Submission Type: Short Report
 Abstract word count: **69** Words
 Main Text Word Count: 1460/1500
 References: 19/20
 # Display Items: 3/4
 Online Methods Word Count: 1395
 Methods References: 13
 Supplement Word Count: 342
 # Supplemental Tables: 3
 # Supplemental Figures: 1

Polygenic Risk Scores for Alcohol Involvement Relate to Brain Structure in Substance-Naïve Children: Results from the ABCD Study

Alexander S. Hatoum¹, Emma C. Johnson¹, David A.A. Baranger³, Sarah E. Paul², Arpana Agrawal¹, & Ryan Bogdan²

¹Washington University St. Louis Medical School, Department of Psychiatry; ²Washington University St. Louis, Department of Psychology & Brain Sciences; ³Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA

ABSTRACT

In substance naïve children (n=3,013), polygenic risk score (PRS) for problematic alcohol use was associated with lower volume of the frontal pole and greater cortical thickness of the supramarginal gyrus. Several other areas showed nominal significance. These associations suggest that genetic liability to alcohol involvement may manifest as variability in brain structure prior to consumption of the first alcoholic drink and alter brain morphometry during the start of adolescence.

Excessive alcohol use is an escalating international health problem that accounts for over 5% of global deaths and disease burden (World Health Organization, 2018). Alcohol use and use disorders have been reliably associated with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-derived brain structure phenotypes, particularly among regions and pathways that feature prominently in executive function, incentive salience, and negative emotionality (Hampton et al., 2019; Mackey et al., 2019). Consistent with evidence from non-human animal models, it is widely speculated that these structural reductions arise as a consequence of chronic alcohol exposure and contribute to the development of alcohol-related comorbidities (e.g., risk taking, Alzheimer's Disease)(Crews, 2008; Yu et al., 2016). However, emerging data challenging this common interpretation suggest that these brain structure correlates may, at least partially, reflect genetically conferred predisposing risk factors for alcohol involvement (Baranger et al., 2019; Robert et al., 2019).

Building upon twin and family studies documenting the moderate heritability of alcohol use and use disorders (30-50%) (Verhulst et al., 2015; Walters, 2002), large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have begun to reliably characterize their polygenic architecture. Polygenic risk scores (PRS) that effectively capture this polygenic liability can be combined with neuroimaging data to investigate whether individual differences in neural phenotypes may be partially attributable to common underlying genomic vulnerability and/or arise following substance exposure, use, and/or problematic use. However, given the ubiquity of lifetime alcohol use, efforts to disentangle such predispositional effects from neurotoxic consequences of chronic exposure have been limited.

Here, among 3,013 substance-naïve^a children (age=9.92±0.62 years, 47% Female; 100% genomically-confirmed European ancestry; **Supplemental Table 1**) who completed the baseline session of the ongoing Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study (data release 2.0.1), we test whether PRS for typical alcohol use and problematic use are associated with brain structure phenotypes. To this end, we generated PRS using the largest available GWASs of alcohol use (i.e., alcohol drinks/week [DPW-PRS], training n=537,349) and problematic use (i.e., alcohol use disorder/problem use [PAU-PRS], training n=352,365) and considered MRI-derived brain structure phenotypes that have been previously linked to substance involvement and related phenotypes (i.e., total and regional: cortical thickness, surface area and volume; subcortical volume; white matter volume and integrity). Mixed effects regressions were nested by family and scanner and included the following fixed covariates in all analyses: age, sex, age by sex, first 20 ancestrally-informative PCs, genotyping batch, socioeconomic status proxies (caregiver education, combined family income, and parent marital status were all considered as separate covariates), and prenatal substance exposure. False-discovery rate (FDR) correction within modality (e.g., cortical thickness) was used to adjust for multiple testing. We hypothesized that PRS for typical and problematic alcohol use would be associated with brain structure metrics previously linked to alcohol involvement, even in the absence of alcohol exposure. Further, we expected that variability in brain structure would indirectly link alcohol involvement PRS to related behavioral risk factors (i.e., cognitive performance, internalizing symptoms, externalizing symptoms). Methodological details are provided in **Online Methods**. Results surviving FDR correction are discussed here; all results are presented in the **Supplement**.

^a Children self-reported no substance use (including substances other than alcohol or tobacco) and screened negative according to hair toxicology (see **Supplementary Information for a table of exclusions**). All participants were required to have non-missing data on all variables used in analyses.

Consistent with evidence of lower frontal pole gray matter volume among adolescents who drink heavily(Heikkinen et al., 2017), *PAU-PRS* were associated with reduced frontal pole gray matter volume (standardized $\beta = -0.054$, $r^2 = 0.003$, $p_{\text{fdr}} = 0.031$; **Figure 1; Table 1**). Notably, *DPW-PRS* showed a similar pattern of findings, albeit at nominal levels of significance (**Table 1**); given that typical and problematic alcohol use show similar neural correlates(Paul et al., 2008) and are genetically correlated ($rg = 0.78$),(Zhou et al., 2020) such consistency is unsurprising. Results from a regression with *PAU-PRS* and *DPW-PRS* entered simultaneously show that this finding is driven primarily by *PAU-PRS* (**Online Methods; Supplementary Table 1**). However, unlike other studies showing reduced volume is associated with increased (Gröpper et al., 2016) externalizing behavior, we found no evidence that externalizing or other putative alcohol-related behaviors (e.g., cognitive performance) were associated with frontal pole volume.

We also found that *PAU-PRS* were associated with greater supramarginal gyrus cortical thickness (standardized $\beta = 0.009$, $r^2 = 0.001$, $p_{\text{fdr}} = 0.045$; **Figure 1; Table 1**).^b Greater supramarginal gyrus cortical thickness has been found in substance-naïve children of alcohol dependent individuals and temporally predicts the initiation of regular alcohol use during adolescence (Holla et al., 2019; Jacobus et al., 2016). In contrast, lower supramarginal gyrus cortical thickness has been observed among individuals with alcohol dependence (Mackey et al., 2019). Together, this evidence raises the intriguing possibility that some brain structure correlates of alcohol involvement may be developmentally dependent. Indeed, throughout childhood and adolescence neuronal pruning appears to support long term planning, working

^b Analyses in individuals of African ancestry (n=898) using *PAU* GWAS results from an African ancestral discovery (training n= 62,447) yielded no significant associations, presumably due to low power (**Online Methods; Supplemental Table 3**). GWAS summary statistics for Drinks Per Week are not currently available for those of African ancestry.

memory performance, language, and attention (Selemon, 2013) and it has been postulated that greater indices of gray matter among those at familial risk for alcohol problems may reflect a developmental delay in neural pruning that places adolescents at risk for substance use, which, in turn, accelerates neuronal pruning in later development (Winters and Arria, 2011). Given that greater cortical thickness of the supramarginal gyrus is present among those at elevated risk for problematic alcohol use and this region supports cognition and language (Stoeckel et al., 2009), this is plausible. However, supramarginal gyrus cortical thickness was not significantly correlated with cognition (**Supplement**); it remains possible that such associations are developmentally dependent and coincide with alcohol experimentation. No brain regions were discovered for typical alcohol use after correction for multiple comparisons (DPW-PRS, supplemental Results).

