Prior infection by seasonal coronaviruses does not prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection and associated Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in children

Isabelle Sermet-Gaudelus^{1,2,3*}, Sarah Temmam^{4*}, Christèle Huon⁴, Sylvie Behillil^{5,6}, Vincent Gajdos^{7,8}, Thomas Bigot^{4,9}, Thibaut Lurier^{10,11,12}, Delphine Chrétien⁴, Marija Backovic¹³, Agnès Moisan-Delaunay¹⁴, Flora Donati^{5,6}, Mélanie Albert^{5,6}, Elsa Foucaud¹⁵, Bettina Mesplées¹⁶, Grégoire Benoist¹⁷, Albert Faye¹⁸, Marc Duval-Arnould¹⁹, Célia Cretolle², Marina Charbit², Mélodie Aubart², Johanne Auriau², Mathie Lorrot²⁰, Dulanjalee Kariyawasam², Laura Fertitta², Gilles Orliaguet², Bénédicte Pigneur², Brigitte Bader-Meunier², Coralie Briand¹⁶, Vincent Enouf^{5,6,21}, Julie Toubiana^{2,3,22}, Tiffany Guilleminot²³, Sylvie van der Werf^{5,6}, Marianne Leruez-Ville²³, Marc Eloit^{4,24}.

* These two authors contributed equally to the work

1-Institut Necker Enfants Malades, INSERM U 1171. Paris 75015, Paris France

2-Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades. Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris. Paris 75015. France

3-Université de Paris. Paris 75015, France

4-Pathogen Discovery Laboratory, Department of Virology, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

5-Molecular Genetics of RNA Viruses, Department of Virology, CNRS UMR3569, University of Paris, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

6-National Reference Center for Respiratory Viruses, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

7-Hôpital Antoine Beclere. 92140 Clamart, France

8-Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, INSERM UMR1018, Villejuif, France

9-Hub de Bioinformatique et Biostatistique – Département Biologie Computationnelle, Institut Pasteur, USR 3756 CNRS, Paris, France

10-Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR EPIA, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

- 25 11-Université de Lyon, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR EPIA, F-69280 Marcy l'Etoile, France
- 26 12-Université de Lyon, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, Usc 1233 UR RS2GP, F-69280 Marcy l'Etoile, France
- 27 13-Structural Virology Unit, Institut Pasteur, Paris 75015, France
- 28 14-Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198, Gif-
- 29 sur-Yvette, France
- 30 15-Hôpital Jean Verdier. 93140 Bondy, France
- 31 16-Hôpital Louis Mourier. 92700 Colombes. France
- 32 17-Hôpital Ambroise Paré. Boulogne Billancourt 92100. France
- 33 18-Hôpital Robert Debré. Paris 75019. France
- 34 19-Hôpital Kremlin Bicêtre. 94270 Le Kremlin-Bicêtre. France
- 35 20-Hôpital Armand Trousseau. 75012 Paris. France
- 36 21-Plateforme de microbiologie mutualisée (P2M), Pasteur International Bioresources Network
- 37 (PIBnet), Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
- 38 22-Unité Biodiversité et Epidemiologie des Bacteries Pathogènes, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
- 39 23-Laboratoire de Microbiologie, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades ; Paris 75015, France
- 40 24-Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, 94704 Maisons Alfort, France
- 41
- 42 Corresponding author: Marc Eloit marc.eloit@pasteur.fr, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr Roux, 75015
- 43 Paris-F
- 44
- 45

Abstract

Background: Children have a lower rate of COVID-19, potentially related to cross-protective immunity conferred by seasonal coronaviruses (HCoVs). We tested if prior infections with seasonal coronaviruses impacted SARS-CoV-2 infections and related Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome (MIS).

Methods: This cross-sectional observational study in Paris hospitals enrolled 739 pauci or asymptomatic children (HOS group) plus 36 children with suspected MIS (MIS group). Prevalence, antigen specificity and neutralizing capability of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies were tested. Antibody frequency and titres against Nucleocapsid (N) and Spike (S) of the four seasonal coronaviruses (NL63, HKU1, 229E, OC43) were measured in a subset of seropositive patients (54 SARS-CoV-2 (HOS-P subgroup) and 15 MIS (MIS-P subgroup)), and in 118 matched SARS-CoV-2 seronegative patients (CTL subgroup).

Findings: SARS-CoV-2 mean prevalence rate in HOSP children was 11.7% from April 1 to June 1. Neutralizing antibodies were found in 55-6% of seropositive children, and their relative frequency increased with time (up to 100 % by mid-May). A majority of MIS children (25/36) were SARS-CoV-2 seropositive, of which all tested (n=15) had neutralizing antibodies. On average, seropositive MIS children had higher N and S1 SARS-CoV-2 titres as compared to HOS children. Patients from HOS-P, MIS-P, and CTL subgroups had a similar prevalence of antibodies against the four seasonal HCoVs (66-9 -100%). The level of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies was not significantly different in children who had prior seasonal coronavirus infection.

