Mortality from COVID-19 in 12 countries and 6 states of the United States

April 17th FINAL Pre Print

Patrick Brown PhD, Kamal Rai PhD, Carlo La Vecchia MD, Peter S Rodriguez MSA, Kathleen Qu BSc, Mathew G Brown PhD, Hwashin Hyun Shin PhD, Xuyang Tang PhD, Leslie Newcombe BSc, Wilson Suraweera MSc, Craig Schultz MSc, Isaac Bogoch MD, Hellen Gelband MSc, Nico Nagelkerke PhD, Prabhat Jha DPhil[†]

† Correspondence to:

Prof Prabhat Jha, CGHR, St. Michael's Hospital and University of Toronto, ON M5B 2C5, Canada; Prabhat.jha@utoronto.ca; +1-416-864-6042

Word count: key points: 122; abstract: 35, main text: 2583

References: 27

Tables: 2

Figures: 4

Web Appendix: 4 figures, 2 tables

ABSTRACT

Importance: Reliable estimates of COVID-19 mortality are crucial to aid control strategies and to assess the effectiveness of interventions.

Objective: Project COVID-19 mortality trends to October 1, 2020, in 12 countries or regions that constitute >90% of the global COVID-19 deaths reported as of April 12, 2020.

Design, Setting, and Participants: The Global COVID-19 Assessment of Mortality (GCAM) is an open, transparent, and continuously updated (www.cghr.org/covid) statistical model that combines actual COVID-19 mortality counts with Bayesian inference to forecast COVID-19 deaths, the date of peak deaths, and the duration of excess mortality. The analyses covered a total of 700 million population above age 20 in 12 countries or regions: USA; Italy; Spain; France; UK; Iran; Belgium; a province of China (Hubei, which accounted for 90% of reported Chinese deaths); Germany; the Netherlands; Switzerland; and Canada; and six US states: New York, New Jersey, Michigan, Louisiana, California, and Washington.

Results: Forecasted deaths across the 12 current high-burden countries sum 167,000 to 593,000 (median 253,000). The trajectory of US deaths (49,000-249,000 deaths; median 86,000)—over half of which are expected in states beyond the initial six states analysed in this study—will have the greatest impact on the eventual total. Mortality ranges are 25,000-109,000 (median 46,000) in the UK; 23,000-31,000 (median 26,000) in Italy; 21,000-37,000 (median 26,000) in France and 21,000-32,000 (median 25,000) in Spain. Estimates are most precise for Hubei, China—where the epidemic curve is complete—and least precise in California, where it is ongoing. New York has the highest cumulative median mortality rate per million (1135), about 12-fold that of Germany. Mortality trajectories are notably flatter in Germany, California, and Washington State, each of which took physical distancing and testing strategies seriously. Using past country-specific mortality as a guide, GCAM predicts surge capacity needs, reaching more than twice existing capacity in a number of places. In every setting, the results might be sensitive to undercounts of COVID-19 deaths, which are already apparent.

Conclusion and Relevance: Mortality from COVID-19 will be substantial across many settings, even in the best case scenario. GCAM will provide continually updated and increasingly precise estimates as the pandemic progresses.

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has already caused over 115,000 deaths, with global deaths doubling every week.¹⁻³ Mortality is less biased than case reporting, which is affected by testing policies. However, the daily reporting of COVID-19 deaths is already known to undercount actual deaths, varying over time and place.⁴⁻⁶

Reliable estimates of total COVID-19 mortality, the date of peak deaths, and of the duration of excess mortality are crucial to aid responses to the current and potential future pandemics. We have developed the Global COVID-19 Assessment of Mortality (GCAM), a statistical model to project COVID-19 mortality trends to October 1 2020 in 12 countries or regions that constitute >90% of the global COVID-19 deaths reported as of April 12th. We report also on six US states that account for 70% of the American totals to date (Supplementary Appendix).¹ We quantify the COVID-19 mortality trajectory ranges in each setting. A semi-automated website (www.cgahr.org/covid) provides daily updates. GCAM is open, transparent, and uses a reasonably simple method that employs publicly reported mortality data to make plausible projections. The method is designed to improve as more mortality data become available over longer time periods.

