1 Is physical rehabilitation superior to no physical 2 rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty?

3 A systematic review and meta-analysis

4 Mark-Christensen, T.¹, Juhl, C.^{2,3}, Thorborg, K.^{4,5}, & Bandholm, T.⁴

5

6 ¹ Centre of Health, Department of Rehabilitation, Bornholms Regionskommune, Curdtslund 2, 3700
7 Rønne, Denmark

8 ² Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, University Hospital of Copenhagen,
9 Herlev and Gentofte, Denmark

10 ³ Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense,
11 Denmark

12 ⁴ Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C); Department of Physical and
13 Occupational Therapy; Clinical Research Centre; Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hvidovre
14 Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Hvidovre Denmark

15 ⁵ Sports Orthopaedic Research Centre – Copenhagen (SORC-C), Arthroscopic Centre Amager,
16 Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Amager-
17 Hvidovre, Denmark

18 Corresponding author: troels.mark.christensen@brk.dk

19

20 Abstract

21 **Introduction:** Physical rehabilitation is widely used following total knee
22 arthroplasty (TKA), while no consensus has been reached regarding the optimal
23 dosage, frequency and modality. Before a standardized protocol can be
24 established, it is important to investigate the true efficacy for physical
25 rehabilitation following TKA.

26 **Objective:** To examine if physical rehabilitation is superior to no physical
27 rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty in terms of patient-reported
28 outcomes for function and pain.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Methods: The search strategy was conducted in five databases (MEDLINE, Embase, Cinahl, CENTRAL and SPORTDiscus) with eligibility criteria for inclusion being: Randomised controlled trials comparing patients receiving physical rehabilitation with a group receiving *no* physical rehabilitation following unilateral TKA. Potential sources of bias were assessed according to the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool. The Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT) was used to extract and report exercise interventions from the included trials.

Results: Only two of 3254 identified trials fulfilled the eligibility criteria and were included. The two trials were judged as being of moderate to good methodological quality, but lacking in the reporting of their exercise interventions. Difference in outcome measurements and interventions makes between-study comparison inconclusive.

Conclusions: No conclusion regarding the superiority of physical rehabilitation to no-intervention following TKA can be drawn from the results of this systematic review. Further research is required to establish the true effectiveness of physical rehabilitation following TKA.

Systematic review registration number: PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018094785.

Introduction

Physical rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is widely used after discharge from hospital, as it seems to speed up the functional recovery following surgery¹. However, physical rehabilitation components after discharge still vary significantly in content, duration and intensity, where current TKA-rehabilitation practices are ranging from a single session of professional advice while still in hospital to several weeks of intensive in- or outpatient physical rehabilitation to facilitate a full recovery²⁻⁴. A survey undertaken in 2012 in England and Wales found 16 of the 23 included orthopaedic centres referred patients to physical rehabilitation as a standard after TKA, while six centres did not offer routine physical rehabilitation after discharge⁵. In Denmark, the referral percentage to post-discharge physical rehabilitation following TKA varies from 15% to 100%, depending on geographic region⁶ and patients with no formal post-discharge physical rehabilitation receive only inpatient rehabilitation with instructions of post-discharge home-exercises. Several systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials have concluded that no single setting (clinic- or home-based, water- or land based, supervised or unsupervised) appears to be superior to other interventions across a range of outcome measures following TKA^{1,7,8}. As no single intervention has proven superiority to other interventions (e.g. all interventions seem equally effective), it has become necessary to investigate the true efficacy of physical rehabilitation following TKA. This can be done by comparing physical rehabilitation superiority to no-intervention.

Artz et al. (2015) analysed seven trials comparing physical rehabilitation to “no or minimal intervention” in terms of physical function (five trials), pain (four trials) and range of motion (five trials) ¹. However, it is important to distinguish between minimal and no-intervention, as minimal intervention suggests that *some* effort has been made to rehabilitate patients following TKA (for example by providing the patient with an exercise booklet). No-intervention does, however, not include any intervention designed to restore function or reduce pain in the knee. Only by comparing *some* physical rehabilitation to *no* physical rehabilitation, the true efficacy of physical rehabilitation following TKA can be determined. *Some* physical rehabilitation is defined as: physical activity and exercise designed and prescribed for restoring normal function or reducing pain caused by disease or injury. *No* physical rehabilitation means none of the above, but could include encouragement to stay active and continue life as usual.

Based on the above, the research question of this systematic review and meta-analysis is: Is physical rehabilitation superior to no physical rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty in terms of the patient-reported outcomes for function and pain? The research question that fostered the objective was developed using the PICOT approach ⁹ and FINER criteria ¹⁰ to ensure scientific and clinically relevance. Specifically, input regarding relevance of the research question was collected from the following stakeholder; patients, orthopaedic surgeons, physiotherapists and policy-makers. In summary, these stakeholders commented that they were very interested in finding out whether or not postoperative physical rehabilitation is superior to no physical rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty.

103

104 **Objective**

105 The primary objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to
106 examine if physical rehabilitation is superior to no physical rehabilitation
107 following total knee arthroplasty in terms of patient-reported outcomes for
108 function and pain.