Several other nominally significant associations arose in analyses (**Table 1**). First, the inferior and superior parietal cortex and the insula have been previously associated with substance dependence in large mega-analyses (Mackey et al., 2019), but in the opposite direction of those discovered. This mirrors our findings with the supramarginal gyrus, as the results show opposite patterns in adults, but replicate past findings in adolescence that find these same directions of effect in likelihood of initiation and in familial risk for AUD.(Holla et al., 2019; Jacobus et al., 2016). It is possible that brain regions exerting the most dominant influence may show fluid changes across development, and that individual difference genetics as well as alcohol exposure(Shnitko et al., 2019) may drive developmentally dependent differences.

Here, among 3,013 substance-naïve children, we find evidence that alcohol-related differences in brain structure may, at least partially, reflect genetically-conferred predispositional risk factors that precede alcohol exposure. These findings, combined with evidence from humans

and non-human animal models challenge widespread speculation that brain-based associations with alcohol are solely attributable to the neurotoxic effects of alcohol (Baranger et al., 2019). It is important to consider the limitations of this study. First, while the study of alcohol and substance naïve children allowed us to preclude that associations may be attributable to alcohol exposure, we were unable to test whether brain structure correlates of genomic risk are associated with onsets of alcohol use and other drinking milestones. As the longitudinal ABCD study continues to collect data, it will be particularly interesting to examine how the interplay between polygenic risk and exposure influence brain structure trajectories, and vice versa (i.e., how malleability of brain development modulates escalation or desistance in alcohol use). Second, PRS typically have low cross-trait (i.e., from GWAS phenotype to a related phenotype) predictive utility. While our findings suggest that variability in brain structure may represent predispositional biomarkers for alcohol involvement, the small effect sizes (i.e., maximum $R^2=0.003$) and resource intensive nature of neuroimaging limit their clinical utility. Further, these small effects combined with multiple testing burden may have contributed to false negative, and we should not conclude that a null effect here means an association in adults is due to neurotoxicity. Third, as the discovery GWAS were of individuals of predominantly European descent, we restricted our ABCD sample to children of similar ancestral background. Our analyses in the African-ancestry sample of ABCD revealed no significant results (supplemental table 3), likely due to low power of the discovery GWAS of matched ancestry ($N = 62,447$ vs. $352,365$ for the European-ancestry GWAS). This highlights the great need for more non-European discovery samples.

Limitations notwithstanding, our study provides initial evidence that alcohol-related brain structure correlates represent genomic risk factors for alcohol involvement. This does not

148 preclude the possibility of neurotoxic effects, as we have not tested the reverse. Rather, this
149 bolsters the argument that genetic vulnerability is conveyed via alterations in brain structure and
150 that these alterations are present during middle childhood.

151

Reference Cited

- Achenbach TM, McConaughy SH, Howell CT. 1987. Child/adolescent behavioral and emotional problems: Implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. *Psychol Bull* **101**:213–232. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.101.2.213
- Baranger DAA, Demers CH, Elsayed NM, Knodt AR, Radtke SR, Desmarais A, Few LR, Agrawal A, Heath AC, Barch DM, Squeglia LM, Williamson DE, Hariri AR, Bogdan R. 2019. Convergent Evidence for Predispositional Effects of Brain Gray Matter Volume on Alcohol Consumption. *Biol Psychiatry*. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.08.029
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J Stat Softw* **67**:1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Baurley JW, Edlund CK, Pardamean CI, Conti D V., Bergen AW. 2016. Smokescreen: A targeted genotyping array for addiction research. *BMC Genomics* **17**. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-2495-7
- Bogdan R, Baranger DAA, Agrawal A. 2018. Polygenic Risk Scores in Clinical Psychology: Bridging Genomic Risk to Individual Differences. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* **14**. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050817-084847
- Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, Cohen AO, Barch DM, Heitzeg MM, Soules ME, Teslovich T, Dellarco D V., Garavan H, Orr CA, Wager TD, Banich MT, Speer NK, Sutherland MT, Riedel MC, Dick AS, Bjork JM, Thomas KM, Chaarani B, Mejia MH, Hagler DJ, Daniela Cornejo M, Sicat CS, Harms MP, Dosenbach NUF, Rosenberg M, Earl E, Bartsch H, Watts R, Polimeni JR, Kuperman JM, Fair DA, Dale AM. 2018. The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* **32**:43–54. doi:10.1016/J.DCN.2018.03.001
- Crews FT. 2008. Alcohol-related neurodegeneration and recovery: mechanisms from animal models. *Alcohol Res Health* **31**:377–88.
- Fischl B, Sereno MI, Tootell RBH, Dale AM. 1999. High-resolution intersubject averaging and a coordinate system for the cortical surface. *Hum Brain Mapp* **8**:272–284. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0193(1999)8:4<272::AID-HBM10>3.0.CO;2-4
- Ge T, Chen CY, Ni Y, Feng YCA, Smoller JW. 2019. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nat Commun* **10**:1–10. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09718-5
- Gershon RC, Wagster M V., Hendrie HC, Fox NA, Cook KF, Nowinski CJ. 2013. NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. *Neurology* **80**. doi:10.1212/wnl.0b013e3182872e5f
- Gröpper S, Spengler S, Stuke H, Gawron CK, Parnack J, Gutwinski S, Wiers CE, BERPohl F. 2016. Behavioral impulsivity mediates the relationship between decreased frontal gray matter volume and harmful alcohol drinking: A voxel-based morphometry study. *J Psychiatr Res* **83**:16–23. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.08.006
- Hagler DJ, Hatton SN, Cornejo MD, Makowski C, Fair DA, Dick AS, Sutherland MT, Casey BJ, Barch DM, Harms MP, Watts R, Bjork JM, Garavan HP, Hilmer L, Pung CJ, Sicat CS, Kuperman J, Bartsch H, Xue F, Heitzeg MM, Laird AR, Trinh TT, Gonzalez R, Tapert SF, Riedel MC, Squeglia LM, Hyde LW, Rosenberg MD, Earl EA, Howlett KD, Baker FC, Soules M, Diaz J, de Leon OR, Thompson WK, Neale MC, Herting M, Sowell ER, Alvarez RP, Hawes SW, Sanchez M, Bodurka J, Breslin FJ, Morris AS, Paulus MP, Simmons WK, Polimeni JR, van der Kouwe A, Nencka AS, Gray KM, Pierpaoli C, Matochik JA, Noronha

