1	Applying the SEIR Model in Forecasting
2	The COVID-19 Trend in Malaysia: A Preliminary Study
3	
4	
5	
6	Aidalina Mahmud ^{1*} , Poh Ying Lim ¹
7	
8	¹ Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences,
9	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia
10	
11	
12	*Corresponding author
13	E-mail: aidalina@upm.edu.my
14	
15	Both authors contributed equally to this work.
16	
17	
18	Author summary
19	Dr. Aidalina Mahmud is a Public Health Specialist and a medical lecturer in the
20	Department of Community Health, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Dr. Lim Poh Ying is
21	a Biostatistician and is a senior lecturer in the Department of Community Health,
22	Universiti Putra Malaysia.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

30 Abstract

31

32 On March 18, 2020 the Malaysian government implemented a 14-day Movement Control Order (MCO) as part of the mitigation plan in controlling the COVID-19 33 epidemic in the country. The MCO aims to limit the contact rates among the 34 population and hence prevent the surge of infected individuals. However, the trend 35 of the epidemic before and after the MCO was not apparent. By applying the 36 37 Susceptible, Exposed, Infectious and Removed (SEIR) mathematical model, we aimed to forecast the trend of COVID-19 epidemic in Malaysia using data from March 17 38 to 27, 2020. Based on several predetermined assumptions, the results of the analyses 39 40 showed that after the implementation of the 14-day MCO from March 18 to 31, 2020, it is forecasted that the epidemic in Malaysia will peak approximately in the 41 end of April 2020 and will subside by about the first week of July 2020. The MCO 42 will "flatten the epidemic curve" but will prolong the duration of the epidemic. 43 Decision to extend the duration of the MCO should depend on the consideration of 44 socioeconomic factors as well. 45

46

47

Keywords: SEIR model, COVID-19, forecasting, trend, Malaysia

- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51

52

56 Introduction

57

In Malaysia, the COVID-19 pandemic progressed from the alert phase when the 58 nation was made aware of the epidemic in China in late 2019, to containment phase 59 when the disease arrived in Malaysia in mid-January 2020. Malaysia announced its 60 first Covid-19 cases on January 25 involving three China tourists who had come into 61 Malaysia from Singapore on January 23[1]. Up until the first week of February, the 62 63 positive cases were all epidemiologically linked to someone from China of who has been to China. Two individuals from the humanitarian mission to Hubei were also tested positive 64 for COVID-19 [2]. By February 15, there were 23 cases, and then no new confirmed 65 66 COVID-19 cases were reported until February 28 [3]. The group of cases up to February 15 represented the first wave of cases in Malaysia. 67

68

Subsequently increasing number of symptomatic individuals with presented to the healthcare facilities and were tested for the novel coronavirus. Those who tested positive were subsequently isolated and treated. A second wave of cases began on February 28, and since then the cumulative number of people affected by the coronavirus had risen to more than 1,000.

74

Containment measures at this stage were isolation and treatment of cases in dedicated COVID-19 hospitals coupled with active case detection among close contacts of the cases. In addition, individuals with history of travel to China or having close contacts with a confirmed COVID-19 case were classified as suspected cases and put under quarantine for two weeks. Other measures of containment

80

included thermal and health screening of people at all international entry points and travel restriction advice to China.

82

81

By the first week of March 2020, the number of cases began to steadily rise. During this time, the Ministry of Health Malaysia received information from the Brunei counterpart about a man who was diagnosed with COVID-19 in that country. This individual claimed to have had participated in a religious mass gathering at a mosque in the outskirts of capital city Kuala Lumpur from 27 February to 1 March 2020. The gathering was attended by approximately 16,000 people from several neighboring countries [4].

90

This news raised the alarm in Malaysia. Active case detection among the participants of that mass gathering was immediately commenced, in an unprecedented exercise involving thousands of people. It was later noted that these participants had already spread the disease to their family members and close acquaintances [5]. As a result, the number of cases began to sharply rise.

96

At this stage, containment measures were enhanced. As it was no longer feasible to identify all infectious individuals and their contacts in the attempt to slow the spread of disease, the government applied community-wide containment measures. These interventions ranged from measures to encourage personal responsibility to identify disease and to increase social distancing among community members including cancellation of public gatherings. Despite these measures, the number of confirmed

cases continued to rise. The government them went into mitigation phase.

