Newborn Life Support Course: Does it make me more confident when resuscitating a newborn?

Laurent Renesme¹, Maria Merched², Olivier Tandonnet¹, Julien Naud³

Affiliations.

¹ Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, University Hospital of Bordeaux, France.

² INSERM, CIC-P 1401, Bordeaux, France.

³ Paediatric Transport Team, SMUR Bordeaux, University Hospital of Bordeaux, France.

Corresponding author: Laurent Renesme, Unité de Néonatalogie Soins Intensifs-

Pédiatrie de Maternité, Centre Aliénor d'Aquitaine, Place Amélie Raba Léon 33000

Bordeaux France, Laurent.renesme@gmail.com, Phone: +33556795535.

Article word count: 1292.

Abstract word count: 253.

Abstract

Objective. To describe the effectiveness of the Neonatal Life Support (NLS) course in terms of attendees' knowledge, perceived self-efficacy, and clinical applicability.

Methods. We conducted an electronic survey of NLS course attendees (NLS+ group). The survey had six themes: i) demographic characteristics; ii) NLS clinical applicability; iii) attendee's perceived proficiency at neonatal resuscitation; iv) attendee's perceived experience of fluency, security, and quality of care during neonatal resuscitation; v) knowledge (multiple-choice questions); and vi) perceived personal and professional impact of the NLS course. A control group (NLS-) was recruited via our regional perinatal network. The survey data were analysed anonymously. Multiple linear regression analysis examined the following: NLS course, job tenure, maternity level, and profession.

Results. The survey completion rate was 62% (200/323) for the NLS+ group. Among participants, 84% had participated in neonatal resuscitation since their course. The scores for positive perceived experience for neonatal resuscitation (fluency, security, and quality of care delivered) were higher in the NLS+ group than the NLS- group ($p < 0.006$). After adjustment, the independent factors associated with a higher positive perceived experience were the NLS course, work in tertiary level maternity ward, and job tenure > 5 years. The multiple-choice questions score ($n = 10$) was 8.2 ± 1.3 (NLS+) vs. 6.7 ± 1.5 (NLS-) ($p < 0.0001$). NLS course, medical degree, and work in a tertiary level maternity ward were independently associated with higher knowledge scores.

Conclusion. The NLS course was associated with better knowledge of, and a positive perceived experience regarding, neonatal resuscitation.

Keywords: Neonatal Life Support (NLS); neonatal resuscitation; perceived experience; self-efficacy; knowledge retention.

Introduction

Adaptation to the extra-uterine environment is a major physiological transition. Some newborns, especially preterm infants, require intervention at birth, most often as non-invasive respiratory support¹. Management of these newborns is paramount, as poor adaptation at birth, despite its low incidence, is associated with high morbidity and mortality²⁻⁴.

The European Resuscitation Council (ERC) developed the Newborn Life Support (NLS) course based on international recommendations from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) and ERC⁵. This course is widely taught in Europe. However, only a few studies have evaluated its impact, focusing mainly on the attendees' performance at technical procedures⁶⁻⁸.

Therefore, we assessed the effectiveness of the NLS course in terms of the attendees' knowledge, perceived self-efficacy, and clinical applicability.

Methods

Study population. We enrolled two groups from among perinatal healthcare professionals from the same geographic region (Nouvelle Aquitaine, France). NLS course (NLS+ group) attendees were recruited via our local NLS course attendee email list (years 2014–2018). A control group (NLS–) were recruited via our regional perinatal network mailing list.

Survey design. We developed an anonymous self-assessment questionnaire based on Artino *et al.*^{9,10}. The items assessing the participants' perceived experience were answered using a 5-level Likert scale. Three NLS experts reviewed the initial survey to ensure its validity and proper understanding of the items. The survey was administered using Google Form; reminder emails were sent 7 and 14 days after dissemination of the online survey.