Interpretation: Prior infection with HCoVs does not prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection and related MIS in children. Children develop neutralizing antibodies after SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Evidence before this study

Children seem to be less likely affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection and clinical course of COVID-19 is less severe than in adults. As those asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic children are underdiagnosed and their viral loads are comparable to those of adults, they may act as an asymptomatic reservoir for the spread of the virus. One explanation of the difference between the adult and the pediatric infectious profile might be that infection with seasonal human coronaviruses, which is very frequent from a very young age, could lead to cross protective immunity. We searched in PubMed, MedRxiv and BioRxiv for publications from inception to June 15, 2020, using the terms “COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, children, serology, Kawasaki, Corona Virus”.

Added value of this study

SARS-CoV-2 mean prevalence rate was 11.7% from April 1 to June 1 and neutralizing antibodies were found in 55% of the tested seropositive children. Among patients with a Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome, Kawasaki-like disease, 70% were SARS-CoV-2 seropositive and had neutralizing antibodies. COVID-19 and MIS attack rates, and anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies titres were not significantly impacted by prior seasonal coronavirus infection.

Implications of all the available evidence

Prior infection by seasonal coronaviruses does not prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection and associated Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in children As antibodies against seasonal coronaviruses are very frequent and as these viruses circulate efficiently in human populations every winter, our results question to what extent the concept of herd immunity based on circulating antibodies can be applied to seasonal coronaviruses and possibly SARS-CoV-2.

93 Introduction

94 COVID-19 is due to SARS-CoV-2, a betacoronavirus subgenus Sarbecovirus¹, which has expanded
 95 worldwide since its emergence in China at the end of 2019. Observations indicate that children are
 96 less likely to develop the disease and that the clinical course of COVID-19 in children is less severe than
 97 in adults²⁻⁴. Accordingly, children represent only 0.6-2.3% of confirmed cases in China and 0.8-5.2%
 98 outside China outside context of household^{2,5,6}. As asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic children are
 99 underdiagnosed, and their viral loads are comparable to those of adults, children may act as an
 100 asymptomatic reservoir for the spread of the virus to their adult and elderly relatives^{7,8}, albeit with
 101 low efficacy⁹. Children's susceptibility to infection might also be low⁵ and the paediatric cohort may
 102 represent a pool of "immune naïve" population. Differences in susceptibility profiles for children and
 103 adults might be driven by infections with seasonal human coronaviruses (HCoVs), which are very
 104 frequent at a very young age¹⁰, and could lead to cross-protective immunity in children. This may be
 105 mediated either by cross-binding or cross-neutralizing antibodies¹¹, or by T cell responses that target
 106 epitopes shared by SARS-CoV-2 and HCoVs^{12,13}. Indeed, it was recently shown that CD4+ T cells of
 107 unexposed subjects (sampled before the pandemic) recognized SARS-CoV-2.

108 Despite a low frequency of respiratory symptoms, cases of Multisystem Inflammatory
 109 Syndrome (MIS) have been reported in children that were infected by SARS-CoV-2 or were in contact
 110 with COVID-19 patients^{14,15}. MIS shares similarities with classic Kawasaki disease but displays different
 111 prominent clinical signs including cardiogenic shock or myocarditis¹⁵. As for other post infectious
 112 diseases¹⁶, it is possible that a low antibody response to SARS-CoV-2, or cross-reactive antibodies
 113 without any neutralizing capability, facilitate immune-dependent enhancement following re-
 114 exposure, potentiated by a specific genetic background^{17,18}. Interestingly, a domain of the SARS-CoV-
 115 2 spike protein which binds with high affinity to T cells may act as a super antigen, and trigger
 116 excessive adaptive immune responses¹⁹.

117 The aim of this study was to analyse the impact of endemic seasonal coronaviruses on SARS-
 118 CoV-2 infection in children. This was performed in a large cohort of children aged 0 – 18 years,

hospitalized in Paris. To measure if prior infections with HCoVs (detected by antibody responses against two major antigens, S and N) conferred protection towards SARS-CoV-2 infection, we analysed their frequency in SARS-CoV-2 positive children as compared to SARS-CoV-2 negative matched controls. We also analysed SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal HCoVs humoral responses of patients with MIS regarding antibody targets and functional neutralizing activity. Our study is the first to analyse in depth the typology of humoral responses to SARS-CoV-2 in children, and provides evidence that prior infections by seasonal coronaviruses has no significant impact on SARS-CoV-2 infection or related MIS disease in children.

Methods

Study setting and data collection

Paediatric patients aged 0 – 18 years consulting or hospitalized for at most 4 days in a paediatric tertiary health care department of the Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris between April 1, 2020, and June 1, 2020 were included in this prospective multicentric observational seroprevalence study. Children hospitalized for COVID-19 were excluded. Sera were also collected from March 1 to March 31 from patients consulting for regular follow-up or hospitalized in emergency in one of the hospitals (Necker Enfants Malades Hospital). The definition of a suspected case for COVID-19 was based on recommendations from the European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)²⁰. We also included in this study during the same period patients presenting with a MIS disease, as defined by the American Heart Association²¹.

We recorded the history of suspected COVID-19 cases based on a standardized study-specific form. We collected data on clinical symptoms consistent with COVID-19 occurring from December 2019 until up to 7 days before enrolment. Demographic information, relevant epidemiological history (e.g. international travel or contact with an infected person or a suspected case), comorbidities, reasons for hospitalization were collected.

