

## **Microwave-Generated Steam Decontamination of N95 Respirators Utilizing Universally Accessible Materials**

Katelyn E. Zulauf<sup>a,b</sup>, Alex B. Green<sup>a</sup>, Alex N. Nguyen Ba<sup>c</sup>, Tanush Jagdish<sup>d,e</sup>, Dvir Reif<sup>f</sup>, Robert Seeley<sup>g</sup>, Alana Dale<sup>g</sup>, and James E Kirby<sup>a,b,#</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pathology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>b</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>d</sup>Program for Systems, Synthetic, and Quantitative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>e</sup>Center for Computational and Integrative Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>f</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>g</sup>Environmental Health and Safety Department, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

**Running title: Universally accessible N95 decontamination**

# Address correspondence to James E Kirby, [jekirby@bidmc.harvard.edu](mailto:jekirby@bidmc.harvard.edu)

Katelyn E Zulauf and Alex B Green contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined based on seniority.

Abstract Word count: 205

Text Word count: 3917

## 1 **ABSTRACT**

2           The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has caused a severe, international shortage of N95  
3 respirators, which are essential to protect healthcare providers from infection. Given the  
4 contemporary limitations of the supply chain, it is imperative to identify effective means  
5 of decontaminating, reusing, and thereby conserving N95 respirator stockpiles. To be  
6 effective, decontamination must result in sterilization of the N95 respirator without  
7 impairment of respirator filtration or user fit. Although numerous methods of N95  
8 decontamination exist, none are universally accessible. In this work we describe a  
9 microwave-generated steam decontamination protocol for N95 respirators for use in  
10 healthcare systems of all sizes, geographies, and means. Using widely available glass  
11 containers, mesh from commercial produce bags, a rubber band, and a 1100W  
12 commercially available microwave, we constructed an effective, standardized, and  
13 reproducible means of decontaminating N95 respirators. Employing this methodology  
14 against MS2 phage, a highly conservative surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 contamination, we  
15 report an average 6-log<sub>10</sub> plaque forming unit (PFU) (99.9999%) and a minimum 5-log<sub>10</sub>  
16 PFU (99.999%) reduction after a single three-minute microwave treatment. Notably,  
17 quantified respirator fit and function were preserved, even after 20 sequential cycles of  
18 microwave steam decontamination. This method provides a valuable means of effective  
19 decontamination and reuse of N95 respirators by frontline providers facing urgent need.

## 20 **IMPORTANCE**

21 Due to the rapid spread of COVID-19 there is an increasing shortage of protective gear  
22 necessary to keep health care providers safe from infection. The CDC reports 9,282  
23 cases of COVID-19 among U.S. healthcare workers to date (1). N95 respirators are

24 recommended by the CDC as the ideal method of protection from COVID-19. Although  
25 N95 respirators are traditionally single-use, the shortages have necessitated the need  
26 for re-use. Effective methods of N95 decontamination that do not affect the fit or  
27 filtration ability of N95 respirators are essential. Numerous methods of N95  
28 decontamination exist; however, none are universally accessible. In this study we  
29 describe an effective, standardized, and reproducible means of decontaminating N95  
30 respirators using widely available materials. The N95 decontamination method  
31 described in this work will provide a valuable resource for hospitals, healthcare centers,  
32 and outpatient practices that are experiencing increasing shortages of N95 respirators  
33 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

34

## 35 **INTRODUCTION**

36 Since the initial cases in Wuhan, China in late December 2019, the COVID-19  
37 pandemic, caused by the novel SARS-CoV-2 virus, has resulted in over 2.3 million  
38 infections and 160,000 deaths worldwide (2). Throughout this outbreak, the infection  
39 and resultant incapacitation of healthcare providers has been of significant concern.  
40 Each sick provider contributes to further nosocomial transmission and reduces the  
41 healthcare system's capacity to handle incoming patient volume. One of the greatest  
42 threats to healthcare workers' well-being is the critical shortage of personal protection  
43 equipment. Of particular concern are shortages in specialized N95 respirators.

44 The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) recommends  
45 N95 respirators for protection from particles <100nm in size, including viruses (3).  
46 Across the U.S. and worldwide, N95 prices have skyrocketed and supplies have

47 dwindled. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has released  
48 unprecedented guidance on the conservation, extended use, and limited re-use of N95  
49 respirators in healthcare settings  
50 (<https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/hcwcontrols/recommendedguidanceextuse.html>,  
51 [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-  
52 respirators.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-respirators.html)). Effective, economical, accessible, and validated means of  
53 decontamination are urgently required.

