Covid-19 related stress and coping strategies among adults with chronic disease in Southwest Ethiopia.

ABEL GIRMA^{1*} AND ERMIA AYALEW²

¹ Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Mizan Tepi University, Mizan-Aman town, South Nation Nationality and People regional state, Ethiopia

²Department of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Mizan- Tepi University, Mizan-Aman town, South Nation Nationality and People regional state, Ethiopia

Email---mlermias@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author

Email-abeloo405@gmail.com (AG).

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by a newly discovered coronavirus which are a large family of viruses that are common in people and many different species of animals which can affect people physically and psychologically. The older people and those with underlying medical problems are more likely to develop serious illness and death.

Objective the aim of this study was to determine Covid-19 related stress and coping strategies among adults with chronic disease in Bench-Sheko, West Omo and Keffa Zones, southwest Ethiopia

Methods Institutional based cross-sectional study was applied among 613 adults with chronic disease. A simple sampling technique was applied. Correlational analysis was used to determine the relationship between the COVID-19 related stress score and coping strategy types. To measure the strength of association between dependent variables and independent variables and Pearson coefficients (r) with 95% Confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Finally, the variable, which shows statistical significance (p -value < 0.05 cut point) were used to quantify the associations among variables.

Results: Around 613 participants of 96% response rate were participated. About 68.4% were moderately stressed; low stress was 17.8% and severe stress was 13.9 %. Covid-19 related perceived stress score were positively associated with coping strategies types of like self-distraction, active coping, denial, emotional support, behavioral disengagement, venting, and use of instrument, positive reframing, self-blaming, planning, humoring and religion. The most preferable types of coping strategies were religious, instrumental and active coping strategies and while the least used were substance used.

Conclusion: Significant numbers of participants were suffered from severe perceived stress due to covid-19 outbreak in this study area. Both adaptive and maladaptive Coping strategy types were significantly associated with stress. Substance use and self-blaming were the types coping strategies which were not associated with perceived stress.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by a newly discovered coronavirus which are a large family of viruses that are common in people and many different species of animals, like camels, cattle, cats, and bats. Sometimes, animal coronaviruses can infect people and then spread between people like with MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and now with SARS-CoV-2[1, 2]. The pandemic of corona virus disease have been affecting people both physically and psychologically. So that many people have been experienced stress, anxiety and depression reactions[3].

Stress during an infectious disease outbreak can include fear and worry about own health, worsening of chronic health problems, worsening of mental health condition and also increased use of alcohol and tobacco[4]. Most people infected with the COVID-19 virus will experience mild to moderate respiratory illness and recover without requiring special treatment. However, the older people and those with underlying medical problems like cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, and cancer are more likely to develop serious illness and death[1]. The mental health and psychosocial consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic may be more serious among those who have been in contact with the virus; those who are vulnerable to biological or including people affected by mental health problems; health professionals; and even people who are following the news through numerous media channels[5].

The corona virus disease outbreak is leading to health problems like stress, anxiety, depressive symptoms, insomnia, denial, anger and fear globally. The pandemic and the containment measure like quarantine, social distancing, and self-isolation can also have a detrimental impact on mental health. The increased loneliness and reduced social interaction are risk factors for many mental disorders. The collective concerns influence daily behaviors, economy, prevention and decision making form health organization ,policy makers and medical centers, which can weaken strategies of corona virus disease control and leads to more morbidity and mental health needs at global level[5, 6].

Assessment of COVID-19 related stresses and coping strategy are a paramount at several levels. The finding will be used to give appropriate intervention of COVID-19 related stresses and

coping strategy, it will provide sufficient data for any concerning body like health professionals, policy makers and planners in advising the most vulnerable population for prevention and control of COVID-19 physiological and psychological impacts timely and appropriately. It will fill the information gap of the study area and it will provide a base line information for others researcher to conduct similar study by considering the limitation of this study.