- 197 A, Aklin WM, Conway K, Glantz M, Hoffman E, Little R, Lopez M, Pariyadath V, Weiss
- 198 SR, Wolff-Hughes DL, DelCarmen-Wiggins R, Feldstein Ewing SW, Miranda-Dominguez
- 199 O, Nagel BJ, Perrone AJ, Sturgeon DT, Goldstone A, Pfefferbaum A, Pohl KM, Prouty D,
- 200 Uban K, Bookheimer SY, Dapretto M, Galvan A, Bagot K, Giedd J, Infante MA, Jacobus J,
- 201 Patrick K, Shilling PD, Desikan R, Li Y, Sugrue L, Banich MT, Friedman N, Hewitt JK,
- 202 Hopfer C, Sakai J, Tanabe J, Cottler LB, Nixon SJ, Chang L, Cloak C, Ernst T, Reeves G,
- 203 Kennedy DN, Heeringa S, Peltier S, Schulenberg J, Sripada C, Zucker RA, Iacono WG,
- 204 Luciana M, Calabro FJ, Clark DB, Lewis DA, Luna B, Schirda C, Brima T, Foxe JJ,
- 205 Freedman EG, Mruzek DW, Mason MJ, Huber R, McGlade E, Prescott A, Renshaw PF,
- 206 Yurgelun-Todd DA, Allgaier NA, Dumas JA, Ivanova M, Potter A, Florsheim P, Larson C,
- 207 Lisdahl K, Charness ME, Fuemmeler B, Hettema JM, Maes HH, Steinberg J, Anokhin AP,
- 208 Glaser P, Heath AC, Madden PA, Baskin-Sommers A, Constable RT, Grant SJ, Dowling
- 209 GJ, Brown SA, Jernigan TL, Dale AM. 2019. Image processing and analysis methods for
- 210 the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Neuroimage* **202**.
- 211 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116091
- 212 Hampton WH, Hanik IM, Olson IR. 2019. Substance abuse and white matter: Findings,
- 213 limitations, and future of diffusion tensor imaging research. *Drug Alcohol Depend.*
- 214 doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.02.005
- 215 Heikkinen N, Niskanen E, Könönen M, Tolmunen T, Kekkonen V, Kivimäki P, Tanila H,
- 216 Laukkanen E, Vanninen R. 2017. Alcohol consumption during adolescence is associated
- 217 with reduced grey matter volumes. *Addiction* **112**:604–613. doi:10.1111/add.13697
- 218 Holla B, Bharath RD, Venkatasubramanian G, Benegal V. 2019. Altered brain cortical
- 219 maturation is found in adolescents with a family history of alcoholism. *Addict Biol* **24**:835–
- 220 845. doi:10.1111/adb.12662
- 221 Jacobus J, Castro N, Squeglia LM, Meloy MJ, Brumback T, Huestis MA, Tapert SF. 2016.
- 222 Adolescent cortical thickness pre- and post marijuana and alcohol initiation. *Neurotoxicol*
- 223 *Teratol.* doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2016.09.005
- 224 Lam M, Awasthi S, Watson HJ, Goldstein J, Panagiotaropoulou G, Trubetskoy V, Karlsson R,
- 225 Frei O, Fan C-C, Witte W De, Mota NR, Mullins N, Skarabis N, Huang H, Neale B, Daly
- 226 M, Mattheissen M, Walters R, Ripke S. 2019. RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for CONsortias
- 227 PiPeLine. *bioRxiv* 587196. doi:10.1101/587196
- 228 Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, Datta G, Davila-Velderrain J, McGuire D,
- 229 Tian C, Zhan X, Agee M, Alipanahi B, Auton A, Bell RK, Bryc K, Elson SL, Fontanillas P,
- 230 Furlotte NA, Hinds DA, Hromatka BS, Huber KE, Kleinman A, Litterman NK, McIntyre
- 231 MH, Mountain JL, Northover CAM, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Sazonova O V., Shelton JF,
- 232 Shringarpure S, Tung JY, Vacic V, Wilson CH, Pitts SJ, Mitchell A, Skogholt AH,
- 233 Winsvold BS, Sivertsen B, Stordal E, Morken G, Kallestad H, Heuch I, Zwart JA, Fjukstad
- 234 KK, Pedersen LM, Gabrielsen ME, Johnsen MB, Skrove M, Indredavik MS, Drange OK,
- 235 Bjerkeset O, Børte S, Stensland SØ, Choquet H, Docherty AR, Faul JD, Foerster JR,
- 236 Fritsche LG, Gordon SD, Haessler J, Hottenga JJ, Huang H, Jang SK, Jansen PR, Ling Y,
- 237 Mägi R, Matoba N, McMahon G, Mulas A, Orrù V, Palviainen T, Pandit A, Reginsson GW,
- 238 Smith JA, Taylor AE, Turman C, Willemsen G, Young H, Young KA, Zajac GJM, Zhao W,
- 239 Zhou W, Bjornsdottir G, Boardman JD, Boehnke M, Boomsma DI, Chen C, Cucca F,
- 240 Davies GE, Eaton CB, Ehringer MA, Esko T, Fiorillo E, Gillespie NA, Gudbjartsson DF,
- 241 Haller T, Harris KM, Heath AC, Hewitt JK, Hickie IB, Hokanson JE, Hopfer CJ, Hunter
- 242 DJ, Iacono WG, Johnson EO, Kamatani Y, Kardina SLR, Keller MC, Kellis M, Kooperberg

C, Kraft P, Krauter KS, Laakso M, Lind PA, Loukola A, Lutz SM, Madden PAF, Martin NG, McGue M, McQueen MB, Medland SE, Metspalu A, Mohlke KL, Nielsen JB, Okada Y, Peters U, Polderman TJC, Posthuma D, Reiner AP, Rice JP, Rimm E, Rose RJ, Runarsdottir V, Stallings MC, Stančáková A, Stefansson H, Thai KK, Tindle HA, Tyrfinngsson T, Wall TL, Weir DR, Weisner C, Whitfield JB, Yin J, Zuccolo L, Bierut LJ, Hveem K, Lee JJ, Munafò MR, Saccone NL, Willer CJ, Cornelis MC, David SP, Jorgenson E, Kaprio J, Stitzel JA, Stefansson K, Thorgeirsson TE, Abecasis G, Liu DJ, Vrieze S. 2019. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet.* doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5

Mackey S, Allgaier N, Chaarani B, Spechler P, Orr C, Bunn J, Allen NB, Alia-Klein N, Batalla A, Blaine S, Brooks S, Caparelli E, Chye YY, Cousijn J, Dagher A, Desrivieres S, Feldstein-Ewing S, Foxe JJ, Goldstein RZ, Goudriaan AE, Heitzeg MM, Hester R, Hutchison K, Korucuoglu O, Li C-SR, London E, Lorenzetti V, Luijten M, Martin-Santos R, May A, Momenan R, Morales A, Paulus MP, Pearlson G, Rousseau M-E, Salmeron BJ, Schluter R, Schmaal L, Schumann G, Sjoerds Z, Stein DJ, Stein EA, Sinha R, Solowij N, Tapert S, Uhlmann A, Veltman D, van Holst R, Whittle S, Wiers R, Wright MJ, Yücel M, Zhang S, Yurgelun-Todd D, Hibar DP, Jahanshad N, Evans A, Thompson PM, Glahn DC, Conrod P, Garavan H. 2019. Mega-Analysis of Gray Matter Volume in Substance Dependence: General and Substance-Specific Regional Effects. *Am J Psychiatry* **176**:119–128. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17040415

Muthén & Muthén. 2017. Mplus Users Manual.

Paul CA, Au R, Fredman L, Massaro JM, Seshadri S, DeCarli C, Wolf PA. 2008. Association of alcohol consumption with brain volume in the Framingham study. *Arch Neurol* **65**:1363–1367. doi:10.1001/archneur.65.10.1363

Robert GH, Luo Q, Yu T, Chu C, Ing A, Jia T, Papadopoulos Orfanos D, Burke-Quinlan E, Desrivieres S, Ruggeri B, Spechler P, Chaarani B, Tay N, Banaschewski T, Bokde ALW, Bromberg U, Flor H, Frouin V, Gowland P, Heinz A, Ittermann B, Martinot JL, Paillère Martinot ML, Nees F, Poustka L, Smolka MN, Vetter NC, Walter H, Whelan R, Conrod P, Barker T, Garavan H, Schumann G. 2019. Association of Gray Matter and Personality Development with Increased Drunkenness Frequency during Adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry*. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.4063

Sanchez-Roige S, Palmer AA, Fontanillas P, Elson SL, Adams MJ, Howard DM, Edenberg HJ, Davies G, Crist RC, Deary IJ, McIntosh AM, Clarke T-K, Clarke T-K. 2019. Genome-Wide Association Study Meta-Analysis of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) in Two Population-Based Cohorts. *Am J Psychiatry* **176**:107–118. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18040369

