COVID 19: An SEIR model predicting disease progression and healthcare outcomes for Pakistan

Ejaz Ahmad Khan^{1*}, Maida Umar², Maryam Khalid³

^{1*}Associate Professor, Health Services Academy, Islamabad, Pakistan

²Data Analyst, United Nations Development Programme, Pakistan

³Lecturer, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

*Corresponding author: ejaz@hsa.edu.pk (EAK)

Abstract

Background: Recent pandemic of the Novel Coronavirus (COVID 19) has claimed more than 200,000 lives and about 3.8 million infected worldwide. Countries are being gradually exposed to its devastating threat without being properly prepared and with inadequate response. COVID 19's first two cases were reported in Pakistan on February 26, 2020. We present a model depicting progression of epidemiology curve for Pakistan with and without interventions in view of its health system's response capacity in near future.

Methodology: We used a modified compartmental epidemiological SEIR model to describe the outbreak of COVID-19 in Pakistan including the possibility of asymptomatic infection and presymptomatic transmission. The behavior of the dynamic model is determined by a set of clinical parameters and transmission rate.

Results: We estimated that in the absence of a set of proven interventions, the total susceptible population would be 43.24 million, exposed individuals would be almost 32 million, asymptomatic cases would be 13.13 million, mildly infected 30.64 million, severely infected slightly more than 6 million and critical cases would be around 967,000 in number. By that time, almost 760,000 fatalities of infected critical would have taken place. Comparing with the healthcare capacity of Pakistan, if we could "flatten the curve" to a level below the dashed grey line, the healthcare system will be capable of managing the cases with ideal healthcare facilities,

where the grey line representing the healthcare capacity of Pakistan. With the intervention in place, the number of symptomatic infected individuals is expected to be almost 20 million.

Conclusion: We consider the impact of intervention and control measures on the spread of COVID-19 with 30% reduction in transmission from mild cases in case a set of interventions are judiciously in place to mitigate its impact.

Keywords: Pandemic, novel corona virus, trend of diseases outbreak, modeling, low middle income country

Background

December 2019 witnessed a new challenge to the advances of Public Health when a novel corona virus emerged on the face of Earth infecting China's Wuhan province and spread along 219 countries of the World within next four months causing more than four million cases and nearly a quarter of million deaths, mostly in Europe and United States. The COVID 19, as the name given to it, has been identified having three main strains: A, B and C. The 'B' strain inflicted Chinese population and mutated to type 'C' when it reached Europe after clustering in Singapore(1,2). It also reached Iran and from there to Pakistan. Pakistan had its first report of cases on February 26th, 2020(3). Since then, by 16th of May, there have been reported 38,799 cases, with 834 deaths and 10,880 recovered cases(4).

A pandemic need to be understood in the context of its epidemiology and expected number of cases with its case fatality rate and health system's capacity in dealing with the critical patient load with respect to its surge capacity, in an absence of proper preparedness. We conducted this analysis, based on estimates considering that the cases identified were not the true picture due to insufficient testing capacity and an absence of R_0 for the country, to model Pakistan's COVID 19's trends and the health system's capacity in dealing with the pandemic. This paper intends to assess the effects of interventions like lock down, social distancing and awareness campaigns in Pakistan via means of reduction in transmission. In the absence of any pharmaceutical aid, the only interventions that can be implemented to avoid the spread of COVID-19 are social distancing, self-quarantine and use of protective gears. The first lockdown was officially imposed on 24th March, 2020 by the government in Pakistan and then eventually moved towards a soft lockdown(4).

Methods

A modified compartmental epidemiological SEIR model is used to describe the outbreak of COVID-19 in Pakistan including the possibility of asymptomatic infection and presymptomatic transmission. The behavior of the dynamic model is determined by a set of clinical parameters and transmission rate(5,6).The classic SEIR model assumes that an individual in the population is susceptible (S), exposed (E) where they are asymptomatic and do not transmit infection, infected and infectious (I), or recovered and immune (R) (7,8). It is assumed that when an individual is symptomatic and infected, the progression from exposed stage (E) to becoming infected and infectious (I) occur at the rate α . The model considers three clinical stages of infections: mild, severe and critical (9) (refer to as I_1, I_2, I_3 onwards) (10). Each infection stage of an individual has two possible outcomes: recovery and progression towards next stage leading to death. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ be the recovery rates at each of the three infectious stages respectively and let ρ_1, ρ_2 be the progression rates from I_1 to I_2 and from I_2 to I_3 respectively. While let δ be the transition rate of the death (D) an individual at I_3 . Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ be the respective transmission rates at the three stages I_1, I_2, I_3 of the model.

