Resting State Functional Connectivity is Decreased Globally Across the *C9orf72* Mutation Spectrum

Rachel F Smallwood Shoukry PhD^{1*}, Michael G Clark BS¹, Mary Kay Floeter¹ MD PhD

¹Motor Neuron Disease Unit, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

*Correspondence:

Mary Kay Floeter floeterm@ninds.nih.gov

Please cc Rachel F Smallwood Shoukry
rachsmallwood@gmail.com

10 Center Drive MSC 1140
Bethesda MD 20892-1140

Co-author contact information

Michael G. Clark michael.g.clark@vanderbilt.edu

Keywords: *C9orf72*; amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; presymptomatic; resting state fMRI; graph theory

Abstract

A repeat expansion mutation in the *C9orf72* gene causes amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), or symptoms of both, and has been associated with gray and white matter changes in brain MRI scans. We used graph theory to examine the network properties of brain function at rest in a population of mixed-phenotype *C9orf72* mutation carriers (C9+). Twenty-five C9+ subjects (presymptomatic, or diagnosed with ALS, behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD), or both ALS and FTD) and twenty-six healthy controls underwent resting state fMRI. When comparing all C9+ subjects with healthy controls, both global and connection-specific decreases in resting state connectivity were observed, with no substantial reorganization of network hubs. However, when analyzing subgroups of the symptomatic C9+ patients, those with bvFTD (with and without comorbid ALS) show remarkable reorganization of hubs compared to patients with ALS alone (without bvFTD), indicating that subcortical regions become more connected in the network relative to other regions. Additionally, network connectivity measures of the right hippocampus and bilateral thalami increased with increasing scores on the Frontal Behavioral Inventory, indicative of worsening behavioral impairment. These results indicate that while *C9orf72* mutation carriers across the ALS-FTD spectrum have global decreased resting state brain connectivity, phenotype-specific effects can also be observed at more local network levels.

1. Introduction

A repeat expansion mutation in the *C9orf72* gene is the most frequent cause of familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and familial frontotemporal dementia (FTD) in populations of Northern European origin (1, 2) accounting for 5-10% of sporadic cases of these disorders (3). Carriers of the *C9orf72* mutation (hereafter referred to as C9+) can present with clinical symptoms of ALS, FTD, or with combinations of motor, cognitive, and behavioral symptoms (4-7). Compared to patients with sporadic ALS or FTD, neuroimaging studies in C9+ ALS and FTD patients show more pronounced atrophy, particularly of subcortical structures and extramotor cortical regions (4, 8-15). Although subtle structural changes can be detected in groups of presymptomatic C9+ carriers (9, 16-18), most structural changes are found later in the disease course, when symptoms are manifest. In individual C9+ carriers, the structural changes may represent a hybrid pattern between those described for sporadic ALS and sporadic FTD, appearing to reflect the relative balance of motor and cognitive-behavioral dysfunction (10, 19-21).

Functional connectivity changes also occur in patients with ALS and FTD. In sporadic and C9+ FTD, intrinsic functional connectivity is reduced in the salience networks, frontal and temporal regions, and thalamic networks (13, 22-24). Findings from resting state fMRI studies in sporadic ALS are less consistent. Most reports find increased connectivity, particularly in the sensorimotor network and default mode network (25-28). However, others report decreased connectivity (29-32), or mixtures of increased and decreased network connectivity (33-36). We hypothesized that functional imaging in C9+ carriers would show a hybrid pattern on a continuum of those seen in ALS and FTD reflecting the relative balance of motor and cognitive-behavioral dysfunction in each patient. To examine this hypothesis, we evaluated changes in network measures and their association with clinical measures of motor and cognitive-behavioral dysfunction using graph theory metrics. In this analysis, each brain region is represented as a node and the relationship between two brain regions is represented as an edge connecting the two nodes (37). Graph theory allows us to quantify whole-brain network properties, as well as how regions interact with each other as part of a larger network.

We first compared differences in network measures of functional connectivity in a heterogeneous group of C9+ carriers to healthy controls to identify changes associated with the *C9orf72* mutation itself. We then compared C9+ carriers with ALS alone (C9+ FTD-) to C9+ patients with behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD) or ALS-FTD within the cohort. We hypothesized that C9+ with bvFTD/ALS-FTD would exhibit changes in networks associated with cognitive-behavioral function whereas C9+ FTD – ALS patients would exhibit changes in motor networks, and that network measures would correlate with clinical measures of motor or cognitive-behavioral function.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Twenty-five carriers of the *C9orf72* expansion mutation (**Table 1**) were recruited from across the United States through online advertising, organizational outreach, and physician referrals between 2013 and 2016. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with an IRB-approved

protocol. Inclusion criteria required the C9+ subjects to have >30 repeats in the *C9orf72* gene as established by repeat prime polymerase chain reaction in a CLIA certified lab. They were not excluded for having other comorbid conditions. All C9+ subjects were examined by an experienced neurologist and underwent electromyography and cognitive testing to determine their clinical diagnosis as previously reported (10). ALS was diagnosed using the 2015 revised El Escorial criteria (38). The International Consensus Criteria for behavioral variant FTD (39) were used for diagnosis of possible, probable, or definite bvFTD. The cohort consisted of C9+ subjects classified as being presymptomatic (N=7), or as having ALS only (N=9), bvFTD only (N=3), or both ALS and bvFTD (N=6). C9+ patients were administered the revised ALS functional rating scale (ALSFRS-R; (40)) to quantify motor impairment related to ALS and the frontal behavioral inventory (FBI; (41)) to assess behavioral impairment related to bvFTD. Twenty-six healthy controls (HC) underwent the same imaging protocol as the C9+ patients as part of a separate IRB-approved study. All healthy controls had normal neurological examinations and a normal cognitive screening test.

