

Lifestyle Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease in Relation to COVID-19 Hospitalization: A Community-Based Cohort Study of 387,109 Adults in UK

Mark Hamer,^a PhD, Mika Kivimäki,^b PhD, Catharine R. Gale,^{c,d} PhD, G. David Batty,^b DSc

^a Division of Surgery and Interventional Sciences, Faculty Medical Sciences, University College London, London, UK

^b Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, UK

^c MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, UK

^d Lothian Birth Cohorts, Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, UK

Abstract

Aims: It is important to identify characteristics of people who may be most at risk of COVID-19 to inform policy and intervention. Little is known about the impact of unhealthy lifestyles including smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and excessive alcohol intake. We conducted the first large-scale general population study on lifestyle risk factors for COVID-19.

Methods: Prospective cohort study with national registry linkage to hospitalisation for COVID-19. Participants were 387,109 men and women (56.4 ± 8.8 yr; 55.1% women) residing in England from UK Biobank study. Physical activity, smoking, and alcohol intake, were assessed by questionnaire at baseline (2006-2010). Body mass index, from measured height and weight, was used as an indicator of overall obesity. Outcome was cases of COVID-19 serious enough to warrant a hospital admission from 16-March-2020 to 26-April-2020.

Results: There were 760 COVID-19 cases. After adjustment for age, sex and mutually for each lifestyle factor, physical inactivity (Relative risk, 1.32, 95% confidence interval, 1.10, 1.58), smoking (1.42; 1.12, 1.79) and obesity (2.05 ; 1.68, 2.49) but not heavy alcohol consumption (1.12; 0.93, 1.35) were all related to COVID-19. We also found a dose-dependent increase in risk of COVID-19 with less favourable lifestyle scores, such that participants in the most adverse category had 4-fold higher risk (4.41; 2.52 – 7.71) compared to people with the most optimal lifestyle. This gradient was little affected after adjustment for a wide range of covariates. Based on UK risk factor prevalence estimates, unhealthy behaviours in combination accounted for up to 51% of the population attributable fraction of severe COVID-19.

Conclusions and Relevance: Our findings suggest that an unhealthy lifestyle synonymous with an elevated risk of non-communicable disease is also a risk factor for COVID-19 hospital admission, accounting for up to half of severe cases. Adopting simple lifestyle changes could lower the risk of severe infection.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Introduction

For non-communicable disease outcomes, lifestyle risk factors have been consistently associated with morbidity, mortality and loss of disease-free years of life.¹⁻⁴ There are also population cohort data on possible adverse effects of poor lifestyle on serious respiratory infections. For example, physical inactivity and smoking appear to be independently associated with higher risk of community-acquired pneumonia and pneumonia mortality.⁵⁻⁹ Evidence for alcohol intake and diet on risk of respiratory infection are less clear.^{8,9}

A better understanding of the links between lifestyle risk factors and COVID-19 has obvious implications for prevention of severe outcomes and also in identifying characteristics of those people most at risk. We are, however, unaware of any existing data on the relation of lifestyle risk factors with COVID-19. Accordingly, we examined the association of lifestyle risk factors with new cases of COVID-19-hospitalisations in a general population-based cohort study.

Methods

Study Population

We used data from UK Biobank, a prospective cohort study, the sampling and procedures of which have been well described.¹⁰ Baseline data collection took place between 2006 and 2010 across twenty-two research assessment centres in the UK giving rise to a sample of 502,655 people aged 40 to 69 years (response rate 5.5%).¹⁰ Ethical approval was received from the North-West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee, and the research was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association, and participants gave informed consent. No specific ethical approval was required for the present analyses of anonymised data.

Lifestyle Measures

Physical activity, smoking, and alcohol consumption were assessed by questionnaire at baseline. These characteristics have demonstrated face validity in the UK Biobank sample through their associations with mortality and cardiovascular disease.¹¹ Participants were categorised into never, previous, and current smokers. From information on the weekly intake of beer and cider (1 pint = 2 units), wines (1 standard glass = 2 units) and spirits (1 shot = 1 unit), we aggregated units of alcohol intake per week. Heavy alcohol intake was defined as ≥ 14 units in women and ≥ 21 units in men.³ Physical activity was assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) short form¹² that measures duration and frequency of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) from all domains in the last week. Meeting activity guidelines was defined as ≥ 150 min/week MVPA or ≥ 75 min/week vigorous PA.³ Body weight was measured using Tanita BC418MA scales and standing height using a Seca height measure. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated [weight (kilograms)/height² (meters²) squared] and categorised into standard groups: healthy weight < 25 ; overweight $25 - < 30$; obese ≥ 30 kg/m².