109

110 **Methods**

111 The systematic review and meta-analysis was performed following the
112 guidelines from the Cochrane Library ¹¹, and reported according to the Preferred
113 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA)
114 recommendations ¹². This study protocol was registered in the PROSPERO
115 database (international prospective register of systematic reviews) before
116 commencement, based on the protocol manuscript following the PRISMA-P
117 guidelines ¹³. Trial registration: CRD42018094785 (URL:
118 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42018094785
119 5)

120

121 **Eligibility criteria**

122 Inclusion criteria:

- 123 1) Randomised controlled trials comparing patients receiving physical
124 rehabilitation with a group receiving no physical rehabilitation following
125 a unilateral total knee arthroplasty.
- 126 2) Interventions commencing at a pre-specified time after discharge (no
127 longer than three months after index surgery to reflect clinical practice).

- 3) Included trials had included at least one of the following outcomes:
continuous measure of pain, patient-reported measure of physical
function, performance-based measure of function, or a patient global
assessment measurement, as recommended by Osteoarthritis Research
Society International (OMERACT-OARSI) ^{14,15}.
- 4) The primary intervention had to be physical rehabilitation. Other
interventions (such as electrical stimulation, acupuncture, continuous
passive motion) were only allowed as adjunct to physical rehabilitation
(meaning less than 20% of the rehabilitation).

Trials that did not fulfil the above-mentioned criteria were excluded.

Search strategy

PROSPERO was screened for any on-going systematic reviews of relevance for this review. The search strategy was conducted in five databases (MEDLINE, Embase, Cinahl, CENTRAL and SPORTDiscus). Each database was searched using a search strategy with keywords and text words (see appendix for the detailed search strategy), combining the search focus 1) total knee arthroplasty or, - replacement, and 2) physical exercise, and rehabilitation.

Within a search focus the search words were combined with OR and the results between the search focus were combined with AND. Finally, the search was combined with Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for identifying randomized trials. Previous published systematic reviews published within the last 5 years were manually checked for relevant studies, not identified in the main search strategy.

Study selection

The result from the main search strategy was downloaded to reference-managing software, where duplicates were removed. Two authors (TMC and TB) independently selected eligible studies going through title and abstract. Studies judged eligible by at least one review author were evaluated by the same two review authors (TMC and TB) in full text based on the inclusion criteria (first study selection step).

Trial data (authors, year of publication, study design, number of participants, outcome measures, trial duration and trial registration), patient-related data (gender, age, BMI), and outcome data (baseline and follow up measures of patient-reported function and pain, performance-based measures of function and global patient outcome assessment) was extracted by two review authors (TMC and TB) independently from the included trials. The Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT) was used to extract and report exercise interventions from the included trials¹⁶. Consensus was reached by discussion. If relevant data were missing or unclear within an included trial, the corresponding author of the trial was contacted for further clarification.

Outcome

The primary outcome was change in patient-reported outcomes for function and pain from baseline to first outcome assessment after the intervention (≤ 6 months, primary analysis). Patient-reported function can be measured through e.g. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), Knee Society Clinical Rating System (KSS), Oxford Knee Score (OKS) or by a global assessment of

function. Patient global assessment of function can be measured by a standard question by a single Likert or visual analogue scale (VAS) metric, asking a standard question, for example “How would you rate your current level of function during your usual activities of daily living?”¹⁴. Pain can be measured by VAS, numeric analogue scale (NRS), Likert scale of severity, or through subscales in patient-questionnaires as KOOS, WOMAC and KSS¹⁷. Secondary outcome measurements were changes in performance-based measure of function from baseline to outcome assessments. Performance-based measure of function include objective assessments as recommended by OMERACT-OARSI^{14,15}, e.g. 30-seconds Sit to Stand, 4 x 10 m fast-paced walk test.

Risk of bias

Potential sources of bias was assessed according to the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool version 2 (RoB 2)¹⁸ and presented as a “Risk of bias summary” including five domains; (1) bias arising from the randomization process, (2) bias due to deviations from intended interventions, (3) bias due to missing outcome data, (4) bias in measurement of the outcome, and (5) bias in selection of the reported result. Each of the mentioned domains was evaluated as to whether they were considered adequate (low risk of bias), some concern (some concern of risk of bias) or inadequate (high risk of bias)¹⁸.

Two review authors (TMC and TB) independently evaluated the risk of bias domains and disagreement between the reviewers was solved either by consensus or involving a third reviewer (KT).

Data synthesis

Only a small number of studies could be included in this study. An overview of the results was therefore firstly presented in a narrative way for each of the individual studies and secondly, as an overview of the results within each of the relevant outcome domains.

Results

The review progress is summarised as a flow diagram (figure 1). Out of 3254 identified studies, two randomised controlled trials were found eligible for inclusion.

Figure 1. Flow diagram of review progress and study selection.

Summery of included trials

The trial by Evgeniadis et al. (2008) ¹⁹ investigated the effect of a rehabilitation program before and after TKA using a 3-arm randomized controlled trial design, dividing the patients into a only preoperative exercise group (3 week program), a only postoperative exercise group (8 week program) or a control group, who had no treatment.