104

103

In mitigation phase, the country was placed under country-wide 14-day quarantine 105 106 from March 18 to March 31, under the Movement Control Order (MCO) [6]. The 107 MCO included firstly general prohibition of mass movements and gatherings across the 108 country including religious, sports, social and cultural activities. All premises must be closed except for supermarkets, public markets, convenience stores and convenience 109 110 stores selling everyday necessities. Secondly, those who have just returned from 111 overseas, they are required to undergo a health check and to do a quarantine (or selfquarantine) for 14 days; third, restrictions on the entry of all tourists and foreign visitors 112 113 into the country; fourth, closure of all kindergartens, schools and pre-university 114 institutions; fifth, the closure of all public and private higher education institutions; and sixth, the closure of all government and private premises except those involved in 115 essential services (such as water, electricity, energy, telecommunications, postal, 116 117 transportation and food supply).

118

During this phase, allocation of resources to the hospitals were enhanced: more intensive care unit beds were prepared, more human resources were deployed, and more personal protective equipment were acquired [7,8].

122

After having taken such unprecedented actions in controlling the epidemic, the forecast of the trend of this epidemic is crucial. The government needs to know if these actions had worked, and if so, to what extent. Forecast of the course of this

126

epidemic is required in order to plan further on the actions that need to be taken.

127

One of the ways to forecast the trend of an epidemic is by using mathematical 128 129 modelling, using either the deterministic or stochastic approaches. Deterministic model 130 is based on the average characteristic of the population parameters under study, whereas 131 stochastic model takes into account the randomness of elements of the population. Although stochastic model is more accurate in evaluating real-life epidemic propagation 132 133 it is not entirely reproducible. Moreover, when the population is large enough these 134 kinds of randomness neutralise each other and then a simpler deterministic model turns to be good enough to use. Simulation study based on deterministic compartmental model 135 is most applied in epidemiology. Of the many deterministic models, the Susceptible-136 137 Exposed-Infectious-Removed (SEIR) models are often implemented when studying the spread of infectious diseases that possess significant incubation periods. In the case of 138 COVID-19 several studies from China have also used this SEIR model [9,10, 11, 12]. 139 140

141 Therefore, the aim of this paper is to forecast the progression of COVID-19 142 epidemic in Malaysia, before and after the Movement Control Order (MCO), using 143 the SEIR model.

- 144
- 145 Materials and methods
- 146 **Data**
- 147



149	websites; mainly those of the Ministry of Health Malaysia and Department of Statistics	
150	Malaysia. Data included in this analysis are those from March 17, 2020 until March 27	
151	2020. This period was the first 10 days of the MCO exercise; and it was chosen because i	
152	coincided with the second wave of the epidemic in Malaysia. Two analyses were carried ou	
153	the first estimated the epidemic trend of COVID-19 for Malaysia before the MCO wa	
154	implemented, while the second forecasted the trend after the implementation of MCO.	
155		
156	SEIR Model	
157		
158	A deterministic SEIR model based on the clinical progression of the disease, epidemiological	
159	status of the individuals, and intervention measures was proposed. The mathematical model	
160	used was the Susceptible, Exposed, Infectious and Removed (SEIR) model, as shown in	
161	Fig.1.	
162	$S \xrightarrow{\beta} E \xrightarrow{\delta} I \xrightarrow{\gamma} R$	
163	Fig 1. The Susceptible (S), Exposed (E), Infectious (I) and Removed (R) (SEIR) model	

164

165 The Susceptible, Exposed, Infectious and Removed are states in which an individual 166 progresses in sequence. The infectious rate, β , controls the rate of spread which represents 167 the probability of transmitting disease between a susceptible and an infectious individual. 168 The incubation rate, δ , is the rate of latent individuals becoming infectious (average duration 169 of incubation is 1/ δ). Recovery rate, $\chi = 1/D$, is determined by the average duration, D, of

170 infection.

