Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19

Furong Zeng^{1,2}, Ying Guo^{1,2}, Mingzhu Yin^{1,2}, Xiang Chen^{1,2}, Guangtong Deng^{1,2*}

1. Department of Dermatology, Hunan Engineering Research Center of Skin Health and Disease, Hunan Key Laboratory of Skin Cancer and Psoriasis, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410008, China
2. National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410008, China

* Corresponding author: Guangtong Deng, Tel: +86-13723890471; mail: dengguangtong@outlook.com; Department of Dermatology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 87# Xiangya Road, Changsha, 410008, Hunan, China

Abstract

Background: The ongoing worldwide epidemic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has posed a huge threat to global public health. However, with regard to the effects of inflammatory markers on the severity of COVID-19, studies have reported associations that vary in strength and direction.

Aims: In the meta-analysis, we aimed to provide an overview of the association of inflammatory markers with severity of COVID-19.

Methods: The following databases were searched: PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Wanfang database and CNKI (China National Knowledge Infrastructure) database until March 20, 2020. Weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were pooled using random or fixed-effects models.

Results: A total of 16 studies were included in our analysis comprising of 3962 patients with COVID-19. Random-effects results demonstrated that patients with COVID-19 in non-severe group had lower levels for CRP (WMD = -41.78 mg/l, 95% CI = [-52.43, -31.13], $P < 0.001$), PCT (WMD = -0.13 ng/ml, 95% CI = [-0.20, -0.05], $P < 0.001$), IL-6 (WMD = -21.32 ng/l, 95% CI = [-28.34, -14.31], $P < 0.001$), ESR (WMD = -8.40 mm/h, 95% CI = [-14.32, -2.48], $P = 0.005$), SAA (WMD = -43.35 μ g/ml, 95% CI = [-80.85, -5.85], $P = 0.020$) and serum ferritin (WMD = -398.80 mg/l, 95% CI = [-625.89, -171.71], $P < 0.001$), compared with those in severe group. Moreover, survivors had lower level for IL-6 than non-survivors with COVID-19 (WMD = -4.80 ng/ml, 95% CI = [-5.87, -3.73], $P < 0.001$). These results were consistent through sensitivity analysis and publication bias assessment.

Conclusions: The meta-analysis highlights the association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19. Measurement of inflammatory markers might help clinicians to monitor and evaluate the severity and prognosis of COVID-19.

Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; inflammatory markers; severity; meta-analysis.

Introduction

The ongoing worldwide pandemic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) has posed a huge threat to global public health(WHO, 2020a). The pathogen has been identified as a novel single-stranded ribonucleic acid (RNA) betacoronavirus named as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which share a great phylogenetic similarity with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV)(Wu F. et al., 2020, Zhou P. et al., 2020). As of March, 21, 2020, a total of 266,073 confirmed cases from 150 countries and territories were reported, including 11,183 deaths(WHO, 2020b). The COVID-19 represents a spectrum of clinical severity ranged from asymptomatic to critical pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and even death(Guan et al., 2020). Therefore, fully monitoring the severity of COVID-19 and effective early intervention are the fundamental measures for reducing mortality. Accumulating evidence has suggested that inflammatory responses play a critical role in the progression of COVID-19, and several markers have some tracing and detecting accuracy for disease severity and fatality(Mehta et al., 2020, Stebbing et al., 2020, Wu C. et al., 2020). Inflammatory markers such as procalcitonin (PCT), serum ferritin, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), acute phase reactant protein C reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been reported to be significantly associated with the high-risks of the development of severe COVID-19(Cheng et al., 2020, Gao et al., 2020, Qin et al., 2020). Moreover, increased levels of serum amyloid A(SAA) are shown to be involved in COVID-19 pathogenesis and may serve as a potential biomarker for monitoring disease progression(Cheng et al., 2020, Xiang et al., 2020). However, these results remain controversial due to no observed difference in the levels of IL-6, SAA, ESR and CRP by other studies (Chen L. et al., 2020, Wu C. et al., 2020, Zhang et al., 2020).

To our best of knowledge, the overall inflammatory profile is to-date missing due to the insufficient sample size. Here we performed an analysis of the current scientific literature to provide an overview of the association of inflammatory markers with severity in patients with COVID-19.