54 Several N95 decontamination techniques have been validated and approved for  
55 clinical use. The CDC has summarized a variety of methods, including ultraviolet  
56 germicidal Irradiation (UVGI), ethylene oxide, vaporized hydrogen peroxide, moist heat  
57 incubation and microwave-generated steam ([https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-  
58 ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-respirators.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-respirators.html)). The ideal method is  
59 one that is simultaneously rigorous enough to provide maximal decontamination and yet  
60 gentle enough to impart minimal structural damage to the N95 respirator. Microwave-  
61 generated steam is a uniquely promising method because of its potential for daily,  
62 affordable, and widespread use. Although microwave-generated steam has been shown  
63 to be effective in both decontamination and preservation of respirator function, the  
64 majority of published protocols rely on specialized commercial steam bags which are in  
65 limited supply today, or other unstandardized materials only available in research  
66 laboratories (4-8).

67 Here, as a quality assurance initiative at our institution, we set out to identify an  
68 N95 decontamination method that would allow repeated use of respirators. To assess  
69 decontamination, we utilized the *Escherichia coli* MS2 bacteriophage as a highly

70 conservative surrogate for SARS-CoV-2. Here we describe development and evaluation  
71 of a simple, microwave steam decontamination protocol using affordable, readily  
72 available materials that achieves highly efficient disinfection of MS2 virus, while  
73 preserving respirator function for repeated re-use.

74

## 75 **RESULTS**

76 To address the shortage of N95 respirators that are essential to keep healthcare  
77 workers protected from SARS-CoV-2, we set out to identify an effective method of N95  
78 decontamination. Our goal was to find a decontamination method accessible to all  
79 practitioners in our distributed healthcare network, using MS2 phage as a model for  
80 SARS-CoV-2. Like SARS-CoV-2, the MS2 phage is a positive-sense, single-stranded  
81 RNA virus. Unlike SARS-CoV-2, the MS2 phage lacks a lipid envelope, making it more  
82 resistant to disinfection. Due to its stability, MS2 has been used to model disinfection of  
83 viruses such as norovirus and Ebola (9, 10).

84 Microwave-generated steam has proven to be an effective method of  
85 decontamination (4-8). In seeking a platform with widespread availability, we initially  
86 tested two protocols using common household items. Both protocols involved the use of  
87 a 10cm diameter ceramic mug filled with 60 mL water and covered with the mesh from a  
88 produce bag secured with a rubber band, on which the respirator was suspended  
89 directly above the generated steam (Figure 1A). In one assay, we placed the mug inside  
90 a ventilated gallon Ziploc bag. In the other assay, we place the mug directly in the  
91 microwave without containment. We then examined the ability of both methods to  
92 sterilize N95 coupons (excised 1 cm<sup>2</sup> N95 fabric squares) inoculated with 10<sup>7</sup> plaque

93 forming units (PFU) of MS2 phage. The inoculation of  $10^7$  PFU represents a higher viral  
94 load than any viral droplet a healthcare provider is likely to encounter in the clinical  
95 setting (11). After 1 minute of microwave steam decontamination, we saw no significant  
96 difference in MS2 phage reduction between the two methods (Figure 1B). It is important  
97 to note that both methods resulted in greater than a  $4\text{-log}_{10}$  reduction in MS2 titer after  
98 only 1 minute of microwave treatment. The Ziploc bag, however, melted under this  
99 treatment and posed the risk of steam burns during retrieval of the N95 respirator.  
100 Noting equal efficacy, we proceeded with the open container method of steam  
101 decontamination for all further work.

102 To identify the optimal length of microwave time required for MS2 phage  
103 decontamination, we performed a dose-response test using 1 minute increments where  
104 we examined the decontamination of  $10^7$  PFU of MS2 on  $1\text{cm}^2$  N95 coupons placed  
105 over an open mug (as described above). Following three minutes of microwave steam  
106 treatment there were no detectable MS2 phage remaining on the coupons (Figure 2A).  
107 To accurately assess the ability of this method to decontaminate all areas of an N95, we  
108 inoculated  $10^7$  PFU of MS2 phage onto ten discrete sections of an N95 respirator  
109 (Figure 2C). Following three minutes of treatment, we observed a greater than  $4\text{-log}_{10}$   
110 reduction in PFU on all N95 respirator segments, except the elastic straps which only  
111 showed a  $1\text{-}3\text{ log}_{10}$  reduction in PFU (Figure 2D). Due to the limited diameter of the  
112 mug, the elastic straps draped over the edges, and presumably were minimally exposed  
113 to microwave-generated steam (Figure 2B). Consequently, we hypothesized that direct  
114 exposure to steam is essential for effective decontamination, and sought to identify a  
115 commercial container of sufficient diameter to treat an entire respirator.

