1 Title: **Quantifying arbovirus disease and transmission risk at the municipality**
 2 **level in the Dominican Republic: the inception of R_m**

3 Short title: **Epidemic Metrics for Municipalities**

4 **Rhys Kingston¹, Isobel Routledge¹, Samir Bhatt¹, Leigh R Bowman^{1*}**

5 *1. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, UK*

6 **Corresponding author*

7 leigh.bowman@gmail.com

8

9

Abstract

Arboviruses remain a significant cause of morbidity, mortality and economic cost across the global human population. Epidemics of arboviral disease, such as Zika and dengue, also cause significant disruption to health services at local and national levels. This study examined 2014-16 Zika and dengue epidemic data at the sub-national level to characterise transmission across the Dominican Republic.

For each municipality, spatio-temporal mapping was used to characterise disease burden, while data were age and sex standardised to quantify burden distributions among the population. In separate analyses, time-ordered data were combined with the underlying disease migration interval distribution to produce a network of likely transmission chain events, displayed using transmission chain likelihood matrices. Finally, municipal-specific reproduction numbers (R_m) were established using a Wallinga-Teunis matrix.

Dengue and Zika epidemics peaked during weeks 39-52 of 2015 and weeks 14-27 of 2016 respectively. At the provincial level, dengue attack rates were high in Hermanas Mirabal and San José de Ocoa (58.1 and 49.2 cases per 10,000 population respectively), compared with the Zika burden, which was highest in Independencia and San José de Ocoa (21.2 and 13.4 cases per 10,000 population respectively). Across municipalities, high disease burden was observed in Cotui (622 dengue cases per 10,000 population) and Jimani (32 Zika cases per 10,000 population). Municipal infector-infectee transmission likelihood matrices identified six 0% likelihood transmission events throughout the dengue epidemic and one 0% likelihood transmission event during the Zika epidemic. Municipality reproduction

numbers (R_m) were consistently higher, and persisted for a greater duration during the Zika epidemic ($R_m = 1.0$), than during the dengue epidemic ($R_m = <1.0$).

This research highlights the importance of disease surveillance in land-border municipalities as an early warning for infectious disease transmission. It also demonstrates that a high number of importation events are required to sustain transmission in endemic settings, and vice versa for newly emerged diseases. The inception of a novel epidemiological metric, R_m , reports transmission risk using standardised spatial units, and can be used to identify high transmission risk municipalities to better focus public health interventions for dengue, Zika, and other infectious diseases.

47 **Author Summary**

48

49 Arboviruses remain a significant cause of morbidity, mortality and economic cost.
 50 Between the years 2014-16, two large arbovirus outbreaks occurred in the
 51 Dominican Republic. The first was a wave of dengue cases, followed by a large Zika
 52 epidemic. Using various mathematical modelling and geospatial approaches, a
 53 number of analyses were undertaken to both characterise the pattern of disease
 54 transmission and identify high-burden municipalities. Throughout the process, a
 55 novel metric was developed: the R_m . This parameter was used to identify the
 56 transmission potential of any given municipality to surrounding municipalities, where
 57 >1.0 is high transmission risk, and <1.0 is low transmission risk. This is useful as it
 58 provides a standardised approach to determine where public health resources might
 59 be focussed to better impact ongoing disease transmission. Additionally, analyses
 60 demonstrated the importance of increased disease surveillance in municipalities that
 61 share land borders with neighbouring countries, and how relatively few disease
 62 importation events can spark and sustain an epidemic.

63

Introduction

Arboviruses are an informal name for a group of viruses transmitted by arthropods such as ticks, mosquitoes and sand flies (1) - members of which include Rift Valley Fever, Chikungunya and West Nile Virus (2). Arboviruses are commonly zoonotic, and the cause of increasing human disease worldwide. In recent years, the arboviruses Zika and dengue have afflicted millions via endemic and epidemic transmission, in part due to relatively few, effective means of control (3). Indeed, current estimates suggest that the global annual burden of dengue infections is 390 million, with 96 million manifesting clinically (4). Those at risk number 3.97 billion across 128 countries worldwide (5). In the case of Zika, estimates of the global burden are not yet available, however by the end of 2018, the Pan American Health Organisation (PAHO) had reported 19,020 suspected cases of Zika, with 1,379 laboratory confirmed cases in Brazil alone (6).

Dengue and Zika are principally transmitted via *Aedes* mosquitoes. When a female *Aedes* mosquito bites an infected human, the mosquito ingests a blood meal containing the virus, at which point it enters the midgut, proliferates, and spreads to the salivary glands. Once the mosquito bites another person, the cycle is complete (7). However, vertical transmission can also occur, and while this is relatively rare for dengue (8), such transmission is more common with Zika; indeed in a prospective cohort, 26% of maternal cases resulted in vertical transmission to the unborn foetus (9). Importantly, sexual transmission between humans is also a significant driver of Zika epidemiology (10).

The basic reproduction number (R_0) describes the average number of secondary infections produced by a single infectious individual in a totally susceptible population (11). Epidemics involving novel pathogens are best described using R_0 , due to the absence of existing population immunity (12). By contrast, R_{eff} is most appropriate in endemic settings (11), when part of the population is already immune (12). In the absence of field data, mathematical modelling is used to average the expected number of new infections over all possible infected individuals. This idea can be represented by a matrix where the reproduction number is recognised as the dominant eigenvalue of an operator, which is linear for every pair of functions, and can be calculated whilst considering other factors such as age stratification (13).

The simplest form of epidemiological modelling is mechanistic, which deploys compartments with interconnected per capita rates to describe the movement of individuals between disease states (14). This field has since been further expanded to include network analysis. Wallinga and Teunis applied this approach (15) to estimate both the serial interval distribution (16) and the R_{eff} of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). In similar research, Routledge *et al.*, 2018 also used network-based analysis to predict malaria elimination time scales (17). Together, these studies further developed mathematical modelling used to calculate individual reproduction numbers (18) while building on the established Reed-Frost model of epidemic transmission (19)(20). And while these approaches are powerful, they are highly reliant on granular data to infer geospatial disease spread at fine scales, yet these data are not always available

111 Accordingly, this research sought to further analyse data in Bowman *et al.*, 2018 (21)
112 by describing the geospatial transmission of dengue and Zika using network-
113 reconstruction and the R_0 at the regional level.

114

115

Methods

Country context: Dominican Republic

The Dominican Republic is a country in the Greater Antilles region of the Caribbean (Fig 1).

Fig 1. Mapping the Central and South American geographic area.

(A) The location of the Dominican Republic on a continental scale. (B) Administrative municipal boundaries map of the Dominican Republic and ten most populous cities (22). Dominican Republic administrative boundaries, as at 2010, were obtained from the Humanitarian Data Exchange (23). The shapefiles relied on ggplot package to realise the image (24).

127

128 It shares an island with Haiti (25), and according to demographic data released by
129 the World Bank in 2016, the total population was 10.63 million over an area of 48.7
130 km² (26).

131

132 The Dominican Republic has a long history of dengue endemicity, and recent
133 research showed that 98% of the Santo Domingo population was seropositive (27).
134 Between 2014-2016, dengue and Zika outbreaks occurred (21), during which large-
135 scale control measures were deployed across the country. A range of clinical and
136 epidemiological data was collected, providing an opportunity to study the passage of
137 the outbreaks at the population level.

138 **Datasets**

139 Surveillance data capturing cases of dengue, severe dengue and Zika were
140 extracted from the Dominican Republic healthcare database, Sistema Nacional de
141 Vigilancia Epidemiologica, for the years 2014 to 2016. Variables in the dataset
142 included suspected, probable, confirmed cases (28) (29), date of symptom onset,
143 epidemiological week of onset and date of notification. Demographic data were also
144 collected. To capture aggregate dengue disease states, the following outcome
145 variable labels were used: dengue (uncomplicated dengue); severe dengue
146 (complicated dengue); total dengue (complicated and uncomplicated dengue
147 combined). Suspected incident dengue and Zika cases were used to form all
148 outcome variables. Data were de-identified at source, underwent quality control, and
149 cleaned as described in Bowman *et al*, 2016 (30) and Bowman *et al*, 2018 (21).
150 Population census data, stratified by age and sex for the years 2015-2017, were

provided by the Oficina Nacional de Estadística. These data were used to standardise attack rate calculations and to categorise data into five-year age bins. All coding and analyses were performed in RStudio version 3.6.0. (31), and all figures produced using the 'ggplot2' package (24).