Monticone et al. (2013) ²⁰ investigated the effectiveness of a 6 month home-based functional and cognitive-behavioural exercise program compared to a

225 control group, who were given general advise to stay active after discharge from
 226 a rehabilitation unit after TKA.

227

228 Trial characteristics for both trials are presented in table 1.

229

230 Table 1. Trial characteristics of included trials

Item	Design	N	Outcomes	Intervention(s)	Control	Duration	Follow-up	Trial identifier
Evgeniadis et al. (2008)	RCT	53 (18/15/20)	Primary: not specified SF-36, Iowa Level of Assistance scale, AROM	Group 1: Preoperative (n = 18): 3 weeks of upper extremity home exercises Group 2: Postoperative (n = 15): 8 weeks of lower extremity home exercises	Control (n = 20): "no treatment" or "standard preoperative and postoperative care".	Group 1: 3 weeks Group 2: 8 weeks	14 weeks after surgery	Trial registration: Not registered DOI: 10.3233/BMR-2008-21303
Monticone et al. (2013)	RCT	110 (55/55)	Primary: KOOS, Tampa scale for kinesiophobia, Pain (NRS), SF-36	Home-exercises (n = 55): 60 minutes, twice weekly for 6 months)	Control (n = 55): Stay active and recover	6 months	12 months after surgery	Trial registration: Not registered DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.10.003

231

232 Risk of bias

233 Results from the methodological quality assessment using the Cochrane Risk of

234 Bias Tool version 2 (RoB 2) ¹⁸ are presented in table 2. While Monticone et al.

235 (2013) were assessed to have low risk of bias, the trial by Evgeniadis et al.

236 (2008) presented some concerns of risk of bias, including small sample sizes,

237 noteworthy and uneven number of dropouts and risk of selective reporting.

238 Each trials exercise intervention is described in detail using “The Consensus on

239 Exercise Reporting Template” (CERT) ¹⁶ (see appendix). The reporting of the

240 exercise interventions in the two included trials is generally poor and lack

241 important intervention-details, making an intervention replication and

242 comparison difficult.

243

244 Table 2. Risk of bias summary.

	Bias arising from the randomization process	Bias due to deviations from intended interventions	Bias due to missing outcome data	Bias in measurement of the outcome	Bias in selection of the reported result
Evgeniadis et al. (2008) (not registered)
Monticone et al. (2013) (not registered)
Risk of bias assessment (Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool) for included RCT's. Low risk of bias: . Some concerns of risk of bias: . High risk of bias: "Not registered"= the trial could not be found in a trial registry and the trial report did not hold a trial identifier.					

245

Results from the individual studies

As the objective of this systematic review was to examine if physical rehabilitation is superior to no physical rehabilitation *following* TKA, the data from the preoperative intervention group in the trial by Evgeniadis et al. (2008) was not included in this review.

Intervention focus

The trial by Evgeniadis et al. (2008) compared a physical therapist and orthopaedist-supervised, eight-week home exercise program to a control group, who followed the standard preoperative and postoperative care, which included an inpatient rehabilitation program. The control group did not receive any pre- or postoperative physical rehabilitation compared to the other two intervention groups. After hospital discharge, the intervention group received a therapeutic exercise program with emphasis on strengthening their lower extremities. The program consisted of exercise from supine, lateral and prone position, sitting and straight posture with the aim to strengthen anterior and posterior tibial muscles, anterior and posterior femoral muscles and the femoral abductors.

Monticone et al. (2013) compared an intervention group, who continued the functional exercise learned during hospitalization for 6 months, to a control group, who were advised to stay active and gradually recover to their usual activities. The intervention group performed functional exercises 60 minutes twice-weekly, including walking training, functional task-oriented exercises (moving from sitting to standing, ascending/descending stairs, climbing obstacles), weight-bearing exercises (walking in place, bilateral and unilateral

knee flexions while standing) and other exercises aimed at recovering abilities (turning, sudden starts and stops). Sessions of stationary cycling were added to optimize the strength and mobility of the knee.

Outcome measures

Patient reported outcome measures:

Evgeniadis et al. (2008) did not report their primary outcome measure. The authors used the SF-36 to assess the effect of the preoperative exercise intervention, while the postoperative exercise intervention was assessed using the Iowa Level of Assistance Scale (ILAS). Differences in active range of motion (AROM) of the hip, knee and tibiotalar joint within the three groups were also investigated.

Monticone et al. (2013) used patient-reported disability as the primary outcome measure. Disability was measured with the Knee Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). Also, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK), Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) and the 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) were used as outcome measures. By the end of the 6-month intervention, a mean difference of 14.22 (95%CI: 8.35 to 20.08) in the KOOS-subscale: ADL was found between the exercise and control group, favouring the exercise group. The difference between the groups were still present (mean difference: 11.84 (95%CI: 6.79 to 16.89)) at the 12 months follow up. The remaining subscales revealed a similar between-group difference (mean differences: 10.81 to 13.31). Fear-avoidance behaviour was assessed using the TSK, which also showed results favouring the exercise group (difference in treatment effect between the two groups were found to be

25%). Pain and quality of life also revealed a between-group difference at both follow-ups, favouring the exercise group.