Table 1 – Demographic information of study groups and sub-groups.

	N (males)	Age (years)	ALSFRS-R	FBI
All C9+	25 (14)	51.74 ± 11.95	42.8 ± 6.7	0.16 ± 0.17
ALS	9 (4)	51.85 ± 9.95	41.6 ± 3.8	0.08 ± 0.08
ALS-FTD	6 (6)	60.72 ± 10.19	41.8 ± 5.3	0.31 ± 0.08
bvFTD	3 (3)	60.59 ± 5.11	46.0 ± 2.7	0.36 ± 0.2
HC	26 (16)	52.33±8.79	-	-

2.2 Imaging protocol

Participants underwent MRI scanning on a GE 3T scanner. Two T1 FSPGR anatomical scans were collected (TI = 450 ms, $\alpha = 13^\circ$, voxel size = 1x0.938x0.938 mm). Resting state functional scans were collected during which subjects were instructed to stay awake, keep their eyes open, and think random thoughts (TR=2000ms, TE=30ms, flip angle=77, voxel size = 3.75x3.75x3.8 mm, FOV = 64x64 cm, 40 slices, 214 volumes).

2.3 Image processing

Anatomical MRI data were processed in FreeSurfer and each subject's gray matter was parcellated into 82 volumes of interest (VOIs). These VOIs consisted of 34 cortical regions per hemisphere based on the Desikan-Killiany atlas (42) plus 14 subcortical VOIs, excluding the cerebellum (**Table 2**). Resting state functional data were preprocessed using FSL and custom MATLAB scripts. Preprocessing steps included motion and slice time correction, volume scrubbing based on motion outliers (identified by FSL's calculation of framewise displacement and the derivative of the time-course of root mean square intensity across voxels (DVARs; (43)), regression of motion parameters and white matter and CSF signals, and bandpass filtering

between 0.01-0.1 Hz. Each subject's functional image was registered to their structural image. The anatomical parcellation and segmentation were applied to the functional time series to extract the 82 VOIs, and all the voxels within each VOI were averaged at every time point to create a time series of average signal for each VOI.

Table 2 – Volumes of interest used in the analysis and their abbreviations and color scheme as displayed in Figure 3. Each region had a right and left representation. Blue – frontal lobe; green – limbic system; yellow – basal ganglia; orange – temporal lobe; red – parietal lobe; purple – occipital lobe.

Region name	Abbreviation	Region name	Abbreviation
Frontal pole	Front-pole	Caudate	
Superior frontal gyrus	SFG	Putamen	
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	Lat-OFC	Accumbens area	Accumbens
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	Med-OFC	Pallidum	
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	Rost-MFG	Temporal pole	Temp-pole
Pars triangularis	Pars-tri	Superior temporal gyrus	STG
Pars orbitalis	Pars-orb	Transverse temporal gyrus	Transverse
Pars opercularis	Pars-operc	Banks of the superior temporal sulcus	Banks-STS
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	Caud-MFG	Middle temporal gyrus	MTG
Precentral gyrus	Precentral	Inferior temporal gyrus	ITG
Paracentral lobule	Paracentral	Fusiform gyrus	Fusiform
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Rost-ACC	Insula	
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	Caud-ACC	Postcentral gyrus	Postcentral
Posterior cingulate cortex	PCC	Supramarginal gyrus	Supramarg
Isthmus of the cingulate cortex	Isth-CC	Superior parietal cortex	SPC
Amygdala		Inferior parietal cortex	IPC
Thalamus		Precuneus	
Hippocampus		Cuneus	
Entorhinal cortex	Entorhinal	Lateral occipital cortex	Lat-OC
Parahippocampal gyrus	Parahipp	Pericalcarine cortex	Pericalc
		Lingual gyrus	Lingual

2.4 Graph theory analysis and statistics

A connectivity matrix was formed with each row and column representing a node (VOI) and each cell representing an edge with strength equal to the Pearson correlation coefficient (R) of the row/column pair. Fisher transformation was applied to permit multiple linear regression of age and gender effects, followed by back transformation to R. The matrices were then

thresholded by setting all connections below a specific R to zero. Thresholds from 0 to 0.7 were tested in increments of 0.1; thresholds >0.7 resulted in matrices too sparse for the calculation of many graph metrics. Thresholds between 0 to 0.4 yielded similar statistical results in global metrics, so $R \geq 0.2$ was selected as the representative threshold for reporting. Graph theory metrics were calculated using custom MATLAB scripts and the Brain Connectivity Toolbox (<https://sites.google.com/site/bctnet> (37)).

