- 1 Knowledge, attitude, practice and perception regarding COVID-19 among students in
- 2 Bangladesh: Survey in Rajshahi University
- 3 **Authors:**
- 4 <sup>1</sup>Md. Abdul Wadood, E-mail: nazibw@gmail.com
- 5 <sup>2</sup>ASMA Mamun, E-mail: <u>mithun\_stat@yahoo.com</u>
- 6 <sup>3</sup>Md. Abdur Rafi, E-mail: <u>abdurrafi007@gmail.com</u>
- 7 <sup>2</sup>Md kamrul Islam, E-mail: <u>kiron94ru@gmail.com</u>
- 8 <sup>4</sup>Suhaili Mohd, E-mail: suhailimohd@ummc.edu.my
- 9 <sup>4</sup>Lai Lee Lee, E-mail: <u>laill@um.edu.my</u>
- 10 <sup>2</sup>Md. Golam Hossain, E-mail: hossain95@yahoo.com
- 11 Affiliations
- <sup>1</sup>Medical Centre, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi- 6205, Bangladesh
- <sup>2</sup>Health Research Group, Department of Statistics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205,
- 14 Bangladesh

27

28

- <sup>3</sup>Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi-6100, Bangladesh
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery (NOCERAL), Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya,
- 17 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- 19 **Short title:** Knowledge, attitude, practice and perception of students regarding COVID-19
- 20 **Corresponding Author:**
- 21 Md. Golam Hossain
- 22 Professor, Health Research Group
- 23 Department of Statistics, University of Rajshahi
- 24 Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh
- Email: <a href="mailto:hossain95@yahoo.com">hossain95@yahoo.com</a>
- 26 Telephone: +880721750597

## **Abstract**

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

**Background:** The number of infection and death by COVID-19 has been rapidly increasing since December 2019 in all over the world. Until now, there is no specific treatment or vaccine for this disease; WHO suggests only some protective measures like maintaining social distance, staying home, washing hands with soap or sanitizer, wearing mask etc. The objective of this study was to survey knowledge, attitude, practice and perception regarding COVID-19 among students in Rajshahi University, Bangladesh. Methods: We collected data from 305 students of Rajshahi University for this crosssectional study using mixed sampling from March 11 to March 19, 2020. Frequency distribution, Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used in this study. **Results:** Out of 305 participants, 224 (73.4%) and 81 (26.6%) were male and female students respectively. The study revealed that Rajshahi university students had average knowledge on symptoms, protective way and transmission of COVID-19. Female students were more knowledgeable than male. More than one third of the students had negative attitude to avoiding public transport and going out to public places with friends and family. The practice of students practice during our data collection period and in future was not satisfactory. More than one third of students were not keen to stay at home and avoid going to crowded places. The perception towards COVID-19 was not good; they had no idea whether the outbreak would affect their daily routine, study and financial matters, study field work and restrict leisure time of meeting family and relatives.

Conclusions: We found that general knowledge, attitude, practice and perception of the university students regarding COVID-19 were not satisfactory. This indicated that the situation was worse among common people. In Bangladesh, the number of healthcare providers is insufficient. University students can be employed as potential workforce to create awareness among mass people on prevention of COVID-19.

Keywords: University students, Knowledge, Attitude, Practice, Perception, COVID-19, Bangladesh

### Introduction

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has appeared as one of the most severe pandemic and fatal disease in human history. It has already affected millions of people, thousands of whom are dying every day creating panic and a global deadlock in all spheres of life. The health authorities in Wuhan City of China found 27 pneumonia cases of unknown etiology on 31 December, 2019, and Wuhan's Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market was detected as the source of the infection [1] that turned into an outbreak. On January 9, 2020, the China Government reported that the causative agent of the outbreak was 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)'. The World Health Organization (WHO) named the virus '2019 novel coronavirus' (2019NCV) and termed the disease 'COVID-19' [2]. Later, WHO declared the outbreak a Public Health Emergency of International Concern [3]. The Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention reported that the fatality rate of diagnosed cases was 2.3%, with an increasing risk in the subjects aged 60 and older (3.6% in subjects 60-69 years old; 8% in subjects 70-79 years old; and 14.8% in subjects aged 80 and older), and those with comorbidities (case fatality rate in healthy subjects was 0.9%) [4]. According to the Corona Virus Resource Centre of John Hopkins University and Medicine, 1,498,833 people were confirmed to contract the 2019NCV by April 9, 2020 in 184 countries and out of them, 89,435 people died [5]. The scenario is changing every day with increase in number of infection and death. Evidences show that mammals and birds are the reservoirs of 2019NCV [6-7]. In Wuhan, the virus attacked only those people who visited the wet animal market and their contacts [8-9] indicating that person-to-person transmission is the likely route for spread of 2019NCV. The virus spreads primarily through droplets of saliva or discharge from the nose of an infected