Performance-based outcome measures:

Evgeniadis et al. (2003) used the ILAS to assess the patients' functional ability postoperatively. The groups did not appear to differ from the day before surgery until ten weeks after the surgery. By the tenth postoperative week, the exercise group performed favourably in terms of ambulatory independence compared to the control group (mean score 2.79 (SD: 0.64) vs. 4.87 (SD: 0.73)), but at the final follow up four weeks later, there were no between-group difference (0.14 (SD: 0.39) vs. 0.38 (SD: 0.56)).

Monticone et al. (2013) did not use any performance-based outcome measures.

Neither of the two included studies used patient global assessment measurements, nor did they report harms or unintended effects in relation to the allocated groups.

Synthesis of results

The primary objective of this systematic review was to examine if physical rehabilitation is superior to no physical rehabilitation following TKA in terms of patient-reported outcomes for function and pain. No conclusion can be drawn at this point because only two studies were included. In the trial of Monticone et al. (2013) functional home-exercises (including cognitive-behavioural therapy) appears to be superior to a no-intervention, in terms of the primary outcome, patient-reported disability, with a mean adjusted difference of 14.22 (95%CI:

8.35, 20.08) after the intervention period. The difference is considered to be above the minimal clinical important difference, which is reported to be around 10 points^{21,22}. Secondary outcome measures used in the trial, such as kinesiophobia, pain and quality of life, were also found to be superior in the intervention group compared to no-intervention. Evgeniadis et al. (2008) found no difference in terms of performance status (measured by SF-36), but a tendency towards a higher score in “mental health” and “health change” in the postoperative exercise group compared to the control group. Ten weeks after surgery, the mean difference in functional ability measured by ILAS, were higher in the postoperative exercise group compared to the preoperative exercise group and the control group (total score of 2.79, compared to 4.65 and 4.78, respectively), but this difference was no longer statistically significant 14 weeks after surgery. AROM were also reported to be significantly better in the postoperative exercise group compared to the other two groups.

Discussion

To date, there is not enough evidence to either confirm or refute, that physical rehabilitation is superior to no physical rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty in terms of patient-reported outcomes for function and pain. While most research on the subject has involved the identification of a superior rehabilitation protocol compared to another or “standard care”, little effort has been made to find the true effectiveness of physical rehabilitation following TKA. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis on participants after TKA compared physiotherapy exercise to “no intervention”¹, and found short-term

benefits for physical function (SMD -0.37 (95%CI: -0.62 to -0.12: three studies), and pain (SMD -0.45 (95%CI: -0.85 to -0.06: two studies) favouring physical rehabilitation. However, two of the three studies concerning physical function and one of the two concerning pain, included a control group, whom performed some kind of home exercise. While definitely being “minimal intervention”, it is not the same as “no intervention”. Additionally, when analysing the effects in studies with low risk of bias in the previous mentioned review, only one or two trials were included in the analysis. The two studies investigating a true “no intervention” included in the review by Artz et al. (2015) ¹ are also the two trials included in this systematic review.

A true “no intervention” is on the other hand controversial. While TKA is followed by the declining of muscle strength and functional ability ²³⁻²⁵ it seems reasonable to support the recovery from TKA by offering physical rehabilitation. The effectiveness of different modalities has been investigated, and there is compelling evidence that the degree of supervision during physical rehabilitation is not a cofounder in terms of recovery ^{1,26}. Prescribing unsupervised home exercises does however usually require some degree of supervised exercise instructions and perhaps a follow-up visit or two within the first couple of months of surgery. Due to its low cost of administration, and equal effectiveness compared to other rehabilitation modalities, unsupervised home exercises seems to be the preferred choice of treatment.

Do patients, who are prescribed an unsupervised home exercise program following TKA, actually exercise at home? Campbell and colleagues ²⁷ found that

non-compliance is a common problem (and increases over time) in a cohort of patients with knee osteoarthritis, when asked to perform home exercises. The authors raise the question as to whether we should judge effectiveness according to whether an intervention works when compliance is optimal or taking into account variable levels of compliance ²⁷. Home exercises following TKA may have an inherent design flaw since adherence is rarely measured and the true effectiveness of the home exercises can therefore be questioned. There is a need for large, randomized controlled trials investigating the effectiveness of physical rehabilitation following TKA when compared to a true “no intervention” to either establish or disprove the effectiveness of physical rehabilitation following TKA.

Limitations and strengths

An obvious limitation to this systematic review is the limited number of randomized controlled trials available on the topic. The considerable clinical heterogeneity of the exercise interventions makes it impossible to conclude on the effectiveness of physical rehabilitation compared to a true no-intervention. Furthermore, the risk of bias in one of the two included trials should also be taken into consideration when interpreting the overall result of this review. Despite these limitations, this systematic review has strength in its adherence to the PRISMA guidelines, the PICOT approach and using the FINER criteria. Furthermore, by publishing the protocol for this review, we strengthened the transparency of the research and minimise the risk of bias, namely selective outcome reporting.

This systematic review was first published as preprint in order to determine the order of priority and to increase the transparency of the scientific work ²⁸. Sharing this work as preprint before final publication will allow for early evaluation by the scientific community and further improve the quality of the review. This review will be frequently updated to keep the review's results accurate and up to date. This form of "living systematic review" will enable living, accurate recommendations and create an environment for more dynamic, interlinked synthesis and use of the data ²⁹.

