Operational TBE incidence forecasts for Austria, Germany, and Switzerland 2019–2021

Franz Rubel, Katharina Brugger

^a*Unit for Veterinary Public Health and Epidemiology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria*

Abstract

In spring 2019, forecasts of the incidence of tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) for the next two years, i.e. 2019 and 2020, were made for the first time. For this purpose, negative binomial regression models with 4–5 predictors were fitted to the time series of annual human TBE incidences from Austria, Germany and Switzerland. The most important predictor for TBE incidences is the fructification index of the European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) 2 years prior as a proxy for the intensity of the TBE virus transmission cycle. These forecasts were repeated in spring 2020 after the updated predictors and the confirmed TBE cases for 2019 became available. Forecasting TBE incidences for 2020 and 2021 results in 156 ± 19 and 131 ± 23 TBE cases for Austria, 663 ± 95 and 543 ± 112 TBE cases for Germany as well as 472 ± 56 and 350 ± 62 TBE cases for Switzerland. The newly implemented operational TBE forecasts will be verified every year with confirmed TBE cases. An initial verification for 2019 demonstrates the high reliability of the forecasts.

Keywords: Tick-borne encephalitis, *Ixodes ricinus*, Rodents, Beech fructification, Mast seeding

*Corresponding author

Email address: franz.rubel@vetmeduni.ac.at (Franz Rubel)

June 26, 2020

1. Introduction

Tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) is a viral tick-borne zoonosis that is endemic in wide areas of Eurasia from eastern France to Russia and China (Dobler et al., 2019). Although of great public interest, an operational TBE forecast is only available for Russia (Noskov et al., 2017). It is based on a trend analysis and published annually in a scientific journal, most recently by Nikitin et al. (2020).

A more sophisticated method is used here, which takes into account the trend as well as the low- and high-frequency oscillations in the human TBE incidence series. The frequency spectra of various European TBE time series were first analyzed by Zeman (2017a), who described 10 years and 2–3 years dominating periods of the oscillations. He demonstrated that a superposition of several distinct periods, that are relatively stable and synchronous over Central Europe, can be extrapolated into the future (Zeman, 2017b). The advantage of these harmonic regression models is that they do not require biological or climatological predictors. The disadvantage is that natural deviations from the two dominating periods of the oscillations cannot be predicted. Therefore, biological and climatological predictors have recently been proposed to explain the oscillations in the TBE incidence series (Rubel et al., 2020). Accordingly, the high frequency oscillations, i.e. those oscillations responsible for the next years TBE incidences, are well described by the fructification index of the European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). This applies at least to the countries of Austria, Germany and Switzerland, where the prevailing climate at the lower altitudes is classified according to Köppen-Geiger as Cfb-climate (Rubel et al., 2017). The Cfb-climate is also referred to as a beech climate, after the tree species dominating in natural forests. Beech fructification is a predictor of the intensity of the natural TBE virus transmission cycle between small mammals (rodents) and its main vector *Ixodes ricinus*, with a high beech fructification index increasing the population density of rodents and of *I. ricinus* larvae one year thereafter. One more year later, significantly higher densities of questing *I. ricinus* nymphs are responsible for the more frequent transmission of the TBE virus to humans (Brugger et al.,

2018). The beech fructification can thus be used to predict the TBE incidences of the next two years. Particularly, years with full fructification of beech (mast seeding) are responsible for several high peaks in the TBE time series. This was demonstrated by the recently developed TBE models for Austria, Germany and Switzerland, which were used to forecast the national TBE cases for 2019 and 2020 (Rubel and Brugger, 2020). These TBE models are now used in an operational forecast scheme including verification with independent data.

2. Material and methods

Negative binomial regression models are applied that were originally developed to explain the oscillations in the Austrian long-term TBE incidence series (Rubel et al., 2020). They use two demographic parameters, the vaccination coverage, a climate index, and a beech fructification index as predictors. Details concerning the TBE models and its predictors were described by Rubel and Brugger (2020), who extended the models to forecast Austrian, German and Swiss TBE incidences for 2019 and 2020. For the first operational application, the forecasts of national TBE incidences for 2020 and 2021 and the verification of the forecasts for 2019, all TBE incidence series and predictors have been updated with new data provided by official sources in spring 2019. The availability of all data is also described in detail by Rubel and Brugger (2020). An exception is the beech fructification index after Konnert et al. (2016). There is no official publicly available database for this most important TBE predictor. The updated values of the beech fructification index 2017-2019, which are used for the TBE incidences forecasts 2019–2021, were provided by Anneliese Nitzinger (Bavarian Office for Forest Genetics) on personal request. Accordingly, a sporadic occurrence of beech fructification, but not noticeable at first sight, was observed for 2017 and 2019. In 2018, however, full beech fructification was observed, also known as mast seeding. The following updated values of the fructification index two years prior written in the nomenclature of Rubel and Brugger (2020) are used: $F_{year-2} = 1$ for 2019, $F_{year-2} = 3$ for 2020

60 and $F_{year-2} = 1$ for 2021.