Selemon LD. 2013. A role for synaptic plasticity in the adolescent development of executive function. *Transl Psychiatry*. doi:10.1038/tp.2013.7

Shnitko TA, Liu Z, Wang X, Grant KA, Kroenke CD. 2019. Chronic alcohol drinking slows brain development in adolescent and young adult nonhuman primates. *eNeuro* **6**. doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0044-19.2019

Stoeckel C, Gough PM, Watkins KE, Devlin JT. 2009. Supramarginal gyrus involvement in visual word recognition. *Cortex* **45**:1091–1096. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2008.12.004

Verhulst B, Neale MC, Kendler KS. 2015. The heritability of alcohol use disorders: A meta-analysis of twin and adoption studies. *Psychol Med* **45**:1061–1072. doi:10.1017/S0033291714002165

- Walters GD. 2002. THE HERITABILITY OF ALCOHOL ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE: A META-ANALYSIS OF BEHAVIOR GENETIC RESEARCH. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* **28**:557–584. doi:10.1081/ADA-120006742
- Walters RK, Polimanti R, Johnson EC, McClintick JN, Adams MJ, Adkins AE, Aliev F, Bacanu SA, Batzler A, Bertelsen S, Biernacka JM, Bigdeli TB, Chen LS, Clarke TK, Chou YL, Degenhardt F, Docherty AR, Edwards AC, Fontanillas P, Foo JC, Fox L, Frank J, Giegling I, Gordon S, Hack LM, Hartmann AM, Hartz SM, Heilmann-Heimbach S, Herms S, Hodgkinson C, Hoffmann P, Jan Hottenga J, Kennedy MA, Alanne-Kinnunen M, Konte B, Lahti J, Lahti-Pulkkinen M, Lai D, Ligthart L, Loukola A, Maher BS, Mbarek H, McIntosh AM, McQueen MB, Meyers JL, Milanese Y, Palviainen T, Pearson JF, Peterson RE, Ripatti S, Ryu E, Saccone NL, Salvatore JE, Sanchez-Roige S, Schwandt M, Sherva R, Streit F, Strohmaier J, Thomas N, Wang JC, Webb BT, Wedow R, Wetherill L, Wills AG, Agee M, Alipanahi B, Auton A, Bell RK, Bryc K, Elson SL, Fontanillas P, Furlotte NA, Hinds DA, Huber KE, Kleinman A, Litterman NK, McCreight JC, McIntyre MH, Mountain JL, Noblin ES, Northover CAM, Pitts SJ, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Sazonova O V., Shelton JF, Shringarpure S, Tian C, Tung JY, Vacic V, Wilson CH, Boardman JD, Chen D, Choi DS, Copeland WE, Culverhouse RC, Dahmen N, Degenhardt L, Domingue BW, Elson SL, Frye MA, Gäbel W, Hayward C, Ising M, Keyes M, Kiefer F, Kramer J, Kuperman S, Lucae S, Lynskey MT, Maier W, Mann K, Männistö S, Müller-Myhsok B, Murray AD, Nurnberger JI, Palotie A, Preuss U, Rääkkönen K, Reynolds MD, Ridinger M, Scherbaum N, Schuckit MA, Soyka M, Treutlein J, Witt S, Wodarz N, Zill P, Adkins DE, Boden JM, Boomsma DI, Bierut LJ, Brown SA, Bucholz KK, Cichon S, Costello EJ, de Wit H, Diazgranados N, Dick DM, Eriksson JG, Farrer LA, Foroud TM, Gillespie NA, Goate AM, Goldman D, Grucza RA, Hancock DB, Harris KM, Heath AC, Hesselbrock V, Hewitt JK, Hopfer CJ, Horwood J, Iacono W, Johnson EO, Kaprio JA, Karpyak VM, Kendler KS, Kranzler HR, Krauter K, Lichtenstein P, Lind PA, McGue M, MacKillop J, Madden PAF, Maes HH, Magnusson P, Martin NG, Medland SE, Montgomery GW, Nelson EC, Nöthen MM, Palmer AA, Pedersen NL, Penninx BWJH, Porjesz B, Rice JP, Rietschel M, Riley BP, Rose R, Rujescu D, Shen PH, Silberg J, Stallings MC, Tarter RE, Vanyukov MM, Vrieze S, Wall TL, Whitfield JB, Zhao H, Neale BM, Gelernter J, Edenberg HJ, Agrawal A. 2018. Transancestral GWAS of alcohol dependence reveals common genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci* **21**:1656–1669. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0275-1
- Winters KC, Arria A. 2011. Adolescent Brain Development and Drugs. *Prev Res* **18**:21–24.
- World Health Organization. 2018. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- Yu R, Deochand C, Krotow A, Le’ao R, Tong M, Agarwal AR, Cadenas E, De La Monte SM. 2016. Tobacco Smoke-Induced Brain White Matter Myelin Dysfunction: Potential Co-Factor Role of Smoking in Neurodegeneration. *J Alzheimer’s Dis* **50**:133–148. doi:10.3233/JAD-150751
- Zhou H, Sealock JM, Sanchez-Roige S, Clarke T-K, Levey DF, Cheng Z, Li B, Polimanti R, Kember RL, Smith RV, Thygesen JH, Morgan MY, Atkinson SR, Thursz MR, Nyegaard M, Mattheisen M, Børglum AD, Johnson EC, Justice AC, Palmer AA, McQuillin A, Davis LK, Edenberg HJ, Agrawal A, Kranzler HR, Gelernter J. 2020. Genome-wide meta-analysis of problematic alcohol use in 435,563 individuals yields insights into biology and relationships with other traits. *Nat Neurosci* 1–10. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0643-5

Table 1. Nominally significant results for the PAU and DPW PRS Prediction

PAU				
Modality	Region	Beta	STE	Nominal P-value
Area	Right Insula	0.027	0.014	0.046
Area	Right Pars Triangularis	0.035	0.016	0.033
Thickness	Left Pars Orbitalis	-0.031	0.016	0.049
Thickness	Left Pars Opercularis	-0.028	0.014	0.043
Thickness	Right Lateral Orbital Frontal Gyrus	-0.035	0.015	0.017
Thickness	Right Supramarginal Gyrus	0.032	0.010	0.001*
Volume	Left Frontal Pole	-0.057	0.017	0.001*
fractional anisotropy	right anterior thalamic radiations	-0.024	0.012	0.046
fractional anisotropy	left anterior thalamic radiations	-0.025	0.012	0.030
White Matter volume	Corpus Callosum	-0.010	0.005	0.045
White Matter volume	Right Parahippocampal cingulum	-0.030	0.014	0.041
White Matter volume	Forceps Minor	-0.023	0.009	0.014
DPW				
Area	Right Insula	0.031	0.014	0.023
Area	Left Superior Parietal Gyrus	-0.033	0.013	0.013
Thickness	Right Inferior Parietal Gyrus	0.024	0.010	0.012
Thickness	Right Pericalcarine Cortex	-0.034	0.016	0.039
Thickness	Right Temporal Pole	-0.033	0.017	0.048
Volume	Right Entorhinal Cortex	-0.047	0.018	0.008
Volume	Left Insula	0.029	0.013	0.024
Volume	Right Insula	0.027	0.013	0.039
Volume	Left Superior Parietal Gyrus	-0.029	0.014	0.044
Volume	Left Frontal Pole	-0.034	0.017	0.049
Volume	Left Pallidum	-0.030	0.015	0.049
Mean Diffusivity	Right Parahippocampal Cingulum	-0.033	0.016	0.041
Mean Diffusivity	Right Inferior Longitudinal Fasciculus	0.029	0.013	0.029

Note. Nominal significant results shown by region across all modalities. STE= Standard Error.

