COVID-19 peak estimation and effect of nationwide lockdown in India

Belfin R V^{1*}, Piotr Bródka², Radhakrishnan B L¹, Rejula V¹

¹ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, India.

² Department of Computational Intelligence, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Wroclaw, Poland.

* Corresponding author

EmailID : researchbelfin@gmail.com

Abstract:

There was a fury of the pandemic because of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) that happened in Wuhan, Hubei province, in China in December 2019. Since then, many model predictions on the COVID-19 pandemic in Wuhan and other parts of China have been reported. The first incident of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in India was reported on 30 January 2020, which was a student from Wuhan. The number of reported cases has started to increase day by day after 30 February 2020. The purpose of this investigation is to provide a prediction of the epidemic peak for COVID-19 in India by utilizing real-time data from 30 February to 14 April 2020. We apply the well-known epidemic compartmental model "SEIR" to predict the epidemic peak of COVID-19, India. Since we do not have the complete detail of the infective population, using the available infected population data, we identify the R_0 by using polynomial regression. By using the third-order polynomial equation, we estimate that the basic reproduction number for the epidemic in India is $R_0 = 3.3$ (95%CI, 3.1–3.5), and the epidemic peak could be reached by September 2020.

Keywords: COVID-19; SEIR compartmental model; basic reproduction number, computational network science

1. Introduction

During December 2019, a new virus named CORONA (1), later renamed COVID-19, devised an outbreak in Wuhan, a city in the Hubei region, China. Subsequently, COVID-19 started to spread to the other parts of China and many other countries around the globe. By the end of January 2020, there was a global COVID-19 positive case of 9,776 individuals, and the number of death casualties crossed 213. The WHO announced it as a worldwide public health crisis (2). COVID-19 worldwide death casualties rose to 811 by 9th February and surpassed the total death casualties of the SARS epidemic during 2003. Afterward, the number of COVID-19 positive cases started to grow at a rapid pace(3). As China and other COVID-19 affected nations reacted quickly to this pandemic, it is crucial to understand the severity, the total number of infected people, COVID confirmed cases, total death, basic reproduction number, and other significant determinants related to this deadly pandemic. Before-mentioned information may help the health care administrators in the respected regions and the city health care executives to make bold and informed choices (4).

As of 5th May, globally, COVID affected cases rose to 3,646,225, and the death toll rose to 252,941 individuals; meanwhile, on a positive note, recovered count increased to 1,206,276 people (5). Countries such as the USA, Spain, Italy, Germany, and the United Kingdom have the highest number of COVID-19 cases, respectively(6). COVID-19 has spread to 210 countries and territories around the globe, and two international conveyances named Diamond Princess cruise ship harbored in Yokohama, Japan, and Holland America's MS Zaandam cruise ship(7).

In India, as of 29th April 2020, according to the official website of India, COVID-19 affected 22629 people, recovered 7695 people, and a death toll of 1007 individuals, respectively (8). India reported its first case (9) on 30th January 2020 in a coastal state named Kerala in the southern part of India, which was a student who traveled from Wuhan, China. Subsequently, the COVID-19 positive cases started growing day by day because of many other travelers and tourists arrived through airways (10). The detail of the week by week increase in COVID-19 cases has been given in table 1.

Table 1 COVID-19 weekly reported and accumulated cases in India

Week	Number of Newly Reported Cases	Number of Accumulated Cases
30 January – 1 February	1	1
2 February – 8 February	2	3
9 February – 15 February	0	3
16 February – 22 February	0	3
23 February – 29 February	0	3
1 March – 7 March	31	34
8 March – 14 March	48	82
15 March – 21 March	332	414
22 March – 28 March	987	1,401

29 March – 4 April	3,588	4,989
5 April – 11 April	8,446	13,435
12 April – 18 April	16,365	29,880
19 April – 27 April	29,451	59,251

59
60 According to the number of cases in table 1, there is a significant spread of COVID-19 that
61 happened in India. The most significant concern of the Indian public is the duration of the
62 pandemic that is extending at the time of poorly shaped economy. (Mathew, 2019; Pesek, 2020).
63 The purpose of the study is to predict the pandemic peak in India and assists the public and the
64 government in taking predictive measures to keep the safeguard Indian people in this worst
65 pandemic situation.