Methods

Search strategy

This meta-analysis was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Original studies reporting COVID-19 were searched until March 20, 2020 through PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Wanfang database and CNKI (China National Knowledge Infrastructure) database. The following combined search terms were used: (“novel coronavirus” OR “Wuhan virus” OR “Chinese virus” OR “nCoV-2019” OR “2019-nCoV” OR “COVID-19” OR “SARS-CoV-2”). All eligible articles were retrieved, and their references of identified publications were searched for further potentially relevant articles (Gao et al., 2020, Zhou F. et al., 2020).

Selection criteria

English-language or Chinese-language publications reporting concentrations of inflammation markers in patients with COVID-19 were included if they met the following criteria: (1) patients could be grouped into severe COVID-19 and non-severe COVID-19, or non-intensive care unit (ICU) group and ICU group, or survivors and non-survivors with COVID-19; (2) literature sources and necessary data were available; and (3) the diagnostic criteria of COVID-19 were clarified based on the laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. If there were two or more studies from the same authors or institutions, only the study with the largest sample size was chosen. Studies were excluded if full text of the trial was not available or they did not fulfill the inclusion criteria.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The records from the initial search were scanned by two authors to exclude any duplicate and irrelevant studies. The following data were extracted: first authors, publication date, country of origin, grouping situation, cases, age, sex and levels of inflammatory markers in different groups. Stratified data or interquartile range (IQR) were converted to mean ($\pm$ SD) using mathematical formulas for meta-analysis (Luo et al., 2018, Wan et al., 2014). Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion. Quality assessments of all potentially eligible studies were conducted using the Newcastle-

Ottawa Scale (NOS). There are eight items in three aspects: selection, comparability and exposure. The full score was 10 stars. Four to six stars was regarded as a moderate-quality study, and seven to nine stars as a high-quality study. Studies with NOS scores lower than 7 were recognized to be of inferior quality and therefore excluded.

Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses were carried out by STATA (Version 12.0; STATA Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) software. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed with I^2 and P-value. A fixed effects model was adopted without significant heterogeneity ($I^2 \leq 50\%$ and $P \geq 0.1$), while a random effects model was employed in all other instances ($I^2 > 50\%$ or $P < 0.1$) (Zeng et al., 2019, Zeng et al., 2020). Weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) was calculated for inflammatory markers. Sensitivity analysis was performed by omitting one study each time through influence analysis to assess the stability of results. Besides, standard mean difference (SMD) were used to explore the consistence of the conclusion. Publication bias was evaluated by Egger's test. If publication bias was conformed, the Duval's trim and fill method was implemented to adjust for this bias. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Literature search and studies characteristics

The initial literature search generated altogether 5384 records with 734 studies subsequently excluded due to duplication (**Figure 1**). After a review of the titles and abstracts, we obtained 35 studies by excluding an additional 4615 studies. We further excluded 19 studies by scanning the full text which did not report inflammatory markers. Finally, 16 studies were included in our analysis (Chang et al., 2020, Chen C. et al., 2020, Chen L. et al., 2020, Cheng et al., 2020, Fang et al., 2020, Gao et al., 2020, Huang et al., 2020, Li et al., 2020, Peng et al., 2020, Qin et al., 2020, Ruan et al., 2020, Wu C. et al., 2020, Xiang et al., 2020, Xiao et al., 2020, Zhang et al., 2020, Zhou F. et al., 2020). Characteristics of 16 eligible studies were presented in **Table 1**. All these studies come from China and were published in 2020, involving 3962 patients. 12 studies were

grouped by non-severe and severe groups, 2 studies grouped by non-ICU and ICU groups, and 2 studies grouped by survivors and non-survivors with COVID-19. Obviously, patients in severe group, ICU group or non-survivors group had older age than those in corresponding control group. There were no obvious differences in the sex distribution of patients for each study. All studies were deemed of high quality with 7 or more NOS scores and details could be found in **Table 2**.

Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19

For the patients stratified by severity of COVID-19, random-effects results demonstrated that compared with patients in severe group, patients in non-severe group had lower levels for CRP (WMD = -41.78 mg/l, 95% CI = [-52.43, -31.13], $P < 0.001$), PCT (WMD = -0.13 ng/ml, 95% CI = [-0.20, -0.05], $P < 0.001$), IL-6 (WMD = -21.32 ng/l, 95% CI = [-28.34, -14.31], $P < 0.001$), ESR (WMD = -8.40 mm/h, 95% CI = [-14.32, -2.48], $P = 0.005$), SAA (WMD = -43.35 μ g/ml, 95% CI = [-80.85, -5.85], $P = 0.020$) and serum ferritin (WMD = -398.80 mg/l, 95% CI = [-625.89, -171.71], $P < 0.001$) (**Figure 2A-2E**). Besides, there are two studies grouped by survivors and non-survivors with COVID-19 reporting the level of IL-6, and fixed-effect result arrived at a similar conclusion that survivors had lower level for IL-6 than non-survivors with COVID-19 (WMD = -4.80 ng/ml, 95% CI = [-5.87, -3.73], $P < 0.001$) (**Figure 2F**).