Ethics

The local Ethics (CERAPHP Paris V) approved this study (IRB registration: #00011928). Patients and/or their parents/guardians were informed about the study

Serological tests

For SARS-CoV-2 prevalence, we used a LIPS (Luciferase Immunoprecipitation System) test as previously reported²², which identifies antibodies (Ab) targeted to the S1 and S2 domains of the Spike (S) and to the Nucleoprotein (N). For the neutralization assay we used a viral pseudotype-based assay and a neutralization test using live SARS-CoV-2. In a fraction of samples, we assessed by LIPS tests, antibodies to the nucleoprotein and to the full spike ectodomain in a pre-fusion conformation for SARS-CoV-2, and the four human coronaviruses (HKU1, NL63, OC43, and 229E). Detailed technical

information together with sensitivity and specificity evaluations are given respectively in
Supplementary Material S1 and S2.

Statistical analysis

We did not detect any difference in terms of age, sex ratio, and main comorbidities between patients recruited in March (n=133; single centre study) and afterwards (n=642; multicentric seroprevalence study) (**Supplemental Table 1**). We therefore present the demographic and clinical data of the whole cohort of children enrolled. Cases were grouped based on the results of serology testing and clinical presentation. Data were assessed for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were presented as mean (SD) and compared using the Student t test. Categorical variables were compared using Chi2 or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. Statistical analyses were conducted with Excel or GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, LLC). Principal Component Analysis was performed to identify the serological profile according to SARS-CoV-2 Abs and seasonal HCoV Abs. Data were processed with R 3.6.3 using GGPlot2 with GGally for matrices of plots, and ggfortify for PCA plots packages. Two-sided p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

1-Prevalence and clinical presentation of SARS-CoV-2 infection

In total, 775 children, mean (SD) age 8 (5·6) years were enrolled in the study (**Figure 1**). One hundred six (13·6%) were below 15 years of age. The reason for consultation or hospitalization was regular follow-up for 58%, surgery for 11·7% and medical emergency for 15% (HOS population). Among those, 17 patients (2·1%) were hospitalized for neurological disorders including encephalitis (n=4), cerebellitis (n=5), polyradiculoneuritis (n=7), and labyrinthitis (n=1). Thirty-six other patients (4·6%) presented with a MIS and among those, 25 were hospitalized in Intensive Care Unit because of signs of shock, and 28 developed myocarditis.

The apparent prevalence of seropositive children was in the range 10% - 15% between 6th April and 1st June, except on the week starting 11th May where it reached 27%. The patients with MIS were mainly detected from the last week of April to mid-May (**Figure 2**). The seropositive patients with neurological disorders were hospitalized over the whole study period.

Table 1 presents the demographic and clinical characteristics of 594 SARS-CoV-2 seronegative patients and 172 seropositive patients enrolled between 1st March to 1st June. The seropositive children consisted of patients hospitalized for MIS (MIS-P; n=25) or for any other reason (HOS-P; n=147).

The comparison between seronegative and seropositive patients among the whole cohort of HOS and MIS populations did not show any significant differences for age, sex ratio, reasons for hospitalization and main comorbidities, apart for SARS-CoV-2 seropositive MIS patients, who did not report underlying chronic diseases and were all hospitalized in emergency units. There was a significantly higher frequency of household contact with suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection (without testing) among the seropositive patients. History of contact with a suspected COVID-19 household significantly increased the risk for positive serology, even in case of asymptomatic infection (OR 2.25, 95% CI [1.3; 3.9]). Among the 25 contacts of seropositive patients, 23 were parents.

The seropositive children (HOS-P and MIS-P) did not show an age-dependent specific distribution (**Supplemental Figure S3**). More than 70% of them (121 out of the 172) did not report any history consistent with COVID-19 during the preceding weeks. The only symptoms that were marginally but significantly reported in the previous months in seropositive children were headache, shortness of breath and rhino-pharyngitis. Neurological disorders were significantly more frequent in the seropositive group (13 children out of 17).

2-Profiling SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses in HOS-P and MIS-P patients

HOS-P patients Ab profile was characterized by a dominant S2 response compared to responses to S1, N and to the full S ectodomain (**Figure 3**). The HOS-P children with neurological symptoms showed N, S1 and S2 responses similar to those of the HOS-P group without neurological symptoms (**Supplemental Figure S4**) and were analysed together.

MIS-P patients showed a distinct Ab profile directed against N, S1 and S2 altogether (**Figure 4**). Levels of N and S1 Abs to SARS-CoV-2 were significantly higher in the MIS-P than in the HOS-P group (**Figure 3C-D**).

3-Neutralization activity of the Ab

To investigate the neutralization activity, we first performed a pseudo-neutralization assay in the subset of 54 HOS-P and 15 MIS-P patients (**Figure 1**). Overall, 55.6% of HOS-P and 100% of MIS-P children showed a neutralizing activity. Interestingly, the fraction of HOS-P children whose Abs displayed a pseudo-neutralizing activity (PNT+) increased with time (**Figure 2B**), from 18% to 38% during March and April to 100% at the beginning of May. For a fraction of these children, we investigated the correspondence between PNT and live SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing activity determined in a plaque reduction test (PRNT) (**Table S6**). All tested sera positive in PNT (n=28) were PRNT+ and 2 out of the 11 PNT-negative sera were also PRNT+. In total, 73.7% of HOS-P tested sera showed a neutralizing activity. Neutralizing titres of PNT+ and PRNT+ sera of MIS-P patients were similar to those of HOS-P patients (**Figure 3**).