METHODS

The goal of the University of Toronto's GCAM is to provide medium-term mortality forecasts. For each country, US state, and for Hubei Province in China, GCAM uses non-linear regressions to fit a five parameter model to the observed daily mortality counts. We used Bayesian inference, via the algorithm from Carpenter et al.,⁷ of several thousand posterior ("prior")

probabilities of potential epidemic curves for each country. The model builds on observed daily mortality counts for places that have already reached the peak of their epidemic (such as Hubei, China), or are near their peak (e.g. Italy and Spain), where the posterior probabilities are less relevant. For the majority of settings where the epidemic is still accelerating, death counts are currently less predictive, since a variety of curves can fit any particular series of increasing counts. In these cases, GCAM relies on Bayesian prior distributions, which are quantifications of expert knowledge of the underlying process expressed as probability distributions for model parameters. Bayesian prior distributions allow use of past epidemiological knowledge from China where COVID-19 has already declined, as well as the mortality trajectories of diseases caused by similar coronaviruses causing Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)⁸ or the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS).⁹

Mortality Data Sources

We systematically collected COVID-19 mortality data for all countries with more than 100 deaths. We examine the top ten countries ranked by the total number of COVID-19 deaths as of April 12th: USA; Italy; Spain; France; UK; Iran; Belgium, Hubei province, China (as this accounted for 90% of reported Chinese deaths); Germany and the Netherlands. We also included Switzerland, Canada and the US States of New York, New Jersey, Michigan, Louisiana, California, and Washington. We collated daily mortality data (where reported by age and sex) from the World Health Organization (WHO), country reports,¹⁰ and from online data collections.¹⁻³ Definitions of COVID-19 mortality vary across countries. The WHO has created a new International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition, ICD-10 code, U07.2,¹¹ but this has been

applied inconsistently across countries. In some countries (e.g., the Netherlands), only deaths of people testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent for COVID-19, are counted as definitely due to the virus.¹² We deemed each setting's epidemic to have begun on the day after the first death is recorded. We forecast deaths until October 1, 2020, but most deaths will have occurred by July 1.

To enable contrasts with current COVID-19 mortality (which is nearly always from acute respiratory failure), we examined the WHO Mortality database¹³ to quantify the average baseline pneumonia and influenza deaths during 2015-17. For the US, we used the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) mortality database,¹⁴ and for China, we used the Global Burden of Disease.¹⁵ We obtained age- and sex- specific population denominators from the United Nations Population Division,¹⁶ and for Hubei, China, and for US states, from national vital statistics.^{14,17}

Statistical modelling of mortality

We assumed the mean number of daily deaths for each setting to follow the shape of a skew-normal density function, which resembles a typical “bell-shaped” normal distribution with added skewness to permit an epidemic to rise fast but fall slowly (as has already been observed with COVID-19 deaths).¹⁻³ The observed deaths $Y_i(t)$ in region i on day t follows a negative binomial distribution with mean $\lambda_i(t)$ and overdispersion parameter τ , or more specifically:

$$Y_i(t) \sim \text{Neg Binom} [\lambda_i(t), \tau]$$

$$\lambda_i(t) = E_i C_i f(t; A_i, B_i, K_i) + D_i.$$

The E_i are age and sex standardized death counts, obtained from each country's population data and age-specific mortality risk calculated from Italian data on 29 March 2020.¹⁸ The model parameters which determine the shape of the epidemic curve are: C_i , the expected total deaths relative to the Italian rates on 29 March; A_i , the location of the peak of the epidemic; B_i , the duration of the epidemic (roughly the standard deviation); K_i , the skewness parameter specifying the steepness of the growth phase of the epidemic relative to the decline phase; and D_i , a 'spark' term allowing for occasional deaths outside the range of the main epidemic.