116           Ultimately we selected a generic glass container sized at 17 x 17 x 7.5 cm  
117 (LxWxH) that had an opening large enough to expose the entire N95 respirator to the  
118 vertical column of generated steam. As with the ceramic mug, we secured mesh from a  
119 produce bag over the top of the container with a rubber band and added 60ml of water  
120 to the basin (Figure 3A-B). We repeated a sterilization time course against 1cm<sup>2</sup> N95  
121 respirator coupons in 1-minute increments. After 2 minutes of microwave steam  
122 treatment we were unable to detect residual viable phage on the coupons (Figure 3C).  
123 This represents a 1 minute reduction in sterilization time compared to the ceramic mug  
124 decontamination assay, indicating that the glass container is a more efficient  
125 decontamination system.

126           We next examined the ability of the glass container to sterilize a whole N95  
127 respirator. As described above, we inoculated ten sections of an N95 respirator with  
128 MS2 phage and treated the respirator for 3 minutes over the container system (Figure  
129 3D). Only 20% of the sampled sections exhibited residual phage, and of those, each  
130 exhibited a 5-log<sub>10</sub> reduction in viable phage (Figure 3 E). In one of three trials on  
131 separate N95 respirators, there was complete sterilization on all sampled sections with  
132 no detectable PFU remaining post-treatment. Importantly across all assays, viral load  
133 was reduced by an average of 6-log<sub>10</sub> PFU (99.9999% with a minimum of 5-log<sub>10</sub> PFU  
134 (99.999%) reduction. These results indicate that open container treatment is an  
135 effective method of N95 decontamination.

136           Since it is essential that any decontamination method not reduce the filtration or  
137 integrity of N95 respirators, we examined N95 respirator fit and function after sequential  
138 treatments. After 1, 5 or 20 three-minute treatment cycles, no damage was evident in

139 the integrity of the respirators or their component parts (i.e. straps, foam fittings,  
140 nosepiece). Additionally, no odors were detectable post-treatment, which is consistent  
141 with previous reports of microwave generated steam decontamination (5). Furthermore,  
142 Quantitative Respirator Fit Testing conducted with a Portacount Fit Tester 8030, in  
143 keeping with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's (OSHA) procedures  
144 (12), did not demonstrate any changes in respirator performance after 1, 5, or 20  
145 treatment cycles. Across all seven exercises in the OSHA-accepted fit test protocol, we  
146 observed component Fit Factor scores in excess of the 100 Fit Factor minimum and  
147 overall Fit Factor values >175 after 1, 5, and 20 treatment cycles. Cumulatively these  
148 data indicate that fit, seal, and filtration of the N95 respirator is preserved even after 20  
149 consecutive treatments.

150 Lastly, post-treatment respirators did not show a significant change in mass (<  
151 1mg) compared with pre-treatment respirators. Therefore, in contrast to another study  
152 employing commercial microwave steam bags, microwave-generated steam over an  
153 open vessel was not associated with significant water retention (6). This is likely due to  
154 the fact that the N95 respirator is suspended above the steam and is not in any  
155 sustained, direct contact with water. The lack of water retention means little to no drying  
156 time is required post-treatment prior to N95 respirator use.

157 In summary, we identified an effective method of N95 decontamination by  
158 microwave generated steam utilizing universally accessible materials. Our method  
159 resulted in almost complete sterilization after only three minutes of treatment and did  
160 not appear to affect the integrity of N95 filtration or fit with repeated treatment.

161

## 162 **DISCUSSION**

163 Due to the rapid spread of COVID-19, hospitals, healthcare centers, and  
164 outpatient practices are experiencing increasing shortages of protective gear necessary  
165 to keep health care providers safe from infection. Specialized N95 respirators are  
166 recommended by the CDC for protection from COVID-19  
167 (<https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/hcwcontrols/recommendedguidanceextuse.html>.  
168 [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-  
169 respirators.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-respirators.html)). Although N95 respirators are normally only recommended for single  
170 use, the severe shortages have necessitated the need consistent for re-use. During  
171 patient care, however, the surfaces of N95 respirators are likely contaminated by viral  
172 aerosols. Recent work has demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 can survive on surfaces for  
173 up to 72 hours (13). Without decontamination, N95 respirators can serve as infectious  
174 fomites and pose a risk to healthcare providers. In order to conserve supply and provide  
175 healthcare workers protection, there has been an effort to identify viable methods of  
176 N95 decontamination.