Two group analyses were performed: 1) all C9+ versus HC and 2) a sub-analysis of C9+ subjects in which symptomatic bvFTD+ patients (bvFTD & ALS-FTD, n=9) were compared to symptomatic ALS-only patients (ALS only, n=9). Group differences for both analyses were evaluated using global, nodal, and edge metrics (**Table 3**). Global metrics included network density, mean connection strength, mean node clustering coefficient, mean node path length, and modularity score. Nodal measures included node strength, closeness centrality, betweenness centrality, within-module degree Z score, and participation coefficient. Hubs, or nodes that are particularly highly connected and involved within the network, were identified with a composite hub score that was calculated by summing the Z scores of the five nodal measures.

Table 3 – Description of graph analysis metrics.

<u>Global Metric</u>	<u>Description</u>
Network density	Fraction of all possible connections above the connection threshold
Mean connection strength	Average connection strength of connections above the connection threshold
Clustering Coefficient	Extent of node clustering; a measure of how often the neighbors of a node are also neighbors of each other
Path Length	Lowest number of connections required to travel between each node pair in the network
Modularity score	Ability of the network to be segregated into discrete modules
<u>Nodal Metric</u>	<u>Description</u>
Node strength	Sum of the strength of connections to all other nodes in the network
Closeness centrality	Nearness to all other nodes in the network
Betweenness centrality	Frequency at which the node lies on the shortest path between two other nodes
Within-module degree Z-score	Connectedness of a node within its own module
Participation coefficient	Connectedness of a node to nodes in other modules

Permutation testing was used to calculate effective p values (44). In each permutation, subjects' group assignments were randomly shuffled and the difference in the metric of interest between the two shuffled groups was calculated. The effective p value was defined as the fraction of total permutations in which the shuffled groups had a larger magnitude of difference in the graph metric than the actual study groups. 1×10^3 permutations were used to ensure a sufficient number of significant figures for reporting.

3. Results

3.1 Comparison of C9+ carriers with Healthy Controls

3.1.1 Global graph metrics – C9+ vs. HC

Analysis of global measures revealed that the C9+ carrier group had significantly lower global network density than HC, with fewer connections with strength greater than the correlation threshold (**Figures 1 & 2**). Those edges that survived thresholding had a significantly lower mean connection strength for C9+ than HC (**Figure 2**). The clustering coefficients were also significantly different between groups ($p=0.018$). However, because path length and modularity score are sensitive to network density (45), any significant differences are likely to be influenced by the different network densities, so they were not further explored.

Figure 1 – Resting state functional connectivity matrix thresholded at $R \geq 0.2$. Bottom left: HC mean connectivity matrix. Top right: C9+ mean connectivity matrix. Scale bar shows Pearson correlation coefficient.

193

Figure 2 – Global network measures in which C9+ carriers significantly differed from HC.

3.1.2 Network hubs – C9+ carriers vs. Healthy Controls

There was minimal reorganization of hub nodes in C9+ carriers compared to the healthy control group. The composite hub score showed that 75% of the nodes comprising the top 20% of hub scores were the same for HC and C9+ carriers (**Table 4**). Moreover, with the exception of one node in the top 20% in each group, hubs were ranked within the top 30% in the other group or were the contralateral pair to one of the top 20% nodes. The single hub that was highly ranked in healthy controls but not C9+ was the left lateral orbitofrontal cortex. The single hub that ranked highly in the C9+ carrier group but not healthy controls was the left thalamus.

Table 4 – Group hubs, defined as the top 20% of nodes based on a composite hub score (Z) for Healthy Controls and C9+ carrier groups.

HC		C9+	
VOI	Z _{composite}	VOI	Z _{composite}
L Fusiform gyrus	6.740	R Middle temporal gyrus	8.226
<i>L Middle temporal gyrus</i>	<i>5.090</i>	R Fusiform gyrus	6.753
<i>R Inferior temporal gyrus</i>	<i>4.952</i>	R Lingual gyrus	6.692
R Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	4.701	R Superior temporal gyrus	6.135
R Middle temporal gyrus	4.303	L Posterior cingulate cortex	5.693
R Fusiform gyrus	4.180	L Putamen	5.541
L Putamen	4.068	R Superior frontal gyrus	5.294
<u><i>L Lateral orbitofrontal cortex</i></u>	<u><i>4.007</i></u>	L Lingual gyrus	4.707
L Inferior parietal cortex	3.904	<i>L Superior frontal gyrus</i>	<i>4.628</i>
R Superior frontal gyrus	3.722	L Inferior parietal cortex	4.409
L Lingual gyrus	3.630	L Superior temporal gyrus	4.211
<i>R Posterior cingulate cortex</i>	<i>3.608</i>	L Precentral gyrus	4.111
R Lingual gyrus	3.514	L Fusiform gyrus	3.235
L Superior temporal gyrus	3.489	R Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	3.112
R Superior temporal gyrus	3.345	<i>L Inferior temporal gyrus</i>	<i>3.110</i>
L Posterior cingulate cortex	3.042	<u><i>L Thalamus</i></u>	<u><i>3.046</i></u>

L = left, R = right; shared hubs shown in **bold**; hubs where the same contralateral region is a hub shown in *italics*; hubs that were not highly ranked in the comparison group are underlined. Z_{composite} is the composite score of each node's Z scores in the five hub measures described above.