We used the Markov-Chain Monte Carlo algorithm within the Stan software.⁷ From each posterior sample of the model parameters, we computed the intensity $\lambda_i(t)$ and simulated potential future death counts from the negative binomial distribution. We calculated predictions envelopes, which contain the entire true epidemic curve from the samples by applying the methodology of Myllymäki et al.¹⁹ We chose probability distributions to generate simulated epidemic curves consistent with data from Italy and Hubei, China,¹⁻³ and with SARS and MERS outbreaks.^{8,9} The Supplementary Appendix provides the prior distributions for all parameters, as well as simulated epidemics for a population with Italy's demographic structure. As model validation, we fitted the model to training data of deaths on or before March 20 and compared the projections with data observed up to April 12.

For each country, we present the actual mortality daily totals, projected daily and totals, the date of peak mortality and derive credible intervals (CI), at various probability levels. We calculated age-specific mortality rates at age 20 years or more for influenza and pneumonia, and present totals for both sexes, as COVID-19 deaths are only rarely reported in children and

adolescents.²⁰

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the results for six European countries with over 2,000 COVID-19 deaths to date and the USA. Each blue dot indicates daily actual deaths. The dark line is the median model projection and the red shaded area represents credible intervals. Mortality has already peaked in Italy and Spain (March 29 and April 1, respectively). The Netherlands and France next peaked on April 5 and 7, respectively, followed by Belgium (April 9) and Germany (April 13). The USA and UK will reach their peaks on April 18 and 21, respectively. Figure 2 provides these results for Hubei, China and Iran, where peaks occurred earlier, on February 12 and March 28, respectively. The peak date for Switzerland is April 7, and, with less certainty, for Canada, May 4. For the US states, Louisiana has likely peaked first (April 8), followed by Washington state (April 10), New York and Michigan (April 11), New Jersey (April 14), and with less certainty, California (April 14). By July 1, all epidemics will be effectively over.

Current COVID-19 deaths, the credible ranges of forecasted deaths, the death rate per 1 million (M) population, and the percentage of national deaths over a whole year for all 18 countries or states/provinces are provided in Table 1. The USA can expect 49,000-249,000 deaths (median 86,000). Mortality ranges are 25,000-109,000 (median 46,000) in the UK; 23,000-31,000 (median 26,000) in Italy; 21,000-37,000 (median 26,000) in France and 21,000-32,000 (median 25,000) in Spain. Ranges for other countries are as shown. Collectively, across the 12 countries, the range of deaths is 167,000 to 593,000 (median 253,000). The lowest value would be

approximately a 50% increase in COVID-19 deaths over totals as of April 14 in these countries.

The maximum would represent more than 500% increase of deaths. As a proportion of all annual mortality, the median estimate of excess COVID-19 mortality ranges from 1.0% in Hubei to 8.1% in Belgium. Median deaths in the USA and the UK represent 3.2% and 7.8%, respectively of total non-COVID-19 deaths in 2020.

The column called precision represents the ratio of upper to lower uncertainty estimates. The most precise estimates are in settings where the epidemic has peaked or nearly peaked: Hubei, China (1.1), Italy (1.3), and Spain (1.5). The least precise estimates are for Canada (11.1) and California (10.6). The USA and the UK show moderate precision 5.1 and 4.4, respectively.

Table 2 provides an estimate of the maximal daily totals, ranging from median values of 4,787 in the USA to 114 in Switzerland, and within the USA, individual states ranging from 1,303 in New York to 49 in Washington state. We used the average annual deaths in previous years from pneumonia and influenza in both sexes above age 20 years as a crude indicator of existing hospital and ventilator capacity. We compare these totals against the median COVID-19 deaths, and this ratio provides an estimate of the “surge” capacity needed to deal with the excess COVID-19 deaths. The highest ratios are in France and Spain (each 2.1). We estimate the number of days in which COVID-19 deaths will exceed the daily death total of pneumonia in earlier years to be highest in Canada at 73 (39-104) with other settings averaging 62 days (48-83).

A crude approximation of which jurisdictions have had some success in “flattening the curve” is shown in Figure 3, which contrasts the trajectories expressed as deaths per 1 million population in Italy, France, and Germany. All three countries reported their first case almost simultaneously, but Germany took the most aggressive steps to expand testing. Compared to the other two countries, the German peak is lower with fewer peak day deaths. Similarly, the rapid and early increase in deaths in New York state (mainly in New York City), which accounts for about 25% of the forecasted USA death totals, contrasts with the flatter trajectory in Washington and California, which both adopted reasonably aggressive efforts in physical distancing and other control measures. The upper credible interval of rates per million in Washington and California is below the lowest credible interval in New York. The contrast in cumulative rates per 1 M population across the countries with rising totals, and the US states (Figure 4) also illustrate marked divergence in mortality trajectories. New York has the highest cumulative median mortality rate per million (1135) which is about 12-fold that of Germany (96).