177 The CDC reports a variety of decontamination methods, including ultraviolet  
178 germicidal Irradiation (UVGI), ethylene oxide, vaporized hydrogen peroxide, moist heat  
179 incubation and microwave-generated steam ([https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-  
180 ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-respirators.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/decontamination-reuse-respirators.html)). However these  
181 methods have significant limitations. UVGI is limited by inherent shadow effects of a  
182 light-source, and variability in dosages due to bulb age and differing platform  
183 constructions (14). Ethylene oxide is efficacious in eradicating microbial contamination,  
184 but is also a known carcinogen and teratogen, and exposure has been correlated with

185 neurologic dysfunction (15). Vaporized hydrogen peroxide (“VHP”) is highly effective,  
186 killing greater than 99.9999% of surrogate microbial contaminants (a 6-log<sub>10</sub> reduction),  
187 while preserving respirator filtration function (16). Yet the technology necessary for VHP  
188 is limited to larger healthcare systems that can afford the required equipment.  
189 Therefore, there is an urgent need for viable methods of decontamination that are safe,  
190 effective, and available in diverse clinical settings.

191 In order to identify a generally accessible N95 respirator decontamination  
192 method, we focused our efforts on microwave generated steam decontamination.  
193 Microwaves are ubiquitous and previous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness  
194 of microwave steam decontamination. To-date, however, studies examining microwave  
195 generated steam decontamination have employed both specific and laboratory-  
196 generated materials (e.g. pipette-tip boxes, modified reservoirs, commercial steam  
197 bags, etc.) that may not be generally available or easily reproduced (4-8).

198 The goal of this work was to identify a widely accessible, microwave-generated  
199 steam decontamination method. To this effect, we only utilized common household  
200 items. We first examined whether contained steam was a more effective than an open  
201 steam vessel. As commercial microwave sterilization bags have previously  
202 demonstrated efficiency, we examined if common Ziploc bags might provide similar  
203 benefits (6). Although Ziploc-enclosed decontamination was effective, our results  
204 indicated that it is a more cumbersome system and containment could be dispensed  
205 with entirely. Furthermore, Ziploc bags began to melt when exposed to more than one  
206 minute of microwave generated steam and posed the risk of thermal burns from  
207 contained steam making the enclosed method less compelling.

208           With additional study, we found that use of a generic glass container measuring  
209 17x17x7.5cm (LxWxH) resulted in the most efficient and practical N95 respirator  
210 decontamination system. Using this method, we observed almost complete sterilization  
211 of the N95 respirator after a single 3-minute treatment. On average, we found a 6- $\log_{10}$   
212 reduction in viable MS2 phage with a minimum of a 5- $\log_{10}$  reduction. During  
213 decontamination treatments, we positioned the N95 respirator with its convex surface  
214 pointed downward, onto the mesh-covered container, maximizing steam exposure.  
215 Placement was otherwise made without regard to specific orientation of the respirator,  
216 simulating real world application. Post-treatment water retention by the N95 was  
217 undetectable, eliminating a need for drying time before reuse. Importantly, this method  
218 was validated for use of 20 times on a single respirator without detrimental effect on  
219 respirator integrity or fit. In contrast, a recent preprint demonstrated that fit and seal  
220 integrity was compromised in UV- and heat-treated N95 respirators after 3 treatment  
221 cycles, and in ethanol-treated respirators after 2 treatment cycles (17). Given these  
222 findings, decontamination by microwave-generated steam may provide an ideal solution  
223 for broad N95 respirator re-use, with minimal treatment duration, minimal post-treatment  
224 processing, and maximal re-use potential.

225           The MS2 bacteriophage was used as a model of SARS-CoV-2 in this study. It  
226 provided a facile system for rapid quantitative evaluation of respirator disinfection.  
227 Importantly, MS2, similar to SARS-CoV-2, is a positive-sense single stranded RNA  
228 virus. However there are some important distinctions. MS2 is a non-enveloped virus  
229 encased in a protective icosahedral protein capsid. SARS-CoV-2, in contrast, is a lipid  
230 enveloped virus, making it more susceptible to disinfection methods. Previously, MS2

231 has been used as a surrogate for protein capsid-protected noroviruses and also for  
232 enveloped Ebola virus, and has been reported to be significantly more resistant to  
233 disinfectant than both (9, 18). These differences were highlighted in our present study  
234 by observation of complete resistance of MS2 to dry heat inactivation at 105°C for 60  
235 minutes (Figure 1B) compared with previously reported complete inactivation of SARS-  
236 CoV-2 by 70°C dry heat treatment for the same duration (17). Therefore, the substantial  
237 reduction in viable MS2 by microwave steam decontamination gives confidence in an  
238 appropriate safety margin for SARS-CoV-2 decontamination and suggests the  
239 additional benefit of disinfection of other viruses that may also contaminate respirators  
240 during re-use.