3.1.3 Edge analysis – C9+ vs. HC

Figure 3 displays the edges that had significantly decreased functional connectivity in C9+ carriers compared to HC ($p < 0.001$ uncorrected). There were no impaired intra-hemispheric connections in the left hemisphere. All connections with decreased functional connectivity were either inter-hemispheric or intra-hemispheric within the right hemisphere. More cortical connections were affected than subcortical connections. The right frontal lobe had the greatest number of reduced connections. Reduced connectivity was also seen for connections with the basal ganglia, temporal lobe, and parietal lobes. These edges connect regions involved in a broad range of cognitive functions. Additionally, several edges connecting motor-related regions were affected, including the right precentral and paracentral lobules. Other regions having multiple differing connections were the pars opercularis, pars triangularis, supramarginal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, and the left putamen.

Figure 3 – Edges with reduced connectivity strength in C9+ carriers compared with HC. Significance was determined using permutation testing at $p < 0.001$ uncorrected. See Table 2 for region name abbreviations.

3.2 Comparison of C9+ bvFTD/ALS-FTD carriers with C9+ ALS alone (bvFTD -)

3.2.1 Global graph metrics – bvFTD+ vs. ALS-only

Within the symptomatic group of C9+ carriers, there were no significant differences in global metrics (network density, mean connection strength, clustering coefficient, path length, and modularity) between the 9 patients with ALS alone and the 9 patients with bvFTD or ALS-FTD.

3.2.2 Network hubs – bvFTD+ vs. ALS-only

The ALS-only group had similar hubs to healthy controls, with the addition of the precentral gyri and right caudate. In contrast, the bvFTD+ group had several nodes with hub scores that were ranked much lower than in the ALS-only group and healthy controls. These hubs included bilateral thalamus, right hippocampus, and right lateral occipital cortex. Hubs are listed in **Table 5**.

Table 5 – Group hubs, defined as top 20% of nodes based on composite hub score for symptomatic patients with and without bvFTD.

C9+ bvFTD+ (n=9)		C9+ ALS-only (n=9)	
VOI	Z _{composite}	VOI	Z _{composite}
L Posterior cingulate cortex	9.512	R Superior temporal gyrus	8.346
L Superior temporal gyrus	7.121	R Lingual gyrus	7.159
R Superior frontal gyrus	6.652	R Middle temporal gyrus	6.744
L Superior frontal gyrus	6.437	<i>L Middle temporal gyrus</i>	<i>6.483</i>
L Putamen	6.391	L Superior temporal gyrus	5.412
R Superior temporal gyrus	5.528	R Caudate	5.135
R Fusiform gyrus	5.102	R Banks STS	4.803
<u>R Thalamus</u>	<u>5.022</u>	R Inferior parietal cortex	4.639
<u>L Thalamus</u>	<u>4.732</u>	R Superior frontal gyrus	4.535
R Lingual gyrus	4.629	<u>R Precentral gyrus</u>	<u>4.425</u>
<u>R Lateral occipital cortex</u>	<u>4.124</u>	L Lingual gyrus	4.330
<u>L Pericalcarine cortex</u>	<u>4.069</u>	<u>R Caudal anterior cingulate cortex</u>	<u>3.925</u>
<i>L Inferior temporal gyrus</i>	3.797	L Superior frontal gyrus	3.862
R Middle temporal gyrus	3.732	<u>R Isthmus of the cingulate cortex</u>	<u>3.810</u>
R Inferior parietal cortex	3.728	<i>R Inferior temporal gyrus</i>	<i>3.734</i>
<u>R Hippocampus</u>	<u>3.626</u>	<u>L Precentral gyrus</u>	<u>3.596</u>

L = left, R = right; shared hubs shown in **bold**; hubs where the same contralateral region is a hub shown in *italics*; hubs that were not highly ranked in the comparison group are underlined. Z_{composite} is the composite score of each node's Z scores in the five hub measures described above.

The correlations between the hub score and the FBI and ALSFRS-R scores were computed for each of the unique hubs within each group. Three of the unique hubs in bvFTD+ patients correlated with FBI scores across all symptomatic C9+, indicating that behavioral impairment was associated with higher node strength (**Figure 4**). These hub nodes were the left thalamus (R=0.443, p=0.066), right thalamus (R=0.471, p=0.049), and right hippocampus (R=0.525, p=0.025).

Figure 4 – Correlation across all symptomatic C9+ carriers of Frontal Behavioral Inventory Scores with node strength of hubs that were more highly ranked in the bvFTD+ group than ALS-only group. (A) Left thalamus, $R=0.443$, $p=0.066$ (B) Right thalamus, $R=0.471$, $p=0.049$ (C) Right hippocampus, $R=0.525$, $p=0.025$.

3.2.3 Edge analysis – C9+ bvFTD+ vs. ALS-only

Several edges had reduced connectivity strength in the ALS-only group compared to the bvFTD+ group. All statistically significant differences consisted of decreases in connection strength in the ALS-only group compared with the bvFTD+ group. The frontal and temporal regions exhibited the greatest number of decreased connections (**Figure 5**).

285

Figure 5 – Edges with reduced connectivity strength in ALS-only group compared with bvFTD+/ALS-FTD. Significance was determined using permutation testing at $p < 0.001$ uncorrected. See Table 2 for region name abbreviations.