171

172 The quantity S denotes the number of individuals those are susceptible to the disease but not infected at time t. This is the total number of population who are at risk. The quantity E173 denotes the number of individuals those are exposed to the virus or infected but not yet 174 infectious. This is the total number of population who came in contact with a disease person 175 176 and carrying the infective agent. The quantity I denotes the number of infected individuals 177 who can spread the disease through contact with susceptible. This is the number of exposed 178 population developing sign and symptoms and infectious to others. The quantity R denotes 179 the number of individuals those have successfully gained immunity from the disease and / or removed by death. This is the number of infected populations recovering from the disease 180 and no longer infectious to others. The parameter β is transmission rate of disease from 181 182 susceptible to exposed. Sigma (σ) refers to the Infection rate, while γ is the average durations infectiousness. The infectious diseases spread from an infected individual to other 183 184 susceptible individuals in the surroundings. The governing equations describing the evolution and dynamics of SEIR model can be described by a set of ordinary differential 185 equations as follows [13]. 186

187

188 $\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{-\beta SI}{N}$ $dE = -\beta SI$

189 $\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{-\beta SI}{N} - \sigma E$

190 $\frac{dI}{dt} = \sigma E - \gamma I$

191
$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I$$

192

193 Data analysis

194

Data included in this analysis are those from March 17, 2020 until March 27, 2020. It was chosen because it coincided with the second wave of the epidemic in Malaysia. The MCO also began at about the same time, which was on March 18.

198

In this model, the state susceptible (S) is the adult population aged 15 years and more, in 199 200 Malaysia. This age range was chosen because so far reports have shown that COVID-19 has 201 affected children and adolescents less than adults. Population in 2019 was approximately 32, 202 000,000 and of which, population in 2019 who were \geq 15 years old was 76.7%. The exposed 203 (E) is the number of people screened as of March 28 (35,516 people) minus the number of people screened as of March 17 (9,799 people). The infected (I) is the number of confirmed 204 cases from March 17 until March 27, while the removed (R) is number of individuals those 205 have successfully gained immunity from the disease and / or removed by death from March 206 17 until March 27. Table 1 summarizes the values and references of each of the states. 207

208

209

Table 1. Different parameters in the SEIR model and their values

Value used for this analysis	Source
S = 25,000,000	[14]
S rate	
= 25,000,000/32,000,000	
	Value used for this analysis S = 25,000,000 S rate = 25,000,000/32,000,000

	= 0.78125	
Exposed (E)	E = 25, 717	[15, 16]
	E rate	
	= 25, 717/32,000,000	
	= 0.0008	
Infected (I)	I = 1608	[15,17]
	I rate	
	= 1608/32,000,000	
	= 0.00005	
Recovered/died (R)	R=216	[17]
	R rate	
	= 216/32,000,000	
	= 0.000006	

210

The main difference between the pre-MCO period and the MCO period is the reduced contact among individuals within the MCO period, compared to that in the before the MCO period. Other public health prevention and control measures remained the same.

214

In the context of the SEIR model, the MCO would affect the contact rates between the positive COVID-19 patients and the people around them, through the parameter Exposed (E)'s co-efficient which is beta (β). Beta value during the pre-MCO period used in this analysis was 6.47, based on the study in China [18]. The beta value of 6.47 was higher than other published estimates for example a value of 2.2 [19]. Such a high

220 reproduction number was consistent with the opinion that the virus has gone through at least three to four generations of transmission in the period covered by their study 221 [18,20] WHO, 2020). This stance was also held in this current study based on the 222 findings by the Ministry of Health, that by the time the MCO was implemented there 223 224 were at least 4 generations of infected individuals originating from the individuals who 225 attended the mass gathering in late February 2020. Therefore, the first analysis using the SEIR model used the beta value of 6.47 to forecast the epidemic before the MCO in 226 Malaysia was implemented. 227

228

We used the same dataset to forecast the epidemic trend *after* the implementation of the 229 230 MCO. As mentioned, after the implementation of MCO the rate of contact between the 231 infected and the exposed was anticipated to be lesser than the before the MCO period. The reduced rate of contact would result in a lower value for beta. A study estimated 232 233 that the median daily reproduction number (R_t) in Wuhan declined from 2.35 (95% CI 234 1.15–4.77) one week before travel restrictions were introduced on January 23, 2020, to 1.05 (0.41-2.39) one week after [21]. This was a 55% decline in the value of beta. In 235 236 Malaysia, due to the limited movement among the population of Malaysia and the 237 nationwide travel restrictions imposed through the MCO, we forecast that the epidemic 238 after the MCO would also result in a reduction of the beta. Assuming that the reduction 239 in beta was 55% from the without MCO, as in the abovementioned study [21] we set the beta value at 2.91, in the second analysis using the SEIR model. 240