DISCUSSION

Our forecasting predicts that in 12 early high-burden countries, the minimum number of COVID-19 deaths will be about 50% higher than current, reaching about 167,000 deaths. However, a worst case scenario would be just under 593,000 deaths, or a 500% increase over current totals. Much of the trajectory across these countries depends on what happens in the USA, which accounts for 30-40% of the cross country forecasted totals. Within the USA, COVID-19 deaths will be a minimum of 49,000 or about 2 fold over current, but could reach 249,000.

Within the US, most of the increase will be from outside the initial six states we examined, and in these settings micro epidemics with different trajectories are likely to occur, as has been observed in sub-parts of Italy and Spain.¹⁻³

The wide variation in forecasted mortality suggests interventions might help reduce the eventual total. Reassuringly, our analyses is sufficiently robust to fit actual death patterns in Italy and Spain, and confirms that Germany, Washington and California, which have taken testing, isolation and other strategies seriously have flatter trajectories and lower daily totals than areas with looser measures. This has allowed valuable time to scale up acute bed and ventilator capacity.

An acute excess of hospitalizations and deaths, clustered over short time periods, can swamp any health system, as indeed happened in northern Italy. Italy faced more pressures in one month on ventilator and critical-care bed supply than the usual annual burden.²¹ Model-based estimates for the USA suggest that above age 55 years, ten people will need hospitalization for every COVID-19 death.²² For each country, GCAM provides additional details of when these deaths will peak and the duration of excess deaths, which will average about 62 days but with a wide range (Indeed suggesting that California already has sufficient capacity to meet the surge of cases). Such information should aid planning and help avert an overwhelming of health systems.

Initial infectious disease models estimated total COVID-19 deaths in the USA of 1 to 2.2 million (roughly 40% to 80% over and above the usual annual total of 2.8 million deaths) if no action were taken.²³ These same projections suggested 510,000 COVID-19 deaths in the UK, roughly doubling the current annual total. The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) model considers physical distancing through May 2020 and has median death estimates lower than ours (Supplementary Appendix). However, the IHME model has some implausible results like suggesting that Netherlands will have 16,000 deaths which is only slightly below their estimate for France and Spain, despite being at least three times larger in population.²² Moreover, the IHME analyses assumes a symmetrical bell-shaped mortality curve, whereas a skewed curve (which we use) is observed in the actual data.¹⁻³ Our totals for the USA fall broadly within the range projected by the White House Task Force of 100,000 to 240,000 deaths,²⁴ and our Canadian estimates are consistent with infectious disease dynamic model results.²⁵

There is little doubt that some of GCAM forecasts are wrong as undercounts of COVID-19 and delayed reporting are common.⁴⁻⁶ For example, the undercount in UK COVID-19 deaths might have been about 70% for some weeks.⁴ For France, Italy, the Netherlands and the UK, we could find some record of undercounts and correcting for these substantially raised the total deaths in each country (Supplementary Appendix). However, systematic examination of undercounts across settings is needed to establish if the undercounts have accelerated during the epidemic, which would mean that we have underestimated the speed of the upward trajectory, or if they are more random, in which case our results would mostly be unaffected. Future iterations of GCAM will incorporate undercounts in as many countries as document these. Differences in

reporting across countries does not bias the results for any one country however, as mortality forecasts rely on actual counts within each country. Third, in settings with few deaths over many days, the model becomes highly sensitive to the assumed prior distributions. However, the model validation (Supplementary Appendix) showed reasonably good performance with actual death counts in several countries. As with all models, ours emphasizes the need to obtain additional days of observation and rerun the analyses as data improve, and as additional epidemiological research results become available.²⁶ The GCAM is set up to be do so, hence its results improve constantly.