Globally the battle against physiological and psychological impact of COVID-19 is still continuing. Some unprecedented measures have been adopted to control the COVID-19 transmission in all parts of Ethiopia, including the suspension of public transportation, the closing of public spaces, close management of communities, and isolation and care for infected people and suspected cases. The global mental health issues related to corona virus disease outbreak may evolve into long-lasting health problems, isolation and stigma. the global health intervention should be employed to address psychosocial stressors, particularly related to the use of isolation/quarantine, fear and vulnerability among the general population like elder and those who have chronic disease [7]. The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) may be stressful for people but coping with stress will make stronger[4]. Different people are reacting differently to stressful situations. These situations mainly depend on the background of the person, the things that make the people different from other peoples, and the community they live in. The people who may respond more strongly to the stress of an outbreak are older people and those with chronic disease[4]. The people at higher risk for severe illness like people with chronic disease are at increased risk of stress due to corona virus disease outbreaks. Even though some studies conducted in different parts of the world, they were mainly focused on general population which lacks representation of the main risky population for both physiological and psychological risks like individuals with chronic diseases which are unable to access easily needed information and also routine health service are disrupted due to corona virus disease outbreak[8]. In addition to managing their existing health conditions, coping with the stress associated with the COVID-19 outbreak may be more challenging for people with chronic disease. This suggests that understanding the perceived impact of pandemic can inform the development of more adequate care and support, interventions, psycho education, and services

for people with chronic conditions. Moreover there are no any study conducted before regarding to these risky groups in the study area. Therefore to facilitate outbreak prevention and control of COVID-19 in Ethiopia, in particular of Bench Sheko Zone, Kaffa Zone and West Omoo zone, there was an urgent need to understand the perceived stress level and coping strategies types, in particular, of those who are most vulnerable, of COVID-19 at this most critical time because of the physiological and psychological long lasting impact of COVID-19. So that, this study was aimed to investigate COVID-19 related perceived stress level and coping strategies types among adults with chronic disease who live in the three zone of southwest Ethiopia.

Materials and methods

Study design

Institutional based cross-sectional study was applied.

Sample size determination

The required sample size of the study participants was determined by population proportion formula of.

$$n = \frac{(Z_{\alpha})^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 (0.5)(0.5)}{(0.05)^2} = 384$$

Assumptions;

n = the number of participant to be interviewed

Z = standardized normal distribution value at the 95% CI, which is 1.96

P = 50% was considered

d = the margin of error, taken as 5%

Because of the sampling procedure design effect of 1.5 was used.

$$384 * 1.5 = 576$$

After adding, a 10% of non-response rate the final sample size became 634.

Sampling technique

First, three district was selected from each zone by using simple random sampling technique and then the health facility from each selected district was selected again by simple random sampling technique. After that, the calculated sample size was proportionally allocated to randomly selected public health institutions in the three zones based on the number of chronic disease who attending each health facility. To select study subjects from each unit of health care service simple random sampling technique was applied by using client's registrations number.

Data collection and analysis

The data were collected by using structured interviewer administered questionnaire by well-trained health professionals. Socio-demographics variables like age, residence, ethnicity, religion, marital status, educational status, occupation, income, COVID-19 related stress, types of coping strategies of adults with chronic disease were assessed.

All the data were checked for completeness and internal consistency by cross checking and then was coded entered into Epi Data 4.6.0.2 computer software package and cleaned for inconsistency. For further analysis, the data were exported to Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 21 software. The descriptive analyses of data were indicated using numerical summary measures and the data were presented using frequency tables, figures and graphs. The correlational analysis was used to determine the association between the COVID-19 related stress score and coping strategy types among adults with chronic disease. To measure the strength of association the Pearson coefficients (r) with 95% Confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Finally, the variable, which shows statistical significance (p -value < 0.05 cut point) were used to quantify the associations among variables.