Mapping

The municipal distribution of cases (per 10,000 population) for each outcome variable was calculated as a function of case counts and population census data (Equation 1). Maps were generated using shape files (23) with administrative boundaries from 2010.

$$\text{Equation 1: Attack Rate} = \frac{\text{Case Count (per population of interest)}}{\text{Population of interest}} \times 10,000$$

Statistical analyses

Age and sex standardised attack rates by province were calculated using established methodologies (32). The provincial populations were standardised to the population characteristics of the Dominican Republic using data provided by the Oficina Nacional de Estadística, with 95% confidence intervals calculated using Equation 2:

$$\text{Equation 2: Standardised Attack Rate} \pm 1.96 \times \frac{\text{Standardised Attack Rate}}{\# \text{ of events}}$$

Disease migration interval distribution

The disease migration interval is a novel parameter, defined in this paper as the time between symptom onset of the infector municipality and symptom onset of the infectee municipality. To calculate the distribution of potential intervals, a matrix of potential migration intervals was calculated by determining non-negative differences

between initial symptom onsets within each municipality. The resultant distribution of intervals then informed the probability density of infector, i , transmitting infection to the infectee, j . This interval reflects a higher order version of the serial interval, which specifies the interval between symptom onset of the infector and infectee individual pairs. The benefit of using the serial interval in estimates is the ability to account for other important distributions of time in the transmission cycle, including the time from symptom onset to infectiousness, intrinsic incubation period, extrinsic incubation period and mosquito transmission rate (17). The probability density of the disease migration interval was fitted to an exponential distribution after visualisation of the data strongly indicated an exponential trend. Fitting the distribution was achieved using maximum likelihood estimation with the exponential maximum likelihood estimator, seen below, where $\widehat{\lambda}_n$ is the maximum likelihood estimator, n is the number of independent observations, x is a variable from an independent and identically distributed sample and $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j$ is the sum of all observations. The resultant simulated distribution was used to calculate the Wallinga-Teunis matrix (Equation 3)

$$\text{Equation 3: } \widehat{\lambda}_n = \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}$$

Determining the transmission likelihood and network

The cases with the earliest symptom onset of dengue or Zika recorded within each municipality were identified, resulting in one case representing the earliest infection event for each municipality. These were ordered by date of symptom onset for each municipality, with no indication of the transmission chain present. Combination of this time-ordered data with a simulation of the underlying disease migration interval distribution produced a network of the most likely transmission chain events. This was achieved by analysing a network of all potential pairwise infector-infectee

municipality pairs, and their transmission likelihoods, to isolate the most likely chain of transmission events across municipalities. The network of potential infector-infectee municipal pairs and their transmission likelihoods make up the Wallinga-Teunis matrix (15), made with the 'IDSpatialStats' package (33). The matrix itself represents likelihood-based estimation of who-infected-whom using observed dates of initial symptom onset of each municipality. Each square provides the relative likelihood, p_{ij} , that the infector municipality, i , has infected an infectee municipality, j , given the time difference in symptom onsets of each municipality, $t_i - t_j$. This time difference is captured by the disease migration interval distribution. As such, the relative likelihood that an infectee municipality, i , has been infected by an infector municipality, j , is the likelihood of this pair, normalised by the likelihood that the infector municipality, i , is infected by any other municipality, k . This analysis is based around the theory that infection events between the potential pairs follow an independent cascade model (34), where the upper triangular likelihood of the matrix represents all the realistic pairwise transmission likelihoods of the infector-infectee municipal pairs (15).

Estimating time-varying municipal-specific reproduction numbers

Municipal-specific reproduction numbers (R_m) were established using the produced Wallinga-Teunis matrix wherein each column represents an infector municipality and each row represents an infectee municipality. To calculate the R_m for an infector municipality, j , we sum over all infectee municipalities, i , weighted by the relative likelihood that the infectee municipality, i , has been infected by the infector municipality, j . At a municipal level, this reflects the number of municipalities that the infector municipality will go on to infect. The time-varying R_m was plotted over time

219 and a Generalised Additive Model smoothing spline was fitted to the data to
220 determine trends and smooth data noise.

221 **Ethics**

222 Ethical clearance was granted by the Pan American Health Organization Ethics
223 Review Committee (PAHO-ERC; Ref No. 2014-10-0023) and accepted by
224 Dominican Republic Ministry of Health. De-identified and aggregated data were used
225 throughout the study, no further ethical clearance was required.

Results

Geostatistical Mapping

Spatial mapping of Zika and dengue was used to determine the highest burden areas for all disease outcomes across the Dominican Republic (Fig 2). Spatio-temporal mapping displays incidence per 10,000 population (non-standardised), while attack rates were standardised according to age and sex with accompanying confidence intervals. These can be seen in S1 Table, for total dengue, and S2 Table, for Zika.

The municipality of Cotuí recorded the highest burden for each dengue outcome: 583 (uncomplicated dengue), 39 (complicated dengue) and 622 (dengue) cases per 10,000 population respectively (Fig 2), which equates to the largest dengue burden of any municipality. Municipalities that also recorded high dengue burden include Las Terrenas, Jarabacoa and Las Salinas recorded 99, 98 and 95 per 10,000 population respectively (Fig 2B). The burden of complicated dengue was also high in Salcedo, Villa Tapia and Jarabacoa with 15, 12 and 8 cases per 10,000 population respectively (Fig 2C). The highest burden of Zika incident cases was recorded in the west of the country, Jimaní, with 32 cases per 10,000 population (Fig 2D).

Fig 2. Aggregated spatial distribution of cases over 2014-2016 epidemic period at the municipal level.

(A) Uncomplicated dengue, **(B)** Dengue, **(C)** Complicated dengue and **(D)** Zika. Continuous colour scale from white (lowest) through to red (highest) for all images, scales vary. Grey areas indicate no data for those municipalities. All counts per 10,000 population.

250

251 All four outcomes were also displayed over time and space, as seen in Figure 3.

252 Where the outcome was dengue, the highest burden municipalities were Jarabacoa,

253 Ramón Santana and La Cienega with 26, 23 and 20 cases per 10,000 population

254 respectively (Fig 3A), which occurred between epidemiological weeks 39-52 in 2015.

255 The highest burden of complicated dengue incident cases was recorded in Las

256 Salinas, Villa Tapia and Jarabacoa with 2 cases per 10,000 population each (Fig 3B)

257 within the same time period.

258

Figure 3. Breakdown of aggregated spatial distribution of cases over 2014-2016 epidemic period by epidemiological week at the municipal level.

(A) Dengue cases over 2015 (top row) and 2016 (bottom row) **(B)** Complicated dengue cases over 2015 (top row) and 2016 (bottom row). **(C)** Zika cases over 2015 (top row) and 2016 (bottom row). Continuous colour scale from white (lowest) through to red (highest) for all images. Scales vary as shown. Grey areas indicate absence of data. All counts per 10,000 population. Dates are over epidemiological weeks 1-52 for each year, where year is split into weeks 1 – 14, 14 – 27, 27 – 39, and 39 – 52.

269

270 The first suspected cases of Zika in 2015 were identified during epidemiological
271 weeks 14 – 27 and reported in San Cristobal (Fig 3C), while the highest burden of
272 Zika disease was reported in Jimaní (16 cases per 10,000 population:
273 epidemiological weeks 1-15). In 2016, the peak of Zika cases occurred between
274 epidemiological weeks 1 – 27 (Fig 3C). Throughout weeks 14 -27, the greatest
275 burden of Zika disease was recorded in Sabana Grande de Palenque, San José de
276 Ocoa and Sabana Larga (13, 9 and 6 cases per 10,000 population respectively) (Fig
277 3C).

