Detection of SARs-CoV-2 in wastewater, using the existing environmental surveillance network: An epidemiological gateway to an early warning for COVID-19 in communities

Salmaan Sharif¹, Aamer Ikram¹, Adnan Khurshid¹, Muhammad Salman¹, Nayab Mehmood¹, Yasir Arshad¹, Jamal Ahmad², Rana Muhammad Safdar³, Mehar Angez¹, Muhammad Masroor Alam¹, Lubna Rehman¹, Ghulam Mujtaba¹, Jaffar Hussain¹, Johar Ali¹, Ribqa Akthar¹, Muhammad Wasif Malik¹, Zeeshan Iqbal Baig¹, Muhammad Suleman Rana¹, Muhammad Usman¹, Muhammad Qaisar Ali¹, Abdul Ahad¹, Nazish Badar¹, Massab Umair¹, Sana Tamim¹, Asiya Ashraf¹, Faheem Tahir¹, and Nida Ali².

1. National Institute of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan.
2. World Health Organization, Islamabad, Pakistan.
3. National Emergency Operations Centre for Polio Eradication, Islamabad, Pakistan

Corresponding Author

Salmaan Sharif
Department of Virology,
Public Health Laboratories Division,
National Institute of Health,
Islamabad, Pakistan.
Email: salmaansharif@hotmail.com
Tel: +92-301-2005000 / +92-51-8442662 (Ext: 110)

Abstract

Background:

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARs-CoV-2 was transmitted person to person via droplet infections and fecal-oral transmission. This illustrates the probability of environmentally facilitated transmission, mainly the sewage.

Method:

We used existing Pakistan polio environment surveillance network to investigate presence of SARs-CoV-2 using three commercially available kits and E-Gene detection published assay for surety and confirmatory of positivity. A Two-phase separation method is used for sample clarification and concentration. An additional high-speed centrifugation (14000Xg for 30 min) step was introduced, prior RNA extraction, to increase viral RNA yield resulting a decrease in *Cq* value.

Results:

A total of 78 wastewater samples collected from 38 districts across Pakistan, 74 wastewater samples from existing polio environment surveillance sites, 3 from drains of COVID-19 infected areas and 1 from COVID 19 quarantine center drainage, were tested for presence of SARs-CoV-2. 21 wastewater samples (27%) from 13 districts turned to be positive on RT-qPCR. SARs-COV-2 RNA positive samples from areas with COVID patients and COVID 19 patient quarantine center drainage strengthen the findings and use of wastewater surveillance in future. Furthermore, sequence data of partial ORF 1a generated from COVID 19 patient quarantine center drainage sample also reinforce our findings that SARs-CoV-2 can be detected in wastewater.

Discussion:

This study finding indicates that SARs-CoV-2 detection through wastewater surveillance has an epidemiologic potential that can be used as early warning system to monitor viral tracking and circulation in cities with lower COVID-19 disease burden or heavily populated areas where door-to-door tracing may not be possible. However, attention needed on virus concentration and detection assay to increase the sensitivity. Development of highly sensitive assay will be an indicator for virus monitoring and to provide early warning signs.

Introduction:

Novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) caused by SARS-Cov-2 infection has become a global emergency through its widespread infection with 6,189,560 confirmed cases resulting 372,469 deaths in 213 countries as of 1st June , 2020 (JHU 2020). In December 2019, cluster of pneumonia like disease cases with symptoms including fever, difficulty in breathing, cough and invasive lesion on both lungs were reported from Wuhan, China (WHO 2020a). The causative agent was identified as a Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) after ruling out SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, influenza, avian influenza, adenovirus and other common respiratory pathogens (WHO 2020b). Coronaviruses belonging to family *Cornaviridae* are enveloped, non-segmented positive sense RNA viruses distributed in human and mammals (Richman DD 2016). However, majority of human coronaviruses have mild infections but two betacoronaviruses; severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) caused outbreaks in last two decades with 10% and 35.6% mortality rate respectively (de Groot et al. 2013; Hui et al. 2004; Ramadan and Shaib 2019).