In a time of crisis, open data sharing is particularly important, hence the full input details and code of GCAM are freely available (www.cghr.org/covid) and we welcome criticisms and suggestions on how to refine our estimates. We provide a simple metric of precision that can be used to gauge improvements over time. Future iterations will also provide estimates for sub-national regions of the USA and other countries, particularly for Asian and African countries with weaker health systems and mortality surveillance capacity.²⁷

Article Information

Author Affiliations:

From the Centre for Global Health Research, Unity Health Toronto and Dalla Lana School of Public Health, The University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (P Brown, Rai, Rodriguez, Qu, MG Brown, Tang, Newcombe, Suraweera, Schultz, Gelband, Nagelkerke, Jha); Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy (La Vecchia); Health Canada, Government of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (Shin); Faculty of Medicine, University Health Network (Bogoch)

Corresponding Authors: Prabhat Jha, MD, DPhil (Prabhat.jha@utoronto.ca), Centre for Global Health Research, Unity Health Toronto and University of Toronto, ON M5B 2C5, Canada

Author Contributions: Dr P Brown had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: P Brown, Jha.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Jha, P Brown.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: P Brown, Rai, Tang, Suraweera, Jha.

Obtained funding: Jha.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Rodriguez, Schultz, Suraweera, Tang, Newcombe.

Supervision: P Brown.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures. No relevant disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was partly supported by the the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Foundation grant (FDN 154277) and Emergency COVID-19 Research Grant, and the Connaught Global Challenge program of the University of Toronto. Prabhat Jha is a Canada Research Chair and Dalla Lana Chair of Global Health at the University of Toronto.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank Vedika Jha for data entry assistance, and Richard Wen for assistance with the website for data.

References

1. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center: mortality analyses. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, 2020 (<https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/data/mortality>).
2. Worldometer: coronavirus. Dover, DE: Worldometers.info, 2020 (<https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>).
3. The coronavirus app. 2020 (<https://coronavirus.app/map>).
4. Deaths registered weekly in England and Wales, provisional: week ending 3 April 2020. Newport, UK: Office for National Statistics, April 14, 2020 (<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsregisteredweeklyinenglandandwalesprovisional/weekending3april2020>).
5. Fatal flaws: coronavirus statistics. London: Economist, April 4, 2020 (<https://www.economist.com/graphic-detail/2020/04/03/covid-19s-death-toll-appears-higher-than-official-figures-suggest>).
6. Montagano C. COVID-19: excess mortality figures in Italy. Toronto: Towards Data Science, 2020 (<https://towardsdatascience.com/covid-19-excess-mortality-figures-in-italy-d9640f411691>).
7. Carpenter B, Gelman A, Hoffman MD, et al. Stan: a probabilistic programming language. J Stat Softw 2017;76:1.
8. World Health Organization. Probable cases of SARS by date of onset, Worldwide, 1 November 2002 - 10 July 2003. 2003 (<https://www.who.int/csr/sars/epicurve/epiindex/en/index1.html>).
9. World Health Organization. Epicurve of confirmed global cases of MERS-CoV. 2019 (<https://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/epi-16-july-2019.png>).
10. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020 (<https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>).
11. World Health Organization. ICD-10: international statistical classification of diseases and related health problems: 10th revision. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1992.
12. CBS: oversterfte van 1200 in laatste week maart. Groningen: Dagblad van het Noorden, April 3, 2020 (<https://www.dvhn.nl/binnenland/CBS-oversterfte-van-1200-in-laatste-week-maart-25528352.html>).
13. WHO mortality database. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2018 (https://www.who.int/healthinfo/mortality_data/en/).
14. National Vital Statistics System: mortality statistics. Hyattsville: National Center for Health Statistics, 2020 (<https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/mortality.htm>).
15. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. GBD results tool. Seattle: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2018 (<http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool>).
16. UN Population Division. World population prospects: the 2019 revision. New York: United Nations, 2019.
17. National data: annual by province. Beijing: National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2018 (<http://data.stats.gov.cn/english/easyquery.htm?cn=E0103>).
18. COVID-19 Task Force of the Department of Infectious Diseases. Integrated surveillance of COVID-19 in Italy: 29 March 2020 update. Rome: Istituto Superiore di Sanità, 2020 (https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Infografica_29marzo%20ENG.pdf).
19. Myllymäki M, Mrkvička T, Grabarnik P, Seijo H, Hahn U. Global envelope tests for spatial processes. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol 2017;79:381-404.
20. Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, et al. Hospitalization rates and characteristics of patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 — COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1–30, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69.
21. COVID-19 Task Force of the Department of Infectious Diseases. Epidemia COVID-19. Aggiornamento