Conclusion

The considerable clinical heterogeneity of the included studies design, exercise interventions and outcomes measurements, makes it difficult to confirm or refute physical rehabilitations superiority over no-intervention following TKA. This systematic review highlights the need for adequately powered RCT's investigating the effectiveness of physical rehabilitation compared to a true no-intervention.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

References

1. Artz N, Elvers K, Lowe C, Sackley C, Jepson P, Beswick A. Effectiveness of physiotherapy exercise following total knee replacement: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2015;16(1):15. doi:10.1186/s12891-015-0469-6

- 420 2. Jaglal S, MacKay C, Corrigan L. Rehabilitation for total joint replacement.
421 Arthritis and Related Conditions in Ontario. *ICES Res Atlas*. 2004:133-142.
- 422 3. Ponnusamy K, Naseer Z, El Dafrawy M, et al. Post-Discharge Care Duration,
423 Charges, and Outcomes Among Medicare Patients After Primary Total Hip
424 and Knee Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2017;99(11):e55.
425 doi:10.2106/JBJS.16.00166
- 426 4. Westby M, Kennedy D, Jones D, Jones A, Doyle-Waters M, Backman C. Post-
427 acute physiotherapy for primary total knee arthroplasty: A cochrane
428 systematic review. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008;60:1136.
429 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007099
- 430 5. Artz N, Dixon S, Wylde V, Beswick A, Blom A, Gooberman-Hill R.
431 Physiotherapy provision following discharge after total hip and total knee
432 replacement: a survey of current practice at high-volume NHS hospitals in
433 England and wales. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2013;11(1):31-38.
434 doi:10.1002/msc.1027
- 435 6. Mark-Christensen T. Investigation of the pratice of rehabilitation following
436 TKA (Undersøgelse af genoptræningstilbuddet efter en TKA-operation).
437 *Fysioterapeuten, webartikel*. 2017;7(7).
438 [https://www.fysio.dk/fysioterapeuten/arkiv/nr.-7-](https://www.fysio.dk/fysioterapeuten/arkiv/nr.-7-2017/genoptraningstilbuddet-efter-knaalloplastik)
439 [2017/genoptraningstilbuddet-efter-knaalloplastik](https://www.fysio.dk/fysioterapeuten/arkiv/nr.-7-2017/genoptraningstilbuddet-efter-knaalloplastik).
- 440 7. Minns Lowe C, Barker K, Dewey M, Sackley C. Effectiveness of
441 physiotherapy exercise after knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis:
442 systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*
443 *Br Med J (International Ed)*. 2007;335(7624):812.
444 doi:10.1136/bmj.39311.460093.BE

- 445 8. Buhagiar MA, Naylor J, Harris I, Xuan W, Adie S. Assessment of Outcomes
446 of Inpatient or Clinic-Based vs Home-Based Rehabilitation After Total
447 Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw*
448 *open*. 2019;2(4):e192810.
449 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2810>
- 450 9. Riva J, Malik K, Burnie S, Endicott A, Busse J. What is your research
451 question? An introduction to the PICOT format for clinicians. *J Can Chiropr*
452 *Assoc*. 2012;56(3):167-171.
- 453 10. Bandholm T, Christensen R, Thorborg K, Treweek S, Henriksen M.
454 Preparing for what the reporting checklists will not tell you: the PREPARE
455 Trial guide for planning clinical research to avoid research waste. *Br J*
456 *Sports Med*. September 2017. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-097527
- 457 11. Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic
458 Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019). *Cochrane*. 2019.
459 www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- 460 12. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D. Preferred reporting items for
461 systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg*.
462 2010;8(5):336-341. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007
- 463 13. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for
464 systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015:
465 elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015;350:g7647. doi:doi:
466 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7647>
- 467 14. Fitzgerald GK, Hinman RS, Zeni JJ, Risberg MA, Snyder-Mackler L, Bennell
468 KL. OARSI Clinical Trials Recommendations: Design and conduct of clinical
469 trials of rehabilitation interventions for osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil*.

470 2015;23(5):803-814. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2015.03.013

471 15. Pham T, van der Heijde D, Altman RD, et al. OMERACT-OARSI initiative:
472 Osteoarthritis Research Society International set of responder criteria for
473 osteoarthritis clinical trials revisited. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* 2004;12(5):389-
474 399. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2004.02.001

475 16. Slade S, Dionne C, Underwood M, Buchbinder R. Consensus on Exercise
476 Reporting Template (CERT): Explanation and Elaboration Statement. *Br J*
477 *Sports Med.* October 2016. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096651

478 17. Dowsey M, Choong P. The utility of outcome measures in total knee
479 replacement surgery. *Int J Rheumatol.* 2013;2013:506518.
480 doi:10.1155/2013/506518

481 18. Sterne J, Savović J, Page M, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of
482 bias in randomised trials. *BMJ.* 2019;366:l4898. doi:10.1136/bmj.l4898

483 19. Evgeniadis G, Beneka A, Malliou P, Mavromoustakos S, Godolias G. Effects
484 of pre- or postoperative therapeutic exercise on the quality of life, before
485 and after total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis. *J Back Musculoskelet*
486 *Rehabil.* 2008;21(3):161-169. doi:10.3233/BMR-2008-21303

487 20. Monticone M, Ferrante S, Rocca B, et al. Home-based functional exercises
488 aimed at managing kinesiophobia contribute to improving disability and
489 quality of life of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: A
490 randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;94(2):231-239.
491 doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2012.10.003

492 21. Ogura T, Ackermann J, Barbieri Mestriner A, Merkely G, Gomoll A. Minimal
493 Clinically Important Differences and Substantial Clinical Benefit in Patient-
494 Reported Outcome Measures after Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation.