278 **Transmission Dynamics**

279 A distribution of the disease migration interval, defined as the serial interval
280 calculated between municipalities, instead of individuals, was calculated to capture
281 the spatio-temporal disease dynamics of the dengue and Zika epidemics.

282 Each municipality was described as either infector or infectee, and the disease
283 migration interval referenced the time between symptom onsets in each of the
284 infector-infectee pairs. The results indicated an exponential distribution between the
285 probability density of secondary municipality symptom onset (infectee) and the time
286 of symptom onset in the infector municipality (Fig 4) for both dengue and Zika.
287 Probability of transmission from infector to infectee municipalities was elevated
288 (~0.1) for both dengue and Zika at the beginning of the epidemic. Probabilities for
289 dengue remained elevated for the first ~50 days before tailing off, whereas
290 probabilities for Zika were high for the first ~125 days before a gradual decline.

291

292 **Fig 4. Disease migration interval distribution fitted to exponential distribution.**

(A) Dengue cases, (B) Zika cases. Probability density histograms plotted of the disease migration interval represent the distribution of time differences between initial symptom onset within each municipality. Red line represents the maximum likelihood estimation of the exponential distribution describing the data.

The mean disease migration interval, probability of transmission per day (expressed as a rate), standard deviations and log likelihoods for the fitted distributions can be seen in Table 1. The rate for the dengue distribution fitted to 0.01004 ($s = 0.00009095$) and the rate for Zika distribution was 0.01580 ($s = 0.0001793$).

303

304 **Table 1: Fitted disease migration interval distribution parameters.**

305 Mean disease migration interval calculated by the inverse of the estimated rate

Parameter	Disease	
	Dengue	Zika
Mean Disease Migration Interval (days)	99.55	63.28
Rate (probability of transmission/day)	0.01004	0.01580
Standard Deviation	0.00009095	0.0001793
Log Likelihood	-66950	-39670

306

307 The disease migration intervals were used to produce the Wallinga-Teunis matrices
 308 (Fig 5) along with the transmission network between infector-infectee municipal pairs
 309 for dengue and Zika (Fig 6).

310

311 **Fig 5. Heatmaps of transmission likelihood of infector-infectee municipal pairs.**

312 **(A)** Dengue cases, **(B)** Zika cases. Heatmap X axis represents all possible infector municipalities
 313 ordered by time of initial symptom onset date; Y axis represents all possible infectee municipalities
 314 ordered by time of symptom onset. Each square represents the transmission likelihood for said
 315 infector-infectee pair. Continuous scale from grey (0) to red (1) represents the normalised likelihood of
 316 transmission, with grey squares indicating no likelihood of transmission. Dark grey lines represent
 317 where infectee municipalities were unlikely to be infected by other observed municipalities, and so
 318 infection occurred by unobserved disease migration.

319

320

321

322 **Fig 6. Municipal-specific, time-varying reproduction numbers. (A) Dengue cases, (B) Zika cases.**

323 R_m is the number of municipalities a given infector municipality is likely to infect. Red line represents

324 the fitted Generalised Additive Model with smoothing splines and the 95% confidence interval seen as

325 a shaded grey area. Dashed line represents an $R_m = 1$.

326

327

During the exponential phase of the outbreak, the transmission likelihood matrix for dengue was populated by lower likelihood transmission events compared with the downward curve (Fig 5A). The matrix also identified six transmission events with a 0% likelihood of transmission between infector and infectee, all of which occurred in the latter half of the transmission network. By comparison, the Zika transmission likelihood matrix (Fig 5B) displayed one transmission event with a 0% likelihood of transmission between infector and infectee, both of which also occurred at the end of

the transmission network. The transmission chain itself, however, was populated with many high likelihood transmission events, with few pairwise infector-infectee likelihoods below 50%. In other words, the likelihood of transmission between infector and infectee municipalities increased over time for both dengue and Zika, although probabilities were higher, earlier for Zika.

To obtain the time-varying R_m , the sum of the transmission likelihoods for each infectee municipality was calculated and plotted over time (Fig 6). For dengue (Fig 6A), there was a linear trend starting at an R_m of ~ 1.0 in January 2015, which decreased to a value of approximately 0.7 by April 2016. Zika (Fig 6B) showed a consistent R_m of approximately 1 until just after April 2016 when it began to decline. By September 2016, the R_m was ~ 0.4 . Grey areas in Figure 6 represent 95% confidence intervals, which increase in size over time and correlate with greater uncertainty as caseload declines.

Discussion

This research set out to explore the spatio-temporal trends of both dengue and Zika epidemics between 2014-2016, and better define disease progression at a municipality level across the Dominican Republic. Retrospective analysis of incident case data was used to map the spatio-temporal distribution of cases. Transmission likelihood matrices for infector-infectee pairs were generated, and the temporal trend of R_m was calculated to better understand transmission dynamics over time.

Dengue and Zika attack rates over the entire epidemic period varied substantially across the country, likely a result of known transmission drivers (35). As shown in Fig 3A, the peak of the dengue epidemic occurred during epidemiological weeks 39-52 of 2015, which coincided with the implementation of control efforts, such as fogging and public health campaigns (36), that may have stymied transmission (37). By contrast, the peak of the Zika epidemic occurred between weeks 14-27 of 2016 (Fig 3D). Uncomplicated dengue attack rates were highest in the municipality of Cotuí, at 622 cases per 10,000 population. No other municipality recorded >100 cases per 10,000 population. By contrast, the highest Zika attack rate was recorded in the municipality of Jimaní, at 32 per 10,000 population, and equates to a ~20-fold difference in incidence, demonstrating the continuing burden of dengue in the Dominican Republic.

That Jimaní recorded the highest Zika burden in the country is important not only due to the relatively high caseload. Jimaní has a population of 400,000 and shares a land border with Haiti. It has undergone rapid expansion in recent decades and is a hub

for the movement of people and goods across the border (38). Considering the detection of Zika in Haiti as early as 2014 (39), and that Jimani has become a gateway for larger campaigns in Haiti (40), it is plausible that a number of Zika importation and re-importation events occurred across both sides of the border. This narrative is hypothetically confirmed by spatio-temporal mapping of Zika, showing that western-most municipalities were affected greatly in the early phases of the epidemic, while central and eastern regions were affected later. In light of this, increased disease surveillance capacity in Jimaní could offer valuable early warning for disease events across both sides of the border.

Human Mobility and Infrastructure

Human movement between neighbourhoods and commuter cities is known to intensify dengue transmission (41) (42). Indeed, those provinces (Hermanas Mirabal, Sánchez Ramirez and La Vega) that share these characteristics reported relatively high uncomplicated dengue attack rates of 58, 48 and 38 cases per 10,000 population respectively (standardised for age and sex) (Table S1). For Hermanas Mirabal and La Vega, high standardised attack rates correlate with their geographical location. They are connected by primary roads DR-132 and DR-1 to San Francisco de Macoris and Santiago De Los Caballeros respectively, two of the ten largest cities by population (22)(43). Sánchez Ramirez province, which includes Cotuí municipality, also places on one of the Dominican Republic's primary roads (DR-17) between Santo Domingo and San Francisco de Macoris (43). Accordingly, municipalities and towns along major commuter belts would likely benefit from greater surveillance and public health capacity.

Transmission Chains

The disease migration interval, seen in Fig 4, describes the likelihood of secondary (municipality) infection as a function of the distribution of disease migration intervals for dengue and Zika. This reflects both the infectious period and human mobility. For dengue, the migration interval was heavily skewed towards the first 50 days after symptom onset, in contrast to Zika, which showed a broader distribution over the first 125 days. Given that both pathogens are transmitted via the same *Aedes* vectors, this suggests a more significant role for sexually transmitted Zika (44), at least in terms of transmission drivers throughout the first half of the epidemic.