. On March 11, 2020 WHO declared it as pandemic, when disease was reported in 114 countries (WHO 2020c). The primary routes of viral transmission (SARS-CoV-2) was considered to be through droplet infections and person to person close contact, but later it is evident from various published studies that there is increasing possibility of fecal-oral transmission (Adhikari et al. 2020; Cheng et al. 2004). This shows the probability of environmentally mediated transmission. Since the early days of pandemic, we got interested in understanding and utilizing the role of environmental sampling mainly the sewage.

SARS-CoV-2 resembles 82% with SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) which caused an outbreak in 2003. Studies have shown the survival of SARS-CoV in stool for up to 4 days (Hui et al. 2004). Another study described the presence of SARS-CoV and its infectious nature in water and sewage for days to weeks (Casanova et al. 2009). It was also described that faulty sewage system contaminated with SARs-CoV in a high-rise housing estate of Hong Kong during 2003 was linked to SARS outbreak involving large number of residents of surrounding buildings (Peiris et al. 2003). A recent study highlighted the shedding through stool of SARS-CoV-2 in cluster of 9

nCOVID-19 patients. It was reported that the RNA concentration decreased from 10^7 RNA copies/g to 10^3 RNA copies/g after one week of symptom onset to third week (Roman Woelfel 2020). Since the source of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is still unknown therefore wastewater transmission pathway can become an important mode (UNICEF 2020). Hence, the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in contaminated sewage sample and its role in transmission needs to be investigated. In this study, we used the existing polio environment surveillance network in Pakistan through which sewage samples were collected from designated sites in different districts of the country to investigate presence of poliovirus, its spread and molecular epidemiology. Same samples were processed and tested for detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA.

Methods

Untreated wastewater samples (sewage samples) selected for testing in this study were collected using the grab sampling technique. Most of them were those collected for routine polio environment surveillance (ES). Polio ES sites are either open drains or pumping stations and are sampled routinely on monthly basis. Each sampling site represent 100,000 – 300,000 population (WHO 2015). Besides, wastewater from drains of some areas with recent history of SARS-CoV2 cases were also collected for detection and re-confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 detection. Sampling personnel strictly followed the standard safety guidelines for personnel protective equipment (PPE) required for wastewater sampling. One liter of sewage water was collected from the mid-stream into a sterile, leak proof container at a downstream sampling site during the peak morning flow. These samples were transported in properly sealed container with information form, indicating sampling site, district, sampling date and sampling time, to laboratory within 48 hours of collection maintaining reverse cold chain (WHO 2015). Samples were processed in laboratory for virus concentration using the two-phase separation method (WHO 2003).

500 ml of each raw sewage specimens was concentrated. Firstly, clarification of the sample was done by pelleting of larger suspended solids by high speed centrifugation. The clarified sewage sample was mixed with defined amounts of polymers, dextran and polyethylene glycol (PEG). The homogenous mixture obtained by vigorous shaking is left to stand overnight at 4°C in a separation funnel. The polymer helped to form two distinct layers

(phases) in the funnel which were collected and mixed with pellet formed in first step which was then treated with chloroform (WHO 2003) and further used for extraction of RNA.

Before proceeding directly for RNA extraction, an additional step was introduced to increase the yield of RNA, in contrast with direct processing. 400µl of processed sample was centrifuged at high speed (14000Xg) for 30 min to pellet the suspended solid particles. Virus may be partly bound to these solids. Supernatant was discarded carefully without disturbing the pellet, which was later used for RNA extraction.

Spin star viral nucleic acid kit 1.0 (ADT Biotech, Phileo Damansara 1, Petaling Jaya Part No. 811803) was used to extract the viral RNA. Internal control provided with kits were added as an amplification control in rRT-PCR. Pellet was dissolved with 430µl of lysis buffer supplied with kit, followed by 5 min vortexing to homogenously dissolve the pallet. Further processing was done as per the manufacturer's instructions. The final elution volume is 60µl. The extracted viral RNA was store at -20°C till further testing.