243	not known for COVID-19, the value for incubation period was used. The incubation
244	period was assumed to be Erlang distributed with mean $5 \cdot 2$ days (SD $3 \cdot 7$) (Li et al, 2020).
245	The coefficient of migration rate (χ), is 1/ average recovery time. The average duration
246	between the confirmation of diagnosis to date of removal (died/discharged) for Malaysia was
247	9.7 (\pm 5.3) days, based on sample of 58 patients from the start of the epidemic until
248	26.3.2020. However, patients may have had symptoms prior to presentation at the hospital
249	or prior to the diagnosis confirmed. According to a study the median time from date of onset
250	of symptoms to date of confirmation was 4.8 (\pm 3.0) days [22]. Therefore, the total average
251	recovery time was the sum of 9.7 and 4.8 days which was equal to 14.5 days. Table 2 shows
252	different coefficient in the SEIR model and their values.

- 253
- 254
- 255

Table 2. Different coefficient in the SEIR model and their values

Coefficients	Value used for this analysis	Source
β = coefficient of	$\beta = \beta_0 * k$	Tang et al, 2020 ¹
infection	β = coefficient of infection rate (R)	Kucharski et al, 2020 ²
	$\beta_0 =$ probability of infection per exposure	
	(R ₀)	
	k = frequency of exposure	
	$\beta^1 = 6.47$	
	$\beta^2 = 1.05$	
σ = coefficient of	$T_e = average \ latency$	Li et al, 2020
latency	= 5.2 days	
	$\sigma = 1/T_e$	

	= 1/5.2	
	= 0.19	
$\gamma = \text{coefficient of}$	$\chi = 1/T_i$	Kraemer et al, 2020
migration rate	T_i = average recovery time	
	$T_i = 14.5 \text{ days}$	
	$\gamma = 1/T_i$	
	= 0.07	

256

257 In both analyses before the MCO and after MCO, it was assumed that the other 258 parameters and their co-efficient would remain the same. The Susceptibility (S) rate 259 depends on the population size with is massive, the Infection (I) rate would be the same 260 it depends on the incubation period of the virus and Removal (R) rate would also remain the same as it depends on the recovery time. Because during the time of this analysis 261 there was no introduction of any new drugs, the average recovery period would remain 262 the same. Based on the available data and the above assumptions, an R software, R-3.6.3 263 with package "deSolve" was used to create the SEIR model [23]. 264

265

266 **Results**

At present there are two waves of the COVID-19 epidemic in Malaysia as shown in Fig.2. The first wave was in early February, while the larger second wave began in late February.

270

271

272









2	\mathbf{a}	6
Э	L	U

Table 3. Summary of differences in parameters between pre-MCO and after MCO

Parameters	Pre-MCO	After 14-day MCO
Peak infection	Day 18 (9 April 2020)	Day 25 (25 April 2020)
Plateau	Day 80 (6 June 2020)	Day 90 (29 June 2020)
Subside	Day 94 (20 June 2020)	Day 100 (9 July 2020)

327

328 Discussion

329 The rationale of limiting movement of the population in an epidemic situation is to 330 minimize the transmission of the infectious agent. Minimizing the transmission of the 331 infectious agent would be favorable to the health system as it will reduce or avoid the 332 surge of patients coming to health care facilities for treatment. The surge capacity of 333 hospitals is often limited and the more than usual number of patients could result in 334 substandard care due to limited disposable resources. Therefore, efforts like locking down a city or an entire province like what China did recently; or Movement Control 335 336 Order (MCO) implemented in Malaysia, will to an extent, result in "flattening the epidemic curve". 337

338

The COVID-19 curve is steep curve, in which the virus spreads exponentially (that is, case counts keep doubling at a consistent rate), and the total number of cases rise steeply to its peak within a few weeks. Infection curves like that of the COVID-19 with a steep rise also have a steep fall. A flatter curve, on the other hand, assumes the same number of people ultimately get infected, but over a longer period. A slower infection rate means a less stressed health care system. So, although the health authorities and governments

alike are chanting the "let's flatten the curve" mantra, one often neglected fact is that
flattening the curve would also mean longer duration of the epidemic. As shown in our
analysis, there should be reductions in the number of infected cases at the epidemic's
peak after the MCO effort, but the duration it takes for the epidemic to subside would
be longer.