66 The numbers presented in table 1 have uncertainty between reported cases and accumulated
67 cases. The reported cases have grown exponentially since the affected person may spread the
68 infection to its fellow beings (4,13,14). The accumulated COVID-19 cases are high because of
69 the unavailability of drugs to treat the disease and an insufficient number of test kits to detect it
70 (15). Recently India procured some rapid COVID-19 test kits from China, and most of the kits
71 showed inaccurate results (16). This study takes this uncertainty in the infected and the
72 accumulated population count and applies a mathematical model for prediction. More
73 specifically, the study assumes that diagnostics can identify only $p(0 < p \leq 1)$ fraction of infected
74 Indian citizens. The value p is the probability of an infected Indian from the whole population.
75 Also, For Analysis, we have assumed the first date of infection in India as of March 1, 2020,
76 because after January 30th and February 1st there were no COVID-19 infected cases reported in
77 India till February 30th.

78 2. Related Work

79 Wilder-Smith (3) modeled the COVID-19 outbreak of Diamond Princess ship using the SEIR
80 model. After calibrating the model with transient functions, the results show that population
81 density creates high risk. The contact rate and R_0 are dependent on the density of the population.
82 Containment measures reduced the initial R_0 14.8 to 1.78. Kuniya (17) predicts the COVID-19
83 peak of Japan using the SEIR model. Infection rate β was estimated by using the best fit
84 procedure least square with poisson noise. The results show that $R_0 = 2.6$ (95%CI, 2.4–2.8).
85 Interventions delay the epidemic peak delayed the peak from 179 days to 243; it reduces the size
86 of the epidemic. Ranjan (18) estimated the peak prediction of India using the SIR model. The
87 results show the $R_0 = 1.4-3.9$. Early lockdown in India aid in reducing the spread of COVID-19
88 to a considerable number. Prem (19) studied the effect of countermeasures in China using the
89 SEIR model. The authors made a contact matric for distinct intervention scenarios to study the
90 impact of social distancing. The results show that social distancing was a valid measure, and
91 early removal of social restrictions would create another peak. Wang (20) estimated the epidemic
92 trend in China using the SEIR model. The results show that R_0 was 1.9, 2.6, and 3.1 before

implementing any countermeasures. After applying the countermeasures in phases, simultaneously, R_0 also reduced in each phase ranging from 3.1 to 0.5.

Based on the review of different prediction models, we realized that the interventions are useful to delay the outburst while predicting the influencing variables should be incorporated to get a better result. However, prediction techniques assist the countries in formulating a better containment plan. The containment plan may differ based on the preparedness status of each country and the response plan (4).

3. Methods

In this section, we present the basic concepts of the SEIR compartmental epidemic model, the basic concepts related to the SEIR model, and definitions that we have used.

3.1. Model

This study applies the well-known SEIR epidemic model for prediction. The state diagram of the Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Removed model depicted in Fig 1. SEIR will be an appropriate model for predicting COVID-19 because it has a considerable post-infection incubation period in which the exposed person is not infectious.

Fig 1: State Diagram for the SEIR epidemic model

In Fig 1, the notations S, E, I, R denotes the compartments in the model named Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, and Removed, respectively. β is the effective transmission rate, λ is the “birth” rate of susceptible population, μ is the mortality rate, σ is the onset rate from latent to infected and γ is the removal rate (recovery or death). For this prediction, the birth rate λ and the mortality rate μ are insignificant; hence we define the SEIR model with our new birth and mortality in the eq (1).

119

$$\begin{cases} S'(t_s) = -\beta S(t_s)I(t_s) \\ E'(t_s) = \beta S(t_s)I(t_s) - \sigma E(t_s) \\ I'(t_s) = \sigma E(t_s) - \gamma I(t_s) \\ R'(t_s) = \gamma I(t_s) \\ t_s > 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

120 In equation (1), $S(t_s)$, $E(t_s)$, $I(t_s)$, $R(t_s)$ denotes the Susceptible, Exposed, Infectious and
 121 Recovered population in time slice t_s , respectively. Similarly, $1/\sigma$, $1/\gamma$ is the mean incubation
 122 period and mean transmittable period, respectively. This study assumes the per unit time as one
 123 day.