Wallinga and Teunis (15) first proposed transmission likelihood matrices to identify breaks in transmission chains. In real terms, this equates to the importation of cases, better known as importation events (17). The international and intra-national movement of people, and the influence of asymptomatic or unreported individuals, can be captured using this methodology, which can help identify both the index case and the source of importations/ reintroductions (17). Using absolute dengue cases, this study identified six events that had 0% likelihood of transmission between infector and infectee municipalities, in other words, six importation events (Fig 5A). These occurred during the latter half of the outbreak and constituted a greater number of importation events than the single event observed for Zika. While it is not possible to tease out the origin of each event, the relative frequency of importation events between diseases is not unexpected, given the assumed largely Zika seronegative international population (due to the novel nature of the virus) vs. the global endemicity of dengue (4). However, it is also possible that these events are related to intra-national introductions, where individuals become infected through

inter-municipal contacts, reinforcing the importance of human mobility as a transmission driver, but also potentially through asymptomatic or unreported infections. The implicit assumption here is that a lower R_m requires a greater number of importation events to sustain transmission, and vice versa.

The likelihood of transmission increased with time from symptom onset for both Zika and dengue. Heatmaps for dengue (Fig 5A) showed increasing likelihood of transmission between infector-infectee pairs, as a function of symptom onset over time, likely indicating multiple smaller importation events in pockets of less well-connected municipalities in rural areas. By contrast, Zika heatmaps demonstrated a more consistent chain of transmission, most likely reflecting a continuous supply of susceptibles infected by two modes of transmission. This is not atypical for Zika, and has been observed in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, where multiple introductions over a short space of time led to a national crisis (45), since corroborated by phylogenetic analysis linking the strain to French Polynesia (46).

Municipal Reproduction Number (R_m)

Defining transmission chains and generating time-varying reproduction numbers can provide epidemiologists with valuable information that inform surveillance, control and response. Methodologies used to generate these metrics are established (47) (48) and have been used to determine the impact of cattle culls on foot-and-mouth disease in the UK (47). However, only a small proportion of such probabilistic studies have focussed on arboviruses, with Salje *et al.*, 2016 looking specifically at the transmission dynamics of chikungunya (49). Independent cascade models (34) have also been used to determine interactions across networks for infectious disease outbreaks, yet these focussed on individuals' data (17), or were used in the context

of social network modelling (50). Where this study expands the field is in the use of widely available data at a standard geospatial unit – the municipality – to understand transmission dynamics of infectious diseases, using a newly-defined variant of the basic reproduction number: R_m . The metric reflects the average number of secondary infectee municipalities arising from a primary infector municipality, and can be interpreted as follows: a municipality with an $R_m < 1$ reflects a lower likelihood of infection to other municipalities, while on the other hand, an $R_m > 1$ represents increased likelihood of infection to other municipalities.

In this study, dengue R_m was recorded as ~ 1.0 at the start of the epidemic, but immediately and steadily declined throughout (Fig 7A), likely reflecting two factors: 1) that there was a relatively small pool of susceptibles among a highly mobile population in the early phases of the outbreak and 2) that this pool depleted fairly rapidly as transmission spread from major urban areas before fading throughout less mobile populations. By contrast, the Zika R_m (Fig 7B) remained constant at a value of 1.0 for four months between January and April, indicating a large pool of susceptibles (51) that were infected steadily as the infection spread throughout the population. Then in May, the R_m steadily declined below 1.0, suggesting both a declining pool of susceptibles and a lower force of infection, perhaps as the virus reached poorly connected rural areas. This transmission pattern has been observed previously in French Polynesia and the Federated States of Micronesia, where high seroprevalence of IgM antibodies in the local population suggested an acute outbreak that infected three quarters of the population over a similar time scale: four months from April – July 2007 (52), before tailing off.

The dengue R_m observed in this study provides evidence that vector-borne disease spread between administrative locations, and so between populations, can still occur when the effective municipal reproduction number is below 1. Conversely, Zika, as a newly emerged infection, never recorded an R_m of 1.5 or higher, but maintained a consistent R_m of approximately 1.0 during the growth and exponential phases of the epidemic. This indicates that even in the presence of a huge pool of mobile susceptibles, vector-borne and sexually transmitted diseases have an R_m 'ceiling', pre-determined by the mode of transmission.

This novel metric clearly has benefit. It operates at a scale that broadly aligns with existing geospatial data collection, thus addressing fundamental issues surrounding data and spatial heterogeneity described elsewhere (30, 53, 54). Operationally, the R_m can be used to identify high-burden and high-risk municipalities that necessitate intervention, thereby aligning with early warning and response systems that operate on similar spatial scales (30, 55). But it should be cautioned that the R_m should be used as a floating metric to guide intervention, rather than a binary threshold used to trigger intervention.

Limitations

Estimation of the time-varying R_m across the Dominican Republic required the heuristic determination of an optimal distribution to describe the disease migration interval distribution. This used the maximum likelihood estimation of an exponential distribution fit to the data, as seen in Figure 4, which required the assumptions that the data was identical, independent, and discrete, and fitted the interval probability

density distribution well. This was supported by the log likelihood for each of these models, which were significantly negative, as shown in Table 1, implying a good fit to the data. However, this and the standard deviation for Zika were lower than in dengue, implying the model fitted the dengue epidemic data better than for Zika. This could have been a product of more uncertainty in the exact distribution for Zika due to the smaller sample size of disease migration intervals.

As suspected cases were used in all analyses, there was the potential for misclassification between not only dengue and Zika, but also within the clinical spectrum of dengue, as well as misreporting. All rates were calculated using 2016 census data, so there will be small discrepancies in precision when standardising earlier datasets. Data paucity was an issue for those over 80 years of age resulting in increased noise and less reliability across results within this age group.

Wallinga-Teunis matrices rely on the temporal product of the disease migration interval distribution, so for these analyses, the distribution was reasonably assumed to be exponential. Furthermore, the matrices themselves are dependent on the completeness of the dataset regarding asymptomatic and unreported infections. Consequently, the clarity of the transmission chain could be honed by incorporating predictions on rates of asymptomatic or unreported cases.

The production of time-varying reproduction numbers assumed complete susceptibility to the viruses within the population, which was valid for Zika, but less so for dengue, although susceptibility to the serotype across the population was patently high.

Conclusions

The results of this study characterised the Zika and dengue burden at the municipality level in the Dominican Republic across 2014-16. Concentrated disease burden within specific municipalities is likely due to the presence of significant transport arteries, both within Dominican Republic and across the border to Haiti, as a conduit for increased human movement and disease dispersal. Therefore, increased surveillance of both vector and epidemiological data (51), alongside targeted public health measures in these municipalities, is needed.

Furthermore, this research highlights the inception of a novel metric used to quantify and determine transmission chains at the municipal level, which can be used to characterise municipality risk, in terms of secondary transmission to neighbouring municipalities. This approach can be generalised to countries worldwide, for multiple infectious diseases, towards refining public health responses by targeting municipalities that are significant contributors to disease spread.

Finally, this study further reinforces the importance of importation events that drive transmission where R_m is below one, and conversely the significance of immune-naïve populations in facilitating disease spread, which require fewer importation events to sustain transmission. Future research should be focused on the refinement of these novel metrics, and application of these to characterise municipalities based on a risk-system, reflecting variation in R_m outputs.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr Ronald Skewes-Ramm, Prof Axel Kroeger and Prof Piero Olliaro for their work towards obtaining the original dataset.