* = Area was significant after FDR correction.

Table 2. Problematic Alcohol Use (PAU) and Drinks Per Week (DPW) PRS Prediction of Top

Outcomes in Multiple Linear Model, Accounting for the Other PRS

Region	PAU Beta	PAU P-value	PAU CI	DPW Beta	DPW P-Value	DPW CI
Frontal Pole	-0.0522	0.0060	±0.0371	-0.0129	0.0191	±0.9786
Supramarginal Gyrus	0.0331	0.0025	±0.0355	-0.0034	0.7575	±0.0213

Note. Both the PAU and DPW Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS) were entered as covariates in the same linear mixed effects model to test whether prediction of each outcome was specific to polygenic risk for each alcohol behavior. The standardized beta weights, p-values and confidence intervals around the beta were drawn from the mixed effects regression model. **Bold** values are those significant in the multiple regression.

The Million Veterans Project summary statistics were accessed via dbGaP

(phs001672.v1.p1) as part of #24806: Neurobiological bases of psychiatric traits. The

authors thank Million Veteran Program (MVP) staff, researchers, and volunteers, who have contributed to MVP, and especially participants who previously served their country in the military and now generously agreed to enroll in the study. (See <https://www.research.va.gov/mvp/> for more details).

The citation for MVP is Gaziano, J.M. et al. Million Veteran Program: A mega-biobank to study genetic influences on health and disease. *J Clin Epidemiol* 70, 214-23 (2016).

This research is based on data from the Million Veteran Program, Office of Research and Development, Veterans Health Administration, and was supported by the Veterans Administration (VA) Cooperative Studies Program (CSP) award #G002.

ASH receives support from DA007261-17. ECJ receives support from AA027435-02. AA receives support from MH109532 and K02DA032573. DAAB receives support from MH018951. Dr. Bogdan (AG052564, AA027827, DA046224). ASH, ECJ, AA, and RB developed the research questions. ASH conducted analyses, with help from ECJ, DAAB, and SEP. ASH and RB drafted the manuscript. ECJ, AA, DAAB, and SEP provided critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. ASH had full access to all data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analyses. Conflict of interest disclosures: No disclosures were reported.

369
370
371
372

PAU - Cortical Thickness

PAU - Cortical Volume

T-statistic

Figure 1. Results of polygenic risk prediction for Problematic Alcohol Use (PAU) plotted on the cortex. The results are shown for those modalities that had significant regions in the Desikan atlas after controlling for mean of the modality, prenatal alcohol exposure, age, sex, age by sex, first 20 principal components, SES, and accounting for family and site as random nested effects. Red indicates areas where higher PAU polygenic risk predicted more of that modality. In the top panel, we focus on supramarginal gyrus cortical thickness prediction by PRS, as this association was significant after multiple corrections. In the bottom panel, we focus on volume of the temporal pole, as this was significantly associated with PAU PRS after multiple correction.

Online Methods

Sample

The Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Study (ABCD) is an ongoing multi-site longitudinal study of child health and development. Children (N=11,875), ages 8.9-11 recruited from 22^c sites across the nation, completed a baseline assessment of child behavior, health and cognitive assessment. The study included a twin design, in which 2,108 participants were twins and 30 were triplets. For our analyses, the sample was restricted to those of genomically-confirmed European ancestry (n=4,737 using stringent criteria; details below) who self-reported no exposure to substances and screened negative for substances according to hair toxicology, leaving a final analytic sample (n=3,434 individuals, mean age =9.92 years, std age = 0.62, 47 % Female, n=3,013 with no missing data).

Measures

Brain Structure. MRI Acquisition and Processing. Of the 11,875 ABCD participants, 11,556 have structural MRI data. A detailed description of the ABCD Study imaging acquisition protocol and parameters can be found in Casey et al. (2018)(Casey et al., 2018). Briefly, 3 T (Siemens, Phillips and GE) MRI scanners were used to obtain 1 mm isotropic T1-weighted structural, using either a 32-channel head or 64-channel head-and-neck coil. In order to minimize variability across scanners, MRI scan protocols were carefully harmonized across the three MRI vendor platforms. Because head motion is more common in imaging of pediatric populations, real-time motion detection and correction were implemented to mitigate this concern (prospective motion correction on the GE and Volumetric Navigators on the Siemens platforms).

^c Cornell University was an original collection site that collected data from 34 participants, before being moved to Yale University. ABCD documentation reports 21 data collection sites and does not list Cornell; our analyses nested data based on 22 data collection sites, including the original Cornell site.

A detailed description of ABCD Study image processing and analysis methodology can be found in Hagler et al., (2019). The Multi-Modal Processing Stream software package, which includes FreeSurfer 5.3, was used to process MRI data. The ABCD processing pipeline specifies a modified intensity normalization process, and the standard FreeSurfer cortical and subcortical reconstruction pipeline was implemented in addition to generate structural measures such as volume and cortical thickness. Hagler et al. (2019) provides a comprehensive description of the quality-control measures conducted on the processed imaging data. Only participants whose structural MRI reconstructions passed these QC tests (n=11,076) were retained. Cortical gray matter volume, thickness, and surface area aligned to the Desikan atlas and white matter volume, mean diffusivity and fractional anisotropy along AtlasTrack were extracted from ABCD data release 2.0.1, which rectified an issue with data release 2.0 with regard to the laterality of data in some participants.

We extracted estimates separately per hemisphere. Further, for cortical gray matter we chose to include cortical thickness, surface area and volume aligned to the Desikan atlas through the Freesurfer protocol because this method better distinguishes white and gray matter than traditional VBM approaches (Fischl et al., 1999). We ran models using the Desikan atlas parcellation for the cortex, within each hemisphere (34 brain regions). For white matter modalities, we used fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity because they represent the primary components of many different white matter metrics obtain from Diffusion Tensor imaging and they are the most commonly used for imaging research.

Genotyping, Quality Control, and Imputation. The Rutgers University Cell and DNA repository genotyped saliva samples on the Smokescreen array (Baurley et al., 2016). Genotyped calls were aligned to GRCh37 (hg19), and all individuals self-reporting ancestral origins (i.e., self-reported

race) other than Europeans or African Americans were excluded because these were the only ancestral populations in which GWAS summary statistics are available and evidence that the predictive utility of polygenic risk scores suffers when applied across ancestral origins (Bogdan et al., 2018). we separated individuals into self-reported populations before genotype QC. QC steps also were used to confirm that individuals belonged to these ethnic groups using their genomic data.

The following preprocessing steps were conducted with the Ricopili pipeline (Lam et al., 2019): SNPs with call rates ≥ 0.95 and MAF $\geq 1\%$ were retained. Individuals with high rates of missingness ($>5\%$) and autosomal heterozygosity deviation (F_{HET}) outside of ± 2 SD were removed. After sample QC, SNPs were further filtered to call rate ≥ 0.98 and Hardy-Weinberg p-values $> 1E-6$ (founders only), which yielded 372,342 SNPs. In order to reconcile mismatches, sex checks were conducted with follow-up.

