Title Page

Full title:

Using HoloLens2™ to reduce staff exposure to aerosol generating procedures during a global pandemic

Authors:

John J Cafferkey	1	orcid.org/0000-0001-6926-9508
Dominic O P Hampson	1	orcid.org/0000-0002-6608-1507
Clare Ross	1	
Angad S Kooner	2	orcid.org/0000-0002-8010-2124
Guy FJ Martin	3	orcid.org/0000-0002-5759-962X [corr. author]
James M Kinross	3	orcid.org/0000-0002-0427-7643

Affiliations:

- 1: Division of Respiratory Medicine, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London UK
- 2: Cutrale Perioperative and Ageing Research Group, Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London
- 3: Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London UK

Corresponding Author:

Guy FJ Martin, guy.martin@nhs.net, telephone [withheld]

Contributions:

JC, DH, and AK devised and conducted the study. CR, GM and CR provided analysis advice, supervised the project and gave expert technical and medical support. All authors were involved in the write up and review of the manuscript.

Support:

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Microsoft provided in-kind support with supply of devices and technical support. All-Tag donated a two-way infrared people counter described below. Neither Microsoft nor All-Tag participated in the design, write up or review of the manuscript. The project was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London.

Running title:

HoloLens2™ to protect staff in high-risk areas during COVID-19

Brief description:

Mixed reality provides unique solutions to the unique challenges that COVID-19 presents to the health of staff caring for infected patients. We present a pilot study demonstrating the deployment of HoloLens2™ to reduce the number of medical staff exposed to high-risk clinical areas without compromising quality of care.

Subject code:

2.6 Information Technology/Informatics/Telemedicine

Word count:

986

MeSH terms:

Telemedicine; COVID-19; Augmented Reality; Personal Protective Equipment;

Text

COVID-19 is a highly transmissible viral pathogen spread through respiratory droplets or contact with contaminated surfaces(1). Contact with respiratory secretions is a known risk factor for infection in healthcare workers(2, 3). Non-invasive ventilation (NIV), continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and high flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) have been widely deployed in the treatment of COVID-19(4). These aerosol generating procedures (AGP) carry significant risk of airborne transmission via aerosolised virus to staff working in clinical areas where delivered.

Mixed reality (MR) technology merges real and virtual worlds, producing new environments and visualisations. HoloLens2™ is a MR head-mounted device developed and marketed by Microsoft Corporation (Redmond, WA, USA). Remote Assist 365 software permits bidirectional audio and visual communication for multiple users using the HoloLens2™ (Figure 1). Remote users see a first person view from the HoloLens2™ wearer, while the wearer experiences a holographic ‘heads up’ display, allowing them to see the their environment and relevant clinical data and imaging. The user interacts with these holographic objects using hand gestures or voice command, without the requirement for touch. HoloLens2™ has been deployed in medical education, surgery, and intensive care ward rounds (5, 6), but never before to protect staff from exposure to infectious disease in AGP areas.

In the CPAP unit of a UK teaching hospital we implemented HoloLens2™ as a pragmatic technology-led improvement project to facilitate remote care during the COVID-19 pandemic in a “Red zone” where NIV/CPAP/HFNO is delivered. Pre-

deployment practice was two or more staff donning personal protective equipment (PPE) for ward rounds and to review deteriorating patients. Following deployment of HoloLens2™, one doctor would conduct the ward round wearing the device and other team members would remain protected in the “clean” area whilst participating virtually in care delivery. Reviews of deteriorating patients by junior staff in the “Red zone” would also be conducted with HoloLens2™ to facilitate remote senior clinical input.

Evaluation of the intervention saw ward staff (doctors, nurses and allied health professionals) completing self-report questionnaires comparing pre- and post-HoloLens2™ deployment. Questions included time in “Red zone”, times donning /doffing and ten-point Likert scales assessing multiple domains of communication and care delivery. An infrared device counted people in and out of the “Red zone” (All-Tag, United Kingdom(7)/Sensor Development International, Netherlands(8)). Primary outcomes were: 1. Number of staff exposed to AGP environments before and after deployment of HoloLens2™, and 2. Self-reported safety and acceptability of HoloLens2™. A secondary outcome was reduction in PPE use. Local approval from Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust as a technology led quality improvement project was obtained.

Results

Over 15 days a total of 57 patients were cared for in the unit. The HoloLens2™ was used on 13 of the 15 days; a greater proportion than anticipated in large part reflecting the reluctance of the clinical team to revert to usual practice following deployment. The number of staff entering and leaving the “Red zone” was assessed for 36 hours of

usual practice and 240 hours of HoloLens2™ use. Average “crossings” per hour of the antechamber were 12.5 and 10.3 respectively, a reduction of 17.6%.

21 questionnaires were completed. Average self-reported time in the “Red zone” was less when using HoloLens2™ (2.69 hours vs. 3.96 hours, $p=0.3657$). All domains assessed with Likert responses showed non-inferiority for care delivery when using the device. Furthermore, the mean satisfaction score for all domains increased during days using HoloLens2™. We found decreased self-reported antechamber use and an increase in confidence in the communicating critical clinical information (Table 1).