4 Discussion

In this study, we used graph theory metrics to explore alterations in network organization in *C9orf72* mutation carriers and network correlations with motor and cognitive-behavioral functional measures. Graph theory allows exploration of network organization and functionality as a whole, rather than correlations between activity in discrete regions. We found that global network measures of connectivity were reduced in a cohort of C9+ carriers with heterogeneous symptoms compared to healthy controls. Because *C9orf72* expansion mutations cause motor and cognitive-behavioral symptoms across the ALS-FTD spectrum, we had anticipated that brain regions known to be affected in sporadic forms of both diseases would exhibit connectivity changes. This was mostly confirmed, with reduced connectivity specifically found in connections involving the frontal and temporal regions and the right motor cortex, regions

known to be involved in cognitive and motor function. These findings are consistent with many prior studies in sporadic and C9+ FTD (13, 15, 22, 33, 46-52). However, as previously noted, the literature on resting state connectivity in sporadic ALS has many discordant results. Our findings are consistent with studies showing decreased connectivity in ALS (29, 30, 32, 51, 53). The nodes with the greatest numbers of impaired connections in the C9+ population represented regions that are involved in networks previously described as being affected in ALS and bvFTD. These regions—the right precentral, paracentral, supramarginal, and inferior temporal gyri, the pars opercularis, pars triangularis, and the left putamen—are parts of the sensorimotor, salience, and central executive networks (54, 55).

Interestingly, while overall connectivity was decreased in the C9+ group, there appeared to be no substantial reorganization of network hubs. This indicates a relatively diffuse global decline in connectivity. Further underscoring this point, the edge analysis found no regions with increased connectivity in the C9+ network compared to HCs. This relative preservation of network organization may be interpreted as supporting the proposal that networks can compensate for low grade degeneration for a substantial period in order to maintain clinical function (56, 57). An alternative possibility is that pooling C9+ ALS, ALS-FTD, bvFTD, and presymptomatic carriers into one analysis group masked phenotype-specific network alterations.

To explore this possibility, we compared subgroups of C9+ symptomatic patients with and without FTD. This analysis found no significant differences in global network measures between subgroups, indicating that the global decrease in connectivity observed in the full C9+ cohort was likely not solely driven by a large change in one of the phenotypic subgroups. However, nodal graph theory metrics revealed some organizational differences between subgroups. Both of the symptomatic phenotypic subgroups had several hubs that were unique in comparison with the healthy controls and from the other subgroup. In the ALS-only group (i.e., patients without FTD), the bilateral precentral gyri emerged as group-specific hubs. This implies that the ALS-only subgroup either had small increases in motor cortex connectivity or that the motor cortex connectivity remained relatively stable in the face of declining connectivity of the more active nodes. This phenomenon is consistent with resting state studies of patients with sporadic ALS that demonstrate localized increases in connectivity of motor regions (15, 25, 58, 59), and could represent a compensatory mechanism or the relative resilience of the motor network.

The bvFTD+ group had a greater number of subcortical hubs. The bilateral thalami and the right hippocampus were hub nodes in the bvFTD+ group and were not highly ranked in the ALS-only group or in healthy controls. The greater dependence on subcortical nodes could arise as a consequence of structural changes, including cortical atrophy (10, 15, 60, 61). The emergence of the thalamus as an important hub in C9+ bvFTD was somewhat surprising given that thalamic atrophy occurs in bvFTD (13, 16, 62) and in C9+ patients (8, 10, 15, 21). It also seems to conflict with resting state studies of bvFTD that report decreases in thalamic connectivity (47, 63). This may reflect differences between seed-based analysis methods (which may neglect global neuromodulatory changes) versus the whole-brain approach used here. On balance, these modulated connections could result in the thalamus having a more prominent role as a network hub. The emergence of the hippocampus as a hub may account for the preservation of memory in

the first few years of bvFTD symptoms (39, 62), although there are a few reports of hippocampal atrophy in bvFTD (48, 64).

To investigate the relationship between disease severity and network hub changes, we evaluated the correlation between hub scores of hubs unique to each subgroup and the FBI and ALSFRS-R scores of symptomatic C9+ carriers. The hub scores of the bilateral thalami and right hippocampus correlated with behavioral impairment as measured by the FBI. There was also significantly higher connectivity between the right hippocampus and the right and left middle frontal gyrus in the analysis of individual connections in the bvFTD+ group. Therefore, as the thalamus and hippocampus became more hub-like, patients exhibited more severe behavioral impairment. In contrast, there was no association between the hub scores and the ALSFRS-R scores for the unique hubs in the ALS-only group. The connectivity of these motor regions appears to change independently from this measure of motor symptom severity.

There are limitations in the present study that warrant discussion. First, because no subjects with sporadic disease were considered for this study, it is impossible to determine if any effects described here are unique to familial (and specifically C9-linked) disease. Second, as a result of our relatively small sample size, there is a large amount of heterogeneity in the study population and functional connectivity data. Subjects were grouped according to meeting diagnostic criteria for ALS and/or bvFTD; however, minor symptoms that were insufficient to meet criteria for a clinical diagnosis could nevertheless affect neural activity. Future studies with larger subgroups are warranted. Third, due to the wide variation in disease severity and duration amongst subjects, our cross-sectional design may not capture the full extent of functional connectivity changes in C9+ disease; a longitudinal study would be better suited to explore the evolution of functional connectivity changes across the ALS-FTD spectrum over time.