$$S' = -(\theta_1 I_1 + \theta_2 I_2 + \theta_3 I_3)S \quad (1)$$

$$E' = (\theta_1 I_1 + \theta_2 I_2 + \theta_3 I_3)S - \alpha E \quad (2)$$

$$I_1' = \alpha E - (\gamma_1 + \rho_1)I_1 \quad (3)$$

$$I_2' = \rho_1 I_1 - (\gamma_2 + \rho_2)I_2 \quad (4)$$

$$I_3' = \rho_2 I_2 - (\gamma_3 + \delta)I_3 \quad (5)$$

$$R' = \gamma_1 I_1 + \gamma_2 I_2 + \gamma_3 I_3 \quad (6)$$

$$D' = \delta I_3 \quad (7)$$

$$N = S + E + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + R + D$$

where

θ_i = the rate at which the infected individual in class I_i contact susceptibles and infect them

α = the rate of progression from exposed to infected

γ_i = the rate at which the infected individual in class I_i recover from the disease

74 ρ_i = the rate at which the infected individual in class I_i progress to the next class I_{i+1}

75 δ = the death rate of individuals in most severe stage of infection.

76 S : Susceptible Individuals

77 E : Exposed Individuals (Infected but asymptomatic)

78 I_i : Infected Individuals in class i for $i = 1, 2, 3$

79 R : Individuals Recovered and are now immune

80 D : Individuals died of infection

81 N : Total population

82 The parameters of the model define above are then estimated as follows, incorporating the
83 information from clinical data.

84

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \beta: \text{Average incubation period in days}$$

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{FI_M}{DI_M} \quad FI_M: \text{Average fraction of mild symptomatic infections}$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{DI_M} - \gamma_1 \quad DI_M: \text{Average duration of mild infections in days}$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{FI_C}{FI_S + FI_C} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right) \quad FI_S: \text{Average fraction of severe symptomatic infections}$$

FI_C : Average fraction of critical symptomatic infections

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{\eta} - \rho_2 \quad \eta: \text{Average duration of hospitalization for individuals with severe infection in days}$$

$$\delta = \frac{CFR}{FI_C} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \quad CFR: \text{Case fatality rate}$$

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \delta \quad \lambda: \text{Average duration of ICU admission until death in days}$$

85

86 Let r be the exponential growth rate for the epidemic, at initial stage, with the doubling time

87 $T_2 = \frac{\ln(2)}{r}$. The ratio of individuals during the initial stage of any epidemic is fixed between any

88 two compartments and their expected values can be computed using the underlying model with

89 fixed parameters. The expected ratios are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{I_3}{D} &= \frac{r}{\delta} \\ \frac{I_2}{D} &= \frac{(r + \delta + \gamma_3)}{\rho_2} \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \\ \frac{I_1}{D} &= \left(\frac{r + \rho_2 + \gamma_2}{\rho_1} \right) \left(\frac{r + \delta + \gamma_3}{\rho_2} \right) \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \\ \frac{I}{D} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 I_i}{D} = \left(1 + \left(\frac{r + \delta + \gamma_3}{\rho_2} \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{r + \rho_2 + \gamma_2}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right) \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \\ \frac{E}{D} &= \left(\frac{r + \rho_1 + \gamma_1}{\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{r + \rho_2 + \gamma_2}{\rho_1} \right) \left(\frac{r + \delta + \gamma_3}{\rho_2} \right) \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right)\end{aligned}$$

90 These expected ratios can further be used to determine the number of under reported cases under
91 the following assumptions:

- 92 i. The model is formulated as a system of differential equations and its output represents the
93 expected values of each quantity. Further, as the stochastic events are not considered
94 therefore it cannot be assumed that the epidemic will extinct even for the very low values
95 Also, the model does not report the expected variance in the variables, which can
96 sometimes be large.
- 97 ii. All individuals have equal transmission rates and equal susceptibility to infection.
- 98 iii. Individuals must pass through stage before reaching stage and only the individuals at
99 critical stage die.