Data collection instruments

The COVID-19 related stress was assessed by using the Perceive Stress Scale (PSS)) which was adapted from previous study. The perceived stress scale which consists of 10 questions was used to assess the perceived stress level. PSS is a 10 item scale where respondents rate themselves in a five point scale (i.e. 0 = Never, 1 = Almost Never, 2 = Sometimes, 3 =Fairly Often, 4 = Very Often). PSS scores were obtained by reversing responses (e.g., 0=4, 1=3, 2=2, 3=1& 4=0) to the four positively stated items (4, 5, 7 and 8). Total scores would be obtained by summing all scale items. Finally categorized as low Stress: those who scored ranging from 0-13 on stress questions, moderate Stress: those who scored ranging from 14-26 on stress questions and high perceived Stress those who scored ranging from 27-40 on stress questions[9, 10]

The coping strategies was assessed by using the Brief COPE Inventory scale .The Brief COPE Inventory consists of 28 statements, across two scales, which can identify around 14 possible coping strategies of stress but due to shortage of time we used 14 questions. It is focused mainly on understanding the frequency with which people use different coping strategies in response to various stressors. Participants using the inventory, score themselves from 1 to 4 with 1 being 'I

haven't been doing this at all' and 4 being *'I've been doing this a lot.'* The higher scores was when the participants give the response of 3 or 4 for the given statement and the individually was considered as highly using specific coping strategy as one of his core coping strategies. If they score low – with a 1 or 2 – then we couldn't consider as one of his core coping strategies[10, 11]. The Brief COPE Scores Scales were computed with no reversals of coding and it includes Self-distraction, Active coping, Denial, Substance use, Use of emotional support, Use of instrumental support, Behavioral disengagement, Venting, Positive reframing, Planning, Humor, Acceptance, Religion and Self-blame[10, 11].

To assure the quality of the data, pre-structured questionnaire was used. The final version of the questionnaire which was prepared in English were translated into the local language of the respondents (Amharic) and again translated back to English. Both the data collectors and facilitators were local languages speakers. The data collectors and supervisors were given one day intensive training by principal investigator (PI) on the instruments, method of data collection, ethical issues and the purpose of the study. Intensive supervision was done by principal investigator and supervisor and they were checked the collected data for completeness, accuracy, and consistency throughout the data collection period. The overall supervisions were done by the principal investigators.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristic

From the total of 634 sample sizes, 613 (96%) were participated in this study. The majority 379 (61.8%) were males with the mean age of 36.93 (SD: 1.677). Around 390 (63.6%) have been lived in the urban region, and 161 (26.3%) are belongs to Amhara ethnic. Regarding to their religion and marital status 272 (44.4%) are Protestant religion followers & 405 (66.1%) were married. Concerning the educational status 201 (32.8%) are able to Read & write & while 147 (24%) of the participants are Farmer by their occupation (see Table 1).

Table 1: shows socio-demographic characteristics of study participants in Bench-Sheko, West Omoo and Keffa zone, southwest Ethiopia(N=613).

Variables	Frequency	Percent %
Age		
18-29	180	29.4
30-49	332	54.2
>50	101	16.5
Sex		
Male	379	61.8
Female	234	38.2
Residence		
Urban	390	63.6
Rural	223	36.4
Ethnicity		
Bench	104	17.0
Kaffa	160	26.1
Sheka	13	2.1
Menit	125	20.4
Amhara	161	26.3
Others	50	8.2
Religion		
Orthodox	268	43.7
Protestant	272	44.4
Muslim	63	10.3
Catholic	5	.8
Other	5	.8
Marital status		
Married	405	66.1
Single	135	22.0
Divorced	53	8.6
Widowed	14	2.3
Other	6	1.0
Educational status		
Cannot read & write	165	26.9

Read & write only	201	32.8
Primary school	95	15.5
Secondary school	83	13.5
Tertiary education	69	11.3
Occupation		
Student	54	8.8
Farmer	147	24.0
Merchant	95	15.5
Housewife	98	16.0
Governmental employ	119	19.4
Private employ	65	10.6
Daily laborer	18	2.9
Other	17	2.8
Income		
<3000	470	76.7
3000-5000	101	16.5
>5000	42	6.9
Family size		
1-4	372	60.7
≥5	241	39.3

Health related characteristic

All participants had at least 1 chronic condition, and only 15 (2.4 %) were living with 3 chronic conditions. The most common chronic conditions were HIV/AIDS (23.2%) and Diabetes (17.0%) respectively. The majority of the participants 580(94.6%) were non-smokers. The most commonly stated sources of knowledge were TV/radio (66.6 %), Health professionals (66.4%) (see Table 2).