References

- (1) Davis LE, Beckham JD, Tyler KL. North American Encephalitic Arboviruses. *Neurologic Clinics*. 2008;26(3):727–57.
- (2) Beckham JD, Tyler KL. Arbovirus Infections. *CONTINUUM: Lifelong Learning in Neurology*. 2015;21:1599–21:1
- (3) Bowman LR, Donegan S, McCall PJ. Is Dengue Vector Control Deficient in Effectiveness or Evidence?: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2016;10: e0004551.
- (4) Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature*. 2013;496(7446):504–7.
- (5) Brady OJ, Gething PW, Bhatt S, Messina JP, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, et al. Refining the Global Spatial Limits of Dengue Virus Transmission by Evidence-Based Consensus. Reithinger R, editor. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2012;6(8):e1760.
- (6) Pan American Health Organization, World Health Organization. PLISA Health Information Platform for the Americas. Cases of Zika Virus Disease by Country or Territory. Washington, D.C.: WHO; 2019.
- (7) Franz A, Kantor A, Passarelli A, Clem R. Tissue Barriers to Arbovirus Infection in Mosquitoes. *Viruses*. 2015;7(7):3741–67.
- (8) Chye JK, Lim CT, Ng KB, Lim JMH, George R, Lam SK. Vertical Transmission of Dengue. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 1997;25(6):1374–7.
- (9) Pomar L, Vouga M, Lambert V, Pomar C, Hcini N, Jolivet A, et al. Maternal-fetal transmission and adverse perinatal outcomes in pregnant women infected with Zika virus: prospective cohort study in French Guiana. *BMJ*. 2018;k4431.

- (10) Counotte MJ, Kim CR, Wang J, Bernstein K, Deal CD, Broutet NJN, et al. Sexual transmission of Zika virus and other flaviviruses: A living systematic review. von Seidlein L, editor. *PLOS Medicine*. 2018;15(7):e1002611.
- (11) Rothman, K., & Greenland, S. (1998). Modern Epidemiology, 2nd Edition. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- (12) admin. Epidemic theory (effective & basic reproduction numbers, epidemic thresholds) & techniques for analysis of infectious disease data (construction & use of epidemic curves, generation numbers, exceptional reporting & identification of significant clusters). *Health Knowledge*. 2019.
- (13) Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP, Metz JAJ. On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R_0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*. 1990;28(4).
- (14) A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics | Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character. 2019.
- (15) Wallinga J. Different Epidemic Curves for Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Reveal Similar Impacts of Control Measures. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2004;160(6):509–16.
- (16) Last JM, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. 4th ed. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, 2001.
- (17) Routledge I, Chevéz JER, Cucunubá ZM, Rodriguez MG, Guinovart C, Gustafson KB, et al. Estimating spatiotemporally varying malaria reproduction numbers in a near elimination setting. *Nature Communications*. 2018;9(1).

- 592 (18) Fraser C. Estimating Individual and Household Reproduction Numbers
593 in an Emerging Epidemic. Galvani A, editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2007;2(8):e758.
- 594 (19) Frost WH. Some Conceptions of Epidemics in General. *American*
595 *Journal of Epidemiology*. 1976;103(2):141–51.
- 596 (20) Abbey H. An examination of the Reed-Frost theory of epidemics.
597 *Human biology*. 1952;24(3):201–33.
- 598 (21) Bowman LR, Rocklöv J, Kroeger A, Olliaro P, Skewes R. A comparison
599 of Zika and dengue outbreaks using national surveillance data in the
600 Dominican Republic. Harley D, editor. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*.
601 2018;12(11):e0006876.
- 602 (22) Butler RA. Largest cities in Dominican Republic. Mongabay.
603 Mongabay; 2001.
- 604 (23) Dominican Republic administrative boundaries (levels 0-5) -
605 Humanitarian Data Exchange. Humdata.org. 2014.
- 606 (24) Wickham. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-
607 Verlag. New York, 2016.
- 608 (25) Wikipedia Contributors. Dominican Republic. Wikipedia. Wikimedia
609 Foundation; 2019.
- 610 (26) World Bank. Dominican Republic: Country Profile.
611 <https://databank.worldbank.org>. 2018.
- 612 (27) Yamashiro T, Disla M, Petit A, Taveras D, Castro-Bello M, Lora-Orste
613 M, et al. Seroprevalence of IgG specific for dengue virus among adults and
614 children in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic. *The American journal of*
615 *tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2004;71(2):138–43.

- (28) WHO (2009) Dengue guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. In: Ciceri K, Tissot P, editors. 2009 ed. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- (29) Zika virus disease. World Health Organization. 2016.
- (30) Bowman LR, Tejeda GS, Coelho GE, Sulaiman LH, Gill BS, McCall PJ, et al. Alarm Variables for Dengue Outbreaks: A Multi-Centre Study in Asia and Latin America. Hsieh Y-H, editor. *PLOS ONE*. 2016;11(6):e0157971.
- (31) RStudio Team (2018). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA URL <http://www.rstudio.com/>.
- (32) RPubs - Age Adjusted Rates. Rpubs.com. 2017. Available from: <https://rpubs.com/bpoulin-CUNY/321735>
- (33) Justin Lessler, Henrik Salje and John Giles (2018). IDSpatialStats: Estimate Global Clustering in Infectious Disease. R package version 0.3.5. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=IDSpatialStats>
- (34) Kempe D, Kleinberg J, Tardos E. Maximizing the Spread of Influence through a Social Network. 2003.
- (35) Kilpatrick AM, Randolph SE. Drivers, dynamics, and control of emerging vector-borne zoonotic diseases. *The Lancet*. [Online] 2012;380(9857): 1946–1955. Available from: doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(12)61151-9 [Accessed: 23rd November 2019]
- (36) Energía y Minas en jornada contra dengue junto a líderes comunitarios Cotuí. Energía y Minas en jornada contra dengue junto a líderes comunitarios Cotuí | Presidencia de la República Dominicana. 2016.
- (37) Kenneson A, Beltrán-Ayala E, Borbor-Cordova MJ, Polhemus ME, Ryan SJ, Endy TP, et al. Social-ecological factors and preventive actions

decrease the risk of dengue infection at the household-level: Results from a prospective dengue surveillance study in Machala, Ecuador. Messer WB, editor. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2017;11(12):e0006150.

(38) The Relentless Rise of Two Caribbean Lakes Baffles Scientists. Nationalgeographic.com. 2016.

(39) Lednicky J, Beau De Rochars VM, El Badry M, Loeb J, Telisma T, Chavannes S, et al. Zika Virus Outbreak in Haiti in 2014: Molecular and Clinical Data. Reithinger R, editor. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2016;10(4):e0004687.

(40) A small town in the Dominican Republic becomes a gateway for relief efforts in Haiti. UNICEF. 2010.

(41) Cosner C, Beier JC, Cantrell RS, Impoinvil D, Kapitanski L, Potts MD, et al. The effects of human movement on the persistence of vector-borne diseases. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. [Online] 2009;258(4): 550–560. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.02.016 [Accessed: 23rd March 2020]

(42) Lee S, Castillo-Chavez C. The role of residence times in two-patch dengue transmission dynamics and optimal strategies. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. [Online] 2015;374: 152–164. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2015.03.005 [Accessed: 23rd March 2020]

(43) 2.3 Dominican Republic Road Network - Logistics Capacity Assessment - Digital Logistics Capacity Assessments. [Online] Logcluster.org. Available from: <https://dlca.logcluster.org/display/public/DLCA/2.3+Dominican+Republic+Road+Network> [Accessed: 23rd April 2020]

- (44) Sakkas H, Bozidis P, Giannakopoulos X, Sofikitis N, Papadopoulou C. An Update on Sexual Transmission of Zika Virus. *Pathogens*. 2018;7(3):66.
- (45) Zanoluca C, Melo VCA de, Mosimann ALP, Santos GIV dos, Santos CND dos, Luz K. First report of autochthonous transmission of Zika virus in Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* [Internet]. 2015 Jun 9 [cited 2020 Jun 13];110(4):569–72. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4501423/>
- (46) Campos GS, Bandeira AC, Sardi SI. Zika Virus Outbreak, Bahia, Brazil. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2015;21(10):1885–6.
- (47) Ferguson NM, Donnelly CA, Anderson RM. Transmission intensity and impact of control policies on the foot and mouth epidemic in Great Britain. *Nature*. 2001;413(6855):542–8.
- (48) Jombart T, Cori A, Didelot X, Cauchemez S, Fraser C, Ferguson N. Bayesian Reconstruction of Disease Outbreaks by Combining Epidemiologic and Genomic Data. Tanaka MM, editor. *PLoS Computational Biology*. 2014;10(1):e1003457.
- (49) Salje H, Lessler J, Paul KK, Azman AS, Rahman MW, Rahman M, et al. How social structures, space, and behaviors shape the spread of infectious diseases using chikungunya as a case study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2016;113(47):13420–5.
- (50) Kucharski AJ, Kwok KO, Wei VWI, Cowling BJ, Read JM, Lessler J, et al. The Contribution of Social Behaviour to the Transmission of Influenza A in a Human Population. Ghedin E (ed.) *PLoS Pathogens*. [Online] 2014;10(6): e1004206. Available from: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004206 [Accessed: 26th April 2020]

690 (51) Zika-Epidemiological Report Dominican Republic. 2017.