5 Conclusion

Carriers of the *C9orf72* repeat expansion mutation have decreased functional connectivity at rest compared with healthy controls. Global network organization is generally preserved, although local network alterations emerge in C9+ carriers with ALS versus FTD. Subcortical regions, including the bilateral thalami and the right hippocampus, emerge as hubs associated with the severity of behavioral impairment.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

RS contributed to data collection, analytical design, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript writing. MC contributed to data collection, data interpretation, and manuscript

editing. MKF contributed to project conception and design, data collection, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting.

Acknowledgements

Recruitment was made possible in part by ATSDR's National ALS Registry Research Notification Mechanism (<https://www.cdc.gov/als/ALSResearchNotificationClinicalTrialsStudies.html>). We gratefully acknowledge Jennifer Farren, R.N. for patient coordination, the neurologists who referred patients, and the patients and caregivers whose participation was invaluable. We also give our thanks to Laura Braun and Laura Danielian for their contributions in data collection. This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (<https://hpc.nih.gov>).

Funding

The study was supported by the intramural program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Z01 NS003146.

References

- DeJesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF, Boxer AL, Baker M, Rutherford NJ, et al. Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. *Neuron*. 2011;72(2):245-56.
- Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A, Simon-Sanchez J, Rollinson S, Gibbs JR, et al. A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-linked ALS-FTD. *Neuron*. 2011;72(2):257-68.
- Majounie E, Renton AE, Mok K, Dopper EG, Waite A, Rollinson S, et al. Frequency of the C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2012;11(4):323-30.
- Byrne S, Elamin M, Bede P, Shatunov A, Walsh C, Corr B, et al. Cognitive and clinical characteristics of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis carrying a C9orf72 repeat expansion: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2012;11(3):232-40.
- Cooper-Knock J, Kirby J, Highley R, Shaw PJ. The Spectrum of C9orf72-mediated Neurodegeneration and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2015;12(2):326-39.
- Floeter MK, Traynor BJ, Farren J, Braun LE, Tierney M, Wiggs EA, et al. Disease progression in C9orf72 mutation carriers. *Neurology*. 2017;89(3):234-41.
- Boeve BF, Boylan KB, Graff-Radford NR, DeJesus-Hernandez M, Knopman DS, Pedraza O, et al. Characterization of frontotemporal dementia and/or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis associated with the GGGGCC repeat expansion in C9ORF72. *Brain*. 2012;135(Pt 3):765-83.

- 438 8. Bede P, Bokde AL, Byrne S, Elamin M, McLaughlin RL, Kenna K, et al. Multiparametric MRI
439 study of ALS stratified for the C9orf72 genotype. *Neurology*. 2013;81(4):361-9.
- 440 9. Cash DM, Bocchetta M, Thomas DL, Dick KM, van Swieten JC, Borroni B, et al. Patterns of
441 gray matter atrophy in genetic frontotemporal dementia: results from the GENFI study. *Neurobiol*
442 *Aging*. 2018;62:191-6.
- 443 10. Floeter MK, Bageac D, Danielian LE, Braun LE, Traynor BJ, Kwan JY. Longitudinal imaging in
444 C9orf72 mutation carriers: Relationship to phenotype. *NeuroImage Clinical*. 2016;12:1035-43.
- 445 11. Whitwell JL, Weigand SD, Boeve BF, Senjem ML, Gunter JL, DeJesus-Hernandez M, et al.
446 Neuroimaging signatures of frontotemporal dementia genetics: C9ORF72, tau, progranulin and
447 sporadics. *Brain*. 2012;135(Pt 3):794-806.
- 448 12. Mahoney CJ, Beck J, Rohrer JD, Lashley T, Mok K, Shakespeare T, et al. Frontotemporal
449 dementia with the C9ORF72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion: clinical, neuroanatomical and
450 neuropathological features. *Brain*. 2012;135(Pt 3):736-50.
- 451 13. Lee SE, Khazenzon AM, Trujillo AJ, Guo CC, Yokoyama JS, Sha SJ, et al. Altered network
452 connectivity in frontotemporal dementia with C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion. *Brain*.
453 2014;137(Pt 11):3047-60.
- 454 14. Mahoney CJ, Simpson IJ, Nicholas JM, Fletcher PD, Downey LE, Golden HL, et al. Longitudinal
455 diffusion tensor imaging in frontotemporal dementia. *Ann Neurol*. 2015;77(1):33-46.
- 456 15. Agosta F, Ferraro PM, Riva N, Spinelli EG, Domi T, Carrera P, et al. Structural and functional
457 brain signatures of C9orf72 in motor neuron disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2017;57:206-19.
- 458 16. Lee SE, Sias AC, Mandelli ML, Brown JA, Brown AB, Khazenzon AM, et al. Network
459 degeneration and dysfunction in presymptomatic C9ORF72 expansion carriers. *NeuroImage*
460 *Clinical*. 2017;14:286-97.
- 461 17. Papma JM, Jiskoot LC, Panman JL, Dopfer EG, den Heijer T, Donker Kaat L, et al. Cognition
462 and gray and white matter characteristics of presymptomatic C9orf72 repeat expansion.
463 *Neurology*. 2017;89(12):1256-64.
- 464 18. Walhout R, Schmidt R, Westeneng HJ, Verstraete E, Seelen M, van Rheenen W, et al. Brain
465 morphologic changes in asymptomatic C9orf72 repeat expansion carriers. *Neurology*.
466 2015;85(20):1780-8.
- 467 19. Floeter MK, Danielian LE, Braun LE, Wu T. Longitudinal diffusion imaging across the C9orf72
468 clinical spectrum. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2018;89(1):53-60.
- 469 20. Westeneng HJ, Walhout R, Straathof M, Schmidt R, Hendrikse J, Veldink JH, et al. Widespread
470 structural brain involvement in ALS is not limited to the C9orf72 repeat expansion. *J Neurol*
471 *Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2016;87(12):1354-60.
- 472 21. Omer T, Finegan E, Hutchinson S, Doherty M, Vajda A, McLaughlin RL, et al. Neuroimaging
473 patterns along the ALS-FTD spectrum: a multiparametric imaging study. *Amyotroph Lateral*
474 *Scler Frontotemporal Degener*. 2017;18(7-8):611-23.
- 475 22. Caminiti SP, Canessa N, Cerami C, Dodich A, Crespi C, Iannaccone S, et al. Affective
476 mentalizing and brain activity at rest in the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia.
477 *NeuroImage: Clinical*. 2015;9:484-97.