Multiple qualitative reverse transcription real-time PCR kits for identification of SARS-CoV2 were used. These kits were already in use country wide for detection of SARS-CoV2 in human. These were (Kit 1) Real-Time Fluorescent RT-PCR Kit for detecting 2019-nCoV by BGI China (IVD &CE marked; Catalogue No. MFG030010), takes ORF 1ab gene as the target domain, (Kit 2) qRT-PCR for Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Nucleic Acid Diagnostic Kit (PCR-Flourescence Probing, IVD marked) by Sansure Biotech (Sansure Biotech Inc China, Ref No. S3102E). The kit utilizes novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) ORF-1 gene and a conserved coding nucleocapsid protein N-gene as the target regions and finally (Kit 3) detection Kit for 2019 Novel Coronavirus RNA (PCR-Fluorescence Probing) targeting the ORF 1ab and N gene of SARS-CoV-2/2019-nCoV by Da An Gene Co., China (IVD and CE marked; Catalogue No. DA-930). Thermal cycling and results interpretation were performed as per manufacturer's instruction.

For further confirmation these samples were also tested for envelop protein (E) gene detection using the primers / probe sets that was published by Corman V. M. et. al. (Corman et al. 2020). A 25µl reaction contain 5 µl RNA, 12.5 µl 2x reaction buffer provided with the Superscript III one step RT-PCR with platinum Taq Polymerase (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany; containing

0.4 mM of each deoxyribose triphosphates (dNTP) and 3.2 mM magnesium sulphate), 1 µl of reverse transcriptase / Taq mixture from Kit and different concentration of primers and probes (Table 1). Thermal cycling was carried out at 55°C for 10 min, followed by 95°C for 3min. Then 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 58°C for 30 sec on ABI 7500 real time system (Applied Bio Systems, US. Cat # 4351104).

Genetic sequencing was based on conventional amplification of genomic RNA by using Qiagen One step RT PCR kit as described by Shirato K et al (Shirato et al. 2020). The ORF 1a gene was amplified, using a pair of primers NIID_WH-1_F501 (TTCGGATGCTCGAACTGCACC) and NIID_WH-1_R913 (CTTTACCAGCACGTGCTAGAAGG). After 1st PCR, nested PCR was performed using 2nd PCR primers (Sense: NIID_WH-1_F509(CTCGAACTGCACCTCATGG), Antisense: NIID_WH-1_R854 CAGAAGTTGTTATCGACATAGC) and 1µl of 1st PCR product under the same condition. PCR amplicons from 2nd round were purified by Qiaquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and directly sequenced by using Sequencing primers (Sense: NIID_WH-1_Seq_F519 ACCTCATGGTCATGTTATGG, Antisense: NIID_WH-1_Seq_R840 GACATAGCGAGTGTATGCC) on ABI 3100 genetic analyzer using Big Dye Terminator kit V.3.0.cycle sequencing kit (ABI Foster City Canada, USA). The nucleotide sequences were assembled, edited and analyzed by Sequencher software v.4.9 (GeneCodes Incorporation, USA). The nucleotide sequence obtained was blasted against the available NCBI databank (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>).

Results:

A total of 78 wastewater samples were collected between 12 to 18 epidemiological weeks from 38 districts across country and were tested for SARs-CoV-2 RNA. 21 wastewater samples (27%) from 13 districts were positive on RT-qPCR (Table 2).