The NLS+ group survey included 48 items divided into six parts. The first part included the participants' demographic characteristics. The second asked the participants to assess the applicability of the NLS course in their practice and if they had participated in neonatal resuscitation since the NLS course. For each positive response, the participants had to describe their perceived experience. The third asked the participants about their perceived proficiency with 10 items for neonatal resuscitation at birth (*e.g.* positive-pressure ventilation, chest compressions, etc.). In the fourth, the participants evaluated their perceived fluency, security, and quality of care during neonatal resuscitation. The fifth assessed theoretical knowledge with 10 multiple-choice questions (MCQs) from the NLS manual. Part six assessed the professional and personal impact of the NLS course: its applicability to professional life and improvement of professional qualifications and personal development. The NLS–controls were given the same survey minus parts 2 and 6.

Data analysis. Quantitative variables are presented as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. For items scored with Likert scales, the results were transformed into numerical values ranging from 1 (worst appreciation) to 5 (best appreciation). Qualitative variables are presented as percentages.

Univariate analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test (quantitative variables) or χ^2 test (qualitative variables). Multiple linear regression analysis was used to analyse the following exposure variables: NLS course (NLS+ vs. NLS–), job tenure (≤ 5 vs. > 5 years), maternity level (tertiary vs. primary or secondary), and profession (physician vs. paramedic and midwives).

The statistical analyses were carried out using R¹¹.

Results

Study populations. For the NLS+ group, 200 surveys were completed by 323 NLS course attendees from 2014 to 2018 (completion rate 62%). The control NLS– group completed 65 surveys. There were no missing data in the surveys. Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the NLS+ and NLS– groups. Forty-six (23%) participants from the NLS+ group declared having attended another neonatal resuscitation training, compared to 47 (72%) in the NLS– group ($p < .0001$).

NLS applicability in professional work (NLS+ group). Since their NLS course, 84% of the respondents had participated in neonatal resuscitation in the delivery room, in 48% of the cases as the leader. Participation in emergency procedures included upper airway management (82%), positive-pressure ventilation (63%), umbilical venous catheter insertion (31%), chest compressions (27%), and adrenaline administration (18%).

The perceived experience score for fluency (/5) when performing technical procedures was as follows: leadership 3.9 ± 0.7 , upper airway management 4.3 ± 0.7 ,

positive-pressure ventilation 4.2 ± 0.8 , chest compressions 4.1 ± 0.9 , umbilical venous catheter insertion 4.6 ± 0.6 , and adrenaline administration 4.4 ± 0.8 .

Participants declared that the NLS course has good applicability in their professional life (4.1 ± 1) and believed that NLS had improved their professional qualifications (4.2 ± 0.8) and personal development (4.3 ± 0.8).

Theoretical knowledge. The MCQ score (/10) was 8.2 ± 1.3 for the NLS+ group vs. 6.7 ± 1.5 for the NLS- controls ($p < 0.0001$).

Perceived proficiencies and experience.

Participants' perceived proficiencies for 10 items for neonatal resuscitation at birth are presented in table 2.

The respective average scores for perceived fluency, security, and quality of care during neonatal resuscitation (/5) for the NLS+ and NLS- groups were 3.6 ± 0.8 vs. 3.1 ± 1.1 ($p = 0.0002$), 3.6 ± 0.9 vs. 3.1 ± 1 ($p < 0.0001$), and 3.7 ± 0.9 vs. 3.3 ± 1 ($p = 0.0006$).

Table 3 shows the results of the multiple linear regression analysis for perceived experience (fluency, security and quality of care during neonatal resuscitation) and theoretical knowledge (MCQ score). After adjustment, NLS course, work in tertiary level maternity ward, and job tenure > 5 years were independent factors associated with a more positive perceived experience. NLS course, medical profession, and work in a tertiary level maternity ward were independent factors associated with better theoretical knowledge.

Discussion

In our study, the majority of NLS course attendees had participated in one or more neonatal resuscitations in the delivery room since their initial course (84%). The NLS course was associated with a positive perceived experience of fluency ($> 4/5$) for all technical procedures. Participation in the NLS course was an independent predictor of a positive perceived experience in terms of fluency, safety, quality of care delivered, and better theoretical knowledge.