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

person when she/he coughs or sneezes [10]. WHO reports that the best way to prevent and slow down the transmission of 2019NCV is to be well informed about it, the disease it causes, and mode of its transmission, and suggests people to wash hands with soap or use hand sanitizers frequently, avoid touching the face, mouth, nose and eyes with unwashed or non-sanitized hands, maintaining social distance, and staying home to remain protected from the infection [10]. Individuals should also practice respiratory etiquette such as coughing and sneezing into a flexed elbow, cover mouth and nose with handkerchief or tissue paper and wearing masks to avoid spreading of the virus [10]. Touching surfaces contaminated with the virus is also a way of spread of 2019NCV that may survive on surfaces for several hours and days [11]. Till now, there is no specific vaccine or medicinal treatment for COVID-19 [10]. The disease is manifested by fever, sore throat and mild to moderate respiratory problems and most cases are cured without any special treatment [10]. It may get severe and life-threatening in people of older age and with comorbidities like cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, and cancer [10]. Though the outbreak of COVID-19 is a very recent occurrence, many studies have already been done on it. But, to the best of our knowledge, no study has yet been conducted on it with Bangladeshi population. In Bangladesh, COVID-19 situation is aggravating day by day. As of April 9, 2020, a total of 218 confirmed cases of COVID-19 were detected and 20 of them died [12]. This might be an underestimate, as the facilities of 2019NCV test are very limited here. Bangladesh is an over-populated country with a population density of 976/sq.km. [13], where most of its people do not maintain proper personal hygiene. The situation may turn very serious here. The government and the concerned non-government authorities have taken many measures, though too late and insufficient, to contain the outbreak. Educational institutions, offices and markets have been closed, and the whole country has been put under

lock-down for more than two weeks. The government, non-government, social and professional organizations as well as the print and electronic media of the country is conducting massive publicity on mode of transmission of the virus, and sign-symptoms and measures of prevention, control and treatment of COVID-19. However, there are media observations that a considerable number of people are not following the suggestions properly. It is evidenced from previous pandemics that lack of proper knowledge about the disease is associated with negative emotion among people which can further complicate the attempts of preventing the spread of the disease [14]. Taking the perspective into our consideration, we aimed to assess knowledge, attitude, practice and perception regarding COVID-19 among the students of Rajshahi University who are assets of the nation, and thought to be more knowledgeable and conscious about contemporary happenings in home and abroad.

# **Material and Methods**

### **Materials**

This cross-sectional study was conducted from March 11 to March 19, 2020. Rajshahi University was considered as the target area, and all its students were selected as population for the study. Rajshahi University is the second largest university of Bangladesh with an approximate number of 38,300 students who come here from all over the country for higher education. Our study

population comprised of non-medical students.

### Methods

**Questionnaire:** We developed a questionnaire following the instructions and guidelines of WHO that had five parts: (i) general information of students, (ii) knowledge on COVID-19; it was subdivided into three portions: (a) knowledge on signs and symptoms of COVID-19, (b)

knowledge on the protective ways to prevent COVID-19, (c) knowledge on COVID transmission, (iii) perception about COVID-19; it was subdivided into two portions: (a) perception towards COVID-19, and (b) perception about the impact of COVID-19, (iv) attitude towards COVID-19, and (v) practice regarding COVID-19. The draft questionnaire was sent to some experts and finally it was revised following the experts' opinions and suggestions. We could not conduct pilot study for post-testing of this questionnaire for shortage of time.

- **Sample size determination:** The population size was known (34,300). In such case, the following formula would be appropriate to calculate the required sample for this study:
- $n = \frac{N}{1 + Nd^2}$ , where n = required sample size, N= population size, and d= margin of error (we
- have considered d= 0.05) [15]. The formula provided that 396 samples would be sufficient for

this study.

Sampling and data collection: Mixed sampling was utilized in this study for selecting our required samples. In the first step, we selected three departments from 58 departments using random sampling (by lottery); the departments were: (i) Statistics, (ii) Mathematics and (iii) Physics. In the second step, one academic year was selected randomly from each selected department; these were: (i) second year from Statistics, (ii) first year from Mathematics, and (iii) first year from Physics. In the third step, cluster sampling was used for collecting information from the students of the selected years of the selected departments. Students were taken to a particular class room and briefed about the objectives of the study. Written consents of the agreed students were taken. They were abandoned from using their cell phones, laptops and internet. They filled up the questionnaire in presence of the researchers without discussion with their friends. A total number of 305 students were interviewed using this procedure. We could