124

Table 2 Estimations of various parameters for epidemic models from previous research

Reference	Location	Basic Reproduction Number R_0	Incubation Period	Infectious period
(21)	Wuhan	3.0(1.5-4.5)	5.2	2.9
(22)	Wuhan	2.2 (1.4 - 3.9)	5.2 (4.1 - 7.0)	2.3 (0.0 - 14.9)
(21)	Greater Wuhan	2.68 (2.47 - 2.86)	6.1	2.3
(23)	Hubei	1.95 (1.4 - 2.5)		
(24)	Hubei	2.25 (2.0 - 2.5)	5.5 (5.0 - 6.0)	
(25)	Guangdong	4.5 (4.4 - 4.6)	4.8 (2.2 - 7.4)	2.9(0 – 5.9)
(26)	Princess Diamond	14.8	5.0	10.0
(27)	Wuhan		6.5 (5.6 - 7.9)	
(28)	Wuhan	3.11 (2.39 - 4.13)		
(29)	Shenzhen		4.8 (4.2 - 5.4)	1.5(0-3.4)
(30)	China	6.47 (5.71 - 7.23)		
(17)	Japan	2.6(2.4 – 2.8)	5	
(18)	India	2.65(1.4-3.9)	5-6	

125

126 By using the numbers from the previous studies given in Table 2, the mean incubation $1/\sigma$ has
 127 been fixed as $1/\sigma = 5$; thus, $\sigma = 0.2$ and recovery rate $\gamma = 0.1$, respectively. Also, $S + E + I + R$
 128 has been fixed to be one so that ultimately each population will be equated with the proportion to
 129 the total population.

130 Considering the population in India, the study assumes that one infective individual identified at
 131 time slice $t=0$ among total $N=1,350,000,000$ number of people in India (31). This implies $\gamma(0)$
 132 $= pI(0) N = 1$, Where

133
134 $\gamma(t_s) = pI(t)N$,
135 denoted the number of infectious individuals identified at time slice t_s . Thus, we obtain
136 $I(0) = 1/(pN)$. The study assumes that there are no exposed and removed people during the time
137 slice $t_s=0$, which implies $E(0) = R(0) = 0$, and hence,

138
139
$$S(0) = E(0) - I(0) - R(0) = 1 - \frac{1}{pN}.$$

140 Based on the previous works (17), we assume the p -value ranges from 0.01 to 0.1. The basic
141 reproduction number R_0 denotes the secondary spread made by one infected individual(32), is
142 calculated as the maximum eigenvalue of the next generation matrix FV^{-1} (33,34), where

143
$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta S(0) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ -\sigma & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

144 Therefore we obtain,

145
$$R_0 = \frac{\beta S(0)}{\gamma} = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{pN}\right) \quad (2)$$

146 3.2. The sensitivity of the Basic Reproduction Number

147 The basic reproduction number R_0 is independent of the onset rate σ . The sensitivity of R_0 to
148 other parameters β, γ, p are calculated as:

149
$$A_\beta = \frac{\beta}{R_0} \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \beta} = 1, A_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{R_0} \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \gamma} = -1, A_p = \frac{p}{R_0} \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{pN - 1} \quad (3)$$

150 Where, A_β, A_γ, A_p denotes the normalized sensitive indexes for β, γ, p , respectively. As we note
151 from the equation (3) that the k time's increase in β (respectively γ) results in the k (respectively
152 k^{-1}) time's increase in R_0 . Most important, we note from equation (3) that $A_p \approx 0$ if $p \geq 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$.
153 This implies that the identification rate p in a realistic range almost does not affect the size of R_0 .

154 3.3. Estimation of the infection rate.

155 Let $y(t_s)$, $t_s = 0, 1, \dots, 45$ be the number of daily reported cases of COVID-19 cases in India from
156 1st March ($t_s = 0$) to 14th April 2020 ($t_s = 45$). We perform a polynomial regression to predict the
157 infection rate β of COVID-19 in India.

158 Description 1.

Polynomial Regression is a regression algorithm that generations the relationship within a dependent (y) and independent variable (x) as n^{th} degree polynomial. The Polynomial Regression equation is given below:

As given in the equation, in Polynomial regression, the standard features of the variables are transformed into the Polynomial features of necessary degree (2, 3, ..., n) and then modeled by a linear model." As we see in Fig 2, the data points are not linear, so we need to use a non-linear method to predict this rate of infection β .