- nazionale: 9 aprile 2020. Rome: Istituto Superiore di Sanità, 2020
(https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Bollettino-sorveglianza-integrata-COVID-19_9-aprile-2020.pdf).
22. COVID-19 projections. Seattle: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2020
(<https://covid19.healthdata.org/>).
 23. Ferguson N, Laydon D, Nedjati-Gilani G, et al. Report 9: impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. London: Imperial College London, 2020
(<https://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/medicine/mrc-gida/2020-03-16-COVID19-Report-9.pdf>).
 24. White House Coronavirus Task Force. Remarks by President Trump, Vice President Pence, and members of the Coronavirus Task Force in press briefing. Washington, DC: The White House, March 31, 2020.
 25. COVID-19 in Canada: using data and modelling to inform public health action. Ottawa: Public Health Agency of Canada, 2020 (<https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/phac-aspc/documents/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/using-data-modelling-inform-eng.pdf>).
 26. Lipsitch M, Swerdlow DL, Finelli L. Defining the epidemiology of Covid-19 — studies needed. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1194-6.
 27. Jha P. Reliable direct measurement of causes of death in low- and middle-income countries. *BMC Med* 2014;12:19.

Country or region	Forecasted deaths (000s)				Precision (1 is most)	Deaths/1M pop.			Total deaths, age ≥20 yr (000s)	% of total deaths			Relative increase in median death forecast over current totals
	Deaths as of April 13	Lower	Upper	Median		Median	Lower	Upper		Median	Lower	Upper	
USA	23,620	48.8	249.1	86.1	5.1	260	148	753	2,714	3.2%	1.8%	9.2%	3.6
UK	11,329	24.6	109.0	46.3	4.4	682	363	1,606	595	7.8%	4.1%	18.3%	4.1
Italy	14,967	23.2	30.5	26.0	1.3	429	384	505	575	4.5%	4.0%	5.3%	1.7
France	20,465	20.7	37.0	25.9	1.8	397	318	567	629	4.1%	3.3%	5.9%	1.3
Spain	18,056	21.3	31.6	24.8	1.5	531	456	677	417	6.0%	5.1%	7.6%	1.4
Canada	780	2.5	43.4	11.1	17.2	293	67	1150	266	4.2%	0.9%	16.3%	14.2
Belgium	4,157	6.4	15.2	8.8	2.4	760	554	1,314	109	8.1%	5.9%	14.0%	2.1
Germany	3,307	5.3	48.6	8.0	9.2	96	63	580	918	0.9%	0.6%	5.3%	2.4
Iran	4,683	5.3	14.4	5.9	2.7	70	63	171	303	1.9%	1.7%	4.7%	1.3
Netherlands	2,945	3.9	6.5	4.8	1.7	278	226	379	148	3.2%	2.6%	4.4%	1.6
Hubei, China	3,221	3.3	3.7	3.5	1.1	59	55	63	349	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1
Switzerland	1162	1.6	3.5	2.1	2.1	237	189	399	66	3.1%	2.5%	5.3%	1.8
New York	10,058	16.6	33.9	22.2	2.1	1,135	847	1,737	155	14.3%	10.7%	21.8%	2.2
New Jersey	2,443	4.9	14.2	7.3	2.9	817	545	1,592	75	9.7%	6.5%	18.9%	3.0
Michigan	1,602	2.7	10.3	4.0	3.8	396	272	1,031	98	4.0%	2.8%	10.5%	2.5
Louisiana	884	1.3	5.2	1.8	3.9	377	284	1113	45	3.9%	2.9%	11.5%	2.0
California	732	1.1	12.2	1.8	10.6	46	29	308	265	0.7%	0.4%	4.6%	2.5
Washington state	522	0.8	3.3	1.1	4.3	148	102	442	56	2.0%	1.4%	5.9%	2.1
Sub-Total Countries	108,692	167.1	592.5	253.1	3.5	-	-	-	7,088	3.6%	2.4%	8.4%	2.3
Sub-Total US States	16,241	27.4	79.1	38.1	2.9	-	-	-	695	5.5%	3.9%	11.4%	2.3