- 478 23. Hafkemeijer A, Möller C, Dopfer EG, Jiskoot LC, van den Berg-Huysmans AA, van Swieten JC,
479 et al. A longitudinal study on resting state functional connectivity in behavioral variant
480 frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*.
481 2017;55(2):521-37.
- 482 24. Trojsi F, Caiazzo G, Corbo D, Piccirillo G, Cristillo V, Femiano C, et al. Microstructural changes
483 across different clinical milestones of disease in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *PloS one*.
484 2015;10(3):e0119045.
- 485 25. Douaud G, Filippini N, Knight S, Talbot K, Turner MR. Integration of structural and functional
486 magnetic resonance imaging in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain*. 2011;134(Pt 12):3470-9.
- 487 26. Heimrath J, Gorges M, Kassubek J, Müller HP, Birbaumer N, Ludolph AC, et al. Additional
488 resources and the default mode network: Evidence of increased connectivity and decreased white
489 matter integrity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal*
490 *Degener*. 2014;1-9.
- 491 27. Ma X, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Chen H, Li R, Wang J, et al. Altered cortical hubs in functional brain
492 networks in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurological Sciences*. 2015;36(11):2097-104.
- 493 28. Schulthess I, Gorges M, Müller H-P, Lulé D, Del Tredici K, Ludolph AC, et al. Functional
494 connectivity changes resemble patterns of pTDP-43 pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
495 *Scientific reports*. 2016;6.
- 496 29. Mohammadi B, Kollewé K, Samii A, Krampfl K, Dengler R, Munte TF. Changes of resting state
497 brain networks in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Exp Neurol*. 2009;217(1):147-53.
- 498 30. Tedeschi G, Trojsi F, Tessitore A, Corbo D, Sagnelli A, Paccone A, et al. Interaction between
499 aging and neurodegeneration in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012;33(5):886-
500 98.
- 501 31. Zhang J, Ji B, Hu J, Zhou C, Li L, Li Z, et al. Aberrant interhemispheric homotopic functional
502 and structural connectivity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*.
503 2016;jnnp-2016-314567.
- 504 32. Zhou C, Hu X, Hu J, Liang M, Yin X, Chen L, et al. Altered brain network in amyotrophic lateral
505 sclerosis: a resting graph theory-based network study at voxel-wise level. *Frontiers in*
506 *neuroscience*. 2016;10.
- 507 33. Agosta F, Sala S, Valsasina P, Meani A, Canu E, Magnani G, et al. Brain network connectivity
508 assessed using graph theory in frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*. 2013;81(2):134-43.
- 509 34. Loewe K, Machts J, Kaufmann J, Petri S, Heinze H-J, Borgelt C, et al. Widespread temporo-
510 occipital lobe dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7:40252.
- 511 35. Trojsi F, Esposito F, de Stefano M, Buonanno D, Conforti FL, Corbo D, et al. Functional overlap
512 and divergence between ALS and bvFTD. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014.
- 513 36. Verstraete E, van den Heuvel MP, Veldink JH, Blanken N, Mandl RC, Hulshoff Pol HE, et al.
514 Motor network degeneration in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a structural and functional
515 connectivity study. *PloS one*. 2010;5(10):e13664.
- 516 37. Rubinov M, Sporns O. Complex network measures of brain connectivity: uses and
517 interpretations. *NeuroImage*. 2010;52(3):1059-69.