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

interview no more students as the university was closed for COVID-19 outbreak in the country. We decided to complete the study with data from 305 students. **Ethics statement:** Prior to collecting data, we took ethical clearance from the Ethical Committee, Institute of Biological Sciences (IBSc), Raishahi University, Bangladesh to study on communicable diseases. Statistical analysis: All collected data were entered into SPSS (IBM, version 21) for analysis. Level of students' knowledge on COVID-19, and percentage of their perception, attitude and practice based on the selected questions were determined by frequency distribution. The normality of the data was checked by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The histogram, the test and graph demonstrated that our data were not normally distributed. We used Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis (nonparametric) tests to find the significance of difference in number of correct answers between two and more than two groups respectively. Statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05. **Results** Of 305 samples, 73.4% were male and 26.6% were female students. Their age ranged from 17 to 28 years with mean and median ages of 20.66±1.78 and 20 years respectively. More than half of the students (51.1%) came from rural area. Near about 50% fathers and 25.6% mothers of the students were highly educated. 76.1% students came from nuclear family (Table 3). 91.8% students answered that fever was a symptom of COVID-19 followed by dry cough (81.3%), difficulty in breathing (78.4%), a general feeling of unwell (77.7%), short of breath (70.8%), headache (55.1%), running nose (54.8%), sore throat (49.8%) and diarrhea (16.4%).

More than 43% reported that chest pain was also a symptom of COVID-19 (Table 2), 97.7% students believed that hand washing with soaps and water could prevent COVID-19 followed by avoidance of touching nose, mouth and eyes with unwashed hands, covering mouth and nose with tissue or handkerchief during coughing or sneezing, wearing clean surgical mask when attacked of respiratory illness (coughing, sneezing and flu), avoiding contact with people having respiratory illness symptoms such as coughing, sneezing and flu, cleaning and disinfecting objects and surface, and always eating fully cooked eggs and meat. Some students had wrong concepts also. 39.7% students believed that eating rice and vegetables could give protection from COVID-19, and another 16.1% students felt no need of maintaining distance from infected persons for protection from COVID-19 (Table 1). 97% students answered that COVID-19 could spread from person to person through coughing or sneezing, from animal to human, through objects contaminated with the virus, and eating not properly handled and cooked wild animal meat and food. More than 84% students had wrong concept that COVID-19 could transmit through touching persons with flu. 52.5% and 10.8% students believed that COVID-19 could spread through water and food, and mosquito bite respectively (Table 1).

**Table 1:** General knowledge on COVID-19

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

What are the signs and symptoms of COVID-19?					
	Yes, N (%)	No, N (%)	Don't know, N (%)		
A general feeling of unwell	237 (77.7)	46 (15.1)	22 (7.2)		
Fever	280 (91.8)	22 (7.2)	3 (1.0)		
Dry cough	248 (81.3)	44 (14.4)	13 (4.3)		
Sore throat	152 (49.8)	93 (30.5)	60 (19.7)		
Short of breath	216 (70.8)	57 (18.7)	32 (10.5)		
Difficulty in breathing	239 (78.4)	44 (14.4)	22 (7.2)		
Headache	168 (55.1)	95 (31.1)	42 (13.8)		
Running nose	167 (54.8)	96 (31.5)	42 (13.8)		
Diarrhea	50 (16.4)	221 (72.5)	34 (11.1)		

Chest pain 134 (43.9) 129 (42.3) 42 (13.8)

What is the protective way	to COVID-19	?	
Avoid contact with people who have respiratory	266 (87.2)	36 (11.8)	3 (1.0)
illness symptoms such as coughing, sneezing and flu			
Avid touching nose, mouth and eyes with unwashed	296 (97.0)	8 (2.6)	1 (0.3)
hands			
Always perform hand washing with soaps and water	298 (97.7)	5 (1.6)	2 (0.7)
Always eat fully cook eggs and meat	227 (74.4)	62 (20.3)	16 (5.2)
Always eat rice and vegetables	121 (39.7)	146 (47.9)	38 (12.5)
Clean and disinfect objects and surface	244 (80.0)	36 (11.8)	25 (8.2)
Cover mouth and nose with tissue or handkerchief	286 (93.8)	13 (4.3)	6 (2.0)
when cough or sneeze			
Wear clean surgical mask when you have respiratory	280 (91.8)	19 (6.2)	6 (2.0)
illness symptoms such as coughing, sneezing and flu			
Don't need to maintain distance from infected person	49 (16.1)	248 (81.3)	8 (2.6
Knowledge on COVID-19	transmission		
COVID-19 can be spread from animal to human	240 (78.7)	35 (11.5)	30 (9.8)
Novel coronavirus can be spread from person to	296 (97.0)	7 (2.3)	2 (0.7)
person			
A person can get COVID-19 through coughing or	287 (94.1)	11 (3.6)	7 (2.3)
sneezing from a COVID-19 person			
A person can get COVID-19 through mosquito bite	33 (10.8)	236 (77.4)	36 (11.8)
A person can get COVID-19 through water and food	160 (52.5)	107 (35.1)	38 (12.5)
A person can get COVID-19 through objects	238 (78.0)	31 (10.2)	36 (11.8)
contaminated with coronavirus			
A person can get COVID-19 by touching other person	259 (84.9)	32 (10.5)	14 (4.6)
with flu viruses and then touching their own mouth or			
nose			

Table 2 shows the level of knowledge on COVID-19 among university students. Only 3.9% students gave correct answers of all questions regarding signs and symptoms of COVID-19, while more than 46% students answered 6-7 questions correctly. About half of the students (49.5%) knew the ways of prevention of COVID-19. 35.1% students had knowledge about the possible ways of COVID-19 transmission.