Table 1: Current COVID-19 deaths, forecasted death ranges, precision estimate, deaths per million and proportion of COVID-19 to total deaths in 12 high-burden countries and six US states.

Notes: Deaths on April 13 as reported on Coronavirus.app.² Precision is the ratio of the lower and upper forecasts. Total deaths are based on United Nations totals or national vital statistics.

Country or region	Peak date			Max deaths/day			Average annual pneumonia deaths in recent years age ≥20, (000s)	Ratio of total COVID-19 to annual past pneumonia deaths	No. of days when daily COVID-19 deaths exceed past daily pneumonia deaths		
	Median	Lower	Upper	Median	Lower	Upper			Median	Lower	Upper
USA	18-Apr	11-Apr	30-Apr	4,787	2,428	13,505	54	1.6	66	50	85
UK	21-Apr	13-Apr	30-Apr	2,441	1,238	5,644	36	1.3	65	49	84
Italy	29-Mar	26-Mar	01-Apr	1,422	1,001	2,196	16	1.6	66	57	80
France	07-Apr	03-Apr	12-Apr	1,433	966	2,439	12	2.1	63	52	78
Spain	01-Apr	30-Mar	05-Apr	1,468	1,000	2,455	12	2.1	61	51	76
Canada	04-May	15-Apr	21-May	512	120	1,973	7	1.5	73	39	104
Belgium	09-Apr	05-Apr	16-Apr	494	311	905	5	1.8	64	51	80
Germany	13-Apr	07-Apr	04-May	443	262	2,145	21	0.4	43	30	79
Iran	28-Mar	25-Mar	21-Apr	298	205	573	7	0.9	60	51	97
Netherlands	05-Apr	02-Apr	11-Apr	275	182	458	4	1.2	57	46	70
Hubei, China	12-Feb	09-Feb	15-Feb	202	139	318	6	0.6	45	40	51
Switzerland	07-Apr	02-Apr	16-Apr	114	74	217	2	1.4	62	49	83
New York	11-Apr	08-Apr	16-Apr	1,303	805	2,356	15	1.5	58	47	71
New Jersey	14-Apr	09-Apr	21-Apr	418	239	832	7	1.0	55	42	71
Michigan	11-Apr	06-Apr	25-Apr	235	137	551	10	0.4	42	31	63
Louisiana	08-Apr	03-Apr	26-Apr	101	65	246	4	0.4	41	30	68
California	14-Apr	05-Apr	11-May	96	53	485	25	0.1	3	0	57
Washington state	10-Apr	01-Apr	05-May	49	31	125	5	0.2	26	13	70
Sub-Total Countries							182	1.4	62	48	83
Sub-Total US States							66	0.6	38	27	67

Table 2: Peak dates (and credible intervals), maximal deaths per day, comparison with current annual pneumonia deaths and days of excess COVID-19 mortality above pneumonia deaths in 12 high-burden countries and six US states.

Notes: Pneumonia deaths include Influenza (International Classification of Disease or ICD-10 code J10-J11), Pneumonia (J12-J18), other acute lower respiratory infections (J20-J22), and, where relevant, SARS (U04).

Figure 1: Data and projections for daily and cumulative deaths in the most affected countries. Prediction envelopes (daily are global, cumulative are pointwise) are shaded regions, and observed data are blue plotting symbols.

Figure 2: Data and projections for daily and cumulative deaths in various countries and subnational units. Prediction envelopes (daily are global, cumulative are pointwise) are shaded regions, and observed data are blue plotting symbols.

Figure 3: Deaths by country

Figure 4: Comparison of cumulative mortality per million.