691 (52) Zika Virus Outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia |
692 NEJM. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2009.

693 (53) Olliaro P, Fouque F, Kroeger A, Bowman L, Velayudhan R et al.
694 Improved tools and strategies for the prevention and control of arboviral
695 diseases: A research-to-policy forum. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*.
696 2018;12: e0005967.

697 (54) Bowman LR, Runge-Ranzinger S, McCall PJ. Assessing the
698 Relationship between Vector Indices and Dengue Transmission: A Systematic
699 Review of the Evidence. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2014;8: e2848.

700 (55) Hussain-Alkhateeb L, Kroeger A, Olliaro P, Rocklöv J, Sewe MO, et al.
701 Early warning and response system (EWARS) for dengue outbreaks: Recent
702 advancements towards widespread applications in critical settings. *PLoS*
703 *ONE*. 2018;13: e0196811.

704

705

706 **Supplementary Material**

707 **Table S1. Age and sex standardised attack rates of dengue by province.**

708 Respective lower and upper 95% confidence intervals are shown.

Province of Residence	Standardised Attack Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval
Hermanas Mirabal	58.07921	57.85644	58.30198
San José de Ocoa	49.20185	48.846	49.5577
Sánchez Ramírez	48.49327	48.36307	48.62347
La Vega	38.80868	38.75974	38.85763
Santiago Rodríguez	38.07062	37.71529	38.42594
Barahona	33.09816	33.00535	33.19097
Duarte	31.81822	31.75006	31.88637
Distrito Nacional	29.4844	29.4635	29.5053
María Trinidad Sánchez	29.00574	28.86502	29.14646
Hato Mayor	25.61707	25.3909	25.84324
Monseñor Nouel	25.23547	25.12044	25.35049
Azua	24.86613	24.7818	24.95045
San Juan	23.91775	23.83329	24.00222
Santiago	23.75802	23.73761	23.77842
Santo Domingo	23.2899	23.28279	23.29702
Monte Cristi	22.7714	22.59429	22.94851
Puerto Plata	20.60499	20.5439	20.66609
Pedernales	19.88183	19.3406	20.42306
Dajabón	19.72239	19.43175	20.01304
Españolat	18.54744	18.4613	18.63359
Valverde	18.18141	18.06645	18.29636
Peravia	18.0829	17.9858	18.18001
Samaná	17.80326	17.62246	17.98406
San Cristóbal	16.987	16.95681	17.01718
Baoruco	14.92881	14.7493	15.10832
El Seibo	14.84969	14.64179	15.05759
Monte Plata	14.07224	13.9758	14.16868
San Pedro de Macorís	12.76493	12.70028	12.82958
Elías Piña	11.80936	11.54331	12.07541

Independencia	11.66837	11.36343	11.9733
La Altagracia	11.36612	11.30525	11.42699
La Romana	4.964588	4.891426	5.03775

709

710 **Table S2. Age and sex standardised attack rates of Zika by province.** Respective lower
711 and upper 95% confidence intervals are shown.

Province of Residence	Standardised Attack Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval
San José de Ocoa	21.160	20.773	21.548
Independencia	13.370	13.015	13.724
Distrito Nacional	9.334	9.315	9.353
Santo Domingo	7.291	7.284	7.298
Valverde	7.288	7.171	7.405
Puerto Plata	6.348	6.288	6.408
Azua	5.855	5.756	5.954
San Cristóbal	5.681	5.649	5.714
Hato Mayor	5.430	5.193	5.666
Españolat	5.358	5.273	5.444
Samaná	5.032	4.846	5.218
La Altagracia	5.003	4.940	5.067
Santiago Rodríguez	4.326	3.957	4.694
Dajabón	3.435	3.115	3.756
Monte Plata	3.138	3.026	3.250
Peravia	3.034	2.932	3.137
Pedernales	3.000	2.347	3.653
Santiago	2.684	2.665	2.703
Monseñor Nouel	2.588	2.473	2.704
La Romana	2.465	2.390	2.541
Hermanas Mirabal	2.254	2.033	2.475
La Vega	1.920	1.872	1.969
Elías Piña	1.770	1.337	2.204
Sánchez Ramírez	1.766	1.628	1.905
El Seibo	1.680	1.445	1.915
Duarte	1.622	1.555	1.690
Barahona	1.620	1.507	1.734

San Juan	1.531	1.428	1.635
San Pedro de Macorís	1.388	1.324	1.453
Monte Cristi	1.334	1.159	1.508
María Trinidad Sánchez	1.223	1.082	1.364
Baoruco	1.116	0.897	1.334

712

713 **Table S3. Age and sex standardised attack rates of total dengue by municipality.**

714 Respective lower and upper 95% confidence intervals are shown.

Municipality of Residence	Standardised Attack Rate (per 10,000 population)	Lower 95% confidence interval	Higher 95% confidence interval
Jarabacoa	99.80548	99.46349	100.1475
Ramón Santana	89.70886	87.66433	91.75339
Fantino	89.63507	88.68542	90.58471
Jima Abajo	85.40193	84.76547	86.03838
Las Salinas	84.32807	80.65512	88.00103
Villa Tapia	76.6103	75.76196	77.45864
La Ciénaga	61.26256	59.35661	63.16851
San José De Ocoa	56.34095	55.80489	56.87701
Salcedo	55.89944	55.37521	56.42366
Cotuí	52.90987	52.64865	53.17108
Villa González	50.6077	50.0964	51.11899
Cabrera	49.68283	48.84336	50.5223
San Ignacio De Sabaneta	47.72824	47.11682	48.33966
Tenares	46.91322	46.17762	47.64882
Sabana Larga	44.4343	42.25702	46.61158
Mella	43.95176	39.41779	48.48573
Villa Rivas	42.39822	41.82511	42.97133
Enriquillo	40.41046	39.09039	41.73054
Barahona	39.92808	39.71827	40.13789
Cayetano Germosen	36.2763	33.31374	39.23887
Castillo	34.20579	32.9165	35.49509
La Mata	33.82985	33.32753	34.33217
Villa Los Almácigos	33.65289	31.96162	35.34416
Monte Cristi	33.55048	32.73864	34.36232
Tabara Arriba	33.22181	32.13656	34.30706
San Francisco De Macorís	33.00379	32.89722	33.11036
Fundación	32.87632	30.57498	35.17766
Arenoso	31.6767	30.29701	33.0564
Las Matas De Farfán	31.04217	30.60128	31.48306
Hato Mayor del Rey	30.47963	30.16355	30.79572
Rancho Arriba	29.52567	27.21086	31.84048