Thus we obtain β estimated as 0.33 (95% CI, 0.31-0.35). The polynomial regression fit has been given in Fig 2. Then, we obtain the value or R_0 from the equation (2). We get an estimation of R_0 as 3.3 (95%CI, 3.1-3.5). The values identified and used for the COVID India Peak prediction has been given in Fig 2.

Fig 2: The comparison of $Y(t_s)$ with the estimated infection rate β and the number of daily reported cases of COVID-19 in India from 1st March ($t_s = 0$) to 14th April ($t_s = 45$)

Table 3: Parameter values for predicting COVID-19 India

Parameter	Description	Value	Reference
β	Infection Rate	0.33(95%CI, 0.31-0.35)	Estimated
R_0	Basic Reproduction Number	3.3(95%CI, 3.1-3.5)	Estimated
σ	Onset Rate	0.2	(21)

γ	Removal Rate	0.1	(35)
N	Total population in India	1.35×10^9	(31)
p	Identification rate	0.01 -0.1	(17)

4. Results

(a) $\beta = 0.35$ ($t_s^* = 174$)

(b) $\beta = 0.33$ ($t_s^* = 186$)

(c) $\beta = 0.31$ ($t_s^* = 199$)

Fig 2. Time variation of the number $Y(t)$ of the infective individuals who are identified at the time slice t_s ($0 < t_s < 365$) for $p=0.1$. The dotted lines represent the epidemic peak t_s^* .

178 This work defines the pandemic peak t_s^* by the time Y attains its maximum in 1 year, that is
 179 $Y(t_s^*) = \max_{0 \leq t_s \leq 365} Y(t_s)$. Firstly, we set the identification probability $p = 0.1$. In this case, we
 180 estimate the following predictions on the long time behavior of $Y(t)$ for $\beta = 0.35, 0.33$, and 0.31 .

181 We see from the estimated epidemic peak is $t_s^* = 186(95\% \text{CI}, 174-199)$. That is, starting from
 182 1st March 2020 ($t_s = 0$), the estimated epidemic peak is 3rd October 2020 ($t_s = 186$), and the
 183 uncertainty range is from 22nd August 2020 ($t_s = 174$) to 16th September 2020 ($t_s = 199$).

184 4.1. The possible effect of interventions

185 Interventions such as lockdown, quarantine, face masks, gloves reduce the number of cases
 186 reported more significantly. These measures can reduce the infection rate and will possibly delay
 187 the epidemic peak for approximately one month.

(a) $\beta = 0.35$ ($t_s^* = 195$)

(b) $\beta = 0.33$ ($t_s^* = 242$)

(c) $\beta = 0.31$ ($t_s^* = 288$)

Fig 3 Time variation of the number $Y(t_s)$ of the infective individuals who are identified at the time slice t_s ($0 < t_s < 365$) for after 40 days of lockdown. The dotted lines represent the epidemic peak t_s^* .

We have estimated the COVID-19 spread for a 40 days lockdown in India from (24th March to 3rd May) (36). We obtain the result given in Fig 3 as a result, when using the SEIR model for the identified parameters in Table 2. The estimated epidemic peak has been in the time slice $t_s^* = 242$ (95% CI, 195-288). The calculation has been made from the 1st March ($t_s = 0$), the estimated peak is 29th October 2020 ($t_s^* = 242$), and the uncertainty peak day ranges from 12th September ($t_s^* = 195$) to 14th December ($t_s^* = 288$).

After analyzing the SEIR model prediction, India's planned lockdown period 40 days has been introduced to the model. The rate of spread has been reduced for those 40 days. Fig 4 clearly shows that the intervention has a positive effect on the delay of the epidemic peak. This delay in the epidemic peak can help in the improvement in the medical environment and research to treat the patients well. Fig 3 and Fig 4 show that there is a small change for a minimal period $t_s \leq 130$. Therefore the interventions like government restrictions for vehicle movement and lockdown should be extended to reduce the final epidemic size.