100 The simulation study assumes $N = 220,892,340$ and $Initial\ Infected = 2$ for Pakistan. The
101 parametric values are either adapted from the literature or from the official statistics (Table 1)
102 and (Table 2).

103 **Table 1: Estimated parameters for COVID-19 clinical progression**

Parameter	Value
β	5 days

Parameter	Value
FI_M	80%
FI_S	15%
FI_C	5%
DI_M	6 days
DI_S	8 days
DI_C	8 days
η	14 days
δ	72%
CFR	2%
Time from symptoms to ICU admission	12 days
Time from symptom onset to death	20 days
Serial interval	8 days

Despite close monitoring, there are chances of asymptomatic COVID-19 infection (11). This paper incorporates the possibility of such infections by assuming that all the individuals progressing from E to I can be categorized as either asymptomatic or symptomatic. Let π be the proportion of individuals with asymptomatic infections such that they progress to I_0 from the stage E, whereas $(1-\pi)$ be the proportion of symptomatic individuals that progress to I_1 from the stage E. Thus the asymptomatic exposed class can be categorized into two sub-classes namely E_0 (no symptoms and no transmission) and E_1 (no symptoms but transmit at the rate θ_E)

with the rate of exit being α_0 & α_1 from each sub class respectively. It is pertinent to note that E_1 represents the pre symptomatic class where delayed symptoms are observed relative to when an individual actually got infected with COVID-19.

Assuming that the asymptomatic infections cannot progress to severe stages and have a transmission rate θ_0 , let γ_0 be the recovery rate of such individuals. Furthermore, all the transmission rates are modified to incorporate the seasonality factor $\sigma(t) = 1 + \varepsilon \cos(2\pi(t-\varphi))$ where $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ is the relative amplitude of seasonal oscillation and $\varphi \in [-\infty, \infty]$ is the phase that determine the peak time (in years) relative to starting time. The customized SEIR model is thus given as:

$$S' = -(\theta_E E_1 + \theta_1 I_1 + \theta_2 I_2 + \theta_3 I_3)S\sigma(t) \quad (8)$$

$$E' = (\theta_E E_1 + \theta_1 I_1 + \theta_2 I_2 + \theta_3 I_3)S\sigma(t) - \alpha_0 E_0 \quad (9)$$

$$E_1' = \alpha_0 E_0 - \alpha_1 E_1 \quad (10)$$

$$I_0' = \pi \alpha_1 E_1 - \gamma_0 I_0 \quad (11)$$

$$I_1' = (1-\pi)\alpha_1 E_1 - (\gamma_1 + \rho_1)I_1 \quad (12)$$

$$I_2' = \rho_1 I_1 - (\gamma_2 + \rho_2)I_2 \quad (13)$$

$$I_3' = \rho_2 I_2 - (\gamma_3 + \delta)I_3 \quad (14)$$

$$R' = \gamma_0 I_0 + \gamma_1 I_1 + \gamma_2 I_2 + \gamma_3 I_3 \quad (15)$$

$$D' = \delta I_3 \quad (16)$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_1} \quad \beta_1 : \text{Length of infectious phase of incubation period}$$

$$\alpha_0 = (\beta - \beta_1)^{-1} \quad \beta : \text{Average incubation period in days}$$

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{\mu} \quad \mu : \text{Duration of asymptomatic infection}$$

$$R_0 = N \left[\frac{\theta_E}{\alpha_1} + \pi \frac{\theta_0}{\gamma_0} + (1-\pi) \frac{1}{(\gamma_1 + \rho_1)} \left(\theta_1 + \frac{\rho_1}{(\gamma_2 + \rho_2)} \left(\theta_2 + \theta_3 \frac{\rho_2}{(\delta + \rho_3)} \right) \right) \right]$$

R_0 : Basic reproductive ratio

121 **Table 2: Transmission rates for COVID-19**

Quantity	Value
θ_0	0.5/day
θ_1	0.27/day
θ_2	0.1/day
θ_3	0.1/day
θ_E	0.5/day
π	30%
μ	6 days
R_0	3.2
r	0.17/day
$(\beta - \beta_1)$	2 days

122

123 We considered the impact of intervention and control measures on the spread of COVID-19 with
 124 a 30% reduction in transmission from mild cases. (12–14).