- 495 *Cartilage*. September 2018:1947603518799839.
- 496 doi:10.1177/1947603518799839
- 497 22. Roos E, Lohmander S. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score
- 498 (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*.
- 499 2003;1(64):64. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-1-64
- 500 23. Bade M, Kohrt W, Stevens-Lapsley J. Outcomes before and after total knee
- 501 arthroplasty compared to healthy adults. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.
- 502 2010;40(9):559-567. doi:10.2519/jospt.2010.3317
- 503 24. Lewek M, Rudolph K, Snyder-Mackler L. Quadriceps femoris muscle
- 504 weakness and activation failure in patients with symptomatic knee
- 505 osteoarthritis. *J Orthop Res*. 2004;22(1):110-115. doi:10.1016/S0736-
- 506 0266(03)00154-2
- 507 25. Mizner R, Petterson S, Snyder-Mackler L. Quadriceps strength and the time
- 508 course of functional recovery after total knee arthroplasty. *J Orthop Sport*
- 509 *Phys Ther*. 2005;35(7):424-436. doi:10.2519/jospt.2005.35.7.424
- 510 26. Florez-Garcia M, Garcia-Perez F, Curbelo R, Perez-Porta I, Nishishinya B,
- 511 Rosario Lozano M. Efficacy and safety of home-based exercises versus
- 512 individualized supervised outpatient physical therapy programs after total
- 513 knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Knee Surg*
- 514 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2017;25(11):3340-3353.
- 515 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-016-4231-x
- 516 27. Campbell R, Evans M, Tucker M, Quilty B, Dieppe P, Donovan JL. Why don't
- 517 patients do their exercises? Understanding non-compliance with
- 518 physiotherapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Epidemiol*
- 519 *Community Health*. 2001;55(2):132-138. doi:10.1136/jech.55.2.132

- 520 28. Bourne P, Polka J, Vale R, Kiley R. Ten simple rules to consider regarding
521 preprint submission. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2017;13(5):e1005473.
522 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005473
- 523 29. Mavergames C, Elliott J. Living Systematic Reviews: towards real-time
524 evidence for health-care decision-making. *BMJ Best Practice*.
525 [https://bestpractice.bmj.com/info/toolkit/discuss-ebm/living-](https://bestpractice.bmj.com/info/toolkit/discuss-ebm/living-systematic-reviews-towards-real-time-evidence-for-health-care-decision-making/)
526 [systematic-reviews-towards-real-time-evidence-for-health-care-decision-](https://bestpractice.bmj.com/info/toolkit/discuss-ebm/living-systematic-reviews-towards-real-time-evidence-for-health-care-decision-making/)
527 [making/](https://bestpractice.bmj.com/info/toolkit/discuss-ebm/living-systematic-reviews-towards-real-time-evidence-for-health-care-decision-making/). Published 2019.

528

529 **Appendix**

530 **MEDLINE search strategy**

531

- 532 1. Arthroplasty, Replacement, Knee [MeSH]
- 533 2. Knee Prosthesis [MeSH]
- 534 3. Joint Prosthesis [MeSH]
- 535 4. Knee [MeSH] OR knee [Title/Abstract]
- 536 5. #3 AND #4
- 537 6. arthroplast*[Title/Abstract] OR replac* [Title/Abstract] OR prosthe*
538 [Title/Abstract]
- 539 7. #4 AND #6
- 540 8. #1 OR #2 OR #5 OR #7
- 541 9. Exercise [MeSH]
- 542 10. Exercise Therapy [MeSH]
- 543 11. Rehabilitation [MeSH]
- 544 12. Physical Therapy Modalities [MeSH]
- 545 13. Resistance Training [MeSH]
- 546 14. Cardiorespiratory Fitness [MeSH]
- 547 15. Muscle Strength [MeSH]
- 548 16. Weight Lifting [MeSH]
- 549 17. Sports [MeSH]
- 550 18. Physical Endurance [MeSH]
- 551 19. Bicycling [MeSH]
- 552 20. Walking [MeSH]
- 553 21. Exercise* [Title/Abstract]
- 554 22. Weight [Title/Abstract] AND Lifting [Title/Abstract]
- 555 23. isometric* [Title/Abstract] OR isotonic* [Title/Abstract]
556 OR isokinetic* [Title/Abstract]