Fig 5: Comparison of the number of predicted infected cases without and with lockdown

The comparison of the predicted infected patients with and without lockdown has been depicted in Fig 5. It shows clearly that the lockdown has created a positive effect in reducing the risk of COVID-19 infection. Flattening the curve will reduce the overload in the health care system of India. India's healthcare facilities are not sufficient (37) to handle any massive outbreak when considering the population size and very small doctors per 1000 citizens ratio; hence the country needs to depend on alternative strategies. Flattening the infection curve should also help to reduce the death rate in the country. From the history of Spanish flu during 1918 it is clearly evident that lifting the lockdown early may create the next peaks which may cause even more deaths than the first wave (38).

5. Discussion

As of May 5, 2020, the total number of COVID-19 infected and the death toll rose to 32,138 and 1,568, respectively. An increase in active cases is an alarming situation because, as discussed earlier, India is one of the largest population powerhouses in the whole world. Also, India's healthcare infrastructure is weak when we compare it with the WHO guidelines and one of the the worst doctor-people ratio (i.e., 1:11,082) in the world (The Financial Express, 2018). India will enter into STAGE III (40) of spreading if we do not correctly predict and implement the right methodologies to stop the spreading. In the present scenario, there is no proper vaccine or drugs for this deadly pandemic. Mathematical modeling like this research can help us predict the intensity of this pandemic and can effectively fix it with necessary measures. During this study,

the major challenge was with the data. The data was not linear, and it showed an exponential growth concerning the infected cases in India. Over fitting has been another significant problem with a number of infected time series data.

In this study, we applied the SEIR compartmental model to the COVID-19 daily reported cases in India from March 1st, 2020, to April 14th, 2020. We have estimated the transmission rate β as 0.33(95%CI, 0.31-0.35) and the R_0 the basic reproduction number as 3.3(95%CI, 3.1-3.5) and predicted the epidemic peak would be reached possibly in the September. Our prediction shows that India would have reached 2,400,000 infected people by the end of May 2020 if the lockdown was not pronounced. Since the government announced lockdown, India should expect the epidemic peak by September 2020 with lower number of cases which will be approximately around 450,000.

Our research also makes it clear that the epidemic COVID-19 in India is not going to end quickly. The estimated values of the R_0 in this work is not different from the other papers (table 2). Finally, we provide a suggestion for the Indian Govt. and Policymakers to take the following steps:

- the intervention, like lockdown and other measures by the government, has a positive effect on delaying the epidemic peak, which will improve the medical environment and give many research results before the peak;
- intervention over a relatively long period is required to reduce the size of COVID-19 pandemic effectively;
- India needs to increase the number of tests to find the percentage of infected cases in different states and regions.

For this research, we have assumed the same transmission probability, removal rate, and the onset value throughout this work. These rates may increase or decrease when days passed by according to the intensity of the pandemic. The real-time change in daily data may change the predictions accordingly. According to some Indian researches, many other factors, such as "immune power in gene because of environment, and food habits," can help India to flatten the infection curve (41,42).

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by Polish National Science Centre, decisions no. 2016/21/D/ST6/02408 and Wrocław University of Science and Technology statutory funds.