Primarily, 20 wastewater samples were collected during March 20, 2020 to April 09, 2020 from 17 districts of Pakistan; 18 samples from different polio environmental sites (ES) distributed across 16 districts and 02 samples in areas with recent history of SARS-CoV2 cases from capital city Islamabad. All these samples were tested against the three commercially available SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection diagnostic kits (mentioned under materials and methods). Negative control supplied with the diagnostic kits containing the internal control was added in each

sample during extraction to ensure none of the wastewater extracted RNA samples had RT-qPCR inhibition. A total of 6 samples (30 %) were positive for SARs-CoV-2 RNA, out of these all were detected on Kit 1, 2 (either one gene positive or both, results interpreted as per manufacturer's instruction) and E gene method whereas only 4 were positive on Kit 3 (Table 2). 4 positive samples had *Cq* values between 32 to 38, whereas remaining 2 samples collected in areas with history of SARs-CoV-2 cases from Islamabad were positive on all three diagnostic kits and E gene method having *Cq* between 36 to 38 (Table 3).

A centrifugation step was also introduced before Viral RNA extraction to increase viral RNA yield. A decrease in *Cq* value has been observed, describing an increase in viral RNA concentration (Table 4). Furthermore, 56 samples collected and received in laboratory from April 6, 2020 to April 28, 2020 for polio diagnostics were also tested for detection of SARs-CoV-2, out of which 14 (24%) were positive. One sample was collected from the drain of a Rawalpindi Institute of Urology (RIU), a COVID-19 patient quarantine center, while other from a drain having catchment area with recent history of COVID-19 patients. Both collection sites are in district Rawalpindi. These samples were found positive for SARs-CoV-2 RNA on RT-qPCR.

The sample collected from the drain of RIU, Rawalpindi was also subjected for partial sequencing of SARs-CoV-2 ORF-1a. Nucleotide sequence of partial SARs-CoV-2 ORF 1a is submitted in gene bank under accession number MT539157.

Discussion:

The role of environmental surveillance in supporting Global Polio Eradication Initiative has already been acknowledged (Kroiss et al. 2018). The environmental surveillance can be used as supplemental tool for detection pathogens circulating within the community. Wastewater provides a near-real-time data as it constantly collects feces, urine and traces of sputum that can contain SARs-CoV-2 shed by the infected individuals. Viral load estimation in COVID-19 positive patients are still uncertain, however, recently N. Zhang *et al.* suggests levels as high as 600,000 viral genomes per ml of fecal material (Wu FQ 2020). Similarly another study reported approximately 30,00,000 viral particles in a single fecal sample (Wu FQ 2020). A previously published study on coronavirus reported that it remained infectious in water and sewage for days to weeks. Researchers reported time required for 99% reduction of virus infectivity was several

days at room temperature in pure water or wastewater (Guangbo Qu 2020). This adds another potential supplemental detection source of SARs-CoV-2 in communities.

In this study, we investigated the presence of SARs-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater using the existing poliovirus environment surveillance network that can be used in future as an early warning system for the dependent area. This definitely needs further evaluation and discussions; however, this seems to have a very interesting utilization in epidemiology. A total of 21 out of 78 positive wastewater samples for SARs-CoV-2 RNA clearly indicates viral RNA shedding in stool of infected individuals. Currently, there is no evidence of infection transmission of SARs-COV-2 or related SARs-Corona via wastewater (Ahmed et al. 2020).

We used three commercially available kits and Published E-Gene detection assay for surety and confirmatory of positivity. Analyzing table 3, samples collected from Quetta district at two different time intervals indicates COVID-19 prevalence and surge in infected individuals. This can be assumed from the decrease in *Cq* value in wastewater sample collected two weeks after. Detection of SARs-COV-2 RNA in two specific wastewater samples collected from areas with recent history of COVID-19 patients clearly explain that wastewater testing for COVID-19 can be used as an early warning system. Likewise, SARs-COV-2 RNA positive samples from RIU, Rawalpindi and an area in Rawalpindi with COVID patients further strengthen the findings and use of this tool in future. This surveillance system can picks up vast majority of infected individuals with SARs-CoV-2 who do not present symptoms for the disease (Ahmed et al. 2020). Furthermore, sequence data of partial ORF 1a generated from ICT-04 also reinforce our findings that SARs-CoV-2 can be detected in wastewater in Pakistan. Interestingly, the additional extra centrifugation step before viral RNA extraction seems to be encouraging in increasing the yield of viral RNA. This can be obvious from the data presented in table 4.