**Table 2:** Level of knowledge on COVID-19 among university students

Question	Number of correct answer	Level of knowledge, N (%)	Question	Number of correct answer	Level of knowledge, N (%)
What are	0	3 (1.0)	What is the	2	2 (0.3)
the signs $1$ $5(1.6)$ prod	protective	3	5 (1.6)		
and	2	7 (2.3)	way to avoid	4	14 (4.6)
symptoms 3	3	13 (4.3)	COVID	5	37 (12.1)
of Covid-	4	36 (11.8)	infections?	6	97 (31.8)
19?	5	53 (17.4)		7	151 (49.5)
	6	82 (26.9)	Knowledge	1	1 (0.3)
	7	62 (20.3)	on COVID-19	2	6 (2.0)
	8	32 (10.5)	transmission	3	31 (10.2)
	9	12 (3.9)		4	56 (18.4
				5	104 (34.1)
				6	107 (35.1)

The average number of 5.76±1.76 questions on signs and symptoms, 6.22±0.98 questions on protective way to avoid COVID-19 and 4.89±1.08 questions on transmission of COVID-19 were correctly answered by the participants. Mann-Whitney test demonstrated that female students gave significantly more correct answers to the questions regarding protective way to avoid and transmission of COVID-19 than male students (p<0.05). No significant difference was observed between urban and rural, nuclear and joint family, and among parent's education levels regarding knowledge on COVID-19 (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Average knowledge on symptoms, protective way and transmission of COVID-19 by different characteristics of participants

Characteristics	Sings and	Protective way to	Knowledge on
	symptoms,	avoid COVID-19,	transmission,
	Mean±SD,	Mean±SD,	Mean±SD,
	5.76±1.76	6.22±0.98	4.89±1.08
Gender	M-W test	M-W test	M-W test
	value=8173 <sup>n</sup>	value=7587*	value=7534*
Male, 224(73.4)	5.68±1.79	6.11±1.05	4.80±1.11
Female, 81(26.6)	5.99±1.66	6.48±0.67	5.14±0.96
Original residence	M-W test	M-W test	M-W test
	value=11070 <sup>n</sup>	value=11128 <sup>n</sup>	value=11570 <sup>n</sup>

Urban, 149(48.9)	5.69±1.75	6.25±0.98	4.91±1.01
Rural, 156(51.1)	5.83±1.77	6.19±0.98	4.87±1.15
Type of family	M-W test	M-W test	M-W test
	value=79430 <sup>n</sup>	value=8283 <sup>n</sup>	value=0.948 <sup>n</sup>
Nuclear, 232(76.1)	5.79±1.77	6.20±1.00	4.89±1.07
Joint, 73(23.9)	5.67±1.72	6.27±0.90	4.89±1.11
Fathers' education	K-W test,	K-W test,	K-W test,
	Chi-square	Chi-square	Chi-square
	value=1.074 <sup>n</sup>	value=1.712 <sup>n</sup>	value=5.372 <sup>n</sup>
Uneducated, 25(8.2)	5.28±2.23	6.12±0.93	5.28±0.79
Primary, 48(15.7)	5.79±1.69	6.08±1.15	4.71±1.11
Secondary, 86(28.2)	5.92±1.69	6.28±0.99	4.80±1.09
Higher, 146(47.9)	5.74±1.73	6.25±0.92	4.94±1.09
Mothers' education	K-W test,	K-W test,	K-W test,
	Chi-square	Chi-square	Chi-square
	value=3.704 <sup>n</sup>	value=0.832 <sup>n</sup>	value=3.228 <sup>n</sup>
Uneducated, 36(11.8)	5.22±2.14	6.17±0.91	4.83±1.06
Primary, 65(21.3)	5.94±1.60	6.18±0.97	4.69±1.16
Secondary, 126(41.3)	5.87±1.76	6.22±1.00	4.95±1.09
Higher, 78(25.6)	5.67±1.67	6.27±0.99	4.99±1.00

**N.B.:** SD: Standard deviation; M-W: Mann-Whitney; K-W: Kruskal-Wallis; \*: 5% level of significance; n: non-significance.

82.0% students were afraid to contact people with flu symptoms followed by eating wildlife animal's meat, going abroad with friends and family, eating raw food, going to crowed places, eating outside food from hawker centers, contacting friends and relatives just coming back from overseas, going out to public places with friends and family, and taking public transport. On the other hand, 41.6% and 30.5% did not like to avoid taking public transport and going out to public places with friends and family respectively (Table 4).