Individuals whose data passed the first phase of QC were then checked for relatedness--both known and cryptic--and Mendelian errors were resolved. Next, using data from unrelated individuals ($\pi\text{-hat} \leq 0.20$) and an LD pruned set of common (MAF >0.05) and non-palindromic SNPs (and excluding MHC and chromosome 8 inversion region), principal components analysis (PCA) was performed in EIGENSTRAT using the European 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 data. Only those individuals whose data aligned with ancestral non-Hispanic European ancestry were retained, yielding a sample of 4,737. Due to the sensitivity of the PRS approach to admixture, we took a conservative approach and performed stringent exclusion for ancestral outliers, consistent with the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium's Ricopili pipeline (Lam et al., 2019). After selection, a final ancestrally-informative PCA was conducted, and the first 20 PCs were projected from founders to other relatives. Imputation to 1000 Genomes and Haplotype

Reference Consortium (HRC) data for Europeans and KAPPA for African Americans was conducted using strictly QCed SNPs on the Michigan Imputation Server, yielding 39,127,678 SNPs. Dosage data were converted to hard-call genotypes using Plink, and only SNPs with imputation r^2 scores ≥ 0.3 were used to create polygenic scores.

Polygenic Risk Scores

Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS) were generated using the PRS-cs software package (Ge et al., 2019). The PRS-cs auto approach calculates PRS by assuming a general distribution of effect sizes across the genome, and then reweighting SNPs based off of this assumption, their effect size in the original GWAS, and their linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns to create weights for every SNP that are then summed for a final score. We chose PRS-cs auto because we wanted to avoid the potential over-fitting to one particular PRS threshold and multiple-testing burdens of P+T, as well as the potential gain of using ALL SNPs, rather than only SNPs below an arbitrary p-value cutoff. Our models were trained using two well-powered GWAS from major consortia, specifically Drinks per week (DPW, original GWAS N=537,349 (Liu et al., 2019)) and a problematic alcohol use (PAU) meta-analysis of GWASs of alcohol use disorder (specifically, alcohol dependence from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (Walters et al., 2018) and ICD codes for alcohol use disorder from the Million Veteran Program (Zhou et al., 2020) and a GWAS of scores on the problem subscale of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-P)(Sanchez-Roige et al., 2019) (Original GWAS N=352,391) from the UK Biobank. We chose to use both DPW-PRS and PAU-PRS because of past work that shows genetic separability in use and use disorder for drinking (Walters et al., 2018).

Behavioral Measures

For each discovered brain region, we ran a test of the association between that brain region and cognitive ability, as indexed by full-scale IQ, fluid reasoning, crystallized reasoning, as well as internalizing and externalizing symptoms. Measures for IQ were from the NIH Toolbox (Gershon et al., 2013), and internalizing and externalizing scales were taken from the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) (Achenbach et al., 1987).

Statistical Analysis

We associated each PRS with each brain region with a mixed-effects model estimated in the lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) package in R. To account for non-independence of individuals due to clustering in families and to account for scanner-specific effects at each site, we nested data by family and scanner MRI serial number as random effects. We controlled for the first 20 ancestry principal components, mean of each modality (separately when predicting regions of that modality, i.e., mean thickness predicting regional thickness, mean surface area predicting regional surface area), prenatal exposure, age, sex, age by sex, caregiver education, combined caregiver income and batch as fixed effects in each model. We removed genotyping batch 461 as well as 111 individuals who did not pass quality control on recommendation from the ABCD project. We ran a test of association within each modality and separately for each brain region with each PRS. We corrected our results for multiple comparisons by using FDR across all brain measures within a modality (Gray matter cortex = 34 regions, white matter = 36 tracts, subcortex = 26 regions).

499

500 Methods References

501 Achenbach TM, McConaughy SH, Howell CT. 1987. Child/adolescent behavioral and emotional

502 problems: Implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. *Psychol*

503 *Bull* **101**:213–232. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.101.2.213

504 Baranger DAA, Demers CH, Elsayed NM, Knodt AR, Radtke SR, Desmarais A, Few LR,

505 Agrawal A, Heath AC, Barch DM, Squeglia LM, Williamson DE, Hariri AR, Bogdan R.

506 2019. Convergent Evidence for Predispositional Effects of Brain Gray Matter Volume on

507 Alcohol Consumption. *Biol Psychiatry*. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.08.029

508 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using

509 lme4. *J Stat Softw* **67**:1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01

510 Baurley JW, Edlund CK, Pardamean CI, Conti D V., Bergen AW. 2016. Smokescreen: A

511 targeted genotyping array for addiction research. *BMC Genomics* **17**. doi:10.1186/s12864-

512 016-2495-7

513 Bogdan R, Baranger DAA, Agrawal A. 2018. Polygenic Risk Scores in Clinical Psychology:

514 Bridging Genomic Risk to Individual Differences. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* **14**.

515 doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050817-084847

516 Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, Cohen AO, Barch DM, Heitzeg MM, Soules ME, Teslovich

517 T, Dellarco D V., Garavan H, Orr CA, Wager TD, Banich MT, Speer NK, Sutherland MT,

518 Riedel MC, Dick AS, Bjork JM, Thomas KM, Chaurani B, Mejia MH, Hagler DJ, Daniela

519 Cornejo M, Sicat CS, Harms MP, Dosenbach NUF, Rosenberg M, Earl E, Bartsch H, Watts

520 R, Polimeni JR, Kuperman JM, Fair DA, Dale AM. 2018. The Adolescent Brain Cognitive

521 Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*
522 **32**:43–54. doi:10.1016/J.DCN.2018.03.001

523 Crews FT. 2008. Alcohol-related neurodegeneration and recovery: mechanisms from animal
524 models. *Alcohol Res Health* **31**:377–88.

525 Fischl B, Sereno MI, Tootell RBH, Dale AM. 1999. High-resolution intersubject averaging and a
526 coordinate system for the cortical surface. *Hum Brain Mapp* **8**:272–284.
527 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0193(1999)8:4<272::AID-HBM10>3.0.CO;2-4

528 Ge T, Chen CY, Ni Y, Feng YCA, Smoller JW. 2019. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian
529 regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nat Commun* **10**:1–10. doi:10.1038/s41467-
530 019-09718-5

531 Gershon RC, Wagster M V., Hendrie HC, Fox NA, Cook KF, Nowinski CJ. 2013. NIH toolbox
532 for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. *Neurology* **80**.
533 doi:10.1212/wnl.0b013e3182872e5f

534 Gröpper S, Spengler S, Stuke H, Gawron CK, Parnack J, Gutwinski S, Wiers CE, BERPohl F.
535 2016. Behavioral impulsivity mediates the relationship between decreased frontal gray
536 matter volume and harmful alcohol drinking: A voxel-based morphometry study. *J*
537 *Psychiatr Res* **83**:16–23. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.08.006

538 Hagler DJ, Hatton SN, Cornejo MD, Makowski C, Fair DA, Dick AS, Sutherland MT, Casey BJ,
539 Barch DM, Harms MP, Watts R, Bjork JM, Garavan HP, Hilmer L, Pung CJ, Sicat CS,
540 Kuperman J, Bartsch H, Xue F, Heitzeg MM, Laird AR, Trinh TT, Gonzalez R, Tapert SF,
541 Riedel MC, Squeglia LM, Hyde LW, Rosenberg MD, Earl EA, Howlett KD, Baker FC,
542 Soules M, Diaz J, de Leon OR, Thompson WK, Neale MC, Herting M, Sowell ER, Alvarez