4-Relationship between SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal HCoV infections

We compared the prevalence of anti-N and -S antibodies against the four seasonal HCoVs in a subpopulation of children among the HOS-P (n=54), MIS-P (n=15) and CTL (n=118) groups (**Figure 1**). Prevalence rates for the two betacoronaviruses (HKU1 and OC43) and the two alphacoronaviruses (229E and NL63) were similar for all viruses between the CTL and HOS-P groups. They were also similar for HKU-1, 229E and NL63 in the MIS-P group, whereas Abs to OC43 N were more frequent in the MIS-P group (73%) than in the CTL group (39.4%), which was not paralleled by the anti-S response that was near 100% in the two groups (**Table 2**).

We reasoned that prior infection with SARS-CoV-2, identified by antibody responses, could induce cross-reactive immune effectors, like CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and neutralizing antibodies. This would diminish or impair SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses, which should therefore be lower in patients with high anti-HCoV Ab levels. Alternatively, previous HCoV infections could prime the immune system resulting, upon SARS-CoV-2 infection, in a boost of the antibody response against shared epitopes or in facilitation of infection. Such boost should lead to higher anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab levels in HCoV positive patients. There was no significant difference between HOS-P and CTL patients regarding antibody levels to the four seasonal HCoVs (**Figure 3**). This demonstrates that humoral responses between seasonal coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2 were not related. This is confirmed by the PCA analysis which showed that the patient groups (HOS-P, MIS-P and CTL) were only clustered by SARS-COV-2 Abs and not by seasonal HCoV Abs. (**Figure 4**). This suggests that the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and of related MIS disease were not influenced by prior seasonal HCoV infection. In support to this observation, there was an association, for each virus, between all S and N antibody titres within each of the three groups of patients (CTL, HOS-P and MIS-P). This correlation was stronger for SARS-CoV-2 than for seasonal HCoVs. (**Supplemental Figures S5 and S6**) Importantly, there was no significant association between SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal HCoV quantitative Ab responses (**Supplemental Figures S5 and S6**). MIS-P and HOS-P groups did not differ for Abs titers against seasonal HCoVs, except for OC43-N Abs that were increased in MIS-P children, but this tendency was not confirmed by the OC43-S Ab response (**Figure 3A- B**). This was coherent with the Abs prevalence study (**Table 2**). .

246

247 Discussion

248 This cross-sectional prospective multicentric study provides to the best of our knowledge the largest
 249 report on COVID-19 in the paediatric population outside of China. We enrolled nearly 800 children
 250 during the first three months of the COVID-19 epidemic in Paris. From April to May, the prevalence
 251 rate of Abs against SARS-CoV-2 was in the range of 10-15%. We noticed an outlier peak of incidence
 252 during the week of 11 May, which was likely associated with an increase of vigilance of physicians
 253 following the information of severe clinical presentations in children. This prevalence rate is in
 254 contrast with previous epidemiological cohort studies, based on RT-PCR, where children represent
 255 less than 2% of diagnosed cases²⁴⁻²³. These numbers are compatible with the prevalence estimated in
 256 Paris²⁴ despite the specificity of the population of children recruited in university hospitals. Here, in a
 257 large cohort of children less than 15 years old, we demonstrate that (i) a substantial proportion of
 258 children can become infected and (ii) the risk is not related to age. In nearly 15% of the cases, a contact
 259 with a parent suspected of COVID-19 was identified, which increased by 2.5 fold the risk to be infected.
 260 Very importantly, more than 50% of the seropositive children did not report any symptoms, a
 261 proportion similar to that recently reported in adults²⁵. The reminders reported mild and non-specific
 262 symptoms such as headache, rhino-pharyngitis and shortness of breath. This confirms previously
 263 published data showing that COVID-19 is less severe in children than in adults²⁶. The largest study in
 264 children published so far that described SARS-CoV-2 infection in 2,143 Chinese children also reported
 265 asymptomatic infection or mild symptoms such as fever, cough, a sore throat, sneezing, myalgia and
 266 fatigue²⁷. Altogether, these results and ours underline that most children remain undiagnosed
 267 because of asymptomatic infections, which makes them potential drivers of virus spread²⁸.
 268 This is to our knowledge the first study profiling the humoral immune response in children
 269 experiencing pauci-symptomatic infection by SARS-CoV-2. We showed that around half of SARS-CoV-
 270 2 positive sera present a significant neutralizing activity based on two independent assays (based on
 271 lentivirus pseudo-typed with the SARS-CoV-2 spike or based on live virus). Interestingly, this rate

increased up to 100% at the end of the observation period on mid-May, almost 2 months after the peak of the epidemic. As reported by others²⁹, this suggests that appearance of neutralizing antibodies is delayed relative to initial seroconversion. This is in contrast to severe COVID-19 forms^{22,30}, where neutralizing antibody responses against the immunodominant S viral protein are elicited as soon as after two weeks of infection at higher frequency and titres. Importantly, considering these data, it must be underlined that quantitative correlates of protection are currently unknown, which makes it difficult to relate the neutralizing titres to a clinically relevant effect.