350

If the 14-day MCO in Malaysia was not implemented, based on the data analyzed and the assumptions used in the analysis, the peak of the infection period would be about 20 days after March 18, which was about the first week of April. At the peak period, the proportion of the population affected would be about 43% of susceptible individuals in the country. The duration of which new cases would continue to be recorded was predicted to be about 80 days from March 18 (approximately June 6, 2020). It is predicted that the epidemic would subside by about June 26, 2020.

358

359 With the implementation of the 14-day MCO in Malaysia beginning March 18 until March 31, the peak of the infection period would be about 30 days the end of the MCO 360 361 (approximately April 30, 2020). At the peak period, the proportion of the population affected would be about 40% of susceptible individuals in the country. The duration of 362 363 which new cases would continue to be recorded was predicted to be about 90 days from 364 March 31 (approximately June 29, 2020). It is predicted that the epidemic would subside by about July 9, 2020. This forecast was again based on the data used and the 365 366 assumptions that were used.

Whether or not the government chooses to prolong the duration of the MCO depends on whether it is best to suffer excruciating pain of an epidemic for a short period of time, or a milder but lingering pain of an epidemic for a longer period of time.

371

372 Longer duration of an epidemic could be detrimental to the economy. As an example, 373 the lockdown in Hubei recently resulted in severe economic impact. Numerous firms 374 evacuated their expat workers from the city and temporarily halted business activities, 375 and among the industries that would be negatively impacted were retail, tourism, and 376 hospitality sectors [25]. When China reopened its essential businesses on February 3, 2020, the markets dropped sharply in value [26]. The economic and labour crisis created 377 by the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic could increase global 378 379 unemployment by almost 25 million, according to a new assessment by the International 380 Labour Organization (ILO). While at the national level, due to COVID-19 pandemic 381 this year, Malaysia's unemployment rate is expected to shoot up to 4% this year, from 382 3.3% in 2019. At the individual level, being unable to work and earn an income could lead the individual and his family into financial catastrophe. While at the government 383 384 level, having to create economic stimulation packages to cushion the effect of the poor economy could cost a country, billions and even trillions of dollars. Even more 385 386 challenging is that the stimulus package needs to be created in the circumstance when 387 the government itself is not making any money.

388

In addition to the economic consequences, studies have shown that longer duration of movement restriction could result in negative psychological effects among all ages. A

391 recent systematic review of literature on the psychological impact of quarantine in the general population showed that stressors included longer quarantine duration, infection 392 393 fears, frustration, boredom, inadequate supplies, inadequate information, financial loss, 394 and stigma; all of which could lead to depression and anxiety [27]. While for children and adolescents, stressors such as prolonged duration, fears of infection, frustration and 395 396 boredom, inadequate information, lack of in-person contact with classmates, friends, and teachers, lack of personal space at home, and family financial loss can have more 397 398 profound negative effects [27]. A study showed that the mean posttraumatic stress scores 399 were four times higher in children who had been quarantined than in those who were 400 not quarantined [28].

401

402 As for front-line health care workers, they too are also negatively affected. Scientific 403 evidence on this is abundant. For example, a study in Japan found that during the H1N1 404 pandemic in 2009, workers with a high risk of infection felt more anxious and more exhausted [29]. Studies in China in 2003 during the SARS epidemic found that 405 approximately 10% of hospital employees experienced high levels of posttraumatic 406 407 stress (PTS) symptoms and that health care workers reported fatigue, poor sleep, worry 408 about health, and fear of social contact, despite their confidence in infection-control 409 measures; also have chronic stress and higher levels of depression and anxiety [30,31].