241 Our N95 decontamination system uses only commonly available materials: a  
242 glass container, mesh from a produce bag that can be found at any grocery store, and a  
243 rubber band, as well as a common household 1100W or 1150W microwave. All  
244 microwaves used in this study had a turntable to enable rotation while heating (Figure  
245 1B-C, 3A), a feature that likely promotes uniform heating and steam production. It is  
246 important to note that the microwave treatment did not result in sparks even when there  
247 was metal present on the N95, which is consistent with previous reports (5). Our  
248 decontamination protocol was validated with a glass container, and it is possible that  
249 steam generation and results would be affected using containers made of other  
250 materials.

251 We began our study using a ceramic coffee mug to generate steam. However,  
252 there were several limitations to this method. Notably, sizes of ceramic mugs vary  
253 widely, making this method hard to standardize. Although we observed complete

254 sterilization of the 1 cm<sup>2</sup> coupons on the ceramic coffee mug, we did not observe a  
255 similar result using the entire N95 respirator (Figure 2) where significant quantities of  
256 viable virus remained post-treatment (10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> PFU). In contrast, the larger surface area  
257 provided by the glass container led to almost complete sterilization at all locations.  
258 Furthermore, the 10 cm diameter mug opening was still too small to suspend the elastic  
259 straps of the respiratory above the mug orifice. This led to inefficient decontamination of  
260 the elastic straps, in contrast to findings using the larger glass container. Our study  
261 highlights the need to examine the whole respirator for disinfection rather than just small  
262 coupons isolated from the filtration material as has been generally performed in N95  
263 respirator disinfection studies.

264 Taken together, this work demonstrates the effectiveness of an affordable,  
265 simple method of N95 respirator decontamination. Use of common household items and  
266 the ability to re-sterilize the respirator at least 20 times without detriment to filtration or fit  
267 provides a compelling disinfection method that should prove generally accessible to  
268 diverse settings including outpatient practices, frontline providers, and remote clinical  
269 settings.

270

## 271 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 272 **Generation of High Titer MS2 Phage Lysate**

273 MS2 bacteriophage were recovered from cultures of *Escherichia coli* strain  
274 W1485 using standard phage isolation techniques (19). We added 2 mL of 10<sup>9</sup> PFU/mL  
275 MS2 to a 50 mL culture of *E. coli* W1485 in exponential phase (OD ~ 0.2). Overnight  
276 growth resulted in MS2-mediated lysis of growing cells. This suspension was

277 centrifuged at 4000 g for 10 minutes and filtered through a 0.22 micron polyethylene  
278 filter. The filtrate was then assessed for viral titer and adjusted to a final concentration of  
279  $10^9$  PFU/mL for all downstream experiments.

## 280 **N95 Respirator Decontamination**

281 Decontamination tests were conducted using 3M N95s (Model 1860 Health Care  
282 Particulate Respirator and Surgical Mask). Excised  $1\text{cm}^2$  coupons or whole N95  
283 respirators were treated with  $10\ \mu\text{L}$  of  $10^9$  PFU/mL MS2 resulting in a  $\sim 10^7$  PFU  
284 inoculation. When a whole respirator was treated, ten sections were demarcated and  
285 inoculated, including two spots on the elastic straps. The inoculated N95 coupons and  
286 whole respirators were then allowed to dry inside a biosafety cabinet for 2 hours. Once  
287 dried, pre-cut triplicate N95 respirator coupons were removed to quantify viral load prior  
288 to intervention.

289 Mesh from produce bags (multiple variants were utilized in this study) were  
290 secured across the top of either a ceramic mug or glass container with rubber bands.  
291 The mug utilized in this study was 10cm in diameter. The glass container (Snapware 4-  
292 cup Food Storage Container made with Pyrex Glass) is roughly 17cm x17cm x7.5cm  
293 (6.5x6.5x3in). Both the mug and glass container were filled with 60ml ( $\frac{1}{4}$  cup) of water  
294 for steam generation. N95 coupons and respirators were placed outward facing side  
295 down, onto the mesh, for direct suspension above the steam (Figure 1C, 3B). When a  
296 Ziploc bag was utilized, a 2 cm slit was cut in the upper-right side of the bag to vent  
297 excess steam. The N95 respirator coupons and whole respirators were then treated for  
298 the indicated time in either a 1150W or a 1100W microwave. Both microwaves utilized

299 in this study contain a turntable for uniform heating. It is noteworthy that results were  
300 consistent between both microwaves used in this study.