To investigate reasons explaining decreased severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection in children, we studied the impact of prior infections with seasonal HCoVs on the risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2. Antibodies were considered as an evidence of past infection by HCoVs, the intensity of the antibody response reflecting partly the degree of replication within the host. However, this represents a limitation as cases of infection have been reported to be seronegative as revealed by isolated T-cell responses³¹. Seasonal HCoVs include alphacoronaviruses (229E and NL63) and betacoronaviruses lineage A (OC43 and HKU1), which primarily replicate in the respiratory tract and mostly cause common colds³². These viruses show a worldwide distribution and multiple HCoV infections in various combinations are common^{10,32–34,35}. Infection takes place in very early childhood and seroprevalence studies show very high prevalence rates³⁶, up to 100% in adult populations^{10,37,38}. It is therefore very likely that infection by HCoVs preceded infection by SARS-CoV-2 in our cohort. Previous seasonal HCoV infections could have an impact on SARS-CoV-2 replication. Indeed, antibodies are unlikely to act as primary effectors of protection, as there is no or very low¹¹ cross neutralization between these coronaviruses, but antibodies serve as an indicator of underlying cellular responses. We found no evidence of cross-protective immunity linked to previous infection by seasonal HCoVs. First, similar seasonal HCoV prevalence was found in SARS-CoV-2 positive versus negative patients. Second, on a quantitative side, there was no significant correlation between SARS-CoV-2 and any HCoV antibody titres, whatever the antigen considered (S or N). On the contrary, the level of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies to N and S were correlated, which corresponds to a good internal control. This was also the case for the N and S responses for each HCoV, but to a lesser extent. We hypothesized that multiple infections by seasonal

HCoVs would boost Ab responses against shared epitopes that are more frequent in the nucleoprotein than in the spike, and that this would lead to a decrease of correlation between N and S responses over time. When analysing the S and N responses to HCoVs, we found no obvious difference in N to S correlations in CTL patients as compared to the HOS-P group, suggesting that infection with SARS-CoV-2 did not significantly boost pre-existing antibody responses to HCoVs N and S.

This lack of HCoV/SARS-CoV-2 cross-protection contrasts with the recent demonstration of pre-existing immune effectors recognizing SARS-CoV-2 in subjects sampled before the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Moreover, a very sensitive cytometric assay reported frequent low levels of cross-reacting anti-S IgGs, mainly targeting the SARS-CoV-2 S2 domain of the spike¹¹. Although those Abs neutralized entry of SARS-CoV-2 S-pseudo-typed lentiviruses in HEK-293T cells mediated by the spike protein, the clinical relevance of the SARS-CoV-2 pseudo-neutralisation test is questionable, because the mechanism of entry did not involve the ACE2 receptor of the virus. Moreover, T-helper cells detected in healthy subjects also recognized the C-terminal part of S (that contains the S2 subunit) but not the receptor-binding domain (RBD) which belongs to S1¹³. In contrast, our results clearly show that cross-reactive antibodies directed against endemic seasonal HCoVs and underlying cross-reacting CD4+ T-cells do not seem to confer any significant protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection. This could be explained by low identity between coronaviruses of important targets such as the RBD. Importantly, this also suggests that potentially cross-reactive CD8+ T cells, which should be elicited upon seasonal HCoV infections as is the case following SARS-CoV-2 infection³⁹, are not able to significantly contribute to protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

We analysed the SARS-CoV-2 Ab profile in 25 MIS cases associated with a positive SARS-CoV-2 Ab response. We found higher S1 and N responses, but not an increased neutralizing capacity as compared to HOS-P patients who experienced an asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic infection (**Figure 2A**). This was not the case for the beta- (OC43) or alpha- (229E and NL63) coronaviruses Ab responses, suggesting that the increased SARS-CoV-2 response is not a non-specific feature triggered by inflammation. Furthermore, the lack of cross-reactivity between anti-S1 Abs of the different

viruses⁴⁰ does not favour the hypothesis of SARS-CoV-2 infection boosting pre-existing HCoV immunity in MIS patients. As a whole, our data do not support that previous HCoV infection facilitates SARS-CoV-2 infection and MIS-related disease.

Neutralizing tests for the four seasonal HCoVs were not available but some extrapolation can be done based on SARS-CoV-2 neutralization and LIPS results, because equivalent LIPS tests were used for all viruses. As the SARS-CoV-2 results show that up to 100% of LIPS positive sera sampled one to two months post-infection had neutralizing activity, it can be anticipated that most HCoV positive sera would also neutralize corresponding HCoVs. This means that, in a perspective of herd immunity, most of the general population would have antibodies neutralizing seasonal HCoVs, among other immune effectors. As common colds due to seasonal HCoVs are experienced repeatedly, this leads to questioning whether coronavirus immune responses induce a long-term clinically protective response. Our results therefore pose a doubt regarding the humoral protective response against SARS-CoV-2 in a perspective of herd immunity, even when the prevalence of antibodies will be high in the population.

The strengths of the study are the high number of well documented paediatric cases including MIS cases and the extensive exploration of Ab responses to SARS-CoV-2, their neutralizing activity, and the correlation with seasonal HCoV immune responses. We acknowledge that infection rates are probably biased in this cohort of hospitalized children, despite the fact that we focused as much as possible on regular follow-up or COVID-19 unrelated emergencies. In this cohort, we observed no correlation between the Ab responses against SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal HCoVs. Although cellular and local immune defenses were not directly tested, our results do not provide evidence for cross-protective immunity or facilitation linked to previous infections by seasonal HCoVs on the risk of developing a SARS-CoV-2 infection or a MIS disease once infected.