125 Given the intensity of the COVID-19 pandemic, where the most developed countries are falling
 126 short in allocating appropriate medical facilities to all COVID-19 patients, an under-developed
 127 country such as Pakistan has to be very cautious and concerned about optimizing its almost non-
 128 existent healthcare facilities relative to its population size. In this regard, it becomes important to
 129 analyze the health care capacity along with the COVID-19 estimates and predictions. The
 130 analysis is based on the indicators such as hospital beds (per capita), ICU beds (per capita) and

ventilators taking into account the effect of intervention to assess the shortfall of resources (Table 3)

Table 3: Hospital capacity indicators

Quantity	Total	Per capita	Source
Hospital beds	132227 ^a	0.60	(Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, 2018)
Occupancy	80%		(Adam T et al, 2003)
ICU beds	3142	0.01	(Phua J et al, 2020)
Occupancy	80%		(Adam T et al, 2003)
Ventilators	3800	0.02	(Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, 2020)

Results

Predicted COVID-19 cases of Pakistan by clinical outcome

Figure 1 (Fig 1: Predicted COVID-19 cases of Pakistan by clinical outcome) shows the progression of the epidemic in Pakistan, displaying the changes in the expected number of individuals who are susceptible, exposed, infected and removed as per the modified SEIR model. As the infected cases first experience an asymptomatic phase (incubation period) followed by an infected phase that varies in intensity, the Infected individuals have been further categorized into infected-asymptomatic; infected-mild (I_1); infected-severe (I_2) and infected-critical (I_3) whereas the Removed category has been sub-divided into recovered and dead depending upon the end result of the infection. Using the $R_0 = 3.2$ and $r = 0.17$, it is predicted that the inflection point/peak is expected to fall on the 117th day (21st June, 2020) in Pakistan. The rate at which the infection would be spreading according to this model is reflected by T_2 , which would be 4.1 days. The total susceptible population would be 43.24 million, exposed individuals would be almost 32 million, asymptomatic cases would be 13.13 million, mildly infected 30.64 million, severely infected slightly more than 6 million and critical cases would be around 967,000 in number. By that time, almost 760,000 fatalities of infected critical would have taken place.

Reduction in predicted COVID-19 infected cases of Pakistan after intervention

Figure 2 (Fig 2: Reduction in predicted COVID-19 infected cases of Pakistan after intervention) shows the changes in the expected numbers of individuals who are symptomatic irrespective of

the severity of the infection with and without an intervention being implemented to control the spread of the infection. With the intervention in place, the numbers of symptomatic infected individuals ($I_1+I_2+I_3$) are expected to be almost 20 million while without intervention; this number rises to a maximum of almost 38 million at the peak of the epidemic. Note the change in R_0 as it moves from 3.174 without the intervention to a value of 2.8338 with any intervention. Also noteworthy is the change in doubling time, which increases to 5 days upon implementation of intervention instead of 4 days without intervention depicting the accelerated spread without any control measures in place.

Reduction in predicted COVID-19 deaths of Pakistan after intervention

Almost 5 million deaths could be expected without intervention keeping the Case Fatality Rate (CFR) of Iran of 3.6 as the standard, which can be reduced to 4.39 million deaths if interventions are being implemented to control the spread (Fig 3: Reduction in predicted COVID-19 deaths of Pakistan after intervention).

COVID-19 cases versus healthcare capacity of hospital beds in Pakistan

Figure 4 (Fig 4: COVID-19 cases versus healthcare capacity of hospital beds in Pakistan) shows the number of COVID 19 infections in comparison with the healthcare capacity of Pakistan. If we can flatten the curve to a level below the dashed grey line, the healthcare system will be capable of managing the cases with ideal healthcare facilities, the grey line representing the healthcare capacity of Pakistan.