 Table 4: Attitude toward COVID-19 among university students

Level of fearful	Yes	No	Occasionally
Are you afraid to contact with people who has flu symptoms such as cough, running nose, sneezing and fever?	250 (82.0)	34 (11.1)	21 (6.9)
Are you afraid to eat outside food from	224 (73.4)	63 (20.7)	18 (5.9)

hawker centers?			
Are you afraid of eating raw food?	228 (74.8)	62 (20.3)	15 (4.9)
Are you are afraid of eating wildlife animal's meat?	246 (80.7)	46 (15.1)	13 (4.3)
Are you afraid to contact your friends and relatives who just back from overseas?	220 (72.1)	60 (19.7)	25 (8.2)
Are you afraid to go to crowed places?	224 (73.4)	57 (18.7)	24 (7.9)
Are you avoiding going out to public places with friends and family?	183 (60.0)	93 (30.5)	29 (9.5)
Are you avoiding going abroad with friends and family?	229 (75.1)	67 (22.0)	9 (3.0)
Are you avoiding taking public transport (e.g taxi, bus, train and airplane)?	148 (48.5)	127 (41.6)	30 (9.8)

It was observed that 89.5%, 82.3%, 66.6%, 59.7% and 53.8% students currently had or will have the practice of washing hands frequently, performing healthy lifestyle, taking medicines if necessary, staying home and avoiding crowded places and wearing surgical face masks while going out in public places respectively to avoid COVID-19 attack. More than 39% students had negative practice of not wearing surgical face masks while going out in public places, and 32.1% and 27.2 % students will not like to practice staying at home and avoid going to crowded places and taking medicine if they feel unwell respectively (Table 5).

**Table 5:** Practice toward COVID-19 among university students

Practice to avoid COVID-19	Yes	No	Occasionally
Do you wash your hands frequently and thoroughly?	273 (89.5)	18 (5.9)	14 (4.6)
Are you are wearing surgical face mask when out in public?	164 (53.8)	121 (39.7)	20 (6.6)
Will you stay at home and avoid go to crowded places?	182 (59.7)	98 (32.1)	25 (8.2)
Will you take medicine (conventional or traditional medicine) if you feel your body unwell?	203 (66.6)	83 (27.2)	19 (6.2)
Will you perform healthy lifestyle (eat nutritious food, exercise regularly, and get enough of rest or sleep) to maintain your body health and to avoid infection?	251 (82.3)	32 (10.5)	22 (7.2)

Only 21% students showed positive attitude answering all 9 questions correctly, while 36.4%, 29.2% and 12.5% students showed positive attitude with correctly answering 7-8, 4-6 and 1-3 questions respectively. Proper practices (answering all questions correctly) were found among 24% students while 54.1% and 20.4% students provided 3-4 and 1-2 correct answers showing their poor practice (Table 6).

**Table 6:** Level of positive attitude and good practice on COVID-19 among university students

Attitude	Number of positive answer	Level of positive attitude, N (%)	Practice	Number of positive answer	Level of good practice, N (%)
Attitude	0	3 (1.0)		0	3 (1.0)
toward COVID-19	1	5 (1.6)	Practice	1	13 (4.3)
	2	24 (7.9)	toward	2	49 (16.1)
	3	9 (3.0)	COVID-19	3	73 (23.9)
	4	22 (7.2)	]	4	92 (30.2)
	5	5 (10.5)		5	75 (24.6)
	6	35 (11.5)			
	7	50 (16.4)	_		
	8	61 (20.0)	_		
	9	64 (21.0)	_		

The average level of positive attitude and good practice among students were  $6.40\pm2.32$  and  $3.52\pm1.20$  respectively. Mann-Whitney test demonstrates that female students  $(3.86\pm1.16)$  were significantly more practicing to avoid COVID-19 than male students  $(3.39\pm1.19)$  (p<0.01), and students coming from rural environment  $(6.70\pm2.12)$  showed more positive attitude than their counterparts  $(6.09\pm2.49)$  (p<0.05). Students living in nuclear family  $(3.62\pm1.16)$  had more practice to avoid COVID-19 than students coming from joint family  $(3.21\pm1.28)$  (p<0.05). We did not find the significance of difference in attitude and practice between other groups (Table 7).