301 For dry heat treatment, 1cm<sup>2</sup> N95 respirator coupons were exposed to 105°C dry  
302 heat for 60 minutes in a hybridization oven.

### 303 **MS2 phage quantification**

304 Viral load on pre- and post-intervention N95 respirators and coupons was  
305 measured using established plaque assay protocols (19, 20). For whole respirators,  
306 each treated area was cut and removed from the N95 and processed individually. Each  
307 piece was submerged in 1 mL LB broth and vortexed for one minute to elute MS2  
308 phage. The LB suspension was then serially diluted, following which 100 µL from each  
309 of the phage dilutions was mixed with 100 µL of exponential phase host *E. coli* W1485  
310 cells. This mixture was then vortexed with 3 mL of LB top agar (0.6% agar w/v) and  
311 spread on LB agar plates (1.5% agar w/v). All plates were incubated at 37°C overnight  
312 to allow for bacterial lawns and viral plaques to grow. Plaques were quantified and the  
313 total PFU burden was calculated. The limit of detection of this assay was 10 PFU.

### 314 **N95 Respirator Filtration and Fit testing**

315 N95 respirators treated 1, 5 and 20 consecutive times were examined for overall  
316 integrity and filtration performance. There were not any observed changes in comfort,  
317 breathing effort, or odor between control and microwave-treated respirators.  
318 Quantitative respirator fit testing was conducted using a PortaCount Pro 8030 Fit Tester  
319 (TSI incorporated). Testing was conducted in accordance with the definitions,  
320 thresholds, and protocols for respiratory protective equipment outlined in OSHA  
321 29CFR1910.134 (<https://www.osha.gov/laws->

322 [regs/regulations/standardnumber/1910/1910.134](#)). Sodium chloride was used as a non-  
323 hazardous test aerosol using a TSI particle generator. Fit factor was calculated  
324 automatically on the PortaCount Pro 8030 Fit Test. Fit Factor represents the ratio of the  
325 average ambient aerosol concentration to that measured inside the respirator during  
326 each exercise. For testing, a sample port was installed in the breathing zone of the  
327 respirator using N95 fit test adaptors. The respirator was donned for five minutes before  
328 the quantitative test to check for adequacy of respirator fit, perform user seal checks,  
329 and purge particles trapped inside the respirator. The fit-test entailed the seven OSHA-  
330 approved exercises, each up to one minute in duration, including: Normal Breathing,  
331 Deep Breathing, Head Side to Side, Head Up and Down, Talking, Grimacing (15  
332 seconds only), and Bending Over. The PortaCount Pro Fit Tester calculated the Fit  
333 Factor for each exercise, as well as an overall, averaged Fit Factor. Passing entailed a  
334 minimum Fit Factor of 100 on individual exercises and overall score alike. In keeping  
335 with the operational manual, the PortaCount Pro Fit Tester underwent daily  
336 maintenance checks throughout the period of testing, to ensure continuous quality  
337 monitoring.

### 338 **Water Retention Studies**

339 We quantified water absorption into N95 respirators during the microwave steam  
340 decontamination treatment as described previously (6). We measured the mass of N95  
341 respirators pre-treatment and immediately post-treatment using an analytical balance.  
342 We subtracted the pretreatment mass from the post-treatment to quantify the amount of  
343 water absorption. In all assays, there was <1mg difference between the two  
344 measurements, which remained constant even with multiple treatment cycles.

345

346 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

347           We would like to thank the laboratory of Michael Baym at Harvard Medical  
348 School for the generous gift of the MS2 phage and the host *E. coli* strain and Thea  
349 Brennan-Krohn for critical review of the manuscript. KEZ was supported by the National  
350 Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases training grant (T32AI007061). The content is  
351 solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official  
352 views of the National Institutes of Health.