Table 2: shows health related characteristic of study participants in Bench-Sheko, West Omo and Keffa zones, southwest Ethiopia

Variables	Frequency	Percent %
Types of chronic disease		
Diabetes mellitus	104	17.0
Chronic kidney disease	71	11.6
HIV/AIDS	142	23.2
Chronic liver disease	35	5.7
Hypertension	101	16.5
Chronic mental disease	46	7.5
Asthma	84	13.7
Tuberculosis	60	9.8
Cancer	1	0.2
Cardiac	67	10.9
Others	24	3.9
Duration of the disease		
1-10 yrs.	28	4.6
>10yrs.	585	95.4

Smoking

Yes	33	5.4
No	580	94.6

Source of information

TV/radio	408	66.6
Social media	172	28.1
Health professionals	407	66.4
Tele	222	36.2
others	24	3.9

Yrs. = years, TV = television

Covid-19 related perceived stress level

The mean perceived stress score of the participants was 19.31 (SD = 7.212). Around 255 (41.6%) of the respondents were score > 20 and while 358 (58.4%) were score ≤ 20 out of 40. The majority of (68.4%) the participants were reported moderate stress level; around 17.8% were reported low stress level and while 13.9 % were severe covid-19 related perceived stress level (see Table 3).

Table 3: shows covid-19 related perceived stress level of the study which conducted in Bench-Sheko, West Omo and Keffa zones, southwest Ethiopia

Covid-19 related stress level	Frequency	Percent
Low stress score	109	17.8
Moderate stress score	419	68.4
High stress score	85	13.9

Coping strategies types

From the total of 613 participants, the majority 468(76.3%) of respondent were used religious coping strategies type and the second most used types were use of instrumental coping strategy around 315(51.4%); and while 314(51.2%) of the participants were used active coping strategies. The least used types of coping strategies were substance use 37(6%) (see Table 4).

Table 4: shows coping strategies types of the study which conducted in Bench-Sheko, West Omo and Keffa zones southwest Ethiopia

Types of coping strategies	No. (% of)used	No. (%) of not used
Self-distraction	180(29.4)	433(70.6)

Active coping	314(51.2)	299(48.8)
Denial coping	182(29.7)	431(70.3)
Substance use	37(6)	576(94)
Use of emotional support	161(26.3)	452(73.7)
Behavioral disengagement	115(18.8)	498(81.2)
Venting	249(39.2)	373(60.8)
Use of instrument	315(51.4)	298(48.6)
Positive reframing	163(26.6)	450(73.4)
Sell-blaming coping	98(16)	515(84)
Planning	179(29.2)	434(70.8)
Humoring	146(23.8)	467(76.2)
Acceptance	248(40.5)	365(59.5)
Religious	468(76.3)	145(23.7)

Association between COVID-19 related stress and coping strategies

The covid-19 related perceived stress was significantly associated with coping strategies types like sell-distraction $r=0.135, p < 0.01$, active coping $r=0.131, p < 0.01$, denial coping $r=0.338, p < 0.01$, use of emotional support $r=0.147, p < 0.01$, behavioral disengagement $r=0.385, p < 0.01$, venting $r=0.235, p < 0.01$, use of instrumental $r=0.118, p < 0.01$, positive reframing $r=0.176, p < 0.01$, self-blaming $r=0.284, p < 0.01$, planning $r=0.083, p < 0.05$, humoring $r=0.113, p < 0.05$, religion $r=0.241, p < 0.01$ (see Table 5).