543 RP, Hawes SW, Sanchez M, Bodurka J, Breslin FJ, Morris AS, Paulus MP, Simmons WK,
544 Polimeni JR, van der Kouwe A, Nencka AS, Gray KM, Pierpaoli C, Matochik JA, Noronha
545 A, Aklin WM, Conway K, Glantz M, Hoffman E, Little R, Lopez M, Pariyadath V, Weiss
546 SR, Wolff-Hughes DL, DelCarmen-Wiggins R, Feldstein Ewing SW, Miranda-Dominguez
547 O, Nagel BJ, Perrone AJ, Sturgeon DT, Goldstone A, Pfefferbaum A, Pohl KM, Prouty D,
548 Uban K, Bookheimer SY, Dapretto M, Galvan A, Bagot K, Giedd J, Infante MA, Jacobus J,
549 Patrick K, Shilling PD, Desikan R, Li Y, Sugrue L, Banich MT, Friedman N, Hewitt JK,
550 Hopfer C, Sakai J, Tanabe J, Cottler LB, Nixon SJ, Chang L, Cloak C, Ernst T, Reeves G,
551 Kennedy DN, Heeringa S, Peltier S, Schulenberg J, Sripada C, Zucker RA, Iacono WG,
552 Luciana M, Calabro FJ, Clark DB, Lewis DA, Luna B, Schirda C, Brima T, Foxe JJ,
553 Freedman EG, Mruzek DW, Mason MJ, Huber R, McGlade E, Prescott A, Renshaw PF,
554 Yurgelun-Todd DA, Allgaier NA, Dumas JA, Ivanova M, Potter A, Florsheim P, Larson C,
555 Lisdahl K, Charness ME, Fuemmeler B, Hettema JM, Maes HH, Steinberg J, Anokhin AP,
556 Glaser P, Heath AC, Madden PA, Baskin-Sommers A, Constable RT, Grant SJ, Dowling
557 GJ, Brown SA, Jernigan TL, Dale AM. 2019. Image processing and analysis methods for
558 the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Neuroimage* **202**.
559 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116091

560 Hampton WH, Hanik IM, Olson IR. 2019. Substance abuse and white matter: Findings,
561 limitations, and future of diffusion tensor imaging research. *Drug Alcohol Depend.*
562 doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.02.005

563 Heikkinen N, Niskanen E, Könönen M, Tolmunen T, Kekkonen V, Kivimäki P, Tanila H,
564 Laukkanen E, Vanninen R. 2017. Alcohol consumption during adolescence is associated
565 with reduced grey matter volumes. *Addiction* **112**:604–613. doi:10.1111/add.13697

566 Holla B, Bharath RD, Venkatasubramanian G, Benegal V. 2019. Altered brain cortical
567 maturation is found in adolescents with a family history of alcoholism. *Addict Biol* **24**:835–
568 845. doi:10.1111/adb.12662

569 Jacobus J, Castro N, Squeglia LM, Meloy MJ, Brumback T, Huestis MA, Tapert SF. 2016.
570 Adolescent cortical thickness pre- and post marijuana and alcohol initiation. *Neurotoxicol*
571 *Teratol.* doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2016.09.005

572 Lam M, Awasthi S, Watson HJ, Goldstein J, Panagiotaropoulou G, Trubetskoy V, Karlsson R,
573 Frei O, Fan C-C, Witte W De, Mota NR, Mullins N, Skarabis N, Huang H, Neale B, Daly
574 M, Mattheissen M, Walters R, Ripke S. 2019. RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for COnsortias
575 PIpeLIne. *bioRxiv* 587196. doi:10.1101/587196

576 Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, Datta G, Davila-Velderrain J, McGuire D,
577 Tian C, Zhan X, Agee M, Alipanahi B, Auton A, Bell RK, Bryc K, Elson SL, Fontanillas P,
578 Furlotte NA, Hinds DA, Hromatka BS, Huber KE, Kleinman A, Litterman NK, McIntyre
579 MH, Mountain JL, Northover CAM, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Sazonova O V., Shelton JF,
580 Shringarpure S, Tung JY, Vacic V, Wilson CH, Pitts SJ, Mitchell A, Skogholt AH,
581 Winsvold BS, Sivertsen B, Stordal E, Morken G, Kallestad H, Heuch I, Zwart JA, Fjukstad
582 KK, Pedersen LM, Gabrielsen ME, Johnsen MB, Skrove M, Indredavik MS, Drange OK,
583 Bjerkeset O, Børte S, Stensland SØ, Choquet H, Docherty AR, Faul JD, Foerster JR,
584 Fritsche LG, Gordon SD, Haessler J, Hottenga JJ, Huang H, Jang SK, Jansen PR, Ling Y,
585 Mägi R, Matoba N, McMahon G, Mulas A, Orrù V, Palviainen T, Pandit A, Reginsson GW,
586 Smith JA, Taylor AE, Turman C, Willemsen G, Young H, Young KA, Zajac GJM, Zhao W,
587 Zhou W, Bjornsdottir G, Boardman JD, Boehnke M, Boomsma DI, Chen C, Cucca F,
588 Davies GE, Eaton CB, Ehringer MA, Esko T, Fiorillo E, Gillespie NA, Gudbjartsson DF,

Haller T, Harris KM, Heath AC, Hewitt JK, Hickie IB, Hokanson JE, Hopfer CJ, Hunter DJ, Iacono WG, Johnson EO, Kamatani Y, Kardina SLR, Keller MC, Kellis M, Kooperberg C, Kraft P, Krauter KS, Laakso M, Lind PA, Loukola A, Lutz SM, Madden PAF, Martin NG, McGue M, McQueen MB, Medland SE, Metspalu A, Mohlke KL, Nielsen JB, Okada Y, Peters U, Polderman TJC, Posthuma D, Reiner AP, Rice JP, Rimm E, Rose RJ, Runarsdottir V, Stallings MC, Stančáková A, Stefansson H, Thai KK, Tindle HA, Tyrfinngsson T, Wall TL, Weir DR, Weisner C, Whitfield JB, Yin J, Zuccolo L, Bierut LJ, Hveem K, Lee JJ, Munafò MR, Saccone NL, Willer CJ, Cornelis MC, David SP, Jorgenson E, Kaprio J, Stitzel JA, Stefansson K, Thorgeirsson TE, Abecasis G, Liu DJ, Vrieze S. 2019. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet.* doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5

Mackey S, Allgaier N, Chaarani B, Spechler P, Orr C, Bunn J, Allen NB, Alia-Klein N, Batalla A, Blaine S, Brooks S, Caparelli E, Chye YY, Cousijn J, Dagher A, Desrivieres S, Feldstein-Ewing S, Foxe JJ, Goldstein RZ, Goudriaan AE, Heitzeg MM, Hester R, Hutchison K, Korucuoglu O, Li C-SR, London E, Lorenzetti V, Luijten M, Martin-Santos R, May A, Momenan R, Morales A, Paulus MP, Pearlson G, Rousseau M-E, Salmeron BJ, Schluter R, Schmaal L, Schumann G, Sjoerds Z, Stein DJ, Stein EA, Sinha R, Solowij N, Tapert S, Uhlmann A, Veltman D, van Holst R, Whittle S, Wiers R, Wright MJ, Yücel M, Zhang S, Yurgelun-Todd D, Hibar DP, Jahanshad N, Evans A, Thompson PM, Glahn DC, Conrod P, Garavan H. 2019. Mega-Analysis of Gray Matter Volume in Substance Dependence: General and Substance-Specific Regional Effects. *Am J Psychiatry* **176**:119–128. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17040415