557 24. strength [Title/Abstract] AND #23
558 25. Treadmill* [Title/Abstract]
559 26. Bicycle* [Title/Abstract]
560 27. Cycling [Title/Abstract]
561 28. Walk* [Title/Abstract]
562 29. Sport* [Title/Abstract]
563 30. Endur* [Title/Abstract]
564 31. #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR
565 #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #24 OR #25 OR #26 OR #27 OR
566 #28 OR #29 OR #30
567 32. Randomized Controlled Trial [Publication Type]
568 33. Controlled clinical trial [Publication Type]
569 34. Randomized [Title/Abstract]
570 35. Randomly [Title/Abstract]
571 36. Trial [Title/Abstract]
572 37. Groups [Title/Abstract]
573 38. #32 OR #33 OR #34 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37
574 **39.#8 AND #31 AND #38**
575
576

Author and year						
Evgeniadis et al. (2008)						
Title						
Effects of pre- or postoperative therapeutic exercise on the quality of life, before and after total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis						
Journal						
Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation						
Study location						
Greece						
Item	Description	Data extraction	Location (pg, URL etc)		YES: 1 NO: 0	Reasons for rating: e.g. not reported or not clearly described
			Primary paper	Other		
1	Detailed description of the type of exercise equipment	Elastic bands thera-band type.	Pg. 162.		1	
2	Detailed description of the qualifications, expertise and/or training	The intervention was performed under the simultaneous supervision of a physical therapist and orthopaedist.	Pg. 162.		1	
3	Describe whether exercises are performed individually or in a	Patients assigned into the PROP group participated in a	Pg. 162.		1	

	group	<p>supervised three-week home exercise program.</p> <p>After hospital discharge, the patients of POP group sustained a similar structural therapeutic exercise program with emphasis on strengthening their lower extremities.</p>	Pg. 163.			
4	Describe whether exercise are supervised or unsupervised; how they are delivered	The intervention was performed under the simultaneous supervision of a physical therapist and orthopaedist.	Pg. 162.		1	
5	Detailed description of how adherence to exercise is measured and reported				0	Not reported
6	Detailed description of motivation strategies				0	Not reported
7a	Detailed description of the decision rule(s) for determining exercise progression	Initial resistance was chosen based on patients ability to perform one set of eight repetitions, having the sensation of modest fatigue after the final repetition.	Pg. 163.		1	
7b	Detailed description of how the exercise program was progressed	Resistance progress depended on patient's ability to perform comfortable 15 repetitions on the last set	Pg. 163.		1	
8	Detailed description of each exercise to enable replication	<p>PROP: "The exercise program consisted of strengthening exercises from the sitting position aiming to reinforce muscles of the upper back-shoulder, humeral bicep and triceps, deltoid, rectum abdominalis and femoral flexors"</p> <p>POP: "After hospital discharge, the patients of PROP group sustained a similar structural therapeutic exercise program</p>	<p>Pg. 163.</p> <p>Pg. 163-164.</p>		0	Not clearly described

		with emphasis on strengthening their lower extremities. The main program consisted of exercises from supine, lateral and prone position, sitting and straight posture with the aim to strengthen anterior and posterior tibial muscles, anterior and posterior femoral muscles and femoral abductors.				
9	Detailed description of any home programme component	Patients assigned into the PROP group participated in a supervised three-week home exercise program to strengthen trunk and upper extremities, before TKA, whereas patients of the POP group performed a supervised eight-week home exercise program to strengthen lower extremities.	Pg. 162		1	
10	Describe whether there are any non-exercise components	Each exercise technique was presented via photos and a demonstration of the whole program was realized. In order to gain a sense of motion control, every exercise was performed with hands-on execution by the physical therapist.	Pg. 163.		0	Not clearly described
11	Describe the type and number of adverse events that occur during exercise	During the trial, 19 patients were excluded: they refused to participate, developed postoperative complications or gave up the exercise program.	Pg. 165.		0	Not clearly described
12	Describe the setting in which the exercises are performed	Patients assigned into PROP group participated in a supervised three-week home exercise program to strengthen trunk and upper extremities, before TKA, whereas patients of the POP group performed a	Pg. 162.		1	

		supervised eight-week home exercise program to strengthen lower extremities.				
13	Detailed description of the exercise intervention	Intervention occurred for three alternative days a week. Every session was consisted of three phases: warm-up, main program and cool-down. The total program volume oscillated from one to three sets, with 10-14 repetitions each (...) Complete relaxation interval between sets lasted from two to three minutes were achieved.	Pg. 163.		1	
14a	Describe whether the exercises are generic (one size fits all) or tailored	Resistance progress depended on patient's ability to perform comfortable 15 repetitions on the last set.	Pg. 163.		0	Not clearly reported. The exercise intensity was individualized/tailored, but all had the same exercises.
14b	Detailed description of how exercises are tailored to the individual	Resistance progress depended on patient's ability to perform comfortable 15 repetitions on the last set.	Pg. 163.		0	Not clearly reported. The exercise intensity was individualized/tailored, but all had the same exercises.
15	Describe the decision rule for determining the starting level	Initial resistance was chosen based on patients ability to perform one set of eight repetitions, having the sensation of modest fatigue after the final repetition.	Pg. 163.		1	
16a	Describe how adherence or fidelity is assessed/measured				0	Not reported
16b	Describe the extent to which the intervention was delivered as planned				0	Not reported
Total score					10/19	
With inspiration from Slade et al. (2016): Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT): Explanation and Elaboration Statement. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2016; 50 :1428-1437. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096651.						