410

The limitations of this forecast lie mainly in the assumptions used and because the data used were from publicly available platforms. We used the data available on the day the data was announced. As there was a backlog of specimens to be analyzed of about 1,000

414 during the time of this analysis, we could not be certain whether the data on the new number of cases announced was entirely same-day data or it included data from 415 416 specimens taken several days prior to the announcement. Apart from the number of new cases for each day, the nature of this data affected another parameter, namely the 417 recovery period. For the recovery period, we considered the date of removal (discharged 418 419 or death) and subtract that from the date of confirmation of diagnosis, instead of the actual dates of the start of illness to the day of discharge or death. This calculation may 420 421 slightly under-estimate the duration of illness. Also, we did not consider the more 422 frequent active case detection activities which have just been started during the production of this article. We also assumed that the laboratory capacity remains the same 423 424 in the next few months, as when this analysis was conducted. Nonetheless, the results of this model could give an idea of the possible time span of the epidemic, until more 425 detailed data is available. Additionally, the model could demonstrate that flattening the 426 427 epidemic curve prolongs the duration of epidemic.

428

429 Conclusion

430

After the implementation of the 14-day MCO from 18 March 2020 to March 31, it is forecasted that the epidemic in Malaysia will peak approximately in the end of April 2020 and will subside by about the first week of July 2020. Restricting movement of the population will reduce contact among individuals and hence would lessen the transmission and infection rates. This will "flatten the epidemiological curve" but will prolong the duration of the epidemic. Decision to extend the duration of the MCO should depend on the

437 consideration of socioeconomic factors as well.

- 439
- 440 Supporting information
- 441 Files metadata

References

 Ministry of Health Malaysia. Kenyataan Akhbar Ketua Pengarah Kesihatan Malaysia Pada 24 Januari 2020 - Tindakan Kkm Bagi Pengesanan Kontak (Contact Tracing) Kepada Kes Pertama Positif Novel Coronavirus Di Negara Singapura Pada 24 Januari 2020. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 Jan 24 [Cited 2020 March 26]. Available from:

http://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/database_stores/store_view_page/21/1300

- Ministry of Health Malaysia. Press Statement Ministry Of Health Malaysia: Updates On The 2019 Novel Coronavirus (Covid-19) Situation In Malaysia. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 Feb 5 [Cited 2020 March 26]. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/COVID-9/PRESS%20RELEASE/PR_5_Feb.pdf
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. Press Statement Updates On The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Situation In Malaysia. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 Feb 28 [Cited 2020 March 26]. Available from:

http://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/auto%20download%20images/5e58defa7f7f7.pd

- Ministry of Health Malaysia. Press Statement Updates On The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Situation In Malaysia. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 March 11 [Cited 2020 March 26]. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/database_stores/attach_download/337/1351
- Rashvinjeet S. Bedi. Health Ministry Detects Five 'Generations' Of Covid-19 Cases Linked to Tabligh Cluster. TheStar. 2020 March 27. [Cited 2020 March 29]. Available from: https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2020/03/27/health-ministry-detects-five-

039generations039-of-covid-19-cases-linked-to-tabligh-cluster

- Ministry of Health Malaysia. Press Statement Updates On The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Situation In Malaysia. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 March 18 [Cited 2020 March 26]. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/database_stores/attach_download/337/1380
- 7. Mohd Farhaan Shah. Covid-19: 1,000 Housemen to Be Stationed Nationwide To Support Medical Staff. TheStar. 2020 March 14. [Cited 2020 March 29]. Available from: https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2020/03/14/covid-19-1000-housemen-to-bestationed-nationwide-to-support-medical-staff
- Julia Chan. Covid-19: 3,000 Retired Nurses to Return To Service. TheStar. 2020 March 25. [Cited 2020 March 30]. Available from: https://www.malaymail.com/news/malaysia/2020/03/25/covid-19-3000-retired-nurses-toreturn-to-service/1850174
- Shi P, Cao S, Feng P. SEIR Transmission dynamics model of 2019 nCoV coronavirus with considering the weak infectious ability and changes in latency duration. medRxiv. 2020 Jan 1.
- Fang Y, Nie Y, Penny M. Transmission dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak and effectiveness of government interventions: A data-driven analysis. Journal of medical virology. 2020 Mar 6.
- 11. Peng L, Yang W, Zhang D, Zhuge C, Hong L. Epidemic analysis of COVID-19 in China by dynamical modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06563. 2020 Feb 16.
- 12. Yang Z, Zeng Z, Wang K, Wong SS, Liang W, Zanin M, Liu P, Cao X, Gao Z, Mai Z, Liang J. Modified SEIR and AI prediction of the epidemics trend of COVID-19 in China

under public health interventions. Journal of Thoracic Disease. 2020;12(2).