Three studies have evaluated the NLS course and its impact, assessing technical skills on a manikin ⁶, upper airway management and theoretical knowledge ⁷, and positive-pressure ventilation and theoretical knowledge ⁸. The NLS course was associated with better knowledge and improved ventilation technique. However, these skills deteriorate over time. Evaluation of a similar course (the Neonatal Resuscitation Program) also found discrepancies between technical skills and knowledge, with deterioration in technical skills shortly after training and better retention of knowledge ¹².

The originality of our study was that it focused on the perceived experience of NLS attendees, *i.e.* self-efficacy, a cognitive process indicating people's confidence in their ability to effect a given behaviour ¹³. This performance-based confidence can be distinguished from both theoretical knowledge and technical skills necessary to perform resuscitation. Self-efficacy seems to be important for the success of resuscitation. Healthcare professionals who are knowledgeable and qualified in technical resuscitation procedures may not apply them successfully if they do not have a sufficiently strong belief in their own abilities ¹⁴. The data in the literature are discordant regarding self-efficacy and skills self-assessment ^{7,14,15}. However, Olson *et al.* showed that training in

neonatal resuscitation procedures improves the feeling of self-efficacy¹⁶. The higher this feeling of self-efficacy was, the more caregivers tended to use positive-pressure ventilation at birth. This emphasised the importance of a positive perceived experience in terms of “self-efficacy” to be able to feel comfortable and undertake neonatal resuscitation.

The main limitation of our study is the small number of participants in the control group, as compared to the NLS+ group. However, this selection bias was limited by adjustment (multiple linear regression analysis).

Conclusion

Participation in an NLS course was associated with a positive perceived experience in terms of fluency, safety, and quality of care delivered in the event of neonatal resuscitation in the delivery room and with a better theoretical knowledge. Based on our results, the NLS course seems to strengthen the feeling of “self-efficacy” in healthcare professionals, which is critical to performing neonatal resuscitation.

The English in this document has been checked by at least two professional editors, both native speakers of English. For a certificate, please see:

<http://www.textcheck.com/certificate/r5hKX1>

Ethics. The Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Bordeaux emitted a favourable recommendation for this study (Reference GP-CE2020-24).

Conflicts of Interest. The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgments. The authors want to acknowledge Pr J. Harambat for his advice and help with the statistical analysis.

References.

1. Wyckoff MH, Perlman JM, Laptook AR. Use of volume expansion during delivery room resuscitation in near-term and term infants. *Pediatrics* 2005; 115: 950–955.
2. Casey BM, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ. The continuing value of the Apgar score for the assessment of newborn infants. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 344: 467–471.
3. Ehrenstein V. Association of Apgar scores with death and neurologic disability. *Clin Epidemiol* 2009; 1: 45–53.
4. Lie KK, Grøholt E-K, Eskild A. Association of cerebral palsy with Apgar score in low and normal birthweight infants: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2010; 341: c4990.
5. Wyllie J, Bruinenberg J, Roehr CC, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 7. Resuscitation and support of transition of babies at birth. *Resuscitation* 2015; 95: 249–263.
6. Cusack J, Fawke J. Neonatal resuscitation: are your trainees performing as you think they are? A retrospective review of a structured resuscitation assessment for neonatal medical trainees over an 8-year period. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2012; 97: F246-248.
7. Mosley CMJ, Shaw BNJ. A longitudinal cohort study to investigate the retention of knowledge and skills following attendance on the Newborn Life support course. *Arch Dis Child* 2013; 98: 582–586.
8. Mileder LP, Gressl J, Urlesberger B, et al. Paramedics' Newborn Life Support Knowledge and Skills Before and After a Targeted Simulation-Based Educational Intervention. *Front Pediatr* 2019; 7: 132.
9. Artino AR, Phillips AW, Utrankar A, et al. 'The Questions Shape the Answers': Assessing the Quality of Published Survey Instruments in Health Professions Education Research. *Acad Med* 2018; 93: 456–463.
10. Artino AR, Gehlbach H, Durning SJ. AM last page: Avoiding five common pitfalls of survey design. *Acad Med* 2011; 86: 1327.
11. R: The R Project for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/> (accessed 26 May2020).
12. Patel J, Posencheg M, Ades A. Proficiency and retention of neonatal resuscitation skills by pediatric residents. *Pediatrics* 2012; 130: 515–521.
13. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol Rev* 1977; 84: 191–215.