Covariates

During the clinic visit, data were collected via self-report for ethnicity (White, South Asian, Black, Chinese, other), educational attainment (college/degree; A-level; O-level; CSEs or equivalent; National vocational Qualifications/ Higher National Diploma or equivalent; other professional qualification; none), and self-reported physician diagnosed cardiovascular diseases (heart attack, angina, stroke) and diabetes. Hypertension was defined as elevated measured blood pressure ($\geq 140/90$ mmHg) and /or use of anti-hypertensive medication.

Ascertainment of Hospitalisation for COVID-19

Provided by Public Health England, data on COVID-19 status covered the period from 16th March 2020, after which testing was restricted to those with symptoms in hospital (<http://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/field.cgi?id=40100>). For the present analyses COVID-19 testing results up to 26th April 2020 were included. These data can be regarded as a proxy for hospitalisations for severe cases of the disease for England only; study members from Scotland and Wales were therefore omitted from our analytical sample. COVID-19 disease tests were performed on

samples from combined nose/throat swabs, using real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in accredited laboratories.¹³

Statistical Analyses

Analyses were performed using SPSS Version 26. We assigned points to different levels of each lifestyle behaviour: smoking history (0=never; 1=past; 2=current), physical activity (0=meeting guidelines; 1= active but below guideline; 2=inactive), alcohol (0= moderate intake within guidelines; 1= never or very occasional; 2= heavy intake exceeding guidelines), obesity (0=healthy weight; 1=overweight; 2 = obese). Thus, scores ranged from 0 (optimal) to 8 (worst). We fitted regression models to estimate relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence intervals for associations between lifestyle scores and COVID-19. Relative risks were first adjusted for age and sex, followed by education, ethnicity, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases. We calculated Population Attributable Fraction (PAF) using our mutually-adjusted effect estimates on lifestyle factors and COVID-19 and lifestyle factor prevalence from Health Survey for England^{14,15} (a representative, population-based survey of adults aged 16 and over living in private households in England) to evaluate the proportion of severe COVID-19 cases that could be avoided if high-risk people adopted a healthier lifestyle:

$$PAF \text{ in group } j = P_j (RR_j - 1) / [1 + \sum_{i=1}^K P_i (RR_i - 1)]$$

$$PAF = \sum_{j=1}^K PAF_j$$

where P_i = proportion of the population in group i ; RR_i = rate ratio in group i ; K = number of non-reference risk groups

Results

The analytical sample comprised 387,109 participants (56.2 ±8.0 years; 55.1% women) who were alive up to 5th March 2020, and had available data on lifestyle exposures and covariates. Participants were largely white British (94.5%). Of the lifestyle factors, 33.5% exceeded alcohol intake guidelines, 23.5% were obese, 9.7% smokers, 17.8% physically inactive, and 4.9% had a diabetes diagnosis, 56.1% hypertension, and 5.2% cardiovascular disease. Around 0.2% (N=760) of the sample were hospitalized

with a COVID-19 infection during the follow-up period, and their risk profile was characterized as being male, older age, smokers, physically inactive, less highly educated, non-white ethnicity, and higher prevalence of cardiometabolic comorbidity (Table 1).

There was a dose-dependent association between the risk of COVID-19 with worsening lifestyle scores, such that participants in the most unfavourable category had 4-fold higher risk (RR=4.41; 95% CI, 2.52, 7.71) (Table 2). These associations were little attenuated after adjustment for covariates. Risk ratios adjusted for age, sex and mutually for each lifestyle factor were raised for physical inactivity (1.32; 1.10, 1.58), smoking (1.42; 1.12, 1.79), obesity (2.05; 1.68, 2.49) but not for heavy alcohol consumption (1.12; 0.93, 1.35) in relation to COVID-19 compared to optimal reference categories.

Using the Health Survey for England prevalence estimates (17% for current smoking, 25% for ex-smoking, 27% for physical inactivity, 35% for overweight and 28% for obesity), the PAF for the three unhealthy lifestyle factors in combination was 51.4% (13.3% for smoking, 8.6% for physical inactivity, and 29.5% for overweight and obesity).