353

354 **REFERENCES**

- 355 1. Anonymous. 2020. Characteristics of Health Care Personnel with COVID-19 -  
356 United States, February 12-April 9, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 69:477-  
357 481.
- 358 2. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. 2020. An interactive web-based dashboard to track  
359 COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect Dis* doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30120-1.
- 360 3. Occupational Safety and Health. Respiratory Protective Devices; Final Rules and  
361 Notices, US Department of Health and Health Services, Public Health Service,  
362 Federal Register, 60 (110), 30335–30393.
- 363 4. Bergman MS, Viscusi DJ, Palmiero AJ, Powell JB, Shaffer RE. 2011. Impact of  
364 Three Cycles of Decontamination Treatments on Filtering Facepiece Respirator  
365 Fit. *Journal of the International Society for Respiratory Protection* 28:48-59.
- 366 5. Viscusi DJ, Bergman MS, Novak DA, Faulkner KA, Palmiero A, Powell J, Shaffer  
367 RE. 2011. Impact of three biological decontamination methods on filtering  
368 facepiece respirator fit, odor, comfort, and donning ease. *J Occup Environ Hyg*  
369 8:426-36.
- 370 6. Fisher EM, Williams JL, Shaffer RE. 2011. Evaluation of microwave steam bags  
371 for the decontamination of filtering facepiece respirators. *PLoS One* 6:e18585.
- 372 7. Heimbuch BK, Wallace WH, Kinney K, Lumley AE, Wu CY, Woo MH, Wander  
373 JD. 2011. A pandemic influenza preparedness study: use of energetic methods  
374 to decontaminate filtering facepiece respirators contaminated with H1N1 aerosols  
375 and droplets. *Am J Infect Control* 39:e1-9.


- 376 8. Lore MB, Heimbuch BK, Brown TL, Wander JD, Hinrichs SH. 2012. Effectiveness  
377 of three decontamination treatments against influenza virus applied to filtering  
378 facepiece respirators. *Ann Occup Hyg* 56:92-101.
- 379 9. Dunkin N, Weng S, Schwab KJ, McQuarrie J, Bell K, Jacangelo JG. 2017.  
380 Comparative Inactivation of Murine Norovirus and MS2 Bacteriophage by  
381 Peracetic Acid and Monochloramine in Municipal Secondary Wastewater  
382 Effluent. *Environ Sci Technol* 51:2972-2981.
- 383 10. Wyrzykowska-Ceradini B, Calfee MW, Touati A, Wood J, Mickelsen RL, Miller L,  
384 Colby M, Slone C, Gatchalian NG, Pongur SG, Aslett D. 2019. The use of  
385 bacteriophage MS2 for the development and application of a virucide  
386 decontamination test method for porous and heavily soiled surfaces. *J Appl*  
387 *Microbiol* 127:1315-1326.
- 388 11. Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q. 2020. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2  
389 in clinical samples. *Lancet Infect Dis* 20:411-412.
- 390 12. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Respiratory Protection, Personal  
391 Protective Equipment. 1910.134. Occupational Safety and Health Standards.  
392 United States Department of Labor,
- 393 13. van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A,  
394 Williamson BN, Tamin A, Harcourt JL, Thornburg NJ, Gerber SI, Lloyd-Smith JO,  
395 de Wit E, Munster VJ. 2020. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as  
396 Compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med* 382:1564-1567.

- 397 14. Baluja A, Arines J, Vilanova R, Bao-Varela C, Flores-Arias MT. 2020. UV light  
398 dosage distribution over irregular respirator surfaces. Methods and implications  
399 for safety. medRxiv doi:10.1101/2020.04.07.20057224:2020.04.07.20057224.
- 400 15. National Research Council (US) Committee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels:  
401 Volume 9. 2010. Ethylene Oxide Acute Exposure Guideline Levels., on National  
402 Academies Press (US). Available from:  
403 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK208167/>. Accessed April 18, 2020.
- 404 16. Schwartz A, Stiegel M, Greeson N, Vogel A, Thomann W, Brown M, Sempowski  
405 GD, Alderman TS, Condrey JP, Burch J, Wolfe C, Smith B, Lewis S. 2020.  
406 Decontamination and Reuse of N95 Respirators with Hydrogen Peroxide Vapor  
407 to Address Worldwide Personal Protective Equipment Shortages During the  
408 SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Pandemic. Applied Biosafety  
409 doi:10.1177/1535676020919932:1535676020919932.
- 410 17. Fischer R, Morris DH, van Doremalen N, Sarchette S, Matson J, Bushmaker T,  
411 Yinda CK, Seifert S, Gamble A, Williamson B, Judson S, de Wit E, Lloyd-Smith J,  
412 Munster V. 2020. Assessment of N95 respirator decontamination and re-use for  
413 SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv  
414 doi:10.1101/2020.04.11.20062018:2020.04.11.20062018.
- 415 18. Gallandat K, Wolfe MK, Lantagne D. 2017. Surface Cleaning and Disinfection:  
416 Efficacy Assessment of Four Chlorine Types Using Escherichia coli and the  
417 Ebola Surrogate Phi6. Environ Sci Technol 51:4624-4631.
- 418 19. Adams MH. 1959. Enumeration of bacteriophage particles, p 27–34,  
419 Bacteriophages. Interscience Publishers, Ltd, London.