14. Maibach EW, Schieber RA, Carroll MF. Self-efficacy in pediatric resuscitation: implications for education and performance. *Pediatrics* 1996; 97: 94–99.
15. Singhal N, Lockyer J, Fidler H, et al. Helping Babies Breathe: global neonatal resuscitation program development and formative educational evaluation. *Resuscitation* 2012; 83: 90–96.
16. Olson KR, Caldwell A, Sihombing M, et al. Assessing self-efficacy of frontline providers to perform newborn resuscitation in a low-resource setting. *Resuscitation* 2015; 89: 58–63.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics.

Table 2. Perceived proficiencies.

Table 3. Multiple linear regression analysis.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics.

		NLS+ group n=200	NLS- group n=65	p value
Age (year) (mean $\pm$ standard deviation)		35 $\pm$ 9.4	42 $\pm$ 11.2	<.0001
Male n (%)		25 (12)	8 (12)	0.97
Profession n (%)	Paramedic	25 (12)	6 (9)	0.48
	Midwife	100 (50)	47 (72)	0.002
	Physician	75 (38)	12 (19)	0.0045
Maternity level n (%)	Primary	43 (22)	22 (34)	0.04
	Secondary	44 (22)	27 (41)	0.002
	Tertiary	88 (44)	7 (11)	<.0001
	Structure with no maternity	25 (12)	9 (14)	0.78
Job tenure (year) (mean $\pm$ standard deviation)		9.7 $\pm$ 8.5	16.8 $\pm$ 10.7	<.0001

Univariate analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test (quantitative variables) or χ^2 test (qualitative variables).

Table 2. Perceived proficiencies.

	NLS+ group n=200	NLS- group n=65	p value
Initial assessment and support of transition.	4.4 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 0.8	0.2
Prevent hypothermia.	4.6 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.8	0.2
Leadership.	3.7 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 1	0.03
Upper airway management.	4.5 ± 0.6	4.2 ± 0.9	0.03
Positive pressure ventilation.	4.3 ± 0.7	4.0 ± 1.1	0.02
Positive pressure ventilation efficacy evaluation. Strategies to correct inadequate ventilation.	4.0 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 1.1	0.03
Chest compressions.	4.0 ± 0.8	3.7 ± 1.1	0.3
Umbilical venous catheter insertion.	3.4 ± 1.3	2.1 ± 1.4	<0.0001
Adrenaline administration.	3.7 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 1.2	0.5
Tracheal intubation.	2.7 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 1.3	0.9

mean ± standard deviation.

Univariate analysis (Mann–Whitney *U*-test).

Table 3. Multiple linear regression analysis.

a. Perceived experience of **fluency** during neonatal resuscitation.

	Beta value	p value	R ²
Job tenure > 5 years	0.32	5x10⁻³	0.14
Tertiary level	0.513	1x10⁻⁵	
Physician	0.01	0.92	
NLS+	0.46	5x10⁻⁴	

b. Perceived experience of **security** during neonatal resuscitation.

	Beta value	p value	R ²
Job tenure > 5 years	0.35	0.002	0.18
Tertiary level	0.67	1.8x10⁻⁸	
Physician	-0.04	0.72	
NLS+	0.43	0.001	

c. Perceived experience of **quality** of care during neonatal resuscitation.

	Beta value	p value	R ²
Job tenure > 5 years	0.36	2x10⁻³	0.12
Tertiary level	0.49	4.4x10⁻⁵	
Physician	0	0.58	
NLS+	0.42	2x10⁻³	

d. MCQ score.

	Beta value	p value	R ²
Job tenure > 5 years	-0.25	0.13	0.29
Tertiary level	0.63	1.8x10-4	
Physician	0.67	8x10-5	
NLS+	1.1	2.3x10-8	

Exposure variables:

- Job tenure : ≤ 5 vs. > 5 years.
- Maternity level : tertiary vs. primary or secondary.
- Profession : physician vs. paramedic and midwives.
- NLS course : NLS+ vs. NLS–

R² : coefficient of determination.