Additionally, one study on the level of fibrinogen between non-severe group and severe group, one study on the level of PCT between non-ICU group and ICU group, one study on the level of CRP and PCT between non-survivors and survivors, were not included in the meta-analysis due to their inadequate data; however, the results reported by these studies were consistent with the pooled results of our meta-analyses.

Investigation of heterogeneity

Strong evidence of heterogeneity was found in all the comparisons (**Figure 2**). Sensitivity analyses indicated that the results were not influenced by excluding any one specific study in CRP, PCT, IL-6 and ESR between non-severe and severe groups (**Figure 3A-3D**). As for SAA and serum ferritin, the conclusions changed when deleting Cheng K' study and Qin C's study, separately, while the heterogeneity become larger,

suggesting that it is better to keep these studies in the meta-analysis (**Figure 3E and 3F**). Egger's test was conducted to evaluate the publication bias (**Table 3**). No significant publication bias was detected in most of the studies except for CRP ($P = 0.012$) and PCT ($P = -0.036$). When applying the trim-and-fill method, there were not any trials trimmed and filled in CRP. About PCT, after filling one trial, the revised result was still consistent using random model (WMD = -0.169 ng/ml, 95% CI = $[-0.255, -0.084]$, $P < 0.001$) or fixed model (WMD = -0.062 ng/ml, 95% CI = $[-0.072, -0.053]$, $P < 0.001$). Besides, using standard mean difference (SMD) for the meta-analysis still did not change the conclusions (**Table 4**).

Discussion

COVID-19), caused by SARS-CoV-2, is rapidly expanding worldwide. Despite most of cases have mild symptoms and good prognosis, COVID-19 can develop to ARDs and even death. To date, there is no effective therapy for COVID-19 (Li and De Clercq, 2020, Russell et al., 2020). Therefore, it's imperative to identify the markers monitoring the progression of disease and treat patients early.

Several studies have shown increased proinflammatory cytokines in serum of COVID-19 patients. Also, anti-inflammatory agents for COVID-19 therapy highlights the critical role of inflammation in the progression of COVID-19 (Mehta et al., 2020, Stebbing et al., 2020). However, the role of inflammatory markers in monitoring the severity of COVID-19 is still controversial. In this study, through analyzing the 16 retrospective studies, we concluded that inflammatory markers especially CRP, PCT, IL-6 and ESR were positively correlated with the severity of COVID-19.

IL-6 has been implicated in the 2003 SARS outbreak and the H5N1 avian influenza infections (Law et al., 2005, Saito et al., 2018). Recent studies showed that IL-6 and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) could be secreted by the active pathogenic T cell upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. And also, CD14⁺CD16⁺ inflammatory monocyte activated by GM-CSF could secrete more IL-6 and other inflammatory factors (Zhou Yonggang et al., 2020). According to the New Coronavirus Pneumonia Prevention and Control Program (7th edition) published by the National

Health Commission of China, decreasing level of IL-6 indicates the deterioration of COVID-19. Our study firstly provided an evidence-based medicine evidence through meta-analysis. Moreover, the level of IL-6 could not be routinely detected in many hospitals of China, but some inflammatory markers such as CRP, PCT and ESR usually could be detected. Our study firstly put forward that besides IL-6, other inflammatory markers such as CRP, PCT and ESR were also positively correlated with the severity of COVID-19. These conclusions were consistent through sensitivity analysis and publication bias assessment.

CRP is an exquisitely sensitive systemic marker of acute-phase response in inflammation, infection, and tissue damage, which could be used as indicator of inflammation(Pepys and Hirschfield, 2003). In Chen L et al. study, although no statistically significant difference was found in the level of CRP between non-severe and severe group, the mean level of CRP was higher in severe group than non-severe group(Chen L. et al., 2020). Other studies all reported CRP level was positively related to the severity of COVID-19. PCT is also a main inflammatory marker routinely measured in clinical practice. Among 9 studies, the levels of PCT were all higher in severe group than non-severe group. ESR is a non-specific inflammatory marker, which mainly reflects the changes of plasma protein types(Wu et al., 2018). In our meta-analysis, we found higher ESR level in severe group than non-severe group. One reason is that patients in severe group had higher inflammation. Another possible explanation is that patients with older age in severe group contributed to the higher level of ESR considering that the level of ESR increased with age(Piva et al., 2001).