Table 5: indicating the correlation between covid-19 related stress and the types of coping strategies of the study (N=613)

Variables	Covid-19 related stress score	p-value
Self-distraction	0.135	0.001
Active coping	0.131	0.001
Denial coping	0.338	0.001
Substance use	-0.031	0.753
Use of emotional support	0.147	0.001
Behavioral disengagement	0.385	0.001

Venting	0.235	0.001
Use of instrument	0.118	0.001
Positive reframing	0.176	0.001
Sell-blaming coping	0.284	0.001
Planning	0.083	0.039
Humoring	0.113	0.005
Acceptance	-0.064	0.109
Religious	0.241	0.001

Discussion

This cross-sectional study was conducted to examine the impact level of covid-19 outbreak pandemic on perceived stress and its coping strategies types which used to cope the perceived stress; and the next aim was to identify knowledge level, attitude status and practice and associated factors among chronic disease patients in Benk-Sheko, West Omoo and Kaffa Zone, southwest Ethiopia. According to this study revealed the magnitude of perceived stress were 41.6%. According to this study results showed covid-19 related perceived stress score of the participants were positively associated with coping strategies types of self-distraction, active coping, denial, use of emotional support, behavioral disengagement, venting, use of instrument, positive reframing, self-blaming, planning, humoring and religion which mean that respondents with higher levels of covid-19 related perceived stress were used the greater levels of self-distraction, active coping, denial coping, use of emotional support, behavioral disengagement, venting, use of instrument, positive reframing, self-blaming, planning, humoring and religion types of coping strategies to prevent and control their covid-19 related perceived stress. This finding is more likely consistent with the study conducted in unite state among individual of disability and chronic condition[10]. The participants coping strategies like substance use and acceptance were not associated with perceived stress in this study. The coping mechanism for people with disabilities and chronic illnesses may take many forms [12]. The types of coping strategies categorized in the Brief COPE as adaptive which are active coping, planning, use of emotional support, use of instrumental support, positive reframing, religion, humor, and acceptance; and maladaptive coping strategies like venting, denial, substance use, self-blame, behavioral disengagement, and self-distraction types of coping strategies[13]. According to this categorization, this study revealed that covid-19 related perceived stress score were associated with both maladaptive (i.e., denial, behavioral disengagement, venting, self-distraction, and self-blame) and adaptive (i.e., active coping, use of emotional support, use of instrument, positive reframing, planning, humoring and religion) coping strategies types among chronic disease patients. COVID-19 is an ongoing and rapidly evolving situation, which can present challenges and stressors in individuals with chronic disease. Therefore, findings from this study may help clinicians, researchers, and policymakers gain a better understanding of the use of coping strategies in individuals with chronic disease in facing this pandemic outbreak. According to this study result showed the most frequently preferable coping strategies types of the study

participants were using religious, use of instrumental and using of active coping strategies for management of covid-19 related stress. Around 76.3% of the participants were used religious types of coping strategies and the second most used types of coping were use of instrumental about 51.4% and while 51.2% were used active coping strategy for managements of covid-19 related perceived stress during this pandemic outbreak. This showing that participants of this study had a tendency to cope with COVID-19 by using of religious, using of instrumental methods and involving themselves with active coping strategy. This finding is inconsistent with study which conducted in united states of America among disabled and chronic condition individual who were most frequently used acceptance and self-distracting methods to cope with covid-19 related perceived stress[14]. According to this study substance use type of coping strategy was the most least frequently used types of coping among chronic disease patient. This indicated that participants with chronic diseases were not very likely to use substance to cope with COVID-19. This finding is similar with the previous study which conducted among chronic condition and disability were used very unlikely of substance use and denial types of coping[10] and it also consistent with world health organization recommendation and center of disease control for coping of stress during covid-19 outbreak [4]