References

- 259 1. WHO. Coronavirus disease 2019 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from:
260 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>
- 261 2. WHO. Advice for public [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from:
262 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public>
- 263 3. Wilder-Smith A, Chiew CJ, Lee VJ. Can we contain the COVID-19 outbreak with the
264 same measures as for SARS? Lancet Infect Dis [Internet]. 2020;3099(20). Available from:
265 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32145768>
- 266 4. Kapoor A. “STOP Covid-19”: An Actionable Plan to Stop the Pandemic. SSRN. 2020;
- 267 5. Worldometers.info. Coronavirus Update (Live): 134,918 Cases and 4,989 Deaths from
268 COVID-19 Virus Outbreak - Worldometer [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 2]. Available
269 from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>
- 270 6. theguardian.com. Coronavirus world map: which countries have the most cases and
271 deaths? | World news | The Guardian [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 25]. Available from:
272 [https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/24/coronavirus-world-map-countries-most-](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/24/coronavirus-world-map-countries-most-cases-and-deaths)
273 [cases-and-deaths](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/24/coronavirus-world-map-countries-most-cases-and-deaths)
- 274 7. Worldometer. Coronavirus Cases [Internet]. Worldometer. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 2]. p. 1–
275 22. Available from: [https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-cases/#daily-](https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-cases/#daily-cases)
276 [cases](https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-cases/#daily-cases)
- 277 8. MyGov.in. #IndiaFightsCorona COVID-19 | MyGov.in [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr
278 24]. Available from: <https://www.mygov.in/covid-19>
- 279 9. Unnithan PSG. Kerala reports first confirmed coronavirus case in India. India Today
280 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 29]; Available from:
281 [https://www.indiatoday.in/india/story/kerala-reports-first-confirmed-novel-coronavirus-](https://www.indiatoday.in/india/story/kerala-reports-first-confirmed-novel-coronavirus-case-in-india-1641593-2020-01-30)
282 [case-in-india-1641593-2020-01-30](https://www.indiatoday.in/india/story/kerala-reports-first-confirmed-novel-coronavirus-case-in-india-1641593-2020-01-30)
- 283 10. TheEconomicTimes. coronavirus: 15 lakh air travellers entered India in 2 months: Cabinet
284 Secretary - The Economic Times [Internet]. The Economic Times. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr
285 29]. Available from: [https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/15-](https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/15-lakh-air-travellers-entered-india-in-2-months-cabinet-secretary/articleshow/74849839.cms?from=mdr)
286 [lakh-air-travellers-entered-india-in-2-months-cabinet-](https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/15-lakh-air-travellers-entered-india-in-2-months-cabinet-secretary/articleshow/74849839.cms?from=mdr)
287 [secretary/articleshow/74849839.cms?from=mdr](https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/15-lakh-air-travellers-entered-india-in-2-months-cabinet-secretary/articleshow/74849839.cms?from=mdr)
- 288 11. Pesek W. India Has Worst Economy In 42 Years. Is Prime Minister Modi Watching?
289 Forbes.com [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]; Available from:
290 [https://www.forbes.com/sites/williampesek/2020/01/10/india-has-worst-economy-in-42-](https://www.forbes.com/sites/williampesek/2020/01/10/india-has-worst-economy-in-42-years-is-prime-minister-modi-watching/#490e5aff2a11)
291 [years-is-prime-minister-modi-watching/#490e5aff2a11](https://www.forbes.com/sites/williampesek/2020/01/10/india-has-worst-economy-in-42-years-is-prime-minister-modi-watching/#490e5aff2a11)
- 292 12. Mathew AB& P. India’s GDP growth falls below 5% — Quartz India. Quartz India
293 [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Apr 24]; Available from: [https://qz.com/india/1758454/indias-](https://qz.com/india/1758454/indias-gdp-falls-below-5-percent/)
294 [gdp-falls-below-5-percent/](https://qz.com/india/1758454/indias-gdp-falls-below-5-percent/)
- 295 13. Wu D, Wu T, Liu Q, Yang Z. The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak: what we know. Int J Infect Dis.
296 2020;

14. Zheng Z, Huyan Y, Li H, Sun S, Xu Y. A lysosome-targetable near infrared fluorescent probe for glutathione sensing and live-cell imaging. *Sensors Actuators, B Chem* [Internet]. 2019;301:127065. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2019.127065>
15. Times Of India. Why Covid-19 testing has been slow to take off - Times of India [Internet]. Times of India. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from: <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/why-covid-19-testing-has-been-slow-to-take-off/articleshow/74859149.cms>
16. TheEconomicTimes. covid rapid testing kit: ICMR directs states not to use COVID-19 rapid test kits for 2 days - The Economic Times [Internet]. The Economic Times. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from: <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/healthcare/biotech/healthcare/icmr-directs-states-not-to-use-covid-19-rapid-test-kits-for-2-days/articleshow/75271695.cms?from=mdr>
17. Kuniya T. Prediction of the Epidemic Peak of Coronavirus Disease in Japan, 2020. *J Clin Med* [Internet]. 2020;9(3):1–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32183172>
18. Ranjan R. PREDICTIONS FOR COVID-19 OUTBREAK IN INDIA USING EPIDEMIOLOGICAL MODELS. 2020;
19. Prem K, Liu Y, Russell T, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Davies N, et al. The effect of control strategies that reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China. *medRxiv*. 2020;2667(20):2020.03.09.20033050.
20. Wang H, Wang Z, Dong Y, Chang R, Xu C, Yu X, et al. Phase-adjusted estimation of the number of Coronavirus Disease 2019 cases in Wuhan, China. *Cell Discov* [Internet]. 2020;6(1):4–11. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41421-020-0148-0>
21. Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, Liu Y, Edmunds J, Funk S, et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;
22. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet*. 2020;
23. WHO. Situation Report-7 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications-detail/global-surveillance-for->
24. WHO. WHO-CHINA Joint Mission on CORONA Virus 2019 [Internet]. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf>
25. Liu T, Hu J, Kang M, Lin L, Zhong H, Xiao J, et al. Time-varying transmission dynamics of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia in China. *bioRxiv* [Internet]. 2020;2020.01.25.919787.