61 The goodness-of-fit of the calibrated TBE forecast models is verified by the
62 root-mean-square error RMSE, the explained variance R^2 , and the adjusted
63 variance R^2_{adj} . Additionally, a scattergram shows the correlation R and the
64 corresponding significance level (p-value). The absolute errors of the mean as
65 well as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation forecasts are given for the verification
66 with independent data (currently only available for 2019).

67 3. Results

68 The first result of this work is the forecast of annual human TBE incidences
69 for 2020 and 2021 as calculated in spring 2020 (Fig. 1). The time series plots
70 depict the observed TBE incidences (grey bars) overlaid with the prediction of
71 the calibration period 1991–2019 (red lines) and the forecast period 2020–2021
72 (red dotted lines). Following TBE incidences were forecasted for 2020 and 2021:
73 156 ± 19 and 131 ± 23 TBE cases for Austria, 663 ± 95 and 543 ± 112 TBE cases
74 for Germany as well as 472 ± 56 and 350 ± 62 TBE cases for Switzerland.

75 The second result is an operational forecast scheme with verification based on
76 independent observations (Table 1). According to the TBE forecasts for 2019
77 and 2020, as of spring 2019, forecasts are currently available for three years.
78 There are even two different forecasts for 2020 that can be compared. These
79 are the forecasts as of spring 2019 for the upcoming year 2020 (year +2) and
80 the forecasts as of spring 2020 for the entire year 2020 (year +1). The forecasts
81 for 2020 should be able to be verified with the reported TBE cases available
82 in spring 2021. Then the year + 1 forecasts should be more accurate than
83 the year + 2 forecasts. Currently only the forecasts for 2019 can be verified.
84 These forecasts are systematically too low by 16 TBE cases for Austria, 28 TBE
85 cases for Germany and 8 TBE cases for Switzerland. However, only the forecast
86 for Austria is slightly outside the forecast interval of 92 ± 12 cases (108 cases
87 observed, error=104-108=-4 cases). The forecasts can therefore be classified as
88 reliable.

89 4. Discussion

90 Tick-borne encephalitis is well-suited for prediction. The necessary causal
 91 relationships with the natural TBE virus transmission cycle between rodents and
 92 ticks have been known for a long time. This applies to the relationships between
 93 the fructification of forest trees (masting in trees) and the density of rodents
 94 (Ostfeld et al., 1996; Koenig and Knops, 2005; Clement et al., 2010), but also
 95 for the one year time-lag between high rodent density and high human TBE
 96 incidences (Balashov, 2012). If long time series of rodent density are available,
 97 these can also be used as predictors for TBE incidences forecasts for the next
 98 year (Tkadlec et al., 2019). Since rodent density affects the density of their
 99 predators, such as red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*), these are also suitable predictors
 100 for human TBE incidences (Haemig et al., 2011). However, the latter must be
 101 estimated from hunting indices, which is associated with uncertainties.

102 A limiting factor of the forecasts of the next 2 years' TBE incidences is the
 103 availability of latest TBE case numbers and demographic data provided by the
 104 national authorities. The real-time availability of the beech fructification index
 105 is also problematic. These data are usually updated in the spring of the following
 106 year, which is why the forecasts can only be made afterwards. Although the
 107 first results are encouraging, a final statistical verification of the operational
 108 TBE incidences forecasts can only be made after they have been available for
 109 at least 7–10 years.

110 References

- 111 Balashov, Y. S., 2012. Demography and population models of ticks of the genus
 112 *Ixodes* with long-term life cycles. Entomol. Rev. 92, 323–333.
- 113 Brugger, K., Walter, M., Chitimia-Dobler, L., Dobler, G., Rubel, F., 2018.
 114 Forecasting next seasons *Ixodes ricinus* nymphal density: the example of
 115 southern Germany 2018. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 75, 281–288.

116 Clement, J., Maes, P., van Ypersele de Strihou, C., van der Groen, G., Barrios,
117 J. M., Verstraeten, W. W., van Ranst, M., 2010. Beechnuts and outbreaks of
118 *Nephropathia epidemica* (NE): of mast, mice and men. Nephrol. Dial. Trans-
119 plant. 25, 1740–1746.

120 Dobler, G., Erber, W., Bröker, M., Schmitt, H.-J. (Eds.), 2019. The TBE book
121 (2nd Edition). Global Health Press, Singapore.