In conclusion, our results show that 11.7 % of children admitted in Parisian hospitals have Abs against SARS-CoV-2 and that these Abs are able to neutralize SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*. As seasonal HCoVs circulate efficiently in the human population despite very high antibody prevalence, our results point to the limits of herd immunity applied to seasonal coronaviruses and maybe SARS-CoV-2.

351

352

353

354 **Funding:** ME lab is funded by Institut Pasteur, Labex IBEID (ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), Reacting, EU grant
 355 Recover, ANR Oh'ticks. SVDW lab is funded by Institut Pasteur, CNRS, Université de Paris, Santé
 356 publique France, Labex IBEID (ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), REACTing (Research & Action Emerging
 357 Infectious Diseases), EU Grant 101003589 RECoVER.

358 **Author contribution:**

359 Conceptualization and Methodology:

360 Cohort management and sample collection:

361 Serological and seroneutralisation assays:

362 Data assembly and manuscript writing:

363 Funding acquisition:

364 Supervision:

365

366 All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

367 **Competing interests:** Authors declare no competing interests.

368 **Acknowledgments:** We thank Thierry Rose and Yves Janin for providing us the luciferase reagents,
 369 Evelyne Dufour and Stephane Petres for their help in the production of recombinant proteins, Pierre
 370 Charneau and François Anna for testing the sera with the pseudoneutralization test and the ICAREB
 371 platform for giving us access to a collection of sera collected before the epidemic. We thank Olivier
 372 Schwartz for providing us with the raw data of the S-Flow technique for the sera analyzed in Grzelak
 373 et al., 2020. We thank Quentin Leclech for help with data analysis, and Zakary M'Sakny, Mathis Crespin
 374 , Felix Wolfram, Victor Zetlaoui (enthusiastic MD Students), Deborah Rechard, Dr Michaela Semeraro

375 and Dr Emeline Roy who helped for data collection. We thank Simon Cauchemez for critical lecture of
376 the manuscript.
377

378

379 References

- 380 1 Coronavirus Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. The species
381 Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it
382 SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Microbiol* 2020; **5**: 536–44.
- 383 2 CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children - United States, February
384 12-April 2, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020; **69**: 422–6.
- 385 3 Lu X, Zhang L, Du H, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Children. *New England Journal of Medicine*
386 2020; **382**: 1663–5.
- 387 4 Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, *et al.* Epidemiology of COVID-19 Among Children in China. *Pediatrics* 2020;
388 **145**. DOI:10.1542/peds.2020-0702.
- 389 5 Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease
390 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese
391 Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020; published online Feb 24.
392 DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.2648.
- 393 6 Choi S-H, Kim HW, Kang J-M, Kim DH, Cho EY. Epidemiology and clinical features of coronavirus
394 disease 2019 in children. *Clin Exp Pediatr* 2020; **63**: 125–32.
- 395 7 Jones TC, Mühlemann B, Veith T, *et al.* An analysis of SARS-CoV-2 viral load by patient age.
396 *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.06.08.20125484.
- 397 8 Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, *et al.* Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *New*
398 *England Journal of Medicine* 2020; **382**: 1708–20.
- 399 9 Danis K, Epaulard O, Bénet T, *et al.* Cluster of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the French
400 Alps, February 2020. *Clin Infect Dis* DOI:10.1093/cid/ciaa424.
- 401 10 Zhou W, Wang W, Wang H, Lu R, Tan W. First infection by all four non-severe acute respiratory
402 syndrome human coronaviruses takes place during childhood. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013; **13**: 433.
- 403 11 Ng K, Faulkner N, Cornish G, *et al.* Pre-existing and *de novo* humoral immunity to
404 SARS-CoV-2 in humans. *bioRxiv* 2020; : 2020.05.14.095414.
- 405 12 Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, *et al.* Targets of T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus in
406 humans with COVID-19 disease and unexposed individuals. *Cell* 2020; published online May 20.
407 DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.015.
- 408 13 Braun J, Loyal L, Frentsch M, *et al.* Presence of SARS-CoV-2 reactive T cells in COVID-19 patients
409 and healthy donors. *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.04.17.20061440.
- 410 14 Verdoni L, Mazza A, Gervasoni A, *et al.* An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at the Italian
411 epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. *Lancet* 2020; published
412 online May 13. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31103-X.
- 413 15 Belhadj Z, Méot M, Bajolle F, *et al.* Acute heart failure in multisystem inflammatory syndrome in
414 children (MIS-C) in the context of global SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Circulation* 2020; published online
415 May 17. DOI:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.048360.

416 16 Takada A, Kawaoka Y. Antibody-dependent enhancement of viral infection: molecular
417 mechanisms and in vivo implications. *Rev Med Virol* 2003; **13**: 387–98.

418 17 Riphagen S, Gomez X, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Wilkinson N, Theocharis P. Hyperinflammatory shock
419 in children during COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2020; published online May 7. DOI:10.1016/S0140-
420 6736(20)31094-1.

421 18 Toubiana J, Poirault C, Corsia A, *et al.* Kawasaki-like multisystem inflammatory syndrome in
422 children during the covid-19 pandemic in Paris, France: prospective observational study. *BMJ*
423 2020; **369**. DOI:10.1136/bmj.m2094.