The main limitations of this study were shortage of time period for data collection which occurred due the data need urgency and the others limitation was lack of validated and reliable tools for perceived stress and coping strategies for this vulnerable groups. However some data quality control mechanisms were conducted to minimize this limitation effects on the study. Lack of previous studies also one of the main limitations. The main significance of assessment of COVID-19 related perceived stresses and coping strategy types are a paramount at several levels. One of it could be to give appropriate intervention types for COVID-19 related perceived stresses and coping strategy types of the patients about covid-19. In addition, it could provide sufficient data for any concerning body like health professionals, psychologist, policy makers and planers in advising the most vulnerable population for prevention and control of COVID-19 physiological and psychological impacts timely and appropriately. It could fill the information gap of the study area and it would be provide a base line information for others researcher to conduct similar study by considering the limitation of this study

Conclusion

Significant numbers of participants were suffered from severe perceived stress due to covid-19 outbreak in this study area. Coping strategy types like self-distraction, active coping, denial coping, use of emotional support, behavioral disengagement, venting, use of instrument, positive reframing, self-blaming, planning, humoring, religion were positively associated with covid-19 related perceived stress among individuals with chronic disease in this study area. Substance use and self-blaming were the types coping strategies which were not associated with perceived stress.

The most frequently used coping strategies types of the study participants were religious, using of instrumental and active coping strategies for management of covid-19 related perceived stress among individual with chronic disease while the most least used types of coping strategies were substance use.

List of abbreviations

COVID-19: corona virus disease of 2019, SARS-COV: severe acute respiratory Syndrome, MERS-COV: Middle East respiratory syndrome, WHO: world health organization, CI: Confidence interval, CFR: case fatality ratios, SNNPR: Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples' Regional State

Ethical approval and consent to participate

After the study was approved by research directorate committee of Mizan- Tepi University, the formal letter was send for each zonal health bureau management for permission and then the informed volunteer consent was obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication.

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Funding

Mizan- Tepi University provided the financial support for this research.

Author's contributions

¹ was participated in data analysis, interpretation and manuscript preparation and ²was participated in data analysis of the study.

Authors' information

¹ Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Mizan Tepi University, Mizan-Aman town, South Nation Nationality and People regional state, Ethiopia

²Department of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Mizan- Tepi University, Mizan-Aman town, South Nation Nationality and People regional state, Ethiopia

Reference

1. WHO, *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report - 95* 24 April 2020.
2. CDC, *Situation summary of COVID-19*. Updated April 19, 2020.
3. Québec, *Stress, Anxiety and Depression Associated With the Coronavirus COVID-19 Disease*. April 9, 2020
4. CDC, *Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) daily life and coping*. April 16, 2020.
5. Gorwood, A.F.a.P., *The consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and implications for clinical practice*. European Psychiatry, 2020. **63**(1): p. 1-2.
6. Julio Torales , M.O.H., João Mauricio Castaldelli-Maia and Antonio Ventriglio, *The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health*. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 2020.
7. Torales, J., et al., *The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health*. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 2020: p. 0020764020915212.
8. Bao-Liang Zhong, Wei Luo, Hai-Mei Li, Qian-Qian Zhang, Xiao-Ge Liu, Wen-Tian Li, Yi Li, *Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey*. International Journal of Biological Sciences, 2020; . **16**. (10):): p. 1745-1752.
9. Cohen, S., *Psychological stress, immunity, and upper respiratory infections*. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 1996. **5**(3): p. 86-89.
10. Umucu, E. and B. Lee, *Examining the impact of COVID-19 on stress and coping strategies in individuals with disabilities and chronic conditions*. Rehabilitation Psychology, 2020.
11. Carver, C.S., *You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the brief cope*. International journal of behavioral medicine, 1997. **4**(1): p. 92.
12. Martz, E., & Livneh, H. (Eds.). , *Coping with chronic illness and disability: Theoretical, empirical, and clinical aspects*,. 2007: New York, NY:.
13. Meyer, B., *Coping with severe mental illness: Relations of the Brief COPE with symptoms, functioning, and well-being*. . Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, (2001). **23**, : p. 265-277.
14. Lee, E.U.a.B., *Examining the Impact of COVID-19 on Stress and Coping Strategies in Individuals With Disabilities and Chronic Conditions*. American Psychological Association, 2020