We also found patients with COVID-19 in severe group had higher levels of SAA and serum ferritin than those in non-severe group. Considering that only three studies reported their levels and sensitivity analysis changed the conclusion, we temporarily could not conclude their association with the severity of COVID-19. SAA is a sensitive acute response protein which was used as a sensitive index to reflect the control of infection and inflammation. Serum ferritin is a surrogate marker of stored iron and increases in inflammation, liver disease, and malignancy(Cohen et al., 2010, Facciorusso et al., 2014, Kowdley et al., 2012). All of these highlights that

overexuberant inflammatory response is associated with severity of COVID-19.

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis on the associations of a series of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19. Admittedly, our meta-analysis had some limitations. Firstly, noticeable heterogeneity exists in most of the analyses. Sensitivity analysis and SMD were used for the meta-analysis, yet the heterogeneity could not be eliminated completely. Secondly, reporting and publication bias may result from the lack of information or unpublished negative studies though the conclusion did not change through the trim-and-fill method. Thirdly, the studies included in our meta-analysis were mainly from China and whether the conclusion was consistent in other countries needs to be further investigated. Finally, it is underpowered to investigate the underlying mechanism of these inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19. In conclusion, inflammatory markers especially CRP, PCT, IL-6 and ESR were positively correlated with the severity of COVID-19. The association of SAA and serum ferritin with the severity of COVID-19 needs further clarified. Measurement of inflammatory markers might help clinicians to monitor and evaluate the severity and prognosis of COVID-19.

Authors contribution

FZ and GD were responsible for study design and writing; YG collected the data; GD analyzed the data; MY and XC revised the manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 81620108024; No.62041208.

Ethics in publishing

Approval was not required.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Reference

- Chang Z, Yang W, Wang Q, Liao G. Clinical significance of serum hs-CRP, IL-16, and PCT in diagnosis and prognosis of patients with COVID-19 (In Chinese). *Drugs & Clinic* 2020;35(3).
- Chen C, Chen C, Yan JT, Zhou N, Zhao JP, Wang DW. [Analysis of myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19 and association between concomitant cardiovascular diseases and severity of COVID-19]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2020;48(0):E008.
- Chen L, Liu HG, Liu W, Liu J, Liu K, Shang J, et al. [Analysis of clinical features of 29 patients with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia]. *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi* 2020;43(3):203-8.
- Cheng K, Wei M, Shen H, Wu C, Chen D, Xiong W, et al. Clinical characteristics of 463 patients with common and severe type coronavirus disease (In Chinese). *Shanghai Medical Journal* 2020.
- Cohen LA, Gutierrez L, Weiss A, Leichtmann-Bardoogo Y, Zhang DL, Crooks DR, et al. Serum ferritin is derived primarily from macrophages through a nonclassical secretory pathway. *Blood* 2010;116(9):1574-84.
- Facciorusso A, Del Prete V, Antonino M, Neve V, Crucinio N, Di Leo A, et al. Serum ferritin as a new prognostic factor in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with radiofrequency ablation. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;29(11):1905-10.
- Fang X, Mei Q, Yang T, Zhang L, Yang Y, Wang Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and treatment strategies of 79 patients with COVID-19 (In Chinese). *Chinese Pharmacological Bulletin* 2020;36(4).
- Gao Y, Li T, Han M, Li X, Wu D, Xu Y, et al. Diagnostic Utility of Clinical Laboratory Data Determinations for Patients with the Severe COVID-19. *J Med Virol* 2020.
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet* 2020;395(10223):497-506.
- Kowdley KV, Belt P, Wilson LA, Yeh MM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Chalasani N, et al. Serum ferritin is an independent predictor of histologic severity and advanced fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2012;55(1):77-85.
- Law HK, Cheung CY, Ng HY, Sia SF, Chan YO, Luk W, et al. Chemokine up-regulation in SARS-coronavirus-infected, monocyte-derived human dendritic cells. *Blood* 2005;106(7):2366-74.
- Li G, De Clercq E. Therapeutic options for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2020;19(3):149-50.
- Li K, Wu J, Wu F, Guo D, Chen L, Fang Z, et al. The Clinical and Chest CT Features Associated with Severe and Critical COVID-19 Pneumonia. *Invest Radiol* 2020.
- Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2018;27(6):1785-805.
- Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ, et al. COVID-