For each healthcare professional joining the ward round remotely when using HoloLens2™ a full set of PPE is saved. Using self-reported donning/doffing counts, the use of HoloLens2™ led to a 48.7% reduction in the number of staff members donning/doffing each shift compared to usual practice (13.5 vs. 25.8). With this effect size, device purchase cost is covered in 212 days by PPE savings alone (9), and crucial PPE is saved at a time of international shortage.

Discussion

This novel use of MR technology can be implemented rapidly to materially reduce staff exposure to high-risk environments. Critically, this does not impact quality of communication or staff perception of physician support. Our pilot data signals MR technology may improve confidence in clinical practice in high-risk areas. This objective improvement in communication is consistent with our subjective experience:

first person, real-time audio and visual information allows senior medics to “get a feel” for patients they are consulting on despite physical distance.

An initial concern was device contamination, however, modifications to PPE protocols with infection prevention and control advice provided a satisfactory solution. We acknowledge that using HoloLens2™ in a novel disease with high PPE requirements may incur hidden risk, but on balance it is likely lower than exposing multiple additional staff to high-risk areas. Our results indicate a reduction in the use of PPE; clearly warranted during the global pandemic to protect resources. Furthermore, any PPE use is time consuming and risky; our intervention reduces its use.

A key weakness is the time-limited analysis of staff entering and leaving the “Red zone”, impeding our ability to objectively assess staff exposure to high-risk environments. However, our experience is that this reflects strong adoption of the HoloLens2™ by the clinical team. Whilst patients were universally accepting of the device, we acknowledge it does complicate critical non-verbal areas of communication like eye contact, and patient experience must be a measure of future implementation. Finally, lack of randomization and lack of control for patient numbers introduces further potential bias. During implementation, we needed to address concerns about data security, patient safety and cost. Our approach was necessarily pragmatic, rapidly delivering an intervention to protect staff during challenging times.

Our experience using the HoloLens2™ in high-risk clinical areas is promising, and reflects the ingenuity and flexibility needed during a pandemic. Widespread concern about a second wave of COVID-19 infection is compounded by the lack of an effective

treatment and the inherent risk to healthcare workers. Further assessment will include objective measures of patient safety, possible contamination of devices and effectiveness in protecting healthcare workers.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Microsoft for their technological support during this project.

Figure legends

Figure 1.

The “Red zone”. View of the HoloLens2™ user conducting the ward round. This is the view which is streamed to the junior team in the “clean area” during the ward round.

Footnotes

(no footnotes)

Tables

Table 1.

Summary questionnaire responses from staff working in the CPAP ward on shifts where usual practice was observed or HoloLens2™ was used. All statistical tests are Mann Whitney U tests unless otherwise specified. *Unpaired t-test used.

Domain	Usual Practice		HoloLens2™		P-values
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
Time spent in PPE (hrs)	3.96	3.00	2.69	1.25	0.3657*
Count time don/doff	2.15	2.00	1.13	1.00	0.1139
Antechamber use	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0082
Communication	7.62	8.00	8.50	8.00	0.8187
Accessing medical support	7.75	8.00	9.00	9.50	0.1494
Communicating about sick patients	7.08	7.00	9.14	9.00	0.0239
Relaying information	7.54	8.00	8.14	8.00	0.2874
End of life care	7.11	7.00	9.00	9.00	0.1793
n	8		13		

Figures

Figure 1.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): situation report, 73*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. at <<https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331686>>.
2. Chu C-M. Initial viral load and the outcomes of SARS. *Can Med Assoc J* 2004;171:1349–1352.
3. Liu W, Tang F, Fang L-Q, de Vlas SJ, Ma H-J, Zhou J-P, Looman CWN, Richardus JH, Cao W-C. Risk factors for SARS infection among hospital healthcare workers in Beijing: a case control study. *Trop Med Int Health* 2009;14:52–59.
4. Duca A, Memaj I, Zanardi F, Preti C, Alesi A, Della Bella L, Ghezzi E, Di Marco F, Lorini FL, Venturelli S, Fagioli S, Cosentini R. Severity of Respiratory Failure and Outcome of Patients Needing a Ventilatory Support in the Emergency Department During Italian Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak: Preliminary Data on the Role of Helmet CPAP and Non-Invasive Ventilation. *SSRN Electron J* 2020;doi:10.2139/ssrn.3566170.
5. Condino S, Turini G, Parchi PD, Vigliani RM, Piolanti N, Gesi M, Ferrari M, Ferrari V. How to Build a Patient-Specific Hybrid Simulator for Orthopaedic Open Surgery: Benefits and Limits of Mixed-Reality Using the Microsoft HoloLens. *J Healthc Eng* 2018;2018:.
6. Garingo A, Friedlich P, Chavez T, Tesoriero L, Patil S, Jackson P, Seri I. “Tele-rounding” with a remotely controlled mobile robot in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Telemed Telecare* 2016;22:132–138.

7. Nutt P. Display People Counter - Bi-Directional. *Source Tagging AM Label RF Label Secur Tag Sens Tag SuperTag Sensormatic Tag Checkp Label* at <https://all-tag.co.uk/portfolio-items/display-counter-bi-directional/>.
8. Bi-directional Display People Counter – Sensor Development International. at <https://www.sdinternational.nl/people-counter/bi-directional-display-people-counter/>.