Discussion

The present study demonstrates associations between adverse lifestyle and higher risk of COVID-19 in a large community-dwelling cohort. The associations were not explained by taking into account covariates such as education, ethnicity and self-reported cardiometabolic diseases. Based on UK risk factor prevalence estimates, unhealthy behaviors in combination accounted for up to 51% of the population attributable fraction of severe COVID-19.

There are several caveats to our work. Some cases of COVID-19 could have been captured in patients originally hospitalized for reasons other than the infection. We did not capture COVID-19 infections

treated outside hospital settings; rather, our outcome was people with the infection of sufficient severity to warrant in-patient care. PAF reflects the prevalence of the risk factor in the population and the strength of its association with the outcome being considered; the core assumption is that the risk factor has a causal link to the outcome. As our results are based on observational data rather than an intervention, the present PAF-findings may overestimate of the proportion of COVID-19 hospitalisation that could be been prevented by lifestyle change.

In conclusion, these data suggest that adopting simple lifestyle changes could lower the risk of severe COVID-19 infection.

Acknowledgements

Funding: GDB is supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MR/P023444/1) and the US National Institute on Aging (1R56AG052519-01; 1R01AG052519-01A1); MK by the UK Medical Research Council (MR/R024227), US National Institute on Aging (NIH), US (R01AG056477), NordForsk (75021), and Academy of Finland (311492); There was no direct financial or material support for the work reported in the manuscript.

Acknowledgement: We thank the UK Biobank study member for their generosity in participating.

Access to data: This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application 10279. (<http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>)

Conflict of interest: None

Contributions: MH and GDB generated the idea for the present paper, and with MK formulated an analytical plan; CRG prepared the data set; MH carried out all the data analyses and wrote the manuscript; All authors commented on an earlier version of the manuscript. MH will act as guarantors for this work. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Role of the funding source: The funders of the studies had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or report preparation. CRG/MH had full access to UK Biobank data. MH takes responsibility for the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Colpani V, Baena CP, Jaspers L, van Dijk GM, Farajzadegan Z, Dhana K, Tielemans MJ, Voortman T, Freak-Poli R, Veloso GGV, Chowdhury R, Kavousi M, Muka T, Franco OH. Lifestyle factors, cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in middle-aged and elderly women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2018 Sep;33(9):831-845.
2. Schlesinger S, Neuenschwander M, Ballon A, Nöthlings U, Barbaresko J. Adherence to healthy lifestyles and incidence of diabetes and mortality among individuals with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2020 May;74(5):481-487.
3. Nyberg ST, Singh-Manoux A, Pentti J, Madsen IEH, Sabia S, Alfredsson L, Bjorner JB, Borritz M, Burr H, Goldberg M, Heikkilä K, Jokela M, Knutsson A, Lallukka T, Lindbohm JV, Nielsen ML, Nordin M, Oksanen T, Pejtersen JH, Rahkonen O, Rugulies R, Shipley MJ, Sipilä PN, Stenholm S, Suominen S, Vahtera J, Virtanen M, Westerlund H, Zins M, Hamer M, Batty GD, Kivimäki M. Association of Healthy Lifestyle With Years Lived Without Major Chronic Diseases. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020 Apr 6. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0618. [Epub ahead of print]
4. Li Y, Schoufour J, Wang DD, Dhana K, Pan A, Liu X, Song M, Liu G, Shin HJ, Sun Q, Al-Shaar L, Wang M, Rimm EB, Hertzmark E, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Franco OH, Hu FB. Healthy lifestyle and life expectancy free of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2020 Jan 8;368:l6669. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l6669.
5. Wang HE, Baddley J, Griffin RL, et al. Physical inactivity and long-term rates of community-acquired sepsis. *Prev Med* 2014; 65: 58-64.
6. Baik I, Curhan GC, Rimm EB, Bendich A, Willett WC, Fawzi WW. A prospective study of age and lifestyle factors in relation to community-acquired pneumonia in US men and women. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160(20): 3082-8.
7. Inoue Y, Koizumi A, Wada Y, et al. Risk and protective factors related to mortality from pneumonia among middle aged and elderly community residents: the JACC Study. *J Epidemiol* 2007; 17(6): 194-202.
8. Hamer M, O'Donovan G, Stamatakis E. Lifestyle risk factors, obesity and infectious disease mortality in the general population: Linkage study of 97,844 adults from England and Scotland. *Prev Med*. 2019;123:65-70.
9. Paulsen J, Askim A, Mohus RM, et al. Associations of obesity and lifestyle with the risk and mortality of bloodstream infection in a general population: a 15-year follow-up of 64 027 individuals in the HUNT Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017; 46(5): 1573-81.
10. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med* 2015; 12(3): e1001779.
11. Said MA, Verweij N, van der Harst P. Associations of Combined Genetic and Lifestyle Risks With Incident Cardiovascular Disease and Diabetes in the UK Biobank Study. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2018 Aug 1;3(8):693-702.
12. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjoström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund UL, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sport Exerc*. 2003 Aug 1;35(8):1381-95.
13. NHS England and NHS Improvement. COVID-19 virus testing in NHS laboratories. Accessed 5/3/20 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/guidance-and-sop-covid-19-virus-testing-in-nhs-laboratories-v1.pdf>
14. NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2018 Overweight and obesity in adults and children. Accessed 5/5/20 <https://files.digital.nhs.uk/52/FD7E18/HSE18-Adult-Child-Obesity-rep.pdf>

15. NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2018 Adult's health-related behaviours. Accessed 5/5/20 <https://files.digital.nhs.uk/B5/771AC5/HSE18-Adult-Health-Related-Behaviours-rep-v3.pdf>

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of sample in relation to COVID-19

	COVID-19 hospitalisation	
	No	Yes
Age (yrs)	56.4 ± 8.0	57.1 ± 9.0
Sex (% men)	44.8	55.3
Smokers	9.8	11.9
Physical inactivity	17.8	25.0
Moderate alcohol intake	36.2	28.6
Degree educated	32.8	26.7
White ethnicity	94.5	86.7
Diabetes	4.8	9.5
Hypertension	56.1	63.9
Cardiovascular disease	5.2	9.4
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.3 ± 4.7	29.0 ± 5.4
Waist-Hip ratio	0.87 ± 0.1	0.91 ± 0.1
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.7±1.1	5.4±1.2
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.5±0.4	1.3±0.3
Glycated haemoglobin (mmol/mol)	35.9±6.5	38.0±8.8
C-reactive protein (log units)	0.98±0.64	1.12±0.68

Results are expressed as percentage or mean ± SD.

Table 2. Combined and individual lifestyle behavioral risk factors in relation to COVID-19 hospitalisation (N=387,109)

Total lifestyle score	CASES/N	Relative Risk (95% CI)	
		Model 1	Model 2
0 (optimal)	13 / 19,776	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
1	55 / 52,053	1.58 (0.86, 2.59)	1.48 (0.81, 2.71)
2	142 / 77,861	2.73 (1.55, 4.81)	2.43 (1.38, 4.29)
3	163 / 87,998	2.76 (1.57, 4.85)	2.41 (1.37, 4.25)
4	160 / 75,123	3.12 (1.77, 5.49)	2.70 (1.53, 4.75)
≥5 (worst)	227/74,298	4.41 (2.52, 7.71)	3.73 (2.12, 6.54)
p-trend		<0.001	<0.001
Individual behaviours			
<i>Smoking</i>			
Never	354/214,828	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Past	313/134,855	1.34 (1.15, 1.56)	1.36 (1.15, 1.59)
Current	93/37,426	1.45 (1.16, 1.83)	1.36 (1.08, 1.71)
<i>Physical activity</i>			
Sufficient	382/209,489	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Insufficient	192/ 108,707	0.98 (0.83, 1.17)	0.99 (0.84, 1.18)
None	186/68,913	1.51 (1.27, 1.81)	1.38 (1.15, 1.64)
<i>Alcohol consumption</i>			
Below guideline	216/140,908	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Rarely/never	304/116,389	1.88 (1.55, 2.24)	1.57 (1.31, 1.88)
Above guideline	240/129,812	1.23 (1.00, 1.45)	1.24 (1.03, 1.50)
<i>Body mass index</i>			
Healthy weight	166/131,162	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Overweight	317/165,052	1.41 (1.16, 1.70)	1.32 (1.09, 1.60)
Obesity	277/90,895	2.28 (1.88, 2.77)	1.97 (1.61, 2.42)

Model 1 adjusted for age and sex

Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, education, ethnicity, diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease (heart attack, angina, or stroke)