**Table 7:** Positive attitude and good practice of COVID-19 by different characteristics of participants

Characteristics	Attitude, Mean±SD, 6.40±2.32	Practice, Mean±SD, 3.52±1.20
Gender	M-W test value=8015 <sup>n</sup>	M-W test value=6964**
Male, 224(73.4)	6.33 ±2.21	3.39±1.19
Female, 81(26.6)	6.58±2.62	3.86±1.16
Original residence	M-W test value=10110*	M-W test value=10868 <sup>n</sup>
Urban, 149(48.9)	6.09±2.49	3.46±1.15
Rural, 156(51.1)	6.70±2.12	3.57±1.25
Type of family	M-W test value=8376 <sup>n</sup>	M-W test value=6935*
Nuclear, 232(76.1)	6.42±2.29	3.62±1.16
Joint, 73(23.9)	6.33±2.43	3.21±1.28
Fathers' education	K-W test, $\chi^2$ -value=6.607 <sup>n</sup>	K-W test, $\chi^2$ -value =5.009 <sup>n</sup>
Uneducated, 25(8.2)	7.00±1.78	3.24±1.23
Primary, 48(15.7)	6.94±2.39	3.83±1.16
Secondary, 86(28.2)	6.24±2.44	3.49±1.23
Higher, 146(47.9)	6.21±2.28	3.48±1.19
Mothers' education	K-W test, $\chi^2$ -value=3.507 <sup>n</sup>	K-W test, $\chi^2$ -value=2.758 <sup>n</sup>
Uneducated, 36(11.8)	6.78±2.09	3.22±1.38
Primary, 65(21.3)	6.65±2.43	3.68±1.17
Secondary, 126(41.3)	6.38±2.19	3.52±1.21
Higher, 78(25.6)	6.05±2.52	3.51±1.13

**N.B.:** SD: Standard deviation; M-W: Mann-Whitney; K-W: Kruskal-Wallis;  $\chi^2$ : Chi-square; \*: 5% level of significance; \*\*: 1% level of significance; n: non-significance.

54.1% students felt they were highly exposed to COVID-19, while near about half of the students thought they were not or not at all exposed. More than 36%, 30%, 27% and 6% students were extremely, very, moderately and not at all worried about getting COVID-19 respectively. 36.4% and 27.5% students were very and moderately worried about the consequences of getting

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

COVID-19 respectively. About one-third (32.5%), 37.7% and 22.0% students were very fearful, fearful and slightly fearful respectively of COVID-19. 23%, 27.5%, 26.6% and 23% students felt that COVID-19 outbreak affected their daily routine with great extent, moderately, very little and not at all respectively. Also, 23%, 17%, 22.3% and 37.7% students thought COVID-19 outbreak affected their study with great extent, moderately, very little and not at all respectively. More than 21%, 16%, 19% and 43% students believed that COVID-19 affected financial matters with great extent, moderately, very little and not at all respectively. More than 29% students did not think that COVID-19 outbreak affected their family's daily routine, while 18%, 30.2% and 22.6% students believed that it affected their family's daily routine with great extent, moderately and very little respectively. About 60% students answered that COVID-19 affected their travel abroad with great extent, while other students thought it had affected their travel abroad moderately (17.4%), little (11.8%) or not at all (11.5%). More than 23% students did not believe that COVID-19 affected their study field works, while more than 33% and 22% students thought it affected their study field works with great extent and moderately respectively. Students believed with great extent (31.1%), moderate (23%), very little (26.9%) and not at all (19%) that COVID-19 restricted their leisure time of meeting friends. They also believed that COVID-19 outbreak restricted their leisure time of meeting family and relatives with great extent (28.9%), moderately (23%), very little (18%) and not at all (30.2%) (Table 8).

 Table 8: Perception of students toward COVID-19 and about its impact

Are you highly exposed to COVID-19?	Yes, N (%) 165 (54.1)	No, N (%) 79 (25.9)	Not at all, N (%) 61 (20.0)	
	Extremely N (%)	Very N (%)	Moderately N (%)	Not at all N (%)

How worried are you about getting COVID-19?	110 (36.1)	92 (30.2)	84 (27.5)	19 (6.2)
How worried are you about the consequences of getting COVID-19?	96 (31.5)	111 (36.4)	84 (27.5)	14 (4.6)
How is your fear level toward COVID-19?	Very fearful N (%) 99 (32.5)	Fearful N (%) 115 (37.7)	Slightly fearful N (%) 67 (22.0)	Not at all fearful, N (%) 24 (7.9)

#### Perception about the impact of COVID-19

r				
	Great		Very	Not at all
	extent	Moderately	little	
The COVID-19 outbreak has	70(23.0)	84(27.5)	81(26.6)	70(23.0)
affected my daily routine				
The COVID-19 outbreak has	70(23.0)	52(17.0)	68(22.3)	115(37.7)
affected my study				
The COVID-19 outbreak has	65(21.3)	49(16.1)	60(19.7)	131(43.0)
affected my financial				
The COVID-19 outbreak has	55(18.0)	92(30.2)	69(22.6)	89(29.2)
affected my family's daily routine				
The COVID-19 outbreak has	181(59.3)	53(17.4)	36(11.8)	35(11.5)
affected my travel abroad				
The COVID-19 outbreak has	102(33.4)	69(22.6)	63(20.7)	71(23.3)
affected my study field work				
The COVID-19 outbreak has restrict	95(31.1)	70(23.0)	82(26.9)	58(19.0)
my leisure time of meeting friends				
The COVID-19 outbreak has restrict	88(28.9)	70(23.0)	55(18.0)	92(30.2)
my leisure time of meeting family				
and relatives				
	•	•	•	