578 **Table XX. CERT assessment form**

Author and year						
Monticone et al. (2013)						
Title						
Home-based functional exercises aimed at managing kinesiophobia contribute to improving disability and quality of life of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial						
Journal						
Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation						
Study location						
Italy						
Item	Description	Data extraction	Location (pg, URL etc)		YES: 1 NO: 0	Reasons for rating: eg 'not reported or not clearly described
			Primary paper	Other		
1	Detailed description of the type of exercise equipment	Home-exercise book containing theoretical information about the management of kinesiophobia.	Pg. 233.		1	
2	Detailed description of the qualifications, expertise and/or training	The patients underwent home-based treatment after being discharged from the Operative Unit of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation of the Salvatore	Pg. 232-233.		0	Not clearly described

		Maugeri Foundation's Scientific Institute in Lissone (Milan, Italy), a highly specialized rehabilitation centre in which physiatrists, physiotherapists, and psychologists highly experienced in knee pain and cognitive behavioural therapy treat more than 200 TKA patients every year.				
3	Describe whether exercises are performed individually or in a group	Individually: Before returning home, the patients belonging to the experimental group were asked to continue the functional exercises learned during hospitalization...	Pg. 233.		1	
4	Describe whether exercise are supervised or unsupervised; how they are delivered	Unclear during hospitalization and unsupervised after discharge (see above): They were also given a home-exercise book containing theoretical information about the management of kinesiophobia.	Pg. 233.		0	Not clearly described
5	Detailed description of how adherence to exercise is measured and reported				0	Not reported
6	Detailed description of motivation strategies	During the 6 months of home treatment, the patients received monthly phone calls from the rehabilitation team designed to strengthen treatment adherence. During the calls, the patients were also given an opportunity to ask for explanations about the concepts involved and, step by step, performed the required behavioural tasks. In order to guarantee adhesion to the exercise and cognitive-behavioural principles and	Pg. 233. Pg. 233.		1	

		minimize the dropout rate, family doctors and relatives (spouses, significant others, or parent) were asked to support patient compliance actively during the course of the trial and inform staff promptly if any difficulty was encountered.				
7a	Detailed description of the decision rule(s) for determining exercise progression	By a process of systematic self-desensitization, and once the patient could imagine and perform the scene without feeling fearful, the patient were asked to move on the next feared situation in the book.	Pg. 233.		0	Not clearly described.
7b	Detailed description of how the exercise program was progressed	See item 7a			0	Not clearly described
8	Detailed description of each exercise to enable replication	During their 15-day period of hospitalization, all of the enrolled patients underwent the same functional exercise-based rehabilitation program with the aim of improving knee range of motion, increasing knee and hip muscle strength, preventing thrombosis, and acquiring the most important functional strategies for ADL. The functional exercises included early walking training and how to use walking aids; functional task-oriented exercises, such as moving from a sitting to a standing position, ascending/descending stairs, and climbing obstacles (initially using a double handrail or parallel bars); weight-bearing exercises, such as walking in place and bilateral and unilateral knee flexion when standing; and other exercises aimed at recovering abilities, such as turning, sudden starts	Pg. 233.		0	Not clearly described

		and stops, standing on unstable surfaces, and walking while changing speed and direction. Sessions of stationary cycling were added to optimize the strength and mobility of the knee.				
9	Detailed description of any home programme component	Before returning home, the patients belonging to the experimental group were asked to continue the functional exercises learned during hospitalization in twice-weekly 60-minute sessions for 6 months.	Pg. 233.		0	Not clearly described
10	Describe whether there are any non-exercise components	Experimental group: ... They were also given a home-exercise book containing theoretical information about the management of kinesiophobia, which was developed by our multidisciplinary rehabilitation team of physiatrists, clinical psychologists, and physiotherapists on the basis on the main situations avoided by the patients because of persistent knee pain, and the fear-avoidance beliefs emerging from discussion of their usual activities.	Pg. 233.		1	
11	Describe the type and number of adverse events that occur during exercise	No information was collected concerning adverse effects.	Pg. 233.		0	Not reported
12	Describe the setting in which the exercises are performed	During the 6 months of <i>home treatment</i>	Pg. 233.		1	
13	Detailed description of the exercise intervention	See item 8			0	Not clearly described.
14a	Describe whether the exercises are generic (one size fits all) or tailored	See item 8			0	Not clearly described.
14b	Detailed description of how	See item 8			0	Not clearly described.

	exercises are tailored to the individual					
15	Describe the decision rule for determining the starting level	By a process of systematic self-desensitization, and once the patient could imagine and perform the scene without feeling fearful, the patient were asked to move on the next feared situation in the book.	Pg. 233.		1	
16a	Describe how adherence or fidelity is assessed/measured				0	Not reported
16b	Describe the extent to which the intervention was delivered as planned				0	Not reported
Total score					6/19	
With inspiration from Slade et al. (2016): Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT): Explanation and Elaboration Statement. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2016; 50 :1428-1437. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096651.						