More than seven million individuals with critical and severe infections would need hospitalization while hospital beds are available for only 26,500 individuals at present.

COVID-19 cases vs healthcare capacity of ICU beds in Pakistan

More than 1 million patients would need ventilation and intensive care and considering the number of ICU beds available, the current available capacity of ICUs is around 0.01 per capita to cater to the needs of one million patients (Fig. 5: COVID-19 cases vs healthcare capacity of ICU beds in Pakistan).

COVID-19 cases vs healthcare capacity of ventilators in Pakistan

More than 1 million patients would need ventilators along with intensive care and considering the current number of ventilators, only 0.02 per capita would be available (Fig 6: COVID-19 cases vs healthcare capacity of ventilators in Pakistan).

Discussion

Our results show how the susceptible cases would increase over time along with expected number of deaths. These figures look a bit exaggerated when compared to the reported data. But they have similar results and trends for this pandemic done for other countries currently experiencing some of the highest numbers of cases and deaths. As the recovered increase, the susceptible population goes down. The country's peak is however yet to be seen(15–17). Our results of with and without intervention show a small impact of an intervention (nearly 10 million less cases) as compared to number of expected cases, in reducing transmission of diseases with “ r ” = 0.17 dropping to r =” r ” = 0.15 with intervention. This small reduction with a single intervention has been well documented (18). Timely interventions could reduce the expected cases almost by half, and the expected load on the health system's capacity, which is quite limited. This could be possible only by introducing appropriate interventions for reducing community transmission by social distancing, identifying cases and contacts by sufficient number of tests for isolating the contacts/suspected cases in quarantines and treatment of cases under suitable infection control conditions taken care of by healthcare personnel protected by personal protective equipment (PPE). The severe infected cases would need hospitalization while those in critical condition would require ventilators and care in Intensive Care Units (ICUs)(19–23). Flattening of the curve and bringing it down with recommended interventions could not only compensate for the limited capacity of the ICUs's capacity and need for ventilators, it would also improve the efficiency and preparedness of the system in saving lives(18,24,25).

Conclusion and Recommendations

We conclude that Pakistan's COVID 19 outbreak is yet to see its peak probably towards the third week of June 2020. The cases and admissions in emergency and critical care would compromise the working of the health system. Timely implementation of the prudent interventions would minimize the morbidity and mortality due to the pandemic and would support the weak health system in responding to the outbreak. Pakistan need to invest in the resilient health system and robust pandemic preparedness in place to address control measures for a pandemic as large and devastating as COVID 19.

Limitations and strengths

Our results are based on assumptions and subsequent predictions based on modeling. These may not be accurate. The mathematical models can guide and inform of a magnitude of disease or event. It is imperative that the policy makers may make their own informed judgement in the best interest of providing timely preventive and curative healthcare. The study's strength lies in its methodical approach, which is the best available SEIR model available to date for predicting the course of a pandemic.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge work done and published by different renowned scientists, whom we have cited in our article. Without this knowledge base, we would not have been able to make these scientific predictions.

Conflict of interest

We declare that we do not have any conflict of interest whatsoever in conducting this analysis and drafting the manuscript.

Authors' contribution

Ejaz Ahmad Khan conceived the idea, design of the study and helped in analysis, and finalized the manuscript.

Maida Umar conducted the analysis wrote the results and helped in writing methods.

Maryam Khalid wrote the method section.