Available from: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.01.25.919787v1>

26. Rocklöv J, Sjödin H, Wilder-Smith A. COVID-19 outbreak on the Diamond Princess cruise ship: estimating the epidemic potential and effectiveness of public health countermeasures. *J Travel Med* [Internet]. 2020;3700. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32109273>
27. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019- nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20 28 January 2020. *Eurosurveillance*. 2020.
28. Jonathan M Read, Jessica RE Bridgen, Derek AT Cummings, Antonia Ho, Chris P Jewell. Novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV: early estimation of epidemiological parameters and epidemic predictions. *MedRxiv* [Internet]. 2020;2020(January). Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.01.23.20018549v2.full.pdf+html>
29. Qifang B, Yongsheng W, Shujiang M, Chenfei Y, Xuan Z, Zhen Z, et al. Epidemiology and Transmission of COVID-19 in Shenzhen China: Analysis of 391 cases and 1,286 of their close contacts. *medRxiv*. 2020;
30. Tang B, Wang X, Li Q, Bragazzi NL, Tang S, Xiao Y, et al. Estimation of the Transmission Risk of the 2019-nCoV and Its Implication for Public Health Interventions. *J Clin Med*. 2020;
31. Worldometer. India Population (2020) [Internet]. Worldometer. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from: <https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/india-population/>
32. Mizumoto K, Chowell G. Transmission potential of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) onboard the diamond Princess Cruises Ship, 2020. *Infect Dis Model*. 2020;
33. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP, Roberts MG. The construction of next-generation matrices for compartmental epidemic models. *J R Soc Interface*. 2010;
34. Shi H, Han X, Jiang N, Cao Y, Alwalid O, Gu J, et al. Radiological findings from 81 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2020;3099(20):1–10. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32105637>
35. Roda WC, Varughese MB, Han D, Li MY. Why is it difficult to accurately predict the COVID-19 epidemic? *Infect Dis Model* [Internet]. 2020;5:271–81. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468042720300075>
36. Alan Taylor. India Under Coronavirus Lockdown - The Atlantic [Internet]. The Atlantic. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 24]. Available from: <https://www.theatlantic.com/photo/2020/04/photos-india-under-coronavirus-lockdown/610444/>
37. Firstpost. Is India's health care system capable enough to deal with the novel coronavirus outbreak? - Firstpost [Internet]. Firstpost. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: <https://www.firstpost.com/health/indias-health-care-system-capable-enough-deal-novel->

coronavirus-outbreak-8138811.html

38. DailyMailOnline. San Francisco in the 1918 flu pandemic is a warning against lifting lockdown too soon | Daily Mail Online [Internet]. Daily Mail Online. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8261013/San-Francisco-1918-flu-pandemic-warning-against-lifting-lockdown-soon.html>
39. The Financial Express. Doctor-population ratio: In India, one allopathic doctor for 11,082 people, official data shows; Bihar, UP worst hit - The Financial Express [Internet]. The Financial Express. 2018 [cited 2019 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://www.financialexpress.com/india-news/doctor-population-ratio-in-india-one-allopathic-doctor-for-11082-people-official-data-shows-bihar-up-worst-hit/1213243/>
40. Sardar T, Nadim SS, Chattopadhyay J. Assessment of 21 Days Lockdown Effect in Some States and Overall India: A Predictive Mathematical Study on COVID-19 Outbreak. 2020;1–36. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.03487>
41. Downtoearth.org. COVID-19: Do Indians have higher immunity to novel coronavirus [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 27]. Available from: <https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/health/covid-19-do-indians-have-higher-immunity-to-novel-coronavirus-70322>
42. Raja R. More immunity genes in Indians. Nat India [Internet]. 2008 Aug 1 [cited 2020 Apr 27]; Available from: <http://www.natureasia.com/en/nindia/article/10.1038/nindia.2008.254>