Bonao	28.53502	28.3801	28.68995
Azua	28.52515	28.32759	28.7227
Nagua	28.4949	28.23753	28.75227
Juan De Herrera	28.18342	26.64899	29.71785
Santo Domingo Norte	27.69646	27.66495	27.72797
Bohechío	26.75297	24.73621	28.76974
Santo Domingo Oeste	26.73674	26.68871	26.78477
Licey Al Medio	26.71107	25.89305	27.5291
La Vega	26.66034	26.58019	26.74048
Puerto Plata	26.08195	25.95665	26.20724
Villa Vázquez	25.68708	24.28856	27.0856
Las Guaranas	25.51559	24.12641	26.90478
Santiago	25.51513	25.48629	25.54397
Sabana Yegua	25.48877	24.52804	26.4495
Pueblo Viejo	25.37458	23.77025	26.97891
Sosua	24.61137	24.21918	25.00355
Vicente Noble	24.41566	23.59058	25.24075
Santo Domingo Este	24.37927	24.3605	24.39804
Guayubín	24.11644	23.56681	24.66607
San Juan	24.09508	23.94515	24.245
El Pino	24.01008	20.64867	27.37149
Samaná	23.23657	22.92029	23.55285
Loma De Cabrera	23.13504	21.94176	24.32832
Yamasá	22.79716	22.48249	23.11182
Mao	22.63276	22.37781	22.8877
Piedra Blanca	22.60557	21.71943	23.4917
San Cristóbal	22.14353	22.06685	22.22022
Pepillo Salcedo (Manzanillo)	22.08113	20.02022	24.14204
Pedernales	21.8935	21.17831	22.60869
Peralta	21.65648	20.44372	22.86924
Laguna Salada	21.46498	20.67118	22.25878
Dajabón	21.4077	20.74168	22.07371
Padre Las Casas	21.26606	20.37922	22.1529
Cambita Garabitos	21.24353	20.68836	21.79869
Las Yayas de Viajama	21.15576	20.11913	22.1924
Moca	20.47941	20.36602	20.59279
Bisonó	20.39457	19.94033	20.84881
Los Alcarizos	20.32296	20.26306	20.38286
Jaquimeyes	20.25948	15.84741	24.67154
Bajos De Haina	20.13347	19.99304	20.2739
Duvergé	19.97502	18.46921	21.48083
Baní	19.94201	19.82603	20.05799
Río San Juan	19.8371	18.44851	21.2257
Los Hidalgos	19.81484	18.04952	21.58016

El Peñón	18.89265	14.26395	23.52135
Consuelo	18.70276	18.0918	19.31372
Cabral	18.43594	17.23146	19.64042
El Factor	17.73807	16.92954	18.54659
Tamboril	17.57079	17.19646	17.94513
Neiba	17.0401	16.54895	17.53126
Sabana De La Mar	16.99978	15.80979	18.18976
Monción	16.88539	15.04676	18.72402
Partido	16.86654	13.86123	19.87185
Pedro Brand	16.85734	16.64279	17.07189
Miches	16.80266	15.95822	17.6471
Villa Jaragua	16.42362	14.81411	18.03314
Tamayo	15.92995	15.27947	16.58042
Villa Montellano	15.47258	14.49432	16.45085
Sabana Grande De Boya	15.02801	14.45046	15.60555
Las Charcas	14.93584	13.30949	16.56218
Pimentel	14.58927	13.48946	15.68907
Santa Cruz de El Seibo	14.26439	13.98758	14.54121
Oviedo	14.10137	11.79814	16.40459
Yaguatero	14.01328	13.60936	14.41719
Villa Isabela	13.76339	12.5372	14.98958
Bánica	13.58093	10.6233	16.53855
Comendador	13.54216	12.95233	14.132
Estebanía	13.51789	10.20601	16.82978
Los Ríos	13.45697	11.05918	15.85476
San Antonio De Guerra	13.36972	12.99537	13.74407
Hondo Valle	12.9614	11.26777	14.65502
El Cercado	12.85318	11.92014	13.78623
Castañuelas	12.64453	11.40537	13.8837
Esperanza	12.28771	11.99754	12.57787
Higüey	12.26903	12.20223	12.33583
San José De Las Matas	12.16991	11.65137	12.68846
Cevicos	11.80692	10.36058	13.25327
Guananico	11.76473	7.921584	15.60787
Las Terrenas	11.5613	10.5313	12.59131
Polo	11.50662	8.687499	14.32574
El Llano	10.96535	9.174346	12.75636
Constanza	10.89276	10.57411	11.21142
Sánchez	10.68355	9.908002	11.45909
Gaspar Hernández	10.62947	10.10862	11.15031
San Pedro De Macorís	10.51065	10.41208	10.60922
Vallejuelo	10.47525	8.895904	12.05459
Monte Plata	10.4132	10.00501	10.8214
Santo Domingo de Guzmán	10.2152	10.20796	10.22244

Maimón	9.692846	8.692952	10.69274
Boca Chica	9.677225	9.563648	9.790802
Nizao	9.432479	8.772205	10.09275
Janico	9.330062	8.110934	10.54919
Imbert	9.234064	8.329125	10.139
Galván	9.135609	8.016497	10.25472
Paraíso	9.129083	8.076553	10.18161
Puñal	8.974125	8.534393	9.413857
Jimaní	8.950573	8.027251	9.873896
Altamira	8.907666	7.743731	10.0716
Villa Altagracia	8.794135	8.593711	8.99456
Luperón	8.506038	7.315192	9.696883
Bayaguana	8.400559	7.81252	8.988598
Juan Santiago	8.099237	0.161985	16.03649
Guayabal	7.956494	4.057812	11.85518
La Descubierta	7.743339	5.575204	9.911474
Restauración	7.602448	5.473763	9.731134
Las Matas De Santa Cruz	7.171422	5.414424	8.928421
La Romana	7.07478	6.940153	7.209407
El Valle	7.068844	4.297857	9.839831
Sabana Iglesia	6.993931	5.470808	8.517054
Peralvillo	6.794156	5.906386	7.681926
Eugenio Maria De Hostos	6.708117	3.42114	9.995094
Guayacanes	6.471506	5.203091	7.739922
Pedro Santana	5.994467	3.057178	8.931756
Los Cacaos	5.894755	4.244224	7.545287
Quisqueya	5.81898	4.868546	6.769413
Jamao Al Norte	5.21818	2.661272	7.775088
Los Llanos	4.373047	3.51593	5.230164
San Gregorio De Nigua	4.073791	3.503461	4.644122
Sabana Grande De Palenque	3.363778	2.264944	4.462612
Cristóbal	3.129884	1.085027	5.174742
Guaymate	2.681658	1.630448	3.732868
Villa Hermosa	2.480608	2.286128	2.675088
San Rafael Del Yuma	2.220714	1.495281	2.946148
Postrer Río	2.05144	-1.96938	6.072261

716 **Table S4. Age and sex standardised attack rates of Zika per municipality.** Respective
717 lower and upper 95% confidence intervals are shown.

Municipality of Residence	Standardised Attack Rate (per 10,000 population)	Lower 95% confidence interval	Higher 95% confidence interval
Jimani	32.3110079	31.199962764	33.4220531
Sabana Grande De Palenque	31.1635729	29.988945902	32.3381999
San Jose De Ocoa	25.6569420	25.085491882	26.2283920
Sabana Larga	24.9988175	22.419992094	27.5776429
Mella	22.1680593	16.736884748	27.5992338
Laguna Salada	13.8565123	13.057725133	14.6552995
Los Alcarizos	11.9794935	11.915862626	12.0431245
Puerto Plata	11.4667693	11.347854645	11.5856839
Azua	8.8848804	8.658719782	9.1110410
Yamasa	8.5608894	8.179540673	8.9422381
Santo Domingo Norte	8.4313207	8.399107466	8.4635339
Sabana Iglesia	8.3813333	7.012382194	9.7502844
Villa Los Almacigos	7.8986302	5.963465804	9.8337946
Hato Mayor del Rey	7.5330013	7.204897261	7.8611054
San Cristobal	7.3748640	7.296305708	7.4534224
Santo Domingo Este	6.9967543	6.979329043	7.0141795
Moca	6.6630892	6.552414200	6.7737643
Mao	6.5777972	6.334542791	6.8210516
Maimon	6.4023271	5.356613639	7.4480405
Estebania	6.1978345	2.148582610	10.2470863
Boca Chica	6.1964392	6.073762201	6.3191162
Pueblo Viejo	5.9857849	4.030428520	7.9411413
Guaymate	5.7685724	4.355272143	7.1818726
Samana	5.6791387	5.361106943	5.9971705
Janico	5.6002079	4.602352682	6.5980631
Esperanza	5.5881001	5.275166493	5.9010337
Dajabon	5.5087043	4.833888002	6.1835205
Las Yayas de Viajama	5.4675100	4.127970032	6.8070499
Sanchez	5.2444350	4.453735608	6.0351345
Higüey	5.2339712	5.165580662	5.3023618