# **Discussion**

269

270

271

272

273

The outbreak of COVID-19 in China attracted attention of the whole world including Bangladesh since its early stage. Hundreds of students, businessmen and tourists started to return home from China creating a hue and cry in the country. Soon Bangladeshi people from many

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

other countries started to rush to the homeland. Mismanagement of health check-up, COVID-19 test and 14 days quarantine of these home-coming people created a huge debate and became a hot cake for print, electronic and social media. Being comparatively more knowledgeable part of the population, the university students are supposed to have higher level of knowledge, attitude, practice and perception regarding the disease. For that purpose, data were collected from 305 students of Rajshahi University. It is reported that adequate knowledge, and positive attitude and perception are related to proper practice [16]. Four types of issues related to COVID-19 were discussed in this study. **Knowledge on COVID-19 Signs and symptoms:** This study revealed that only 3.9% and 10.5% students knew correct answers of all nine and eight questions respectively. This indicates that the students did not have good knowledge about the signs and symptoms of COVID-19. The knowledge level of our students was far below than that of Chinese people [2] and Indian healthcare professionals, students and non-medical healthcare staffs [17]. Protective way to avoid COVID-19: About 40% students thought eating rice and vegetables could prevent COVID-19. Half of the students answered all seven questions correctly. We may consider that the students had good knowledge on the protective ways of avoiding COVID-19 though the level of knowledge was lower than that among Indian healthcare professionals, students and non-medical healthcare staffs [17]. **Knowledge of COVID-19 transmission:** More than half of the students deemed that COVID-19 could transmit via water and food, and 10.8% students believed that mosquito was a vector of COVID-19. Only 35.1% students answered all the 6 questions correctly. It reveals that than the knowledge level of healthcare professionals and students but higher than that among non-medical healthcare staffs of India [17]. We found that female students were more knowledgeable than males regarding transmission of COVID-19. Usually females take everything more seriously than males, and they have more curiosity to know anything than males. This might be a reason of difference of the knowledge between male and female students. Same result was observed in Chinese and Indian studies [16, 17].

### **Attitude**

WHO suggests people to avoid public gatherings, maintain social distancing and stay home for prevention of COVID-19. This study demonstrates that more than 40% had negative attitude towards the measures. The facts reveal that the students of Rajshahi University did not have good attitude towards COVID-19. Attitude towards COVID-19 among the Chinese people and Indian healthcare professionals, students and non-medical healthcare staffs was very positive [2, 17]. The students coming from rural area showed more positive attitude than urban students. It could not be compared as no similar study is available.

### **Practice**

About 40% students were not using surgical face masks in public places. Scarcity and higher price of masks might be a reason behind it. More than one-third of students were not interested in staying home and avoiding crowds. In China, almost all people used face masks and avoided public places to prevent COVID-19 transmission [2]. Level of practice of preventive guides was also high among Indians [17]. Usually, practice of personal hygiene is comparatively poor in Bangladesh, such as, only 40% people wash hands with soap and water and only 35% schools

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

have facilities of washing hands with soap and water [17]. This might contribute to poor practice regarding prevention of COVID-19 among our respondents. The female students coming from nuclear family were more practicing to avoid COVID-19 than males and joint family's students. A Chinese study supports the finding [16]. **Perception Perception towards COVID-19:** A considerable number of students thought that they were not exposed to COVID-19. Only a smaller part of them were moderately worried of its infection and consequences, and slightly fearful of it. On the basis of answers of the four questions of this section, we can conclude that the student's perception towards COVID-19 was not good. No previous study on this issue is available till now to compare our finding. Religious and cultural faiths and views might play role in this regard. **Perception about the impact of COVID-19:** This study shows that only a smaller portion of students felt COVID-19 outbreak affected their daily routine, study, financial matters, family's daily routine, study field works, and leisure time. The respondents' perception regarding impact of COVID-19 was poor. To the best of our knowledge, there is no relevant study either in home or abroad regarding the perception about the impact or consequences of COVID-19. We think, during our data collection period, students had no idea how COVID-19 could affect their life. **Limitation of this study:** Perhaps, this is the first attempt of survey on knowledge, attitude, practice and perception towards COVID-19 among university students in Bangladesh. This study searched out some alarming facts. However, we had many limitations too. The sample size was small. This cross-sectional study could not look into any change in students' knowledge, attitude,

practice and perception on COVID-19 in course of time. By this time, some new information was got, and new misconceptions and misinformation were publicized, but we could not consider these issues. There are many universities in Bangladesh, but we considered only Rajshahi University students as our sample due to limitation of time and budget. The limitations reveal that more in-depth studies are needed.