- 518 38. Ludolph A, Drory VE, Hardiman O, Nakano I, Ravits J, Robberecht W, et al. A revision of the El
519 Escorial Criteria - 2015. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler and Frontotemporal Dementia*. 2015;16(5-
520 6):291-82.
- 521 39. Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, Mendez MF, Kramer JH, Neuhaus J, et al. Sensitivity of
522 revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*.
523 2011;134(Pt 9):2456-77.
- 524 40. Cedarbaum JM, Stambler N, Malta E, Fuller C, Hilt D, Thurmond B, et al. The ALSFRS-R: a
525 revised ALS functional rating scale that incorporates assessments of respiratory function. BDNF
526 ALS Study Group (Phase III). *J Neurol Sci*. 1999;169(1-2):13-21.
- 527 41. Kertesz A, Davidson W, Fox H. Frontal Behavioral Inventory: Diagnostic Criteria for Frontal
528 Lobe Dementi. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences*. 1997;24(1):29-36.
- 529 42. Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, et al. An automated
530 labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions
531 of interest. *NeuroImage*. 2006;31(3):968-80.
- 532 43. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic
533 correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage*.
534 2012;59(3):2142-54.
- 535 44. Nichols TE, Holmes AP. Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: a primer
536 with examples. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2002;15(1):1-25.
- 537 45. Fornito A, Zalesky A, Bullmore E. *Fundamentals of Brain Network Analysis*: Academic Press;
538 2016.
- 539 46. Filippi M, Agosta F, Scola E, Canu E, Magnani G, Marcone A, et al. Functional network
540 connectivity in the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Cortex*. 2013;49(9):2389-401.
- 541 47. Hafkemeijer A, Möller C, Dopfer EG, Jiskoot LC, Schouten TM, van Swieten JC, et al. Resting
542 state functional connectivity differences between behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia and
543 Alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 2015;9.
- 544 48. Jastorff J, De Winter FL, Van den Stock J, Vandenberghe R, Giese MA, Vandenbulcke M.
545 Functional dissociation between anterior temporal lobe and inferior frontal gyrus in the
546 processing of dynamic body expressions: Insights from behavioral variant frontotemporal
547 dementia. *Human brain mapping*. 2016;37(12):4472-86.
- 548 49. Meijboom R, Steketee R, de Koning I, Osse RJ, Jiskoot L, De Jong FJ, et al. Functional
549 connectivity and microstructural white matter changes in phenocopy frontotemporal dementia.
550 *European radiology*. 2017;27(4):1352-60.
- 551 50. Meijboom R, Steketee RM, Ham LS, van der Lugt A, van Swieten JC, Smits M. Differential
552 Hemispheric Predilection of Microstructural White Matter and Functional Connectivity
553 Abnormalities between Respectively Semantic and Behavioral Variant Frontotemporal Dementia.
554 *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2017;56(2):789-804.
- 555 51. Trojsi F, Esposito F, de Stefano M, Buonanno D, Conforti FL, Corbo D, et al. Functional overlap
556 and divergence between ALS and bvFTD. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2015;36(1):413-23.
- 557 52. Tuovinen T, Rytty R, Moilanen V, Elseoud AA, Veijola J, Remes AM, et al. The effect of gray
558 matter ICA and coefficient of variation mapping of BOLD data on the detection of functional

- connectivity changes in Alzheimer's disease and bvFTD. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 2016;10.
53. Zhou F, Gong H, Li F, Zhuang Y, Zang Y, Xu R, et al. Altered motor network functional connectivity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuroreport*. 2013;24(12):657-62.
54. Damoiseaux JS, Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, Stam CJ, Smith SM, et al. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103(37):13848-53.
55. Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, et al. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *J Neurosci*. 2007;27(9):2349-56.
56. Feis RA, Bouts M, de Vos F, Schouten TM, Panman JL, Jiskoot LC, et al. A multimodal MRI-based classification signature emerges just prior to symptom onset in frontotemporal dementia mutation carriers. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2019.
57. Rittman T, Borchert R, Jones S, van Swieten J, Borroni B, Galimberti D, et al. Functional network resilience to pathology in presymptomatic genetic frontotemporal dementia. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2019;77:169-77.
58. Agosta F, Valsasina P, Absinta M, Riva N, Sala S, Prella A, et al. Sensorimotor functional connectivity changes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Cereb Cortex*. 2011;21(10):2291-8.
59. Schulthess I, Gorges M, Muller HP, Lule D, Del Tredici K, Ludolph AC, et al. Functional connectivity changes resemble patterns of pTDP-43 pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:38391.
60. Mahoney CJ, Downey LE, Ridgway GR, Beck J, Clegg S, Blair M, et al. Longitudinal neuroimaging and neuropsychological profiles of frontotemporal dementia with C9ORF72 expansions. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2012;4(5):41.
61. Yokoyama JS, Rosen HJ. Neuroimaging features of C9ORF72 expansion. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2012;4(6):45.
62. Seeley WW, Crawford R, Rascofsky K, Kramer JH, Weiner M, Miller BL, et al. Frontal paralimbic network atrophy in very mild behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Archives of neurology*. 2008;65(2):249-55.
63. Farb NA, Grady CL, Strother S, Tang-Wai DF, Masellis M, Black S, et al. Abnormal network connectivity in frontotemporal dementia: evidence for prefrontal isolation. *Cortex*. 2013;49(7):1856-73.
64. Rytty R, Nikkinen J, Paavola L, Elseoud AA, Moilanen V, Visuri A, et al. GroupICA dual regression analysis of resting state networks in a behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 2013;7.