122 Haemig, P. D., De Luna, S. S., Grafström, A., Lithner, S., Lundkvist, A.,
123 Waldenström, J., Kindberg, J., Stedt, J., Olsén, B., 2011. Forecasting risk of
124 tick-borne encephalitis (TBE): Using data from wildlife and climate to predict
125 next years number of human victims. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 43, 366–372.

126 Koenig, W. D., Knops, J. M. H., 2005. The mystery of masting in trees: Some
127 trees reproduce synchronously over large areas, with widespread ecological
128 effects, but how and why? Am. Sci. 93, 340–347.

129 Konnert, M., Schneck, D., Zollner, A., 2016. Blühen und Fruktifizieren unserer
130 Waldbäume in den letzten 60 Jahren. LWF Wissen 74, 37–45.

131 Nikitin, A. Y., Andaev, E. I., Yatsmenko, E. V., Trushina, Y. N., Tolmacheva,
132 M. I., Verigina, E., Turanov, A. O., Balakhonov, S. V., 2020. Epidemiolog-
133 ical situation on tick-borne viral encephalitis in the Russian Federation in
134 2019 and forecast for 2020 (in Russian). Problemy Osobo Opasnykh Infektsii
135 [Problems of Particularly Dangerous Infections] 2020(1), 33–42.

136 Noskov, A. K., Nikitin, A. Y., Andaev, E. I., Pakskina, N. D., Yatsmenko,
137 E. V., Verigina, E., Balakhonov, S. V., 2017. Tick-Borne virus encephalitis
138 in the Russian Federation: Features of epidemic process in steady morbidity
139 decrease period. Epidemiological condition in 2016 and the forecast for 2017
140 (in Russian). Problemy Osobo Opasnykh Infektsii [Problems of Particularly
141 Dangerous Infections] 2017(1), 37–43.

142 Ostfeld, R. S., Jones, C. G., Wolff, J. O., 1996. Of mice and mast: Ecological
143 connections in eastern deciduous forests. BioScience 46, 323–330.

144 Rubel, F., Brugger, K., 2020. Tick-borne encephalitis incidence forecasts for
145 Austria, Germany, and Switzerland. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 11, 101437.

146 Rubel, F., Brugger, K., Haslinger, K., Auer, I., 2017. The climate of the Euro-
147 pean Alps: Shift of very high resolution Köppen-Geiger climate zones 1800-
148 2100. *Meteorol. Z.* 26, 115–125.

149 Rubel, F., Walter, M., Vogelgesang, J. R., Brugger, K., 2020. Tick-borne en-
150 cephalitis (TBE) cases are not random: Explaining trend, low- and high-
151 frequency oscillations based on the Austrian TBE time series. *BMC Inf. Dis.*
152 in press.

153 Tkadlec, E., Vclavík, T., Šíroký, P., 2019. Rodent host abundance and climate
154 variability as predictors of tickborne disease risk 1 year in advance. *Emerg.*
155 *Inf. Dis.* 25, 1738–1741.

156 Zeman, P., 2017a. Cyclic patterns in the central European tick-borne encephali-
157 tis incidence series. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 145, 358–367.

158 Zeman, P., 2017b. Predictability of tick-borne encephalitis fluctuations. *Epi-*
159 *demiol. Infect.* 145, 2781–2786.

Table 1: Operational forecast and verification scheme for Austrian, German, and Swiss human tick-borne encephalitis incidences comprising year+2 and year+1 forecasts, observations, and errors of mean (mean $\pm$ standard deviation). Data available in spring 2021, 2022 and 2023 are marked by *, ** and ***.

Country	Year	Forecasts		Observations	Errors	
		+2	+1	0	+1	+2
Austria	2019		92 $\pm$ 12	108	16 (-4)	*
	2020	142 $\pm$ 26	156 $\pm$ 19	*	*	**
	2021	131 $\pm$ 23	*	**	**	***
Germany	2019		417 $\pm$ 71	445	28 (0)	*
	2020	670 $\pm$ 168	663 $\pm$ 95	*	*	**
	2021	543 $\pm$ 112	*	**	**	***
Switzerland	2019		235 $\pm$ 30	263	8 (0)	*
	2020	465 $\pm$ 91	472 $\pm$ 56	*	*	**
	2021	350 $\pm$ 62	*	**	**	***

Figure 1: Austrian, German, and Swiss tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) incidences. Left: Time series of observed (grey bars), predicted for the calibration period 1991–2019 (red line) and predicted for the forecast period 2020–2021 (red dotted line). Right: Scatterplots predicted vs. observed TBE incidence. Verification measures include root-mean-square error (RMSE), explained variance R^2 (R^2_{adj}), and the correlation coefficient R (p -value) shown in the scatterplots.