- 19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet* 2020;395(10229):1033-4.
- Peng YD, Meng K, Guan HQ, Leng L, Zhu RR, Wang BY, et al. [Clinical characteristics and outcomes of 112 cardiovascular disease patients infected by 2019-nCoV]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2020;48(0):E004.
- Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM. C-reactive protein: a critical update. *J Clin Invest* 2003;111(12):1805-12.
- Piva E, Sanzari MC, Servidio G, Plebani M. Length of sedimentation reaction in undiluted blood (erythrocyte sedimentation rate): variations with sex and age and reference limits. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2001;39(5):451-4.
- Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020.
- Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive Care Med* 2020.
- Russell CD, Millar JE, Baillie JK. Clinical evidence does not support corticosteroid treatment for 2019-nCoV lung injury. *Lancet* 2020;395(10223):473-5.
- Saito LB, Diaz-Satizabal L, Evseev D, Fleming-Canepa X, Mao S, Webster RG, et al. IFN and cytokine responses in ducks to genetically similar H5N1 influenza A viruses of varying pathogenicity. *J Gen Virol* 2018;99(4):464-74.
- Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, Tucker C, Oechsle O, Smith D, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020;20(4):400-2.
- Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2014;14:135.
- WHO. WHO Virtual press conference on COVID-19 (March 11, 2020), Accessed 16th Mar 2020. 2020a.
- WHO. WHO Virtual press conference on COVID-19 (March 21, 2020), Accessed 21th Mar 2020. 2020b.
- Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020.
- Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, Chen YM, Wang W, Song ZG, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature* 2020;579(7798):265-9.
- Wu S, Zhou Y, Hua HY, Zhang Y, Zhu WY, Wang ZQ, et al. Inflammation marker ESR is effective in predicting outcome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *BMC Cancer* 2018;18(1):997.
- Xiang T, Liu J, Xu F, Cheng N, Liu Y, Qian K, et al. Analysis of clinical characteristics of 49 patients with Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia in Jiangxi province (In chinese). . *Chinese Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2020;19(2).
- Xiao K, Shui L, Pang X, Mu H, Wang J, Lang C, et al. The clinical features of the 143 patients with COVID-19 in North-East of Chongqing (In Chinese). *Journal of Third Military Medical University* 2020.

Zeng F, Chen B, Zeng J, Wang Z, Xiao L, Deng G. Preoperative neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts the risk of microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. *Int J Biol Markers* 2019;34(3):213-20.

Zeng F, Chen L, Liao M, Chen B, Long J, Wu W, et al. Laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *World J Surg Oncol* 2020;18(1):20.

Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, Yuan YD, Yang YB, Yan YQ, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2020.

Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020.

Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 2020;579(7798):270-3.

Zhou Y, Fu B, Zheng X, Wang D, Zhao C, qi Y, et al. Aberrant pathogenic GM-CSF⁺ T cells and inflammatory CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes in severe pulmonary syndrome patients of a new coronavirus. 2020:2020.02.12.945576.

Table 1. Characteristics of enrolled studies.

First author	Year	Country	Groups	Cases	Age	Sex (male, %)	Inflammatory markers	Quality
Chang Z	2020	China	Nonsevere	93	-	-	CRP, PCT, IL-6	8
			Severe	57				
Chen C	2020	China	Nonsevere	126	57.0±15.6	66 (52.3)	CRP	7
			Severe	24	68.5±13.6	18 (75)		
Chen L	2020	China	Nonsevere	15	-	-	IL-6, CRP	7
			Severe	14				
Cheng K	2020	China	Nonsevere	282	49.7±11.9	145(51.42)	IL-6, CRP, PCT, ESR, SAA, Serum ferritin	8
			Severe	181	54.7±13.5	99(54.7)		
Fang X	2020	China	Nonsevere	55	39.9±14.9	27 (49.1)	CRP	7
			Severe	24	56.7±14.4	18 (75)		
Gao Y	2020	China	Nonsevere	28	43.0±14.0	17 (60.7)	IL-6, CRP, PCT, fibrinogen	9
			Severe	15	45.2±7.7	9 (60.0)		
Jin Z	2020	China	Nonsevere	82	51.6±10.7	38 (46.3)	CRP, PCT, SAA	7
			Severe	56	62.7±13.6	33 (56.9)		
Li K	2020	China	Nonsevere	58	41.9±10.6	29 (50)	CRP, PCT	7
			Severe	25	53.7±12.3	15 (60)		
Peng Y	2020	China	Nonsevere	96	61.5±9.4	44 (45.83)	CRP, PCT	8
			Severe	16	58.2±7.3	9 (56.25)		
Qin C	2020	China	Nonsevere	166	52.0±15.5	80 (48.2)	IL-6, CRP, PCT, ESR, Serum ferritin	7
			Severe	286	60.3±13.4	155 (54.2)		
Wu C	2020	China	Nonsevere	117	47.3±10.5	68 (58.1)	IL-6, CRP, ESR, Serum ferritin	7
			Severe	84	59.2±14.3	60 (71.4)		
Xiang T	2020	China	Nonsevere	40	40.6±14.3	25 (63.5)	CRP, PCT, ESR, SAA	8