424 19 Cheng MH, Zhang S, Porritt RA, Arditi M, Bahar I. An insertion unique to SARS-CoV-2 exhibits
425 superantigenic character strengthened by recent mutations. *bioRxiv* 2020; : 2020.05.21.109272.

426 20 Case definition for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), as of 29 May 2020. European Centre for
427 Disease Prevention and Control. [https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/surveillance/case-](https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/surveillance/case-definition)
428 definition (accessed June 16, 2020).

429 21 McCrindle BW, Rowley AH, Newburger JW, *et al.* Diagnosis, Treatment, and Long-Term
430 Management of Kawasaki Disease: A Scientific Statement for Health Professionals From the
431 American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017; **135**: e927–99.

432 22 Grzelak L, Temmam S, Planchais C, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 serological analysis of COVID-19 hospitalized
433 patients, pauci-symptomatic individuals and blood donors. *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.04.21.20068858.

434 23 Gudbjartsson DF, Helgason A, Jonsson H, *et al.* Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the Icelandic Population.
435 *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020; **382**: 2302–15.

436 24 Salje H, Kiem CT, Lefrancq N, *et al.* Estimating the burden of SARS-CoV-2 in France. *Science* 2020;
437 published online May 13. DOI:10.1126/science.abc3517.

438 25 Oran DP, Topol EJ. Prevalence of Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Annals of Internal Medicine*
439 2020; published online June 3. DOI:10.7326/M20-3012.

440 26 Ludvigsson JF. Systematic review of COVID-19 in children shows milder cases and a better
441 prognosis than adults. *Acta Paediatrica* 2020; **109**: 1088–95.

442 27 Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time.
443 *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; **20**: 533–4.

444 28 Kam K-Q, Yung CF, Cui L, *et al.* A Well Infant with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) with High
445 Viral Load. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; published online Feb 28. DOI:10.1093/cid/ciaa201.

446 29 Fafi-Kremer S, Bruel T, Madec Y, *et al.* Serologic responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection among
447 hospital staff with mild disease in eastern France. *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.05.19.20101832.

448 30 Prévost J, Gasser R, Beaudoin-Bussires G, *et al.* Cross-sectional evaluation of humoral responses
449 against SARS-CoV-2 Spike. *bioRxiv* 2020; : 2020.06.08.140244.

450 31 Gallais F, Velay A, Wendling M-J, *et al.* Intrafamilial Exposure to SARS-CoV-2 Induces Cellular
451 Immune Response without Seroconversion. *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.06.21.20132449.

452 32 Dijkman R, Jebbink MF, El Idrissi NB, *et al.* Human coronavirus NL63 and 229E seroconversion in
453 children. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008; **46**: 2368–73.

454 33 Garbino J, Crespo S, Aubert J-D, *et al.* A prospective hospital-based study of the clinical impact of
455 non-severe acute respiratory syndrome (Non-SARS)-related human coronavirus infection. *Clin*
456 *Infect Dis* 2006; **43**: 1009–15.

457 34 Greenberg SB. Update on rhinovirus and coronavirus infections. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;
458 **32**: 433–46.

459 35 Su S, Wong G, Shi W, *et al.* Epidemiology, Genetic Recombination, and Pathogenesis of
460 Coronaviruses. *Trends Microbiol* 2016; **24**: 490–502.

461 36 Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, *et al.* A systematic review of antibody mediated
462 immunity to coronaviruses: antibody kinetics, correlates of protection, and association of
463 antibody responses with severity of disease. *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.04.14.20065771.

464 37 Severance EG, Bossis I, Dickerson FB, *et al.* Development of a Nucleocapsid-Based Human
465 Coronavirus Immunoassay and Estimates of Individuals Exposed to Coronavirus in a U.S.
466 Metropolitan Population. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2008; **15**: 1805–10.

467 38 Van Der Hoek L, Pyrc K, Berkhout B. Human coronavirus NL63, a new respiratory virus. *FEMS*
468 *Microbiol Rev* 2006; **30**: 760–73.

469 39 Peng Y, Mentzer AJ, Liu G, *et al.* Broad and strong memory CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells induced by SARS-
470 CoV-2 in UK convalescent COVID-19 patients. *bioRxiv* 2020; : 2020.06.05.134551.

471 40 Khan S, Nakajima R, Jain A, *et al.* Analysis of Serologic Cross-Reactivity Between Common Human
472 Coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2 Using Coronavirus Antigen Microarray. *bioRxiv* 2020; :
473 2020.03.24.006544.

474

475

Figures legends

Figure 1: Flowchart of inclusion of children (n=775)

Figure 2: Evolution of the prevalence of antibodies and neutralizing activity to SARS-CoV-2 in children during the epidemic. A) Seroprevalence rates in the HOS and MIS populations. Seronegative (light grey) and seropositive (dark grey) children according to the week of sampling are presented. The black curve describe the percentage of seroprevalence over the time. B) Proportion of serums with neutralizing (PNT) activity among Ab positive sera. The number of PNT positive (respectively in dark grey and black for HOS-P and MIS-P patients) and negative (in light grey and white for HOS-P and MIS-P patients, respectively) sera is presented over the time.