The surveillance through wastewater can be useful in remote or confined communities, however, further studies are needed on virus concentration and detection assay to increase the sensitivity. This has an epidemiologic potential for early detection of high burden area in advance; and heavily populated areas where door-to-door tracing may not be possible. This may also be more relevant to the developing countries with limited molecular testing.

Development of highly sensitive assay will be an indicator for virus monitoring and to provide early warning signs.

Conclusion:

SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater using RT-qPCR assay, confirmed by sequencing, is a milestone in the field of epidemiology. The study finding indicates that environmental surveillance through wastewater could be used as early warning system to monitor viral tracking and circulation in cities with lower COVID-19 disease burden or setting where person to person testing is limited. The virus concentration and detection method in wastewater needs attention to increase sensitivity of detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater.

References:

- Adhikari SP, Meng S, Wu YJ, Mao YP, Ye RX, Wang QZ, et al. 2020. Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (covid-19) during the early outbreak period: A scoping review. *Infect Dis Poverty* 9:29.
- Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, Bibby K, Bivins A, O'Brien JW, et al. 2020. First confirmed detection of sars-cov-2 in untreated wastewater in australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of covid-19 in the community. *Sci Total Environ* 728:138764.
- Casanova L, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. 2009. Survival of surrogate coronaviruses in water. *Water Res* 43:1893-1898.
- Cheng PK, Wong DA, Tong LK, Ip SM, Lo AC, Lau CS, et al. 2004. Viral shedding patterns of coronavirus in patients with probable severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* 363:1699-1700.
- Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. 2020. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-ncov) by real-time rt-pcr. *Euro Surveill* 25.
- de Groot RJ, Baker SC, Baric RS, Brown CS, Drosten C, Enjuanes L, et al. 2013. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (mers-cov): Announcement of the coronavirus study group. *J Virol* 87:7790-7792.
- Guangbo Qu XL, Ligang Hu, and Guibin Jiang. 2020. An imperative need for research on the role of environmental factors in transmission of novel coronavirus (covid-19). *Environmental Science & Technology* 54:3730-3732.
- Hui DS, Chan MC, Wu AK, Ng PC. 2004. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (sars): Epidemiology and clinical features. *Postgrad Med J* 80:373-381.
- JHU. 2020. Covid-19 dashboard by the center for systems science and engineering (csse) at johns hopkins university (jhu). Available: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>.
- Kroiss SJ, Ahmadzai M, Ahmed J, Alam MM, Chabot-Couture G, Famulare M, et al. 2018. Assessing the sensitivity of the polio environmental surveillance system. *PLoS One* 13:e0208336.
- Peiris JS, Chu CM, Cheng VC, Chan KS, Hung IF, Poon LL, et al. 2003. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated sars pneumonia: A prospective study. *Lancet* 361:1767-1772.
- Ramadan N, Shaib H. 2019. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (mers-cov): A review. *Germes* 9:35-42.
- Richman DD WR, Hayden FG, eds. 2016. *Clinical virology*. 4th ed.
- Roman Woelfel VMC, Wolfgang Guggemos, Michael Seilmaier, Sabine Zange, Marcel A Mueller, Daniela Niemeyer, Patrick Vollmar, Camilla Rothe, Michael Hoelscher, Tobias Bleicker, Sebastian Bruenink, Julia

266 Schneider, Rosina Ehmann, Katrin Zwirgmaier, Christian Drosten, Clemens Wendtner. 2020. Clinical
 267 presentation and virological assessment of hospitalized cases of coronavirus disease 2019 in a travel-
 268 associated transmission cluster. medRxiv.

269 Shirato K, Nao N, Katano H, Takayama I, Saito S, Kato F, et al. 2020. Development of genetic diagnostic
 270 methods for novel coronavirus 2019 (ncov-2019) in japan. Jpn J Infect Dis.

