<td>177,950 (32.3%)</td>
<td>11.0% (8.5,14.1)</td>
<td>11.0% (8.5,14.1)</td>
<td>3.5% (1.9,5.1)</td>
<td>7.5% (5.2,9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone</td>
<td>2/59 (3.4%)</td>
<td>13,630 (2.5%)</td>
<td>3.4% (0.4,11.7)</td>
<td>3.5% (0.8,2)</td>
<td>1.7% (0.9,1)</td>
<td>1.7% (0.9,1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other^^:</td>
<td>1/28 (1.3%)</td>
<td>7,025 (1.3%)</td>
<td>3.6% (0.1,18.4)</td>
<td>2.7% (0.8,7)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.7% (0.8,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (any race)</td>
<td>14/104 (4.9%)</td>
<td>20,125 (3.7%)</td>
<td>13.5% (7.6,21.6)</td>
<td>11.8% (5.6,18.0)</td>
<td>10.1% (4.3,15.9)</td>
<td>1.6% (0.4,4.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Census 2018 population estimates. By August 2nd, a total of 17,093 state-aggregated, confirmed cases had been reported in Ascension, East Baton Rouge, Livingston, and West Baton Rouge parishes (5). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

‡Percentage of the sample with a PCR-positive test, an IgG-positive test, or both.

§Census-weighted percentage of a PCR-positive test, an IgG-positive test, or both calculated to match 2018 racial demographics by parish and then combined.

¶Census-weighted percentage of PCR-positive and IgG-negative tests calculated to match 2018 racial demographics by parish and then combined.

#Census-weighted percentage of IgG-positive tests calculated to match 2018 racial demographics by Parish and then combined.

**Number of residents multiplied by weighted point prevalence (PCR-positive tests).

^^Other includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, and multi-racial individuals.
Figure 1. Odds ratios of SARS-CoV-2 infections by marital status, work environment, and job type in Baton Rouge, LA, after phased reopening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Any infection</th>
<th>Seroprevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single (n=613) vs Married (n=1272)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced (n=194) vs Married (n=1272)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed (n=59) vs Married (n=1272)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Environment</th>
<th>Any infection</th>
<th>Seroprevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completely going into work (n=781) vs WFH part time (n=352)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely WFH (n=464) vs WFH part time (n=352)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed/retired (n=541) vs WFH part time (n=352)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Type</th>
<th>Any infection</th>
<th>Seroprevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public-facing (n=339) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery driver (n=14) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (n=42) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation (n=11) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare, direct patient care (n=269) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare, not direct patient care (n=122) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (n=195) vs Office (n=599)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratios from unweighted logistic regression without covariates with Firth correction shown with 95% confidence intervals. Reference categories percent positivity are Married (5.0% any infection, 2.3% seroprevalence), WFH part time (3.7% any infection, 2.0% seroprevalence) and Office workers (3.0% any infection, 1.0% seroprevalence). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; WFH, work from home.

- Percentage and odds ratios of any positive test (PCR+ and/or IgG+)
- Percentage and odds ratios of late stage or past infections (IgG+, regardless of PCR status)
- Odds of any infection (p=0.0005) and seroprevalence (p=0.003) differ by marital status.
- Odds of any infection (p=0.01) differ by work environment.
- Odds of any infection (p=0.01) and seroprevalence (p=0.03) differ by job type.
- Six people did not give an answer for “Job Type” and none of them tested positive on any test.
- Unemployed/retired people (n=541) are not included in this category.
Supplemental Figure 1. Flow diagram of recruitment to enrollment and analysis.

500,000
Randomly selected to match the greater Baton Rouge area by 50 different stratifications including race, ethnicity, age, and ZIP. Targeted with cross-device digital ads.

200
Volunteers signed up through testBR.org after hearing TV, radio, news stories, or personal communications

3,687
Volunteers signed up through targeted digital ads

2,309
Re-stratified by census designations and sent invitation to participate with text

2,179
Subjects enrolled and tested at sites

41 excluded
38 from ineligible ZIP codes
3 withdrew consent

2,138
Subjects included in analysis
Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmaps of the Greater Baton Rouge Area

**Active Infection by ZIP**

**Any Infection by ZIP**

Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmaps of the Greater Baton Rouge Area (Ascension, East Baton Rouge, West Baton Rouge, and Livingston parishes) showing (top) active infections and (bottom) any infections by ZIP code. Number of participants, positives and percentages are listed by ZIP on the right.