612 Paul CA, Au R, Fredman L, Massaro JM, Seshadri S, DeCarli C, Wolf PA. 2008. Association of
613 alcohol consumption with brain volume in the Framingham study. *Arch Neurol* **65**:1363–
614 1367. doi:10.1001/archneur.65.10.1363

615 Robert GH, Luo Q, Yu T, Chu C, Ing A, Jia T, Papadopoulos Orfanos D, Burke-Quinlan E,
616 Desrivieres S, Ruggeri B, Spechler P, Chaarani B, Tay N, Banaschewski T, Bokde ALW,
617 Bromberg U, Flor H, Frouin V, Gowland P, Heinz A, Ittermann B, Martinot JL, Paillère
618 Martinot ML, Nees F, Poustka L, Smolka MN, Vetter NC, Walter H, Whelan R, Conrod P,
619 Barker T, Garavan H, Schumann G. 2019. Association of Gray Matter and Personality
620 Development with Increased Drunkenness Frequency during Adolescence. *JAMA*
621 *Psychiatry*. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.4063

622 Sanchez-Roige S, Palmer AA, Fontanillas P, Elson SL, Adams MJ, Howard DM, Edenberg HJ,
623 Davies G, Crist RC, Deary IJ, McIntosh AM, Clarke T-K, Clarke T-K. 2019. Genome-Wide
624 Association Study Meta-Analysis of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test
625 (AUDIT) in Two Population-Based Cohorts. *Am J Psychiatry* **176**:107–118.
626 doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18040369

627 Selemon LD. 2013. A role for synaptic plasticity in the adolescent development of executive
628 function. *Transl Psychiatry*. doi:10.1038/tp.2013.7

629 Shnitko TA, Liu Z, Wang X, Grant KA, Kroenke CD. 2019. Chronic alcohol drinking slows
630 brain development in adolescent and young adult nonhuman primates. *eNeuro* **6**.
631 doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0044-19.2019

632 Stoeckel C, Gough PM, Watkins KE, Devlin JT. 2009. Supramarginal gyrus involvement in
633 visual word recognition. *Cortex* **45**:1091–1096. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2008.12.004

634 Verhulst B, Neale MC, Kendler KS. 2015. The heritability of alcohol use disorders: A meta-
635 analysis of twin and adoption studies. *Psychol Med* **45**:1061–1072.
636 doi:10.1017/S0033291714002165

637 Walters GD. 2002. THE HERITABILITY OF ALCOHOL ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE: A
638 META-ANALYSIS OF BEHAVIOR GENETIC RESEARCH. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*
639 **28**:557–584. doi:10.1081/ADA-120006742

640 Walters RK, Polimanti R, Johnson EC, McClintick JN, Adams MJ, Adkins AE, Aliev F, Bacanu
641 SA, Batzler A, Bertelsen S, Biernacka JM, Bigdeli TB, Chen LS, Clarke TK, Chou YL,
642 Degenhardt F, Docherty AR, Edwards AC, Fontanillas P, Foo JC, Fox L, Frank J, Giegling
643 I, Gordon S, Hack LM, Hartmann AM, Hartz SM, Heilmann-Heimbach S, Herms S,
644 Hodgkinson C, Hoffmann P, Jan Hottenga J, Kennedy MA, Alanne-Kinnunen M, Konte B,
645 Lahti J, Lahti-Pulkkinen M, Lai D, Ligthart L, Loukola A, Maher BS, Mbarek H, McIntosh
646 AM, McQueen MB, Meyers JL, Milaneschi Y, Palviainen T, Pearson JF, Peterson RE,
647 Ripatti S, Ryu E, Saccone NL, Salvatore JE, Sanchez-Roige S, Schwandt M, Sherva R,
648 Streit F, Strohmaier J, Thomas N, Wang JC, Webb BT, Wedow R, Wetherill L, Wills AG,
649 Agee M, Alipanahi B, Auton A, Bell RK, Bryc K, Elson SL, Fontanillas P, Furlotte NA,
650 Hinds DA, Huber KE, Kleinman A, Litterman NK, McCreight JC, McIntyre MH, Mountain
651 JL, Noblin ES, Northover CAM, Pitts SJ, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Sazonova O V., Shelton JF,
652 Shringarpure S, Tian C, Tung JY, Vacic V, Wilson CH, Boardman JD, Chen D, Choi DS,
653 Copeland WE, Culverhouse RC, Dahmen N, Degenhardt L, Domingue BW, Elson SL, Frye
654 MA, Gäbel W, Hayward C, Ising M, Keyes M, Kiefer F, Kramer J, Kuperman S, Lucae S,
655 Lynskey MT, Maier W, Mann K, Männistö S, Müller-Myhsok B, Murray AD, Nurnberger
656 JI, Palotie A, Preuss U, Rääkkönen K, Reynolds MD, Ridinger M, Scherbaum N, Schuckit

657 MA, Soyka M, Treutlein J, Witt S, Wodarz N, Zill P, Adkins DE, Boden JM, Boomsma DI,
658 Bierut LJ, Brown SA, Bucholz KK, Cichon S, Costello EJ, de Wit H, Diazgranados N, Dick
659 DM, Eriksson JG, Farrer LA, Foroud TM, Gillespie NA, Goate AM, Goldman D, Grucza
660 RA, Hancock DB, Harris KM, Heath AC, Hesselbrock V, Hewitt JK, Hopfer CJ, Horwood
661 J, Iacono W, Johnson EO, Kaprio JA, Karpyak VM, Kendler KS, Kranzler HR, Krauter K,
662 Lichtenstein P, Lind PA, McGue M, MacKillop J, Madden PAF, Maes HH, Magnusson P,
663 Martin NG, Medland SE, Montgomery GW, Nelson EC, Nöthen MM, Palmer AA, Pedersen
664 NL, Penninx BWJH, Porjesz B, Rice JP, Rietschel M, Riley BP, Rose R, Rujescu D, Shen
665 PH, Silberg J, Stallings MC, Tarter RE, Vanyukov MM, Vrieze S, Wall TL, Whitfield JB,
666 Zhao H, Neale BM, Gelernter J, Edenberg HJ, Agrawal A. 2018. Transancestral GWAS of
667 alcohol dependence reveals common genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. *Nat*
668 *Neurosci* **21**:1656–1669. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0275-1

669 Winters KC, Arria A. 2011. Adolescent Brain Development and Drugs. *Prev Res* **18**:21–24.

670 World Health Organization. 2018. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva:
671 World Health Organization; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

672 Yu R, Deochand C, Krotow A, Le’ao R, Tong M, Agarwal AR, Cadenas E, De La Monte SM.
673 2016. Tobacco Smoke-Induced Brain White Matter Myelin Dysfunction: Potential Co-
674 Factor Role of Smoking in Neurodegeneration. *J Alzheimer’s Dis* **50**:133–148.
675 doi:10.3233/JAD-150751

676 Zhou H, Sealock JM, Sanchez-Roige S, Clarke T-K, Levey DF, Cheng Z, Li B, Polimanti R,
677 Kember RL, Smith RV, Thygesen JH, Morgan MY, Atkinson SR, Thursz MR, Nyegaard
678 M, Mattheisen M, Børghlum AD, Johnson EC, Justice AC, Palmer AA, McQuillin A, Davis

679 LK, Edenberg HJ, Agrawal A, Kranzler HR, Gelernter J. 2020. Genome-wide meta-analysis
680 of problematic alcohol use in 435,563 individuals yields insights into biology and
681 relationships with other traits. *Nat Neurosci* 1–10. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0643-5
682
683
684