271 UNICEF W. 2020. Water, sanitation, hygiene, and waste management for the covid-19 virus: Interim
 272 guidance.

273 WHO. 2003. Guidelines for environmental surveillance of poliovirus circulation. Available:
 274 http://polioeradication.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/WHO_V-B_03.03_eng.pdf.

275 WHO. 2015. Guidelines on environmental surveillance for detection of poliovirus.

276 WHO. 2020a. Coronavirus disease (covid-19) outbreak. Available:
 277 <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19>.

278 WHO. 2020b. Who statement regarding cluster of pneumonia cases in wuhan, china. Available:
 279 [https://www.who.int/china/news/detail/09-01-2020-who-statement-regarding-cluster-of-pneumonia-](https://www.who.int/china/news/detail/09-01-2020-who-statement-regarding-cluster-of-pneumonia-cases-in-wuhan-china)
 280 [cases-in-wuhan-china](https://www.who.int/china/news/detail/09-01-2020-who-statement-regarding-cluster-of-pneumonia-cases-in-wuhan-china).

281 WHO. 2020c. Available: [https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/details/who-director-general-s-opening-](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/details/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)
 282 [remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/details/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020).

283 Wu FQ XA, Zhang JB, Gu XQ, Lee WL, Kauffman K, Hanage WP, Matus M, Ghaeli N, Endo N, Duvallet C,
 284 Moniz K, Erickson TB, Chai PR, Thompson J, Alm EJ. 2020. Sars-cov-2 titers in wastewater are higher than
 285 expected from clinically confirmed cases. medRxiv.

Table 1: Primers and probes, real time- RT-PCR for 2019 SARS-CoV2

E gene	Oligonucleotide	Sequence ^a	Concentration / Reaction
	E_Sarbeco_F	ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCGT	Use 400 nm
	E_Sarbeco_P1	FAM-ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG-BBQ	Use 200 nm
	E_Sarbeco_R	ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA	Use 400 nm

^a FAM: 6-carboxyfluorescein; BBQ: blackberry quencher.

Table 2: Details wastewater samples tested for SARS-CoV2 at Virology Department, National Institute of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan

Lab#	Collection Site	Drainage Type	District	Date Collection	EPI Week	COVID-19 Results
186	AQILPUR & ASLAM TOWN	PUMPING STATION	RAJANPUR	20-Mar-20	Week12	NOT DETECTED
189	SUR PUL	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	20-Mar-20	Week12	DETECTED
197	FAQIRABAD	OPEN DRAIN	KOHAT	30-Mar-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
198	HAZARA COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KURRAM	30-Mar-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
199	COMPOSITE BUS STAND & MODC	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
200	COMPOSITE SHERPAO & ZAFARABAD	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
201	BAGO ROAD & BAQIRABAD	PUMPING STATION	KAMBAR	31-Mar-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
202	QILA SHEIKHUPURA & PS BAHRIAN WALA	PUMPING STATION	SHEIKHUPURA	2-Apr-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
203	RAILWAY PUL	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	1-Apr-20	Week14	DETECTED
207	NALA BHAIR	OPEN DRAIN	SIALKOT	2-Apr-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
204	KALAPUL MURRE ROAD	OPEN DRAIN	ABOTABAD	3-Apr-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
205	HINJAL & NOORABAD	OPEN DRAIN	BANNU	3-Apr-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
206	PUMPING S.36 AHMAD NAGAR	PUMPING STATION	FAISALABAD	3-Apr-20	Week14	DETECTED
208	TURWA	OPEN DRAIN	PISHIN	4-Apr-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
209	ARMY KAZIBA	OPEN DRAIN	KABDULAH	4-Apr-20	Week14	NOT DETECTED
210	GULSHAN RAVI STATION	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	6-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
211	SABZI MANDI	OPEN DRAIN	DG KHAN	6-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
212	SANGOT & THOTHAL	OPEN DRAIN	MIRPUR	6-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
219	WALKWAY NEELUM & OLD NEELUM BRIDGE	OPEN DRAIN	MUZAFARABAD	6-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
213	MIANI PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	SUKKUR	7-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
214	SURAJ MIANI	PUMPING STATION	MULTAN	8-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
215	FRONTIER COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	DETECTED
216	ORANGI NALLA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
217	QASBA COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	DETECTED