References

1. Velavan TP, Meyer CG. The COVID-19 epidemic. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*. 2020.
2. Sun P, Lu X, Xu C, Sun W, Pan B. Understanding of COVID-19 based on current evidence. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2020.
3. Ali I. Pakistan confirms first two cases of coronavirus, govt says “no need to panic.” *Daily Dawn Pakistan* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 May 4]; Available from: <https://www.dawn.com/news/1536792>
4. <http://www.covid.gov.pk/stats/pakistan>. Accessed on May 16, 2020.
5. Eastman B, Meaney C, Przedborski M, Kohandel M. Mathematical modeling of COVID-19 containment strategies with considerations for limited medical resources. *medRxiv*. 2020;
6. Turk PJ, Chou S-H, Kowalkowski MA, Palmer PP, Priem JS, Spencer MD, et al. Modeling COVID-19 latent prevalence to assess a public health intervention at a state and regional scale. *medRxiv*. 2020;
7. Costa PJ. *Applied Mathematics for the Analysis of Biomedical Data*. Applied Mathematics for the Analysis of Biomedical Data. 2017.
8. Ivorra B, Ferrández MR, Vela-Pérez M, Ramos AM. Mathematical modeling of the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) taking into account the undetected infections. The case of China. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul*. 2020;
9. Chen X, Zheng F, Qing Y, Ding S, Yang D, Lei C, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 291 cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in areas adjacent to Hubei, China: a double-center observational study. *medRxiv*. 2020 Mar 6;2020.03.03.20030353.
10. Cascella M, Rajnik M, Cuomo A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, Evaluation and Treatment Coronavirus (COVID-19). *StatPearls*. 2020.
11. Day M. Covid-19: four fifths of cases are asymptomatic, China figures indicate. *BMJ*. 2020 Apr 2;369:m1375.
12. Fang Y, Nie Y, Penny M. Transmission dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak and effectiveness of government interventions: A data-driven analysis. *J Med Virol*. 2020;
13. Koo JR, Cook AR, Park M, Sun Y, Sun H, Lim JT, et al. Interventions to mitigate early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;

14. Prem K, Liu Y, Russell TW, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Davies N, et al. The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Heal*. 2020;0(0).
15. Pal D, Ghosh D, Santra PK, Mahapatra GS. Mathematical Analysis of a COVID-19 Epidemic Model by using Data Driven Epidemiological Parameters of Diseases Spread in India. *medRxiv*. 2020;
16. Choi SC, Ki M. Estimating the reproductive number and the outbreak size of Novel Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) using mathematical model in Republic of Korea. *Epidemiol Health*. 2020;
17. Peirlinck M, Linka K, Sahli Costabal F, Kuhl E. Outbreak dynamics of COVID-19 in China and the United States. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol*. 2020;
18. Ferguson NM, Laydon D, Nedjati-Gilani G, Imai N, Ainslie K, Baguelin M, et al. Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. 2020;(March):20.
19. Teslya A, Pham TM, Godijk NG, Kretzschmar ME, Bootsma MCJ, Rozhnova G. Impact of Self-Imposed Prevention Measures and Short-Term Government Intervention on Mitigating and Delaying a COVID-19 Epidemic. *SSRN Electron J*. 2020;
20. Barrett K, Khan YA, Mac S, Ximenes R, Naimark DM, Sander B. Potential Magnitude of COVID-19-Induced Healthcare Resource Depletion in Ontario, Canada. *SSRN Electron J*. 2020;
21. Weissman GE, Crane-Droesch A, Chivers C, Luong T, Hanish A, Levy MZ, et al. Locally Informed Simulation to Predict Hospital Capacity Needs During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020;
22. Giannakeas V, Bhatia D, Warkentin MT, Bogoch II, Stall NM. Estimating the Maximum Capacity of COVID-19 Cases Manageable per Day Given a Health Care System's Constrained Resources. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020;
23. De Brouwer E, Raimondi D, Moreau Y. Modeling the COVID-19 outbreaks and the effectiveness of the containment measures adopted across countries. *medRxiv*. 2020;
24. Armocida B, Formenti B, Ussai S, Palestra F, Missoni E. The Italian health system and the COVID-19 challenge. *The Lancet Public Health*. 2020.
25. Mandal S, Bhatnagar T, Arinaminpathy N, Agarwal A, Chowdhury A, Murhekar M, et al.