- 420 20. Anderson B, Rashid MH, Carter C, Pasternack G, Rajanna C, Revazishvili T,  
421 Dean T, Senecal A, Sulakvelidze A. 2011. Enumeration of bacteriophage  
422 particles: Comparative analysis of the traditional plaque assay and real-time  
423 QPCR- and nanosight-based assays. *Bacteriophage* 1:86-93.
- 424  
425


426  
427  
428

## FIGURE LEGENDS



429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442


**Figure 1: N95 microwave steam decontamination by ceramic mug either inside or in the absence of Ziploc containment. (A)** Image of ceramic mug decontamination system. A 10 cm diameter mug was filled with 60 ml of water and covered with mesh from a produce bag, secured with a rubber band. Triplicate N95 1cm<sup>2</sup> coupons were placed on top of the mesh. The mug was then placed in the microwave either in a sealed, ventilated Ziploc bag or directly into the microwave. **(B)** After a 1-minute microwave treatment, with or without Ziplog bag enclosure, or a 60-minute treatment with dry 105°C heat, phage was extracted from N95 coupons and quantified by plaque assay. Triplicate untreated N95 coupons were included as controls in all assays. There was no significant reduction in plaque titer between Ziploc-enclosed and open mug decontamination systems or between dry-heat treated and untreated controls ( $p = 0.9$  or  $p=0.66$  respectively as determined by ANOVA with Holm Sidak post-hoc test). PFU = plaque forming units, a direct measure of viable viral titer.



443


444 **Figure 2: N95 decontamination by microwave generated steam over an open**  
 445 **ceramic mug. (A)** Triplicate N95 coupons treated with  $10^7$  PFU MS2 were placed on  
 446 the mesh covered ceramic mug and treated for the indicated durations in an 1100W  
 447 microwave. After treatment, phage was extracted from N95 coupons and quantified by  
 448 plaque assay. **(B)** We next evaluated treatment of an entire N95 respirator on the mug  
 449 decontamination system. **(C)**  $10^7$  PFU of MS2 was spotted on 10 pre-marked sections  
 450 of a whole N95 respirator as indicated. **(D)** After a 3-minute treatment in an 1100W  
 451 microwave demarcated pre-treated segments measuring  $1\text{ cm}^2$  were excised from the  
 452 respirator, and MS2 phage was then extracted and quantified by plaque assay.  
 453 Triplicate untreated pre-cut N95 coupons were included as a control in all assays. Bars  
 454 shown are mean and standard deviation of phage titers from each excised segment  
 455 from a single respirator. \* indicates no viable MS2 detected. Limit of detection of all

456 assays is 10 PFU. Data shown are representative of three separate respirator  
457 experiments.  
458  
459



460  
461 **Figure 3: N95 decontamination with microwave-generated steam over an open**  
462 **glass container. (A-B)** Image of glass container decontamination system. A 17 cm x 17  
463 cm glass container was filled with 60 ml of water, covered with mesh from a produce  
464 bag, secured with a rubber band. **(C)** Triplicate N95 respirator coupons inoculated with  
465  $10^7$  PFU MS2 phage, placed on the mesh-covered container, and treated for indicated  
466 times in an 1100W microwave. After treatment, MS2 phage was extracted from N95  
467 coupons and quantified by plaque assay. **(D)**  $10^7$  PFU of MS2 phage was spotted on 10  
468 different pre-marked locations on a N95 respirator as indicated. **(E)** The whole N95  
469 respirator was then treated for 3 minutes as shown in Fig. 3B in an 1100W microwave.  
470 Demarcated segments measuring 1 cm<sup>2</sup> encompassing the area of inoculation were  
471 excised from respirator, and MS2 phage was extracted and quantified by plaque assay.  
472 Triplicate untreated pre-cut N95 coupons were included as a control in all assays. Data  
473 shown are the mean and standard deviation of plaque titers from a single respirator and  
474 are representative of three separate experiments. In one experiment, no viable PFU  
475 were detected from all excised segments (data not shown). \* indicates no viable MS2  
476 was detected. Limit of detection of all assays is 10 PFU.

**A.**



**B.**