Figure 3: SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal HCoV Ab responses in HOS-P, MIS-P and CTL children. Ab directed against S (panel A), N (panel B), S1 (panel C) and S2 (panel D) are described for each group of patients (HOS-P in green, MIS-P in blue, and CTL in pink). Level of neutralizing activity assessed by pseudo-neutralization (panel E) or plaque reduction neutralization (panel F) is presented for HOS-P and MIS-P groups.

Figure 4: Principal Component Analysis of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 S, S1, S2, N and seasonal HCoV S and N antigens. The PCA shows (A) the distribution of all Ab against SARS-CoV-2 mainly correlated with the x-axis and those corresponding to seasonal HCoVs with the y-axis; and (B) Abs against seasonal HCoVs do not separate HOS-P and MIS-P from CTL children. This demonstrates the independence of Ab responses between SARS-CoV-2 and HCoVs.

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of children with SARS-CoV-2 Ab versus negative controls. HOS stands for patients who did not develop a Multi-systemic Inflammatory Syndrome (MIS). Data as mean (SD) or %.

	HOS-seronegative (n=603)	HOS-seropositive (n=147)	MIS-seropositive (n=25)	p (HOS-seropositive vs HOS-seronegative)	p (MIS-seropositive vs HOS-seronegative)	p (HOS-seropositive vs MIS-seropositive)
Demographic characteristics						
Age , years	7.9 (5.7)	8.5 (5.6)	9.1 (4.3)	NS	NS	NS
Male sex (%)	54	47	40	NS	NS	NS
Reason for hospitalization						
Systematic monitoring (%)	59.3	51	0	NS	<10 ⁻⁴	0.004
Pediatric emergency (%)	10.1	16.3	100	0.03	<10 ⁻⁶	<10 ⁻⁶
Surgery (%)	11.9	10.8	0	NS	NS	NS
Chronic disease exacerbation (%)	2.3	3.4	0	NS	NS	NS
Others	16.4	18.5	0	NS	<10 ⁻⁶	<10 ⁻⁶
Comorbidities						
No comorbidity (%)	48.7	42.1	88	NS	<10 ⁻⁴	<10 ⁻⁴
Diabetes (%)	2.5	2.7	0	NS	NS	NS
Immunosuppression (%)	8.3	13.6	0	NS	0.01	0.01
Cancer in the 3 previous years (%)	3	5.4	0	NS	NS	NS
Others (%)	37.5	36.2	12	NS	0.04	0.004
History consistent with COVID in the 3 previous months						
Case contact (%)	7.3	12.9	28	0.02	0.004	NS
No symptoms (%)	71.9	69.4	76	NS	NS	NS
Fever (%)	14.1	16.3	4	NS	NS	NS
Diarrhea, abdominal pain, vomiting (%)	16.2	13.6	4	NS	NS	NS
Asthenia (%)	6	9.5	0	NS	NS	NS
Cough (%)	3.9	2	0	NS	NS	NS
Dyspnea/shortness of breath (%)	1.1	3.4	4	<0.05	NS	NS
Headache (%)	1.3	5.4	0	0.002	NS	NS
Neurological disorders (%)	4.8	10.2	4	0.01	NS	NS
Rhino-pharyngitis (%)	1.9	4.7	0	0.04	NS	NS
Dermatological symptoms	3.6	5.4	0	NS	NS	NS

Table 2: prevalence of antibodies to seasonal HKU1, OC43, NL63, 229E and SARS-CoV-2 spike and nucleoprotein in HOS-P, MIS-P and CTL children. NA: not applicable. NS: non-significant.

Virus	Antigen	HOS-P SARS-CoV-2 +	MIS-P SARS-CoV-2 +	CTL SARS-CoV-2 -	p (CTL vs HOSP-P)	p (CTL vs MIS-P)	p (HOSP-P vs MIS-P)	Chi-2 indep.
SARS-CoV-2	S	31/62 (50.0%)	17/19 (89.5%)	1/127 (0.79%)	< 10-8	< 10-8	0.0027	< 2.2e-16
	N	33/62 (53.2%)	18/19 (94.7%)	0/127 (0.0%)	< 10-8	< 10-8	0.0008	< 2.2e-16
HCoV-HKU1	S	54/62 (87.1%)	19/19 (100.0%)	111/127 (87.4%)	NS	NS	NS	NS
	N	23/62 (37.1%)	13/19 (68.4%)	59/127 (46.5%)	NS	NS	0.03234	NS
HCoV-OC43	S	58/62 (93.5%)	19/19 (100.0%)	123/127 (96.9%)	NS	NS	NS	NS
	N	22/62 (35.5%)	14/19 (73.7%)	50/127 (39.4%)	NS	0.00624	0.00763	0.00968
HCoV-229E	S	49/62 (79.0%)	15/19 (78.9%)	87/127 (68.5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS
	N	15/62 (24.2%)	10/19 (52.6%)	38/127 (29.9%)	NS	NS	0.03903	NS
HCoV-NL63	S	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	N	56/62 (90.3%)	17/19 (89.5%)	112/127 (88.2%)	NS	NS	NS	NS

A. Seroprevalence rates in the HOS and MIS populations

Hospitalized children (HOS)

Children with Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome (MIS)

B. Proportion of serums with neutralizing activity among Ab positive sera

A. Full S ectodomain

B. C-terminal domain of N

C. S1 domain

D. S2 domain

E. PNT

F. PRNT