- Prudent public health intervention strategies to control the coronavirus disease 2019 transmission in India: A mathematical model-based approach. *Indian J Med Res.* 2020;
1. Velavan TP, Meyer CG. The COVID-19 epidemic. *Tropical Medicine and International Health.* 2020.
2. Sun P, Lu X, Xu C, Sun W, Pan B. Understanding of COVID-19 based on current evidence. *Journal of Medical Virology.* 2020.
3. Ali I. Pakistan confirms first two cases of coronavirus, govt says “no need to panic.” *Daily Dawn Pakistan* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 May 4]; Available from: <https://www.dawn.com/news/1536792>
4. No Title. p. <http://www.covid.gov.pk/stats/pakistan>.
5. Eastman B, Meaney C, Przedborski M, Kohandel M. Mathematical modeling of COVID-19 containment strategies with considerations for limited medical resources. *medRxiv.* 2020;
6. Turk PJ, Chou S-H, Kowalkowski MA, Palmer PP, Priem JS, Spencer MD, et al. Modeling COVID-19 latent prevalence to assess a public health intervention at a state and regional scale. *medRxiv.* 2020;
7. Costa PJ. *Applied Mathematics for the Analysis of Biomedical Data.* Applied Mathematics for the Analysis of Biomedical Data. 2017.
8. Ivorra B, Ferrández MR, Vela-Pérez M, Ramos AM. Mathematical modeling of the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) taking into account the undetected infections. The case of China. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul.* 2020;
9. Chen X, Zheng F, Qing Y, Ding S, Yang D, Lei C, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 291 cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in areas adjacent to Hubei, China: a double-center observational study. *medRxiv.* 2020 Mar 6;2020.03.03.20030353.
10. Cascella M, Rajnik M, Cuomo A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, Evaluation and Treatment Coronavirus (COVID-19). *StatPearls.* 2020.
11. Day M. Covid-19: four fifths of cases are asymptomatic, China figures indicate. *BMJ.* 2020 Apr 2;369:m1375.
12. Fang Y, Nie Y, Penny M. Transmission dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak and effectiveness of government interventions: A data-driven analysis. *J Med Virol.* 2020;
13. Koo JR, Cook AR, Park M, Sun Y, Sun H, Lim JT, et al. Interventions to mitigate early

- spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020;
14. Prem K, Liu Y, Russell TW, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Davies N, et al. The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Heal.* 2020;0(0).
15. Pal D, Ghosh D, Santra PK, Mahapatra GS. Mathematical Analysis of a COVID-19 Epidemic Model by using Data Driven Epidemiological Parameters of Diseases Spread in India. *medRxiv.* 2020;
16. Choi SC, Ki M. Estimating the reproductive number and the outbreak size of Novel Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) using mathematical model in Republic of Korea. *Epidemiol Health.* 2020;
17. Peirlinck M, Linka K, Sahli Costabal F, Kuhl E. Outbreak dynamics of COVID-19 in China and the United States. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol.* 2020;
18. Ferguson NM, Laydon D, Nedjati-Gilani G, Imai N, Ainslie K, Baguelin M, et al. Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. 2020;(March):20.
19. Teslya A, Pham TM, Godijk NG, Kretzschmar ME, Bootsma MCJ, Rozhnova G. Impact of Self-Imposed Prevention Measures and Short-Term Government Intervention on Mitigating and Delaying a COVID-19 Epidemic. *SSRN Electron J.* 2020;
20. Barrett K, Khan YA, Mac S, Ximenes R, Naimark DM, Sander B. Potential Magnitude of COVID-19-Induced Healthcare Resource Depletion in Ontario, Canada. *SSRN Electron J.* 2020;
21. Weissman GE, Crane-Droesch A, Chivers C, Luong T, Hanish A, Levy MZ, et al. Locally Informed Simulation to Predict Hospital Capacity Needs During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;
22. Giannakeas V, Bhatia D, Warkentin MT, Bogoch II, Stall NM. Estimating the Maximum Capacity of COVID-19 Cases Manageable per Day Given a Health Care System's Constrained Resources. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;
23. De Brouwer E, Raimondi D, Moreau Y. Modeling the COVID-19 outbreaks and the effectiveness of the containment measures adopted across countries. *medRxiv.* 2020;
24. Armocida B, Formenti B, Ussai S, Palestra F, Missoni E. The Italian health system and the COVID-19 challenge. *The Lancet Public Health.* 2020.

25. Mandal S, Bhatnagar T, Arinaminpathy N, Agarwal A, Chowdhury A, Murhekar M, et al.
Prudent public health intervention strategies to control the coronavirus disease 2019
transmission in India: A mathematical model-based approach. Indian J Med Res. 2020;