218	BANGALI PARA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
221	MUHAMMAD KHAN COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	9-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
222	KORANGI NALLA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	9-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
ICT-01	SECTOR I-10/4	OPEN DRAIN	Islamabad	9-Apr-20	Week15	DETECTED
ICT-02	SECTOR I-10/1	OPEN DRAIN	Islamabad	9-Apr-20	Week15	DETECTED
224	HIJRAT COLONY PIDC COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	10-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
220	KONRA CHINA & SPAISHTA	OPEN DRAIN	WAZIR-S	10-Apr-20	Week15	NOT DETECTED
223	SABZI MANDI	OPEN DRAIN	Islamabad	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
225	DHOKE DALLAL	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	13-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
226	SAFDAR ABAD	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	13-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
227	MAIN DISPOSAL	PUMPING STATION	DG KHAN	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
228	OUTFALL STATION-G	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	13-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
229	OUTFALL STATION-H	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
230	OUTFALL STATION-F	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
231	COMPOSITE SHERPAO & ZAFARABAD	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
232	COMPSITE BUS STAND & MODC	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
233	RASHID MINHAS RD LAY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
234	HAJI MUREED GOTH	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
235	TAWOOS ABAD	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	12-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
236	TULSIDAS PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	HYDERABAD	13-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
237	KHAMISO GOTH	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	14-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
238	SOHRAB GOTH	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	14-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
240	MAKKA PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	SUKKUR	14-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
241	MACHAR COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	14-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
242	BAGO ROAD & BAQIRABAD	PUMPING STATION	KAMBAR	14-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
243	SADDAR PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	JACOBABAD	14-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
244	MASAN MULLAH	PUMPING STATION	DADU	14-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED

245	LANDHI BAKHTAWAR VILLAGE	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	15-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
248	HADI PACKET	OPEN DRAIN	KILLA ABDULLAH	15-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
246	CHAKORA NULLA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	15-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
239	RAJKOT & SANSI ROAD & PEOPLE COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	GUJARANWALA	15-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
252	GANJ MOHALLA	OPEN DRAIN	ZHOB	16-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
247	CHAK & PAR HOTI	OPEN DRAIN	MARDAN	17-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
ICT-04	RAWALPINDI INSTITUTE OF UROLOGY	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	17-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
ICT-06	DHOKE KASHMIRIAN	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	17-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
249	PUMPING S.36 AHMAD NAGAR	PUMPING STATION	FAISALABAD	17-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
250	MILL COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	NOWSHERA	17-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
251	SHAHEEN MUSLIM TOWN	OPEN DRAIN	PESHAWAR	17-Apr-20	Week16	DETECTED
253	RASALA LINE	OPEN DRAIN	LORALAI	17-Apr-20	Week16	NOT DETECTED
254	MULTAN ROAD STATION	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	20-Apr-20	Week17	DETECTED
255	AQILPUR & ASLAM TOWN	PUMPING STATION	RAJANPUR	20-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
256	SUR PUL	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	20-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
257	LABOUR NALA	OPEN DRAIN	DERA BUGHTI	20-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
264	KATAN PUL	OPEN DRAIN	KHUZDAR	20-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
258	WAPDA COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	NASIRABAD	20-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
261	ALI TOWN	PUMPING STATION	MULTAN	22-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
262	SILAN WALI	PUMPING STATION	SARGODAHA	24-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
263	LARA MA	OPEN DRAIN	PESHAWAR	24-Apr-20	Week17	NOT DETECTED
265	KALAPUL MURRE ROAD	OPEN DRAIN	ABOTABAD	27-Apr-20	Week18	DETECTED
266	FAQIRABAD	OPEN DRAIN	KOHAT	27-Apr-20	Week18	DETECTED
267	SANGOT & THOTHAL	OPEN DRAIN	MIRPUR	27-Apr-20	Week18	NOT DETECTED
268	LALBAGH & TIBA BAHADUR	PUMPING STATION	BAHAWALPUR	28-Apr-20	Week18	NOT DETECTED
269	JATAK KILLI & TAKHTHANI	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	28-Apr-20	Week18	DETECTED
270	KOTLA ABDUL FATAH	PUMPING STATION	MULTAN	28-Apr-20	Week18	NOT DETECTED