### **Conclusions**

In this study, we examined the students' knowledge on signs and symptoms, preventive measure and mode of transmission of COVID-19. We found that the general knowledge of the students on our selected issues was not satisfactory. A remarkable number of students had negative attitude towards COVID-19. During the data collection time, students' practices of avoiding COVID-19 were found unsatisfactory. Also, students' perception about COVID-19 was not good. We may suggest the health authorities of Bangladesh to take necessary steps for improving university students' knowledge, attitude, practice and perception towards COVID-19. In our country where the number of doctors and other healthcare providers are not sufficient, knowledgeable university students can be employed to create awareness on COVID-19 among mass people.

## Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the authority of the selected departments, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh for providing information about the students. The authors would also like to express their sincere gratitude to all the participants for proving their information.

## References

1. Wuhan Municipal Health and Health Commission's briefing on the current pneumonia

361 epidemic situation in city 2019. Available online: our 362 http://wjw.wuhan.gov.cn/front/web/showDetail/2019123108989 (accessed on 27 March, 2020). 363 364 2. Naming the coronavirus disease (World Health Organization). Available online: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-365 366 guidance/naming-the-coronavirusdisease-(covid-2019)-and-the-virus-that-causes-it (accessed on 27 March, 2020). 367 Sohrabia C, Alsafib Z, O'Neilla N, Khanb M, Kerwanc A, Al-Jabirc A, Iosifidisa C, 368 3. 369 Aghad R. World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). International Journal of Surgery, 2020; 76:71–76 370 The Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team, Weekly 371 4. 372 Surveillance (Chinese Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). Available online: http://weekly.chinacdc.cn/en/article/id/e53946e2-c6c4-41e9-9a9b-fea8db1a8f51 (accessed 373 374 on 27 March, 2020). 375 5. Corona Virus Resource Centre, John Hopkins University and Medicine. Available online: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html (accessed on April 9, 2020). 376 M. Bassetti, A. Vena, D. Roberto Giacobbe, The Novel Chinese Coronavirus (2019-377 6. nCoV) Infections: challenges for fighting the storm, Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 2020; e13209. 378 https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.13209. 379 W. Ji, W. Wang, X. Zhao, J. Zai, X. Li, Homologous recombination within the spike 380 7. glycoprotein of the newly identified coronavirus may boost cross-species transmission 381 from snake to human, J. Med. Virol. 2020; 92 (4):433–440. https://doi. 382 383 org/10.1002/jmv.25682.

- 8. W.G. Carlos, C.S. Dela Cruz, B. Cao, S. Pasnick, S. Jamil, Novel wuhan (2019-nCoV)
- 385 coronavirus, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2020; 201 (4):7–8. https://doi.org/10.
- 386 1164/rccm.2014P7.
- 9. P. Wu, X. Hao, E.H.Y. Lau, J.Y. Wong, K.S.M. Leung, J.T. Wu, et al., Real-time
- tentative assessment of the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus
- infections in Wuhan, China, as at 22 January 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020; 25.
- 390 10. World Health Organization, Corona Virus. https://www.who.int/health-
- topics/coronavirus#tab=tab\_1 (accessed on 27 March, 2020).
- 392 11. UNICEF. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): What parents should know. Available
- online: https://www.unicef.org/bangladesh/en/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-what-
- 394 parents-should-know.
- 395 12. World Health Organization, Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak. Available
- online: https://www.who.int/southeastasia/outbreaks-and-emergencies/novel-coronavirus-
- 397 2019 (accessed on April 9, 2020).
- 398 13. Complimentary population monograph of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics
- 399 (BBS), Dhaka, November 2015. Available online: http://203.112.218.65:8008/
- WebTestApplication/ userfiles/Image/ Pop Monographs/Volume-7\_PDV.pdf (Accessed
- 401 on April 6, 2020).
- 402 14. Person B, Sy F, Holton K, Govert B, Liang A, Garza B, et al. Fear and Stigma: The
- Epidemic within the SARS Outbreak. Vol. 10, Emerging Infectious Diseases. Centers for
- Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2004; 358–63.
- 405 15. Rana M, Sayem A, Karim R, Islam N, Islam R, Zaman TK, Hossain G.
- Assessment of knowledge regarding tuberculosis among non-medical university students

in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2015; 15:716. doi: 407 10.1186/s12889-015-2071-0. 408 Zhong B-L, Luo W, Li H-M, Zhang Q-Q, Liu X-G, Li W-T, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, 409 16. and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of 410 411 the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 2020; 412 16(10): 1745-1752. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45221. Modi PD, Nair G, Uppe A, Modi J, Tuppekar B, Gharpure AS and Langade D. COVID-19 413 17. Awareness among Healthcare Students and Professionals in Mumbai Metropolitan 414 Region: A Questionnaire Based Survey. Cureus, 2020; 12(4): e7514. 415 416