Santo Domingo Oeste	4.9618576	4.916623941	5.0070913
Cambita Garabitos	4.8807317	4.242982784	5.5184807
San Ignacio De Sabaneta	4.7929775	4.166695081	5.4192599
Las Salinas	4.7902755	0.095805510	9.4847455
Sabana Yegua	4.7736030	3.604070248	5.9431357
Luperon	4.5556290	3.280052865	5.8312051
El Pino	4.4579821	1.545433789	7.3705304
Ramon Santana	4.3993225	2.243654453	6.5549905
La Descubierta	4.1547668	1.440319165	6.8692145
Bajos De Haina	4.0324240	3.886061953	4.1787861
Tabara Arriba	4.0215527	2.707845475	5.3352599
Las Guaranas	4.0192872	2.706320080	5.3322544
Duverge	3.9938524	2.428262244	5.5594425
El Llano	3.9517350	0.079034700	7.8244353
Pedro Brand	3.9407016	3.706647803	4.1747554
Pedernales	3.8533242	3.014155784	4.6924925
Santo Domingo de Guzman	3.5813698	3.574236191	3.5885034
Bani	3.3054351	3.185460033	3.4254101
Villa Tapia	3.0550819	2.199658977	3.9105048
Yaguatero	2.9979435	2.545945881	3.4499412
Las Terrenas	2.8254353	1.717864634	3.9330059
Santiago	2.8209334	2.794975507	2.8468913
Cayetano Germosen	2.8138487	0.056276973	5.5714204
Neiba	2.7419134	2.144785610	3.3390412
Barahona	2.7377511	2.493842378	2.9816598
Salcedo	2.6390676	2.121810327	3.1563248
La Vega	2.6375850	2.559256741	2.7159133
Comendador	2.6288381	1.598333586	3.6593427
Peralta	2.5874098	1.319578974	3.8552405
Guayubin	2.5377422	1.985078332	3.0904060
Fantino	2.4828577	1.509577508	3.4561380
La Romana	2.4590175	2.332183957	2.5858510
Guayacan	2.4273391	1.237942933	3.6167352
Licey Al Medio	2.4193452	1.629025784	3.2096647

Villa Altagracia	2.3683721	2.136271672	2.6004726
Bonao	2.2882133	2.133561601	2.4428649
Villa Gonzalez	2.2798786	1.783371703	2.7763855
San Juan	2.2505690	2.074124375	2.4270136
La Mata	2.2182605	1.674786661	2.7617343
Bisano	2.1160769	1.655242388	2.5769114
San Francisco De Macoris	1.9711141	1.869446083	2.0727821
Castanuelas	1.9551102	0.677771522	3.2324488
Villa Hermosa	1.9428478	1.731293231	2.1544023
Tamboril	1.9257006	1.548263248	2.3031379
Guayabal	1.9073927	-1.831097011	5.6458825
Peralvillo	1.8898764	0.963836984	2.8159159
Santa Cruz de El Seibo	1.8710630	1.565456042	2.1766700
San Pedro De Macoris	1.5842176	1.490124677	1.6783105
Nagua	1.5703194	1.313833891	1.8268049
Altamira	1.5438997	0.030877994	3.0569214
Pedro Santana	1.5394848	-1.477905401	4.5568750
Cotui	1.5062534	1.237866434	1.7746404
San Antonio De Guerra	1.4871201	1.070726469	1.9035137
Nizao	1.4756720	0.752592713	2.1987513
Castillo	1.4643032	0.029286064	2.8993203
Fundacion	1.4457480	-1.387918078	4.2794141
San Rafael Del Yuma	1.3746690	0.701081169	2.0482567
Piedra Blanca	1.3607211	0.471716637	2.2497255
El Factor	1.3308969	0.461377576	2.2004161
Punal	1.2720042	0.856482823	1.6875256
Jima Abajo	1.2345934	0.629642633	1.8395442
Tenares	1.1261471	0.390397665	1.8618966
Miches	1.1019171	0.022038343	2.1817959
Monte Plata	1.0452904	0.635536553	1.4550442
Imbert	1.0206539	0.020413078	2.0208947
Los Cacaos	1.0094193	-0.969042510	2.9878811
Vicente Noble	1.0040338	0.020080675	1.9879869
Cristobal	1.0002930	-0.960281281	2.9608673
Padre Las Casas	0.9838419	0.019676838	1.9480069

Las Charcas	0.9819012	-0.942625159	2.9064276
Restauracion	0.9803555	-0.941141299	2.9018523
San Gregorio De Nigua	0.9420560	0.326579411	1.5575326
Enriquillo	0.9369993	-0.899519289	2.7735178
Sosua	0.9251375	0.562483600	1.2877914
Cevicos	0.9205966	-0.883772781	2.7249661
Las Matas De Farfan	0.8601461	0.298183977	1.4221082
Gaspar Hernandez	0.8527920	0.295634556	1.4099494
Las Matas De Santa Cruz	0.8518128	-0.817740285	2.5213659
Loma De Cabrera	0.8265447	-0.793482887	2.4465722
Juan De Herrera	0.8032091	-0.771080766	2.3774990
Los Hidalgos	0.7504047	-0.720388514	2.2211979
Constanza	0.6942031	0.354043562	1.0343626
Villa Isabela	0.6891028	-0.661538716	2.0397444
Rio San Juan	0.6527960	-0.626684125	1.9322761
Villa Vazquez	0.6285566	-0.603414334	1.8605275
Cabrera	0.5035959	-0.483452092	1.4906440
Monte Cristi	0.4637674	-0.445216695	1.3727515
San Jose De Las Matas	0.4387227	0.008774453	0.8686709
Jarabacoa	0.4355955	0.151006446	0.7201846
Tamayo	0.3854663	-0.370047622	1.1409802
Consuelo	0.3807781	-0.365546933	1.1271030
Villa Rivas	0.3642234	-0.349654457	1.0781012
Bayaguana	0.3230117	-0.310091264	0.9561147
Sabana Grande De Boya	0.3042786	-0.292107421	0.9006645
Arenoso	NA	NA	NA
Banica	NA	NA	NA
Bohechio	NA	NA	NA
Cabral	NA	NA	NA
El Cercado	NA	NA	NA
El Penon	NA	NA	NA
El Valle	NA	NA	NA
Eugenio Maria De Hostos	NA	NA	NA

Galvan	NA	NA	NA
Guananico	NA	NA	NA
Haiti	NA	NA	NA
Hondo Valle	NA	NA	NA
Jamao Al Norte	NA	NA	NA
Jaquimeyes	NA	NA	NA
Juan Santiago	NA	NA	NA
La Cienaga	NA	NA	NA
Los Llanos	NA	NA	NA
Los Rios	NA	NA	NA
Moncion	NA	NA	NA
Otro Extranjero	NA	NA	NA
Oviedo	NA	NA	NA
Paraiso	NA	NA	NA
Partido	NA	NA	NA
Pepillo Salcedo (Manzanillo)	NA	NA	NA
Pimentel	NA	NA	NA
Polo	NA	NA	NA
Postrer Rio	NA	NA	NA
Quisqueya	NA	NA	NA
Rancho Arriba	NA	NA	NA
Sabana De La Mar	NA	NA	NA
Vallejuelo	NA	NA	NA
Villa Jaragua	NA	NA	NA
Villa Montellano	NA	NA	NA