- 13. Mamo DK, Koya PR. Mathematical modeling and simulation study of SEIR disease and data fitting of Ebola epidemic spreading in West Africa. Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and Technology. 2015 Jan;2(1):106-14.
- 14. Department of Statistics Malaysia
- NADMA. Jumlah Individu yang Diuji Untuk COVID-19. 2020 March 17. [Cited 2020 March 30]. Available from:

https://www.google.com.my/url?sa=i&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.nadma.gov.my%2Fbe ncana%2Findex.php%2Fcomponent%2Fcontent%2Farticle%2F46-covid-19%2Fsiaranmedia%2F656-statistik-jumlah-individu-yang-diuji-untuk-covid-19-di-malaysia-17-03-2020

 16. Ministry of Health Malaysia. Press Statement Updates on The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Situation in Malaysia. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 March 28 [Cited 2020 March 31]. Available from:

http://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/database_stores/attach_download/337/1399

- 17. Ministry of Health Malaysia. Press Statement Updates on The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Situation In Malaysia. In: Ministry of Health Malaysia Official Website. 2020 March 27 [Cited 2020 March 31]. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/database_stores/attach_download/337/1398
- 18. Tang B, Wang X, Li Q, Bragazzi NL, Tang S, Xiao Y, Wu J. Estimation of the transmission risk of the 2019-nCoV and its implication for public health interventions. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020 Feb;9(2):462.
- 19. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, Ren R, Leung KS, Lau EH, Wong JY,

Xing X. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Jan 29.

- 20. World Health Organization (WHO) [cited 2020 March 31]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/23-01- 2020-statement-on-the-meeting-of-theinternational-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committeeregarding-the-outbreak-ofnovel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)
- 21. Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, Liu Y, Edmunds J, Funk S, Eggo RM, Sun F, Jit M, Munday JD, Davies N. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020 Mar 11.
- 22. Kraemer MU, Yang CH, Gutierrez B, Wu CH, Klein B, Pigott DM, du Plessis L, Faria NR, Li R, Hanage WP, Brownstein JS. The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Science. 2020 Mar 25.
- 23. R software, R-3.6.3 with package "deSolve.
- 24. New Confirmed Cases. [Cited 2020 March 31]. Available from: www.outbreak.my
- 25. Lawrence O. Gostin. What Questions Should Global Health Policy Makers Be Asking About the Novel Coronavirus? Health Affairs. [Cited 2020 March 31]. Available from: https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20200203.393483/full/
- 26. Steven Russolillo, Xie Yu and Stella Yifan Xie. Coronavirus Outbreak Sends Chinese Markets Lower After Holiday Break. The Wall Street Journal. [Cited 2020 March 31]. Available from: https://www.wsj.com/articles/chinese-markets-tumble-on-mountingcoronavirus-fears-11580695672?mod=article_inline.
- 27. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, Rubin GJ. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the

evidence. The Lancet. 2020 Feb 26.

- Sprang G, Silman M. Posttraumatic stress disorder in parents and youth after healthrelated disasters. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2013 Feb;7(1):105-10.
- 29. Matsuishi K, Kawazoe A, Imai H, Ito A, Mouri K, Kitamura N, Miyake K, Mino K, Isobe M, Takamiya S, Hitokoto H. Psychological impact of the pandemic (H1N1) 2009 on general hospital workers in Kobe. Psychiatry and clinical neurosciences. 2012 Jun;66(4):353-60.
- 30. Wu P, Fang Y, Guan Z, Fan B, Kong J, Yao Z, Liu X, Fuller CJ, Susser E, Lu J, Hoven CW. The psychological impact of the SARS epidemic on hospital employees in China: exposure, risk perception, and altruistic acceptance of risk. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry. 2009 May;54(5):302-11.
- 31. Chan KL, Chau WW, Kuriansky J, Dow E, Zinsou JC, Leung J, Kim S. The psychosocial and interpersonal impact of the SARS epidemic on Chinese health professionals: Implications for epidemics, including Ebola. The Psychosocial Aspects of a Deadly Epidemic: What Ebola Has Taught Us About Holistic Healing.. 2016 Mar 21:287.