			Severe	9	53.0±14.0	8 (88.9)		
Xiao K	2020	China	Nonsevere	107	43.05±1.13	52 (48.6)	IL-6, CRP, PCT	7
			Severe	36	51.28±5.58	20 (55.6)		
Huang C	2020	China	Non-ICU	28	49.2±12.9	19 (68)	PCT	9
			ICU	13	50.5±16.6	11 (85%)		
Ruan Q	2020	China	Survivor	82	51.6±7.6	53 (65)	IL-6, CRP,	7
			Non-survivor	68	64.3±14.0	49 (72)		
Zhou F	2020	China	Survivor	137	51.6±9.7	81 (59)	IL-6, PCT, Serum ferritin	7
			Non-survivor	54	69.4±9.9	38 (70)		

CRP: acute phase reactant protein C reactive protein; PCT: procalcitonin; IL-6: interleukin-6; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; SAA: serum amyloid A.

Table 2. Methodological quality of enrolled studies based on Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).

Included studies	Year	Is the definition adequate?	Representativeness of the cases	Selection of controls	Definition of controls	Comparability of both groups	Ascertainment of diagnosis	Same ascertainment method for both groups	Nonresponse rate	Total scores
Chang Z	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	8
Chen C	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Chen L	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Cheng K	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	8
Fang X	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Gao Y	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆ ☆	☆	☆	☆	9
Jin Z	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Li K	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Peng Y	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	8
Qin C	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Wu C	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Xiang T	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	8
Xiao K	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Huang C	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆ ☆	☆	☆	☆	9
Ruan Q	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7
Zhou F	2020	☆	☆	☆	☆	-	☆	☆	☆	7

Table 3. publication bias assessment.

Outcome	Studies	P value (Egger's test)
CRP	13	0.016
PCT	9	0.036
IL-6	7	0.012
ESR	4	0.185
SAA	3	0.468
Serum ferritin	3	0.243

CRP: acute phase reactant protein C reactive protein; PCT: procalcitonin; IL-6: interleukin-6; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; SAA: serum amyloid A.

Table 4. The results of the meta-analysis based on standard mean difference (SMD).

Outcome	Studies	Participants	Heterogeneity		Model	SMD	95% CI	P
			I ²	P				
Nonsevere vs. Severe								
1. CRP	13	2092	95%	<0.001	Random	-1.48	[-1.95, -1.00]	<0.001
2. PCT	9	1633	94%	<0.001	Random	-1.11	[-1.58, -0.63]	<0.001
3. IL-6	7	1481	98%	<0.001	Random	-1.54	[-2.38, -0.71]	<0.001
4. ESR	4	1165	67%	0.03	Random	-0.34	[-0.57, -0.10]	0.005
5. SAA	3	650	73%	0.03	Random	-0.41	[-0.82, -0.00]	0.050
6. Serum ferritin	3	1116	80%	0.007	Random	-0.62	[-0.90, -0.34]	<0.001
Nonsurvivors vs. Survivors								
IL-6	2	341	9%	0.30	Fixed	-1.23	[-1.47, -0.98]	<0.001

CRP: acute phase reactant protein C reactive protein; PCT: procalcitonin; IL-6: interleukin-6; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; SAA: serum amyloid A.

Figures

Figure 1. Literature search and filtering of studies.

Figure 2. Forest plot in different subgroups. A-F. Forest plot between non-severe and severe groups for levels of CRP (A), PCT (B), IL-6 (C), ESR (D), SAA (E), and serum ferritin (F); G. Forest plot between survivors group and non-survivors group for levels of IL-6.

Figure 3. Sensitivity analyses. A-F. Sensitivity analyses between non-severe and severe groups for levels of CRP (A), PCT (B), IL-6 (C), ESR (D), SAA (E), and serum ferritin (F).