* Collection Site name is designated as per drainage area or collection vicinity

Table 3: Wastewater samples collected during March 20, 2020 to April 09, 2020. A comparative analysis

Sample ID	Drainage Type	Epi Week	District	Date Collection	Kit 1 (ORF 1+ Ngene)	Kit 2 (ORF 1ab+ Ngene)	Kit 3 (ORF1ab)	Corman V. M. et. al Method (E Gene)	Final Results
186	PUMPING STATION	Week 12	RAJANPUR	20-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
189	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 12	QUETTA	20-Mar-20	+	+	ND	+	DETECTED
197	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KOHAT	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
198	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KURRAM	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
199	PUMPING STATION	Week 14	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
200	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
201	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KAMBAR	31-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
202	PUMPING STATION	Week 14	SHEIKHUPURA	1-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
203	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	QUETTA	1-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	DETECTED
204	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	ABOTABAD	3-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
205	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	BANNU	3-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	DETECTED
206	PUMPING STATION	Week 14	FAISALABAD	3-Apr-20	+	+	ND	+	DETECTED
207	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	SIALKOT	2-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
208	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	PISHIN	2-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
209	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KABDULAH	4-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
210	PUMPING STATION	Week 15	LAHORE	6-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
211	PUMPING STATION	Week 15	DGKHAN	6-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
212	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 15	MIRPUR	6-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ICT-01	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 15	ISLAMABAD	9-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	DETECTED
ICT-02	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 15	ISLAMABAD	9-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	DETECTED

* Collection Site name is designated as per the drainage area or collection vicinity
ND Not detected

Table 4: Sample preparation without and with centrifugation before viral RNA Extraction. Comparison among the *Cq* values

Lab#	Collection Site	District	Date Collection	EPI Week	Without Centrifugation step					With Centrifugation step				
					N-GENE	Cq	ORF-1ab	Cq	RESULT	N-GENE	Cq	ORF-1ab	Cq	RESULT
215	Frontier Colony	Karachi	8-Apr-20	Week15	+	37	+	38	+	+	35	+	37	DETECTED
217	Qasba Colony	Karachi	8-Apr-20	Week15	+	38	+	38	+	+	36	+	36	DETECTED
218	Bangali Para	Karachi	8-Apr-20	Week15	-		-		ND	-		-		NOT DETECTED
225	Dhoke Dallal	Rawalpindi	13-Apr-20	Week16	+	38	+	40	+	+	34	+	37	DETECTED
226	Safdarabad	Rawalpindi	13-Apr-20	Week16	+	36	+	36	+	+	33	+	36	DETECTED
228	Outfall Station G	Lahore	13-Apr-20	Week16	+	38	+	39	+	+	29	+	31	DETECTED
ICT-04	Rawalpindi Institute of Urology	Rawalpindi	17-Apr-20	Week16	+	38	+	39	+	+	34	+	37	DETECTED
ICT-06	Dhoke Kashmirian	Rawalpindi	17-Apr-20	Week16	+	39	+	39	+	+	36	+	38	DETECTED

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: **A.** Map indicating environmental sampling sites. Each purple dot represents a wastewater collection site **B.** Map indicating the red marked districts with SARs-CoV-2 positive wastewater samples. Each orange dot represents a positive wastewater sample

Figure 2